

Hartlepool Local Planning Framework

Hartlepool Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum on Main Modifications





1. Introduction

- 1.1 Hartlepool Borough Council's Local Plan will provide a 15 year spatial vision for the Borough and contains policies and guidance that will be used to direct and shape development.
- 1.2 Preparing a Local Plan involves numerous stages:
 - an Issues and Options stage that explores a variety of ideas on how the borough should grow over the years
 - a Preferred Options stage which sets out the preferred choices for how the borough should develop over the years
 - the Publication Stage

All stages were subject to public consultation; the publication draft was deemed to be the final plan and was submitted to the Secretary of State for consideration. The publication draft plan and the comments received formed the basis for an Examination in Public that took place in September/October 2017. The purpose of the Examination in Public was to assess the legal compliance, justification, effectiveness and conformity of the Local Plan with National Planning Policy Framework.

- 1.3 Throughout each stage of plan preparation a Sustainability Appraisal was carried out. The appraisal is a legal requirement and necessary to ensure that the impact of each policy is considered against environmental, social and economic objectives.
- 1.4 This examination process, along with the Inspectors Post Hearing Advice, resulted in a range of Main Modifications and Additional Modifications that were deemed necessary to make the plan sound. The modifications are subject to further consultation and the Inspector will consider any comments received on the Main Modifications.
- 1.5 As part of the Sustainability Appraisal process, prior to consultation, it is necessary to assess the Main Modifications that relate to changes in the policy wording. The assessment using the established Sustainability Appraisal criteria was necessary to understand if the changes to the policy lead to any changes within the previous Sustainability Appraisal and if so, were the suggested Main Modifications acceptable.
- 1.6 The assessment of the policy main modifications was undertaken in November 2017. The vast majority of the main modifications lead to either no change in relation to the previous Sustainability Appraisal or positive changes in relation to the previous Sustainability Appraisal. A change in wording to Policy QP7 (Energy Efficiency) which was necessary to bring the Policy in line with national guidance to ensure the wording encouraged rather than required improvements to the building fabric did have a slightly less positive outcome than the previous Sustainability Appraisal. Given that this change was required to bring the Policy in line with guidance and in light of the fact that the other changes were either none significant or positive, all of the Main Modifications are deemed appropriate and will be consulted upon in a bid to see them transposed into the Council's Local Plan.
- 1.7 Consultation is due to take place in December 2017 for an eight week period.
 Following consultation the Inspector will consider / representations made and will

- produce his final report. The Council anticipate adopting the Hartlepool Local Plan in spring 2018.
- 1.8 This Sustainability Appraisal should be read as an addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum 2017 submitted to the Secretary of State as part of the production of additional evidence to support the Local Plan as part of the Examination process (EX/HBC/25).

2. Methodology

2.1 This Sustainability Appraisal was put together following a two stage process.

Stage one

- 2.2 A team of Planning Policy Officers and the Council's Enforcement officer took each main modification to any policy wording in turn to establish if the changes were significant or not significant.
- 2.3 Significant modifications were deemed to be those that altered the impetus of the policy, added additional criteria or guidance and/or placed greater emphasis on certain elements of the policy.
- 2.4 Non significant main modifications were deemed to be re-structuring of the policy but with no deletion or addition of text, grammatical corrections or for a matter of clarity.
- 2.5 If the changes were deemed to be insignificant then the previous Sustainability Appraisal was not re-visited as it was considered that the previous SA findings were still accurate. If the main modification was deemed to be significant then the previous Sustainability Appraisal was re-visited.

Stage two

- 2.6 Stage two looked at the policies where the main modifications were deemed to be significant.
- 2.7 Officers looked at main modifications for each policy and considered what the impact would be from the previous assessment in the Sustainability Addendum (Ex/HBC/25) Appraisal. Officers discussed what the implication of the change to policy wording would have and then considered if the previous comments and assessment were still relevant. In some instances the modifications lead to a change in the grading of the SA criteria for example from a positive to a double positive if the modification would in fact make the policy contribute more positively to the assessment criteria.
- 2.8 In many instances although modifications were in the main positive, they were not considered positive enough to alter the previous SA rating.
- 2.9 Appendix One sets out a full list of policies and notes:
 - Whether there has been a Main Modification to the Policy

¹ In terms of impact on Sustainability

- If there has been a Main Modification there is a summary of those modifications
- It then outlines whether the Policy has been revisited or not in terms of this Sustainability Appraisal
- Then outlines the outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal re-assessment.
- 2.10 Appendix Two then sets out any changes to the Sustainability Appraisal assessment sheets.

3. Conclusion

- 3.1 This Sustainability Appraisal Addendum has been prepared to ensure that the potential significant effects of the Main Modifications to the Hartlepool Local Plan have been identified and assessed in accordance with meeting the requirement² the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is carried out in the production of the Local Plan.
- 3.2 It is considered that all the Main Modifications have been thoroughly assessed against the agreed Sustainability Appraisal and no further modifications to the plan are suggested as a result of the Sustainability Appraisal.

² European Directive 2001/42/EC (the SEA Directive) and UK law in terms of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (which requires Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of plans.

Appendix 1: Requirement for further Sustainability Appraisal Assessment

Policy	key changes from submission through to main modifications	SA revisited (Y/N)	SA revisited outcome	
LS1 - Locational Strategy	MM008 - Strategic gap amended wording – reduction in the size of the strategic gap as reflected on the policies map MMOO9 – additional wording – provides clarity on how many homes are to be built, how much retail floorspace. – no impact upon SA.	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in no significant impact in terms of SA criteria.	
CC1 – Minimising and adapting to Climate Change	MM010 – Foot note defining major MM011 – additional text regarding energy efficiency improvements MM012 – amend bullet point 9 – amend wording relating to feasibility and viability – no overall change MM013 – Insert footnote on feasibility.	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in no impact in terms of SA criteria.	
CC2 - Reducing and Mitigating Flood Risk	Key changes – MM015 – bullet point 1 split into two.	No - minor formatting change to Policy.	N/A	
CC3 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Generation	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
CC4 Strategic Wind Turbines	Clarity on topple distances.	No - minor wording addition for clarity of Policy.	N/A	
CC5 – Large Scale Solar Photovoltaic Developments	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
INF1 – Sustainable Transport Network	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
INF2 – Improving Connectivity in Hartlepool	MM019 – additional text regarding Church Street level crossing and pedestrianisation.	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in no impact in terms of SA criteria.	
INF3 - University Hospital of Hartlepool	MM022 – additional text precluding more vulnerable development flood zone 2 and 3 – policy now restricts what should not be allowed, flood zones do change so this protects development and users in the future. Areas of flood have increased on that site since it was first built.	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in a positive impact on Objective 9 (Water, air and soil pollution).	
INF4 – Community Facilities	MM023 – minor wording addition for clarity.	No	N/A	
QP1 – Planning Obligations	MM024 rearrangement of policy and additional text all for clarity purposes.	No - reordering of Policy wording only.	N/A	
QP2 – Compulsory Purchase	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
QP3 - Location, Accessibility, Highway Safety and Parking	MMO25 – reference to level crossings MMO26 – proposals map reference insert – to provide visual clarity	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in no impact in terms of SA criteria.	
QP4 – Layout & Design of Development	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
QP5 — Safety & Security	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
QP6 – Technical Matters	MMO27 – additional text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet point 8 adds waster quality	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in a positive impact on Objective 9 (Water, air and soil pollution).	
QP7 – Energy Efficiency	MMO28 – changing the emphasis from a requirement to an encouragement criteria.	Yes	Changes have resulted in a slightly negation impact on Objectives 12 (Energy Efficiency) and 14 (Climate Change), however are required to ensure soundness of the Policy.	
QP8 - Advertisements	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A	
HSG1- New Housing Provision	MM039 – clarification set out that the figures relate to a net housing requirement.	No - Change in the figures is to reflect planning permissions.	N/A	

Policy	key changes from submission through to main modifications	SA revisited (Y/N)	SA revisited outcome
		No need to do SA reflects current position not overall in impetus of the policy.	
HSG1a – Ensuring a Sufficient Supply of Housing Land	New policy	Yes	See Appendix 1
HSG2 – Overall Housing Mix	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HSG3 – Urban Local Plan Sites	MM043 – Briarfields will be expected to contribute to delivery of the Elwick Bypass and Junction – no need to re do SA, Briarfields for 15 dwellings, although this in is an important addition to the policy it is considered that it will have no overall impact upon the policy and thus the SA criteria.	No - No need to do SA as officers were always of the opinion that Briarfields would pay so the initial SA was done with that in mind. This policy wording adds clarity to developers.	N/A
HSG4 – The South West Extension Housing Site	MM045 – remove the word strict MM046 – removal of word 'provided'	No – minor wording changes. No need to reassess SA.	N/A
HSG5 – High Tunstall	MM048 – inclusion of a concept diagram MM049 – remove the word strict MM050 – bullet point 4 cross out the words will be provided and amend proposals map to say policies map and references SANGS MM051 – new bullet point 8 added - link to concept diagram bullet point 9 added – landscaping and visual impact assessment to be provided given edge of settlement location. MM052 - linking site to Elwick bypass contributions.	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in a positive impact on Objectives 7 ((Built and Natural Environment) and 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).
HSG5a – Quarry Farm Housing Site	MM053 – remove the word strict MM054 – adds text at point 4 – drawing reference to SANGS	Yes	Changes to policy resulted in a positive impact on Objectives 7 ((Built and Natural Environment) and 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).
HSG6 - Wynyard Housing Developments	MM057 – Inclusion of concept diagram. MM058 – additional wording to include detail on trigger points. MM059 – remove reserved matters and insert planning strict is also crossed out. MM060 – bullet point 1 additional text added relation to suitable alternative play provision. MM061 – additional wording to criterion 4 to ensure the development of necessary community facilities. MM062 – New criterion 9 to reference concept plan. MM063 – Slight wording change.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcomes of Objectives 1 (Economy), 2 (Education & Skills), 3 (Health), 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 10 (Liveability and Place), they do not change the outcome of the previous SA.
HSG7 - Elwick Village Housing Development	MM064 – removal of 'strict' from policy and clarity on the setting of heritage assets. MM065 – Additional criterion to detail contributions to the grade separated junction and bypass.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcomes of Objectives 6 (Transport) and 7 (Built and Natural Environment), they do not change the outcome of the previous SA.
HSG8 - Hart Village Housing Developments	MM066 – removal of 'strict' from policy MM067 – Additional text to ensure the policy stipulates a landscape buffer.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy resulting in a positive outcome in relation to Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment).
HSG9 – Affordable Housing	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HSG10 – Housing Market Renewal	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HSG11 – Extensions to Existing Dwellings	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HSG12 – Residential	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
Annexes			l

Policy	key changes from submission through to main modifications	SA revisited (Y/N)	SA revisited outcome
HSG13 – Gypsy and Traveller Provision	MM072 – delete reference to effect on amenity from within the first sentence. MM073 – insert reference to impact upon amenity.	No - No need for an SA because the policy impetus has not changed, the order of the policy has been changed.	N/A
EMP1 — Prestige Employment Site Wynyard Business Park	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
EMP2 – Queen's Meadow Business Park	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
EMP3 – General Employment Land	MM073 – additional text at the end of the policy to draw reference to all other policies in the plan, in particular NE1.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objective 7 (Built and Natural Environment), however it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.
EMP4 – Specialist Industries	MM075 – reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The changes to the Policy have had a positive impact on Objectives 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
EMP5 - Safeguarded land for new Nuclear Power Station	MM076 - reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The changes to the Policy have had a positive impact on Objectives 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
EMP6 - Underground Storage	MM077 – reference drawn to flat roofs to replicate Any habitat loss MM078 – reference to toxic storage and they it will not be permitted and essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and can be mitigated against. MM079 – Reference to access being from the portion of Greenabella Marsh that has no Natural Environment allocation. MM080 – link to access being delineated on the Policies Map	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment), 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution), however it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.
RUR1 – Development in the Rural Area	MM082 - Delete the word 'made'.	No – change in policy wording does not alter the aim of the policy and will have no implications on the SA criteria.	N/A
RUR2 – New Dwellings outside of development limits	MM084 - New criterion added, bullet point 8 states that development must have regard to the New Dwellings Outside of Development Limits SPD.	No – minor addition for clarity. No requirement to revisit SA.	N/A
RUR3 – Farm Diversification	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RUR4 – Equestrian Development	MM084 – new bullet point added to ensure that proposals do not involve a significant, irreversible loss of best and most versatile land.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
RUR5 – Rural Tourism	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RUR6 – Rural Services RC1 – Retail and Commercial Centre Hierarchy	No proposed main modifications MM085 – minor wording amendments throughout the policy for clarity.	No – policy wording was for clarity, the impetus of the policy has not altered and therefore it was not necessary to reasses the SA objectives.	N/A N/A
RC2 – The Town Centre	MM085 – additional wording to add clarity on where late night/early morning businesses can operate. MM086 – reference that 'more vulnerable'	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil

Policy	key changes from submission through to main modifications	SA revisited (Y/N)	SA revisited outcome
	development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.		Pollution).
RC3 – Innovation and Skills Quarter	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC4 – Avenue Road / Raby Road Edge of Town Centre	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC5 - The Brewery and Stranton Edge of Centre Area	MM089 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
RC6 – East of Stranton Edge of Town Centre	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC7 – Lynn Street Edge of Town Centre Area	MM090 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
RC8 – Mill House Edge of Town Centre	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC9 – Park Road West Edge of Town Centre	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC10 – West Victoria Road Edge of Town Centre	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC11 - York Road South Edge of Town Centre Area	MM091 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
RC12 - The Marina Retail and Leisure Park	MM094 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar. MM095 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.
RC13 – West of Marina Way Retail and Leisure Park	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC14 – Trincomalee Wharf Retail and Leisure Park	MM096 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar. MM097 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.
RC15 – Tees Bay Retail and Leisure Park	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC16 - The Local Centres	MM098 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
RC17 - Late Night Uses Area	MM099 – amend operational hours from 7am to 2am, add shops to the list of permissible uses and set out that no businesses shall	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation

Policy	key changes from submission through to main modifications	SA revisited (Y/N)	SA revisited outcome
	operate between the hours of 2am and 7am. MM100 – delete immediate before Church Street area (grammatical correction) MM101 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.		to the outcome of Objectives 1 (Economy) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.
RC18 - Hot Food Takeaway	MM102 – add in Museum Road/South Lauder Street sub area. MM103 – MM120 and MM123 – 126 – amend policy thresholds due to updated retail survey data and mathematical rounding up. MM121-122 – insert Owton Manor East and Owton Manor West into the policy. MM127 – reference made to allowing one takeaway in each of the villages and reference drawn stating that takeaways will not be permitted outside of village envelopes.	Yes	The in relation to allowing a hot food takeaway in the village has a positive impact in relation to the rural economy, overall this does not change the outcome of Objective 1 (Economy) from the previous assessment.
RC19 – Main Town Centre Uses on Employment Land	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC20 – Business Uses in Home	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
RC21 – Commercial Uses in Residential Areas	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
LT1 - Leisure and Tourism	MM128 – insert Mill House Edge of Town Centre Area MM129 – rewording of policy to place onus on protecting and enhancing Seaton Carew Conservation Area not just protecting it. MM130 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.
LT2 - Tourism Development in the Marina	MM131 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).
LT3 – Development of Seaton Carew	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
LT4 – Tourism Accommodation	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
LT5 – Caravan Sites and Touring Caravan Sites	MM132 – Reference to Planning Obligations, to manage recreation on the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar.	Yes	Modification has strengthened the policy and a positive outcome has now been achieved in relation to Objective 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).
LT6 – Business Tourism, Events and Conferencing	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HE1 – Heritage Assets	MM0133 – Additional wording in regard to public benefits 'in accordance with national policy'.	No – minor wording change, no requirement of additional assessment.	N/A
HE2 – Archaeology	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HE3 – Conservation Areas	MM134 – Expansion of wording in the policy to include the setting of Conservation Areas.	Yes	Modification strengthens the double positive outcome of Objective 7 (Built and Natural Environment).
HE4 – Listed Buildings and Structures	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HE5 – Locally Listed Buildings and Structures	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
HE6 – Historic Shopping Parades	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A

Policy	key changes from submission through to main modifications	SA revisited (Y/N)	SA revisited outcome
HE7 – Heritage at Risk	MM135 – Inclusion of additional sentence to state 'Where is it evidenced that risk has come about as the result of deliberate neglect or damage, enablement development will not be supported.'	No - policy wording was for clarity, the impetus of the policy has not altered and therefore it was not necessary to re- asses the SA objectives.	N/A
NE1 – Natural Environment	MM139 – inclusion of reference to the Hartlepool Mitigation Strategy and Delivery Plan MM140 – Further clarity on requirements in relation to ancient trees. MM141 – Further reference to landscape character.	Yes	The modification strengthen the double positive outcomes of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).
NE2 – Green Infrastructure	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
NE3 – Green Wedges	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
NE4 – Ecological Networks	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
NE5 – Playing Fields	MM142 – Additional criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and reference to Playing Pitch Strategy.	Yes	The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcomes of Objectives 3 (Health), 7 (Built and Natural Environment), 10 (Liveability and Place) and 11 (Equity, Diversity and Participation); they do not change the outcome of the previous SA.
NE6 – Protection of Incidental Open Space	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A
NE7 – Landscaping along main transport corridors	No proposed main modifications	No	N/A

Appendix 2: Additional Sustainability Appraisal Assessments

Move away significantly	- Move away marginally	Move towards marginally	Move ++ towards significantly	0 Neutral	? Uncertain	X No Relationship
-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------	-------------	-------------------

Policy being appraised: LS1 - Locational Strategy
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM008 - reduction					
MMOO9 – addition Sustainability	al wording – provides clarity on how many homes are			ow mu	
appraisal	Appraisal criteria		scale	T	Commentary/ explanation
objectives		ST	MT	LT	•
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				Not as restrictive if housing is needed in the future. However no change to previous positive impacts.
2. Education and Skills.					No change from Publication SA.
To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education? 				
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: LS1 - Locational Strategy
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM008 - reduction in strategic gap.

MM009 - additional wording - provides clarity on how many homes are to be built, how much retail floorspace.

Sustainability	al wording – provides clamy on now many nomes are		scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient on a page in page day also provide. 				If five year supply becomes an issue in the future this change may allow for additional housing sites to be brought forward.
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 sufficient open space in new developments? Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: LS1 - Locational Strategy
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM008 - reduction in strategic gap.

MM009 - additional wording - provides clarity on how many homes are to be built, how much retail floorspace.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal		ST	MT	LT	explanation
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.	31	Mi		No change from Publication SA.
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	1. Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? 3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? 4. Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? 5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? 6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding?				No change from Publication SA.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA.
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA.
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: LS1 - Locational Strategy

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM008 - reduction in strategic gap.

MMOO9 – additional wording – provides clarity on how many homes are to be built, how much retail floorspace.

Sustainability	inicocaic			Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions: Changes to policy resulted in no significant impact in terms of SA criteria.

Recommendation: Previous assessment at Publication stage still applicable.

Policy being appraised: CC1 – Minimising and adapting to Climate Change

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM010 - Foot note defining major development

MM011 – additional text regarding energy efficacy improvements – overall improves the policy

MM012 - amend bullet point 9 - amend wording relating to feasibility and viability

MM013 – Insert footnote on feasibility.

Sustainability	Appreciage evidencia	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA – policy benefits to still be delivered long term and still positive overall.
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	1. Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? 2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: CC1 – Minimising and adapting to Climate Change

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM010 - Foot note defining major development

MM011 – additional text regarding energy efficacy improvements – overall improves the policy MM012 – amend bullet point 9 – amend wording relating to feasibility and viability MM013 – Insert footnote on feasibility.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA.
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA.
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic	1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic				No change from Publication SA. – benefit will come long term

Policy being appraised: CC1 – Minimising and adapting to Climate Change

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM010 – Foot note defining major development
MM011 – additional text regarding energy efficacy improvements – overall improves the policy
MM012 – amend bullet point 9 – amend wording relating to feasibility and viability

MM013 – Insert footnote on feasibility.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
environment.	parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA.
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA.
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA. The policy wording reinforced the positive outcome.

Policy being appraised: CC1 – Minimising and adapting to Climate Change

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key Changes:

MM010 - Foot note defining major development

MM011 – additional text regarding energy efficacy improvements – overall improves the policy

MM012 - amend bullet point 9 - amend wording relating to feasibility and viability

MM013 – Insert footnote on feasibility.

Sustainability appraisal	Assessment and authority	Times	Timescale		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
natural resources.					
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA.
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions: Changes to policy resulted in no impact in terms of SA criteria.

Recommendation: Previous assessment at Publication stage still applicable.

Policy being appraised: INF2 – Improving Connectivity in Hartlepool

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM019 – additional text regarding church Street level crossing and pedestrianisation.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
objectives	Applaisal chiefia	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA.
2. Education and Skills.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: INF2 – Improving Connectivity in Hartlepool
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:
MM019 – additional text regarding church Street level crossing and pedestrianisation.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
objectives	Approise enema	ST	MT	LT	explanation
To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities? 2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? 3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? 4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? 5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?				No change from Publication SA. Not a significant enough change to increase to a double positive.
5. Housing . To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: INF2 – Improving Connectivity in Hartlepool
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM019 – additional text regarding church Street level crossing and pedestrianisation.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA. The policy change strengthens the strong positive outcomes. There is no need to reduce the positivity of the policy.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				No change from Publication SA.
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA.
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: INF2 – Improving Connectivity in Hartlepool
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM019 – additional text regarding church Street level crossing and pedestrianisation.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA.
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA.
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA.
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: INF2 – Improving Connectivity in Hartlepool

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM019 – additional text regarding church Street level crossing and pedestrianisation.

Sustainability appraisal Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/	
objectives	Appraisa chiena	ST	MT	LT	explanation

Conclusions: Changes to policy resulted in no impact in terms of SA criteria.

Recommendation: Previous assessment at Publication stage still applicable.

Policy being appraised: INF3 University Hospital of Hartlepool

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM022 – additional text precluding more vulnerable development flood zone 2 and 3 – policy now restricts what should not be allowed, flood zones do change so this protects development and users in the future. Areas of flood have increased on that site since it was first built.

Sustainability	A way give a side view	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA. Still other areas on the site that are not in FZ2 and 3 so still opportunity to develop more vulnerable uses on other parts of the site.
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education? 				No change from Publication SA.
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA - reinforces the existing positives.

Policy being appraised: INF3 University Hospital of Hartlepool

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM022 – additional text precluding more vulnerable development flood zone 2 and 3 – policy now restricts what should not be allowed, flood zones do change so this protects development and users in the future. Areas of flood have increased on that site since it was first built.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA.
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA – no impact as transport related uses are not classified as more vulnerable.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: INF3 University Hospital of Hartlepool

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM022 – additional text precluding more vulnerable development flood zone 2 and 3 – policy now restricts what should not be allowed, flood zones do change so this protects development and users in the future. Areas of flood have increased on that site since it was first built.

Sustainability			Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal	Appro	isal criteria	ST	МТ	LT	explanation
objectives			31	74/1	LI	<u> </u>
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To	1.	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of				No change from Publication SA.
orotect and		Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool?				1 oblication 3A.
enhance the	2.	Will it improve access to these nature				
biodiversity and		conservation sites?				
geodiversity of		Will it protect habitats and priority species?				
the natural	4.	Will it improve or enhance ecological				
environment.		networks.				
	1.	Will it help to achieve sustainable use of				Amended wording should
. Water, Air and		water resources?				help reduce the risks from
Soil Pollution. To	2.	Will it protect or improve and monitor local				flooding by preventing
improve and or		air quality?				more vulnerable
retain the quality	3.	Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil				development in flood
of watercourses,		and water pollution?	+	+	+	zone 2 and 3.
air quality and	4.	Will it protect or improve the quality of	т .	т .	T	
soil quality. To		controlled waters?				Change to one positive
achieve	5.	Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal				overall.
sustainable use of		defences?				
water resources.	6.	Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local				
		flooding?				
	1.	Will it improve accessibility and quality of				No change from
0. Liveability and		key services and facilities and improve				Publication SA.
Place. To create		access to jobs?				
and sustain	2.	Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for				
iveable places,		local people?				
promoting	3.	Will it improve access to culture, leisure and				
sustainable		recreational activities?				
lifestyles and	4.	Will it create and sustain a vibrant and				
social cohesion.		diverse community and promote a sense of place?				
	5	Will it promote social cohesion?				
		Will it promote social inclusion and tackle				No change from
		worklessness?				Publication SA.
11. Equity,	2.	Will it help to reduce deprivation and				
Diversity, Equality		ensure no group of people are				
and Darticination To		disadvantaged?				
Participation- To promote strong	3.	Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive				
and inclusive		communities?				
communities		Will it increase community cohesion?				
COMMINICS	5.	Will it create community ownership,				
2 -		participation and engagement?	1			
2. Energy						No change from
Efficiency and	1.	Will it minimise energy use through				Publication SA.
Natural Resources. To		sustainable, efficient and effective use of				
		buildings and land?				
minimise energy use and support	2.	Will it support or promote the increasing use				
renewable		of renewable energy resources in				
energy		environmentally acceptable locations?				
production and		Will it reduce demand for natural resources?				
encourage the	4.	Will it encourage the prudent and efficient				
prudent use of		use of natural resources?				
natural resources.						
	1.	Will it minimise the generation of household				No change from
10 Wasts Ts		and commercial waste?				Publication SA.
13. Waste. To	2.	Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close				
minimise the		to the source as feasible?				
production of	3.	Will it maximise the opportunities for				
waste and to		recycling waste materials?				
maximise	4.	Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a				
opportunities for		sustainable manner?				
recycling.	5.	Does it make provision for an adequate				
		supply of minerals?				

Policy being appraised: INF3 University Hospital of Hartlepool

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM022 – additional text precluding more vulnerable development flood zone 2 and 3 – policy now restricts what should not be allowed, flood zones do change so this protects development and users in the future. Areas of flood have increased on that site since it was first built.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisai chiena	ST	MT	LT	explanation
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA. Policy wording change is positive but not positive enough when considering the objective as a whole to move to a double positive outcome.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions: Main modification MM022 has a positive impact on Objective 9 (Water, air and soil pollution).

Recommendation: No further change needed to Policy.

Policy being appraised: QP3 – Location, Accessibility, Highway Safety and Parking

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change

MMO25 - reference to level crossings

MMO26 - proposals map reference insert - to provide visual clarity

Sustainability	A noncept of a site of a		cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy . To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA.
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: QP3 – Location, Accessibility, Highway Safety and Parking
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change

MMO25 – reference to level crossings

MMO26 – proposals map reference insert – to provide visual clarity

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria		scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives			MT	LT	explanation
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? 				No change from Publication SA.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA.
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA.
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA. Strengthens this double positive outcome.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: QP3 – Location, Accessibility, Highway Safety and Parking
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change

MMO25 – reference to level crossings

MMO26 – proposals map reference insert – to provide visual clarity

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria		scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives			MT	LT	explanation
8. Biodiversity and	and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				No change from
Geodiversity To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				Publication SA.
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA.
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: QP3 – Location, Accessibility, Highway Safety and Parking

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change

MMO25 – reference to level crossings

MMO26 - proposals map reference insert - to provide visual clarity

Sustainability		Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA.
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions:

Changes to policy resulted in no impact in terms of SA criteria.

Recommendation: Previous assessment at Publication stage still applicable.

Policy being appraised: QP6 – Technical Matters

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO27 – additional text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet point 8 adds waster quality

Sustainability	A payabal aribaria	Timescale		•	Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA.
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: QP6 – Technical Matters
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO27 – additional text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet point 8 adds waster quality

Sustainability	al text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet po		scale	Commentary/		
appraisal	Appraisal criteria	_		1.7		
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation	
maximise the	participation in education?					
education and skills levels of						
Hartlepool						
Residents.						
ROSIGOTIIS.	Will it improve access to public services and				No change from	
	health facilities?				Publication SA.	
3. Health.	2. Will it provide opportunities to promote					
To improve the	healthier lifestyles?					
health and well-	3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and					
being of the	quality green space and increase access to					
Hartlepool community.	the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and					
Commonly.	open-air recreation?					
	5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?					
4011	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from	
4. Safety and	2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				Publication SA.	
Security. To create safer	anti-social behaviour?					
and cleaner	3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in					
community,	the event of a fire?					
reducing crime	4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping					
and anti-social	clean public areas? 5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and					
behaviour.	allow communities to safely access all areas?					
	Will it promote the re-use of previously		+		No change from	
	developed land?				Publication SA.	
	Will it help to ensure the balance of supply					
	and demand in the housing stock is met in					
	sustainable locations?					
5. Housing.	3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents					
To ensure	have access to a choice of good quality					
Hartlepool	housing in sustainable communities across					
residents have access to	tenures that meets their needs and aspirations?					
decent, good	4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to					
quality,	meet and exceed the 'decent homes					
affordable	standard'?					
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open					
	space for residents within Hartlepool?					
	6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable					
	people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and					
	sufficient open space in new developments?					
	Will it reduce the transport barriers to				No change from	
	accessing employment, education and				Publication SA.	
	training and health care?					
	2. Will it support the location of new					
	development and provision of services that					
6. Transport. To	reduces the need to travel?					
help develop	3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of					
high quality, integrated,	personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security					
accessible and	whilst travelling?					
safe transport	5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of					
system.	travel, especially in urban areas?					
	6. Will it maintain, improve and make more					
	efficient use of the existing transport network?					
	7. Will it control and maintain local air quality					
	and seek to reduce transport emissions that					
7. Built and	contribute to climate change?		+	-	Now policy wording	
/. Buiit ana Natural	Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's				New policy wording strengthens criteria 3	
Environment. To	landscapes, open space, townscapes,				and 7 and but not	
protect and	streetscapes, countryside and coastline?				enough to increase	
enhance the	Will it prevent urban development				outcome to double	
quality and local	encroaching and/or occurring in the				positive.	
distinctiveness of	countryside.					
Hartlepool's rural,	3. Will it enhance the quality, character and					

Policy being appraised: QP6 – Technical Matters

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO27 – additional text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet point 8 adds waster a

	al text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet po			ister qu	ality
Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisal Chiefia	ST	MT	LT	explanation
urban and	setting of Hartlepool's designated				
historic	Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic				
environment.	parks, gardens, scheduled ancient				
	monuments, none designated heritage assets				
	and areas of archaeological interest?				
	Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets?				
	5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding				
	landscape and open space?				
	6. Will it help to ensure that the physical				
	environment is attractive, responsive, flexible				
	and sustainable?				
	7. Will it encourage high quality design?				
	8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new				
	developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within				
	Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
8. Biodiversity and					No change from
Geodiversity. To	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartleneous				previous SA from
protect and	Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature				previous SA
enhance the	conservation sites?				
biodiversity and	3. Will it protect habitats and priority species?				
geodiversity of the natural	4. Will it improve or enhance ecological				
environment.	networks.				
	Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water				New wording now
9. Water, Air and	resources?				considers water quality
Soil Pollution. To	2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air				and assessors consider
improve and or	quality?				overall that the policy is
retain the quality	3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil				more positive.
of watercourses, air quality and	and water pollution?4. Will it protect or improve the quality of	++	++	++	The inclusion of water
soil quality. To	controlled waters?				quality at point 8
achieve	5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal				justified an increase in
sustainable use of	defences?				the positive impact of
water resources.	6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local				the policy.
	flooding?				
10 Uhrambilihrana	Will it improve accessibility and quality of key				No change from
10. Liveability and Place. To create	services and facilities and improve access to iobs?				Publication SA.
and sustain	Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local				
liveable places,	people?				
promoting	3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and				
sustainable	recreational activities?				
lifestyles and	4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse				
social cohesion.	community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				
	Will it promote social cohesion? Will it promote social inclusion and tackle	1	+	1	No change from
11. Equity,	worklessness?				Publication SA.
Diversity, Equality	Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure				
and	no group of people are disadvantaged?				
Participation- To	3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive				
promote strong and inclusive	communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion?				
communities	5. Will it create community ownership,				
CONTINUINIO	participation and engagement?				
12. Energy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	No change from
Efficiency and	Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of				Publication SA.
Natural	buildings and land?				
Resources. To	Will it support or promote the increasing use				
minimise energy	of renewable energy resources in				
use and support renewable	environmentally acceptable locations?				
energy	3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources?				
production and	4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient				
encourage the	use of natural resources?				
prudent use of					
natural resources.				1	

Policy being appraised: QP6 – Technical Matters

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO27 – additional text at bullet point 5 strengthens the policy bullet point 8 adds waster quality

Sustainability	Amountant settents	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT LT explanation		explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA.
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? Gin modification MAMO27 has a positive impact on Ob.				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions: Main modification MM027 has a positive impact on Objective 9 (Water, air and soil pollution).

Recommendation: No further change needed to Policy.

Policy being appraised: QP7 – Planning Obligations

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO28 - changing the emphasis from a requirement to an encouragement criteria.

Sustainability	A possional oritoria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA.
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: QP7 – Planning Obligations
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO28 changing the appraise from a requirement to the complexity from a requirement to the complexity of the complexity from a requirement to the complexity of the complexity from a requirement to the complexity of the complex

MMO28 - changing	the emphasis from a	requirement to an	encouragement criteria.

MMO28 – changing Sustainability	Appraisal criteria		scale		Commentary/ explanation	
appraisal			МТ	LT		
skills levels of		ST	7411			
Hartlepool						
Residents.						
	Will it improve access to public services and				No change from	
	health facilities?				Publication SA.	
3. Health. To improve the	Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles?					
health and well-	Will it provide local play provision, parks and					
being of the	quality green space and increase access to					
Hartlepool	the countryside?					
community.	4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and					
	open-air recreation?					
	5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from	
4. Safety and	Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				Publication SA.	
Security. To create safer	anti-social behaviour?					
and cleaner	3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in					
community,	the event of a fire?					
reducing crime	4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas?					
and anti-social	5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and					
behaviour.	allow communities to safely access all areas?					
	Will it promote the re-use of previously	İ			No change from	
	developed land?				Publication SA.	
	2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and					
	demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations?					
5. Housing.	3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents					
To ensure	have access to a choice of good quality					
Hartlepool	housing in sustainable communities across					
residents have	tenures that meets their needs and					
access to	aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to					
decent, good quality,	meet and exceed the 'decent homes					
affordable	standard'?					
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open space					
	for residents within Hartlepool?					
	6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable					
	people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and					
	sufficient open space in new developments?					
	Will it reduce the transport barriers to				No change from	
	accessing employment, education and				Publication SA.	
	training and health care?					
	2. Will it support the location of new					
6. Transport. To	development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel?					
help develop	3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of					
high quality,	personal injury road crashes?					
integrated,	4. Will it increase personal safety and security					
accessible and	whilst travelling? 5. Will it appourage more sustainable modes of					
safe transport system.	5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas?					
3,310111	6. Will it maintain, improve and make more					
	efficient use of the existing transport network?					
	7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and					
	seek to reduce transport emissions that					
7. Built and	contribute to climate change? 1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character	+		-	No change from	
Natural	and local distinctiveness of the area's				Publication SA.	
Environment. To	landscapes, open space, townscapes,				1	
protect and	streetscapes, countryside and coastline?					
enhance the	2. Will it prevent urban development					
quality and local distinctiveness of	encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside.					
Hartlepool's rural,	3. Will it enhance the quality, character and					
urban and	setting of Hartlepool's designated					
historic	Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic	1		1		

Policy being appraised: QP7 – Planning Obligations
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO28 changing the appraise from a requirement to the complexity from a requirement to the complexity of the complexity from a requirement to the complexity of the complexity from a requirement to the complexity of the complex

MMO28 - changing	the emphasis from	a requirement to an	encouragement criteria.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation	
environment.	parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical					
	 environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 					
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the piodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA.	
P. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA.	
0. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain iveable places, promoting justainable ifestyles and ocial cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA.	
1. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To oromote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA.	
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?	+	+	+	Now not a requirement HBC are encouraging, thus reducing the impact that HBC can have as we can not make a development do it. Thus reducing the likely impact of the policy over the plan period.	

Policy being appraised: QP7 – Planning Obligations

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MMO28 – changing the emphasis from a requirement to an encouragement criteria.

Sustainability	Ad. add add	Times	Timescale	Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	1. Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? 2. Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? 3. Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? 4. Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? 5. Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA.
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				Dilutes this policy as there is no longer requirement to ask for energy improvements, the likely impact upon climate change is reduced over the plan period.
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions: Whilst changes have had a slightly negative impact on the Objectives 12 and 14, the changes are required to make the Policy compliant with National Guidance.

Recommendation: No further change to Policy.

Policy being appraised: HSG1A - Ensuring a Sufficient Supply of Housing Land

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

New policy

Sustainability appraisal objectives		Timescale			Commentary/
	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 	+	+	+	Policy seeks to ensure housing comes forward in a timely manner with the linked benefits of the associated jobs.
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education? 	x	x	x	No relationship

Policy being appraised: HSG1A - Ensuring a Sufficient Supply of Housing Land
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

New policy

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Timescale Commentary/			
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.					
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 	x	x	x	No relationship
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 	x	x	x	No relationship
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 	**	**	**	Helps ensure the balance of supply and demand is met in sustainable locations. The policy ensures homes are delivered over the years which in turn gives people the choice about where they can have a good quality home. In addition to policy HSG1 this new policy ensures the delivery of housing. (HSG1 allocated homes) This new policy gives options for review if delivery drops below required levels for a prolonged period. Nothing in this policy overrides any other policy. This policy does not have an impact upon another policy.
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 	x	x	x	No relationship
		+	1		No relationship

Policy being appraised: HSG1A - Ensuring a Sufficient Supply of Housing Land
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

New policy

Sustainability	A mayoris of oritoria	Times	cale		Commentary/ explanation
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	
Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.	x	x	x	No relationship
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	1. Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? 3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? 4. Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? 5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? 6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding?	х	х	x	No relationship
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 	x	x	x	No relationship
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? Will it minimise energy use through 	x	x	x	No relationship No relationship
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in 	x	x	x	No retailoriship

Policy being appraised: HSG1A - Ensuring a Sufficient Supply of Housing Land

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

New policy

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/ explanation
appraisal objectives	Approisor cinerio	ST	MT	LT	
use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 	x	x	x	No relationship
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 	x	х	x	No relationship
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?	x	х	x	No relationship

Conclusions: Positive overall as this policy ensures the delivery of Housing.

Recommendation: No changes required.

Policy being appraised: HSG5 – High Tunstall

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MM048 - inclusion of a concept diagram

MM049 - remove the word strict

MM050 – bullet point 4 cross out the words will be provided and amend proposals map to say policies map and references SANGS

MM051 - new bullet point 8 added - link to concept diagram bullet point 9 added - landscaping and visual impact assessment to be provided given edge of settlement location.

MM052 - linking site to Elwick bypass contributions.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
objectives	Appraisa chena	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: HSG5 – High Tunstall

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MM048 - inclusion of a concept diagram

MM049 - remove the word strict

MM050 - bullet point 4 cross out the words will be provided and amend proposals map to say policies map and references SANGS

MM051 - new bullet point 8 added - link to concept diagram bullet point 9 added - landscaping and visual impact assessment to be provided given edge of settlement location.

MM052 - linking site to Elwick bypass contributions.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal critoria	Times	Timescale		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
	5. Will it diversify the rural economy?6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres?7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education? 				No change from Publication SA.
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA.
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	1. Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? 3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: HSG5 – High Tunstall

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MM048 - inclusion of a concept diagram

MM049 – remove the word strict

MM050 - bullet point 4 cross out the words will be provided and amend proposals map to say policies map and references SANGS

MM051 - new bullet point 8 added - link to concept diagram bullet point 9 added - landscaping and visual impact assessment to be provided given edge of settlement location.

MM052 - linking site to Elwick bypass contributions.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within 	+	•	+	Now positive as it links to a master plan which gives design criteria etc and will help to provide additional space via SANGS.
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.	+	+	+	Change to positive in light of reference to SANGs and how they can help protect the SPA and costal wildlife.
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from previous SA

Policy being appraised: HSG5 – High Tunstall

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MM048 - inclusion of a concept diagram

MM049 - remove the word strict

 $\,$ MM050 – bullet point 4 cross out the words will be provided and amend proposals map to say policies map and references SANGS

MM051 – new bullet point 8 added - link to concept diagram bullet point 9 added – landscaping and visual impact assessment to be provided given edge of settlement location.

MM052 - linking site to Elwick bypass contributions.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA.
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA.
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA.
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA.

Policy being appraised: HSG5 - High Tunstall

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes

MM048 - inclusion of a concept diagram

MM049 - remove the word strict

MM050 – bullet point 4 cross out the words will be provided and amend proposals map to say policies map and references SANGS

MM051 – new bullet point 8 added - link to concept diagram bullet point 9 added – landscaping and visual impact assessment to be provided given edge of settlement location.

MM052 - linking site to Elwick bypass contributions.

Sustainability appraisal	Approximate oritoria		cale		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA.

Conclusions: The changes to the Policy have had a positive impact on Objectives 7 ((Built and Natural Environment) and 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).

Recommendation: No further change to Policy.

Policy being appraised: HSG5a - Quarry Farm Housing Site

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM053 – remove the word strict

MM054 - adds text at point 4 - drawing reference to SANGS

Sustainability		Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education? 				No change from Publication SA
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	1. Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? 2. Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? 3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? 5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security.	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: HSG5a - Quarry Farm Housing Site
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM053 – remove the word strict
MM054 – adds text at point 4 – drawing reference to SANGS

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and 				
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	allow communities to safely access all areas? 1. Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? 3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive,	+	+	+	Creates additional open space and aims to protect the SPA.

Policy being appraised: HSG5a - Quarry Farm Housing Site
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes: MM053 – remove the word strict

MM054 – adds text at point 4 – drawing reference to SANGS

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
/DJCCVC5	flexible and sustainable?				
	7. Will it encourage high quality design?				
	Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments?				
	9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within				
	Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
B. Biodiversity and	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of				Positive element with
Geodiversity . To orotect and	Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool?				reference to SANGS as a
enhance the	Will it improve access to these nature				SANG in this location could prevent people
piodiversity and	conservation sites?	+	+	+	gong to the coast.
geodiversity of	Will it protect habitats and priority species?				gang to me count
he natural	Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				
environment.					
Water Air and	Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources?				No change from Publication SA
. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To	water resources? 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local				FUDIICUIION SA
mprove and or	air quality?				
etain the quality	3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil				
of watercourses,	and water pollution?				
air quality and	4. Will it protect or improve the quality of				
soil quality. To	controlled waters?				
achieve sustainable use of	Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences?				
vater resources.	6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local				
	flooding?	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	Will it improve accessibility and quality of				No change from
0. Liveability and	key services and facilities and improve				Publication SA
Place. To create	access to jobs?				
and sustain	Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people?				
liveable places,	3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and				
promoting	recreational activities?				
sustainable lifestyles and	4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and				
social cohesion.	diverse community and promote a sense of				
	place?				
	Will it promote social cohesion? Will it promote social inclusion and tackle	+		+	No change from
1 Earrit	worklessness?				Publication SA
1. Equity, Diversity, Equality	2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and				
and	ensure no group of people are				
Participation- To	disadvantaged?				
oromote strong	Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities?				
and inclusive	4. Will it increase community cohesion?				
communities	5. Will it create community ownership,				
	participation and engagement?			1	
2. Energy					No change from
Efficiency and Natural	Will it minimise energy use through	Publication SA	FUDIICATION 3A		
Resources. To	sustainable, efficient and effective use of				
minimise energy	buildings and land?				
use and support	Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in				
renewable	environmentally acceptable locations?				
energy	3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources?				
oroduction and	4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient				
encourage the orudent use of	use of natural resources?				
natural resources.					

Policy being appraised: HSG5a - Quarry Farm Housing Site

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM053 – remove the word strict

MM054 – adds text at point 4 – drawing reference to SANGS

Sustainability		Times	cale		Commentary/ explanation
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The changes to the Policy have had a positive impact on Objectives 7 ((Built and Natural Environment) and 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).

Recommendation: No further change to Policy.

Policy being appraised: HSG6 Wynyard Housing Developments

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change:

MM057 - Inclusion of concept diagram.

MM058 – additional wording to include detail on trigger points.

MM059 – remove reserved matters and insert planning strict is also crossed out.

MM060 – bullet point 1 additional text added relation to suitable alternative play provision.

MM061 – additional wording to criterion 4 to ensure the development of necessary community facilities.

MM062 - New criterion 9 to reference concept plan.

MM063 - Slight wording change.

Sustainability	A mayorical oritoria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				Addition of the criteria strengthens the requirement to provide the necessary community facilities however overall doesn't improved to outcome of the objective to result in a double positive.

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change:

MM057 – Inclusion of concept diagram.

MM058 – additional wording to include detail on trigger points.

MM059 – remove reserved matters and insert planning strict is also crossed out.

MM060 – bullet point 1 additional text added relation to suitable alternative play provision.

MM061 - additional wording to criterion 4 to ensure the development of necessary community facilities.

MM062 – New criterion 9 to reference concept plan.

MM063 – Slight wording change.

Sustainability		Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	1. Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? 2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				Additional wording strengthens the requirement for the infrastructure of the Primary School however overall doesn't improved to outcome of the objective to result in a double positive
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				Addition of the criteria strengthens the requirement to provide the necessary community facilities however overall doesn't improved to outcome of the objective to result in a double positive.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities? 2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? 3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? 4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? 5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	1. Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? 3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change:

MM057 – Inclusion of concept diagram.

MM058 – additional wording to include detail on trigger points.

MM059 – remove reserved matters and insert planning strict is also crossed out.

MM060 – bullet point 1 additional text added relation to suitable alternative play provision.

MM061 - additional wording to criterion 4 to ensure the development of necessary community facilities.

MM062 – New criterion 9 to reference concept plan.

MM063 – Slight wording change.

MM063 – Slight word Sustainability appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/	
		ST	MT	LT	explanation	
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that 				No change from Publication SA	
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				Policy now includes a concept diagram which gives certainty to the planned development however overall doesn't improved to outcome of the objective to result in a double positive.	
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA	
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? 				No change from Publication SA	

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change:

MM057 – Inclusion of concept diagram.

MM058 – additional wording to include detail on trigger points.

MM059 – remove reserved matters and insert planning strict is also crossed out.

MM060 – bullet point 1 additional text added relation to suitable alternative play provision.

MM061 - additional wording to criterion 4 to ensure the development of necessary community facilities.

MM062 – New criterion 9 to reference concept plan.

MM063 – Slight wording change.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	escale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
	6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local				
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	flooding? 1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				Addition of the criteria strengthens the requirement to provide the necessary community facilities however overall doesn't improved to outcome of the objective to result in a double positive.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources? 				No change from Publication SA
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key change:

MM057 – Inclusion of concept diagram.

MM058 – additional wording to include detail on trigger points.

MM059 – remove reserved matters and insert planning strict is also crossed out.

MM060 - bullet point 1 additional text added relation to suitable alternative play provision.

MM061 - additional wording to criterion 4 to ensure the development of necessary community facilities.

MM062 – New criterion 9 to reference concept plan.

MM063 – Slight wording change.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisai ciliella	ST	MT	LT	explanation
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcomes of Objectives 1 (Economy), 2 (Education & Skills), 3 (Health), 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 10 (Liveability and Place), they do not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: Hsg7 Elwick Village Housing Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM064 – removal of 'strict' from policy and clarity on the setting of heritage assets.

MM065 - Additional criterion to detail contributions to the grade separated junction and bypass.

Sustainability	A managinal publication	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives			MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	1. Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? 2. Will it encourage new start business? 3. Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? 4. Will it diversify the local economy? 5. Will it diversify the rural economy? 6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? 7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	1. Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? 2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	1. Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? 2. Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? 3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? 5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security.	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: Hsg7 Elwick Village Housing Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM064 – removal of 'strict' from policy and clarity on the setting of heritage assets.

MM065 – Additional criterion to detail contributions to the grade separated junction and bypass.

Sustainability	A waveled added	Timescale		Commemary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all 				
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	areas? 1. Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? 3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				Modification provides further clarity on funding of the bypass and grade separated junction.
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive,				The policy strengthens the wording in relation to the conservation of heritage assets however overall there will still be a negative impact on the natural environment.

Policy being appraised: Hsg7 Elwick Village Housing Development
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM064 – removal of 'strict' from policy and clarity on the setting of heritage assets.

MM065 – Additional criterion to detail contributions to the grade separated junction and bypass.

Sustainability		Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
	flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within				
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA
v. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA
0. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain iveable places, promoting sustainable ifestyles and social cohesion.	1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA
1. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA
2. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: Hsg7 Elwick Village Housing Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM064 – removal of 'strict' from policy and clarity on the setting of heritage assets.

MM065 - Additional criterion to detail contributions to the grade separated junction and bypass.

Sustainability		Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria		MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcomes of Objectives 6 (Transport) and 7 (Built and Natural Environment), they do not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: Hsg8 - Hart Village Housing Developments

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM066 – removal of 'strict' from policy

MM067 – Additional text to ensure the policy stipulates a landscape buffer.

Sustainability	Timescale		Commentary/		
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: Hsg8 - Hart Village Housing Developments
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM066 - removal of 'strict' from policy
MM067 - Additional text to ensure the policy stipulates a landscape buffer.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				The modifications required additional landscape butter within the development; whilst this strengthens the outcome in relation to this objection it does not justify a double positive.
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and	Will the plan enhance the quality,	+	+	+	Additional requirement

Policy being appraised: Hsg8 - Hart Village Housing Developments

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM066 - removal of 'strict' from policy

MM067 - Additional text to ensure the policy stipulates a landscape buffer.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria Timescale		Timescale		Commentary/
objectives	другизиі спіспи	ST	MT	LT	explanation
Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				improved the outcome against this objective.
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 6. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 7. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 8. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 9. Will it increase community cohesion? 10. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy	Will it minimise energy use through				No change from

Policy being appraised: Hsg8 - Hart Village Housing Developments

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM066 – removal of 'strict' from policy

MM067 – Additional text to ensure the policy stipulates a landscape buffer.

Sustainability	A security of a side size	Timescale			Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST MT		LT	explanation	
Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				Publication SA	
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA	
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA	
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA	

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy resulting in a positive outcome in relation to Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: EMP3 – General Employment Land

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM073 – additional text at the end of the policy to draw reference to all other policies in the plan, in particular NE1

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria		cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives			MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: EMP3 – General Employment Land
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM073 – additional text at the end of the policy to draw reference to all other policies in the plan, in particular NE1.

	I text at	the end of the policy to draw reference to all	other p	olicies	in the	plan, in particular NE1.
Sustainability			Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal	Appro	aisal criteria	ST MT LT			explanation
objectives	-	Will it alive wife the arm wall and a page (2)	31	74/1		•
	5. 6.	Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of				
	0.	town and local centres?				
	7.	Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				
2. Education and	, ·	THE IT TO GOOD OF GODING HOTE				No change from
Skills.						Publication SA
To enable all	1.	Will it contribute to the development of new				
children and		and improved education facilities?				
young people to	2.	Will it encourage lifelong learning and				
achieve their full		training to meet the workforce needs of				
potential and to		local contractors and other major				
maximise the		employers from local sources?				
education and skills levels of	3.	Will it increase the levels of attainment and				
Hartlepool		participation in education?				
Residents.						
	1.	Will it improve access to public services and			+	No change from
	1	health facilities?				Publication SA
3. Health.	2.	Will it provide opportunities to promote				
To improve the		healthier lifestyles?				
health and well-	3.	Will it provide local play provision, parks and				
being of the		quality green space and increase access to				
Hartlepool		the countryside?				
community.	4.	Will it promote the use of existing facilities				
,	_	and open-air recreation?				
	5.	Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				
	1.	Will it create safer and cleaner				No change from
	''	communities?				Publication SA
4. Safety and	2.	Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				
Security. To create safer		anti-social behaviour?				
and cleaner	3.	Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe				
community,		in the event of a fire?				
reducing crime	4.	Will it contribute to maintaining and				
and anti-social	5.	keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and				
behaviour.	٥.	allow communities to safely access all				
		areas?				
	1.	Will it promote the re-use of previously	1			No change from
		developed land?				Publication SA
	2.	Will it help to ensure the balance of supply				
		and demand in the housing stock is met in				
		sustainable locations?				
5. Housing.	3.	Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool				
To ensure		residents have access to a choice of good				
Hartlepool		quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and				
residents have		aspirations?				
access to	4.	Will it encourage improvements in homes to				
decent, good	"	meet and exceed the 'decent homes				
quality, affordable		standard'?				
homes.	5.	Will it provide increased access to open				
HOITIGS.		space for residents within Hartlepool?				
	6.	Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	_	people?				
	7.	Will it encourage high quality design and				
		sufficient open space in new				
		developments?	1		1	

Policy being appraised: EMP3 – General Employment Land
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM073 – additional	text at the end of the police	v to draw reference to all other	policies in the plan, in particular NE1.

Sustainability	I text at the end of the policy to draw reference to all		scale	, iii iiie	Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA	
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				The modification strengthens the policy in relation to the natural environment, however overall doesn't improved to outcome of the objective to result in a double positive.	
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA	
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: EMP3 – General Employment Land
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MAMOZ3, additional tout at the anal of the resident in the control of the resident in the resident

MM0/3 – additional	il text at the end of the	policy to draw reference to	oall other policies in the	plan, in particular NET.

Sustainability			Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal	Appr	isal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
objectives	1.	Will it improve accessibility and quality of	J.	7411		No change from
	'.	key services and facilities and improve				Publication SA
0. Liveability and		access to jobs?				. 5555611071
Place. To create	2.	Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for				
and sustain	۷.	local people?				
liveable places,	3.	Will it improve access to culture, leisure and				
promoting	٥.	recreational activities?				
sustainable	4.	Will it create and sustain a vibrant and				
lifestyles and	٦.	diverse community and promote a sense of				
social cohesion.		place?				
	5.	Will it promote social cohesion?				
	1.	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle		+		No change from
	١.	worklessness?				Publication SA
1. Equity,	2.	Will it help to reduce deprivation and				1 oblication 3A
Diversity, Equality	۷.	ensure no group of people are				
and		disadvantaged?				
Participation- To	3.	Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive				
promote strong	٥.	communities?	1			
and inclusive	1	Will it increase community cohesion?				
communities	4.					
	5.	Will it create community ownership,	1			
O Engrava	+	participation and engagement?	-	-	-	No obsesse for the
2. Energy						No change from
Efficiency and	1.	Will it minimise energy use through	1			Publication SA
Natural		sustainable, efficient and effective use of	1			
Resources. To		buildings and land?	1			
minimise energy	2.	Will it support or promote the increasing use	1			
use and support	1	of renewable energy resources in	1			
renewable		environmentally acceptable locations?				
energy .	3.	Will it reduce demand for natural resources?	1			
production and	4.	Will it encourage the prudent and efficient				
encourage the		use of natural resources?				
prudent use of						
natural resources.						
	1.	Will it minimise the generation of household				No change from
13. Waste. To		and commercial waste?				Publication SA
minimise the	2.	Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close				
production of		to the source as feasible?				
waste and to	3.	Will it maximise the opportunities for				
maximise		recycling waste materials?				
opportunities for	4.	Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a	1			
recycling.		sustainable manner?				
iecyciii ig.	5.	Does it make provision for an adequate	1			
		supply of minerals?	<u> </u>			
	1.	Will it encourage prudent use of natural				No change from
		resources?	1			Publication SA
4. Climate	2.	Will it lead to a reduction in CO ₂ e emissions?				
Change. To	3.	Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation	1			
address the		to climate change?				
causes of climate	4.	Will it increase emphasis on the issue of	1			
change and	"	climate change and global warming				
minimise		effects, such as rising sea levels and the	1			
emissions of		impact of additional development?	1			
greenhouse	5.	Will it ensure that flood management takes				
gasses.] .	a sustainable approach?	1			
g 2.3303.	6.	Will it reduce the risk of flooding?				
	7.	Will it tackle global sustainability issues?				
5. Futurity. To	/ ·	THE IT TO CALC GLODGE SUSTAIL TO DILLY 1550 634	1	+	-	No change from
ensure that			1			Publication SA
						FUDIICUIION 3A
development	1	Will its outcomes be detrined to factoring	1			
that meets the	1.	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future				
needs of today		generations?				
should not restrict	2.	Will it restrict the choices of future	1			
choices and		generations?				
opportunities for			1			
future			1			
generations	1		1		1	

Policy being appraised: EMP3 – General Employment Land Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017 Key changes: MM073 - additional text at the end of the policy to draw reference to all other policies in the plan, in particular NE1. Sustainability Timescale Commentary/ Appraisal criteria appraisal explanation ST MT LT objectives The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the Conclusions: outcome of Objective 7 (Built and Natural Environment), however it does not change the outcome of the previous SA. Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: EMP4 Specialist Industries

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM075 – reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appreciant orthogra	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria		MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: EMP4 Specialist Industries

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM075 – reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability		d risk can be managed.	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria		ST	MT	LT	explanation
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and	ST	MT	LT	No change from Publication SA
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	sufficient open space in new developments? Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: EMP4 Specialist Industries

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM075 – reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability			Times	scale		Commentary/	
appraisal	Appraisal criteria		ST MT LT			explanation	
objectives B. Biodiversity and			J.	7411	-	•	
Geodiversity. To	1.	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of				No change from Publication SA	
orotect and		Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool?				1 Oblication 3A	
enhance the	2.	Will it improve access to these nature					
oiodiversity and		conservation sites?					
geodiversity of	3.	Will it protect habitats and priority species?					
the natural	4.	Will it improve or enhance ecological					
environment.		networks.					
GIITHOIHIIGHI.	1.	Will it help to achieve sustainable use of	1	+	-	The modification gives a	
. Water, Air and	'-	water resources?				clear direction within the	
Soil Pollution. To	2.	Will it protect or improve and monitor local				policy in relation to flood	
mprove and or	۷.	air quality?				risk, this has a positive	
retain the quality	3.	Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil				impact on the outcome	
of watercourses,	٥.	and water pollution?				of this objective.	
air quality and	4.	Will it protect or improve the quality of	0	0	0	or mis objective.	
soil quality. To	-	controlled waters?					
achieve	5.	Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal					
sustainable use of	J.	defences?					
water resources.	6.	Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local					
walei 163001663.	0.	flooding?					
	1.	Will it improve accessibility and quality of		+		No change from	
	''	key services and facilities and improve				Publication SA	
Liveability and		access to jobs?				1 oblication of	
Place. To create	2.	Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for					
and sustain		local people?					
iveable places,	3.	Will it improve access to culture, leisure and					
oromoting	J .	recreational activities?					
sustainable	4.	Will it create and sustain a vibrant and					
lifestyles and		diverse community and promote a sense of					
social cohesion.		place?					
	5.	Will it promote social cohesion?					
	1.	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle		1		No change from	
1 Earribe		worklessness?				Publication SA	
1. Equity,	2.	Will it help to reduce deprivation and					
Diversity, Equality		ensure no group of people are					
and Bartisia ation To		disadvantaged?					
Participation- To	3.	Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive					
promote strong		communities?					
and inclusive	4.	Will it increase community cohesion?					
communities	5.	Will it create community ownership,					
		participation and engagement?					
2. Energy						No change from	
Efficiency and	1.	Will it minimise energy use through				Publication SA	
Natural	'-	sustainable, efficient and effective use of					
Resources. To		buildings and land?					
minimise energy	2.	Will it support or promote the increasing use					
use and support	۷.	of renewable energy resources in					
renewable		environmentally acceptable locations?					
energy	3.	Will it reduce demand for natural resources?					
oroduction and	3. 4.	Will it encourage the prudent and efficient					
encourage the	4.	use of natural resources?					
orudent use of		030 01 1101010110300110034					
natural resources.							
	1.	Will it minimise the generation of household				No change from	
3. Waste. To		and commercial waste?				Publication SA	
minimise the	2.	Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close					
production of		to the source as feasible?					
waste and to	3.	Will it maximise the opportunities for					
maximise		recycling waste materials?					
opportunities for	4.	Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a					
ecycling.		sustainable manner?					
ecyciii ig.	5.	Does it make provision for an adequate					
		supply of minerals?					

Policy being appraised: EMP4 Specialist Industries

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM075 – reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A		Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appr	aisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO2e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues?				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	1.	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The changes to the Policy have had a positive impact on Objectives 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to Policy.

Policy being appraised: EMP5 Safeguarded land for new Nuclear Power Station

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM076 - reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Account and anti-order	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	1. Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? 2. Will it encourage new start business? 3. Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? 4. Will it diversify the local economy? 5. Will it diversify the rural economy? 6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? 7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: EMP5 Safeguarded land for new Nuclear Power Station

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM076 - reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	at flood risk can be managed.	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
3. Health.	Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote				No change from Publication SA
To improve the health and well-being of the	healthier lifestyles? 3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to				
Hartlepool community.	the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation?				
	5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from
4. Safety and Security.	Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour?				Publication SA
To create safer and cleaner community,	3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire?				
reducing crime and anti-social	Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas?				
behaviour.	Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? Will it promote the re-use of previously				No change from
	developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations?				Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool	 Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across 				
residents have access to	tenures that meets their needs and aspirations?				
decent, good quality, affordable	4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'?				
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool?6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	people?7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				
	Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care?				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport. To	Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel?				
help develop high quality,	3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes?				
integrated, accessible and	4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling?				
safe transport system.	Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more				
	6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network?7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that				
7. Built and	contribute to climate change? 1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's				No change from Publication SA
Natural Environment. To protect and	landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development				
enhance the quality and local	encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside.				
distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and	Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic				
historic environment.	parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets				

Policy being appraised: EMP5 Safeguarded land for new Nuclear Power Station

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM076 - reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
	 and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 				
B. Biodiversity and	9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 1. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				No change from
Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk, this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: EMP5 Safeguarded land for new Nuclear Power Station

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM076 - reference that development of essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A support of arthuring	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The changes to the Policy have had a positive impact on Objectives 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to Policy.

Policy being appraised: EMP6 Underground Storage

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM077 - reference drawn to flat roofs to replicate Any habitat loss

MM078 – reference to toxic storage and they it will not be permitted and essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and can be mitigated against.

MM079 – Reference to access being from the portion of Greenabella Marsh that has no Natural Environment allocation.

Sustainability	A		Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appi	aisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and	1.	Will it contribute to the development of new				No change from
Skills. To enable all	2.	and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and				Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM077 – reference drawn to flat roofs to replicate Any habitat loss

MM078 - reference to toxic storage and they it will not be permitted and essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and can be mitigated against. MM079 – Reference to access being from the portion of Greenabella Marsh that has no Natural Environment allocation.

Sustainability	cess being delineated on the Policies Map	Times	cale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?					
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA	
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA	
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA	

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM077 – reference drawn to flat roofs to replicate Any habitat loss

MM078 - reference to toxic storage and they it will not be permitted and essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and can be mitigated against. MM079 – Reference to access being from the portion of Greenabella Marsh that has no Natural Environment allocation.

Sustainability		ess being delineated on the Policies Map	Time	scale		Commentary/ explanation	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal	criteria	ST	MT	LT		
objectives	1. Will	it reduce the transport barriers to	31	7411		No change from	
		essing employment, education and				Publication SA	
		ning and health care?					
		it support the location of new					
	dev	relopment and provision of services the	at				
6. Transport. To		uces the need to travel?					
help develop		it reduce the incidence and severity o	f				
high quality,		sonal injury road crashes?					
integrated,		it increase personal safety and securit	/				
accessible and		st travelling?					
safe transport		it encourage more sustainable modes avel, especially in urban areas?					
system.		it maintain, improve and make more					
		cient use of the existing transport					
		work?					
	7. Will	it control and maintain local air qualit	,				
		I seek to reduce transport emissions th					
	cor	tribute to climate change?					
		the plan enhance the quality,				The modifications	
		racter and local distinctiveness of the				strengthen the policy in	
		a's landscapes, open space,				relation to the outcome	
		nscapes, streetscapes, countryside ar	a			of this objective although	
		ıstline? it prevent urban development				not significantly to change to a double	
		roaching and/or occurring in the				positive.	
		intryside.				positive.	
7. Built and		it enhance the quality, character and					
Natural		ing of Hartlepool's designated					
Environment. To		nservation Areas, Listed Buildings, histor	ic				
protect and enhance the	par	ks, gardens, scheduled ancient					
quality and local		numents, none designated heritage					
distinctiveness of		ets and areas of archaeological intere					
Hartlepool's rural,		it enhance or increase access to thes)				
urban and		ural and cultural assets?					
historic		it be of detriment to surrounding					
environment.		dscape and open space?					
		it help to ensure that the physical ironment is attractive, responsive,					
		ble and sustainable?					
		it encourage high quality design?					
		it provide sufficient open space in nev	,				
		relopments?					
		it improve Green Infrastructure within					
	Har	tlepool and adjacent Boroughs?					
8. Biodiversity and	1. Will	it preserve or enhance the quality of				The modifications	
Geodiversity. To		ure Conservation Sites within Hartlepo	ρlŝ			strengthen the policy in	
protect and	2. Will	it improve access to these nature				relation to the outcome	
enhance the biodiversity and		servation sites?				of this objective although not significantly to	
geodiversity of		it protect habitats and priority species	Ş			change to a double	
the natural		it improve or enhance ecological				positive.	
environment.	net	works.					
9. Water, Air and	1. Will	it help to achieve sustainable use of				The modifications	
9. water, Air and Soil Pollution. To	wat	er resources?				strengthen the policy in	
improve and or		it protect or improve and monitor loca	ıl			relation to the outcome	
retain the quality		quality?				of this objective although	
of watercourses,		it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, se	oil			not significantly to	
air quality and		I water pollution?				change to a double	
soil quality. To		it protect or improve the quality of				positive.	
achieve		itrolled waters?	- I				
sustainable use of		it improve infrastructure such as coast ences?	וג				
303101110010 030 01							

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM077 – reference drawn to flat roofs to replicate Any habitat loss

MM078 - reference to toxic storage and they it will not be permitted and essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and can be mitigated against. MM079 – Reference to access being from the portion of Greenabella Marsh that has no Natural Environment allocation.

Sustainability	cess being delineated on the Policies Map	Time	scale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
	flooding?					
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA	
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA	
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA	
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 	and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate				
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA	

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM077 – reference drawn to flat roofs to replicate Any habitat loss

MM078 – reference to toxic storage and they it will not be permitted and essential infrastructure must avoid high hazard unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and can be mitigated against.

MM079 – Reference to access being from the portion of Greenabella Marsh that has no Natural Environment allocation.

MM080 – link to access being delineated on the Policies Map

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria		cale		Commentary/	
objectives	Appluisui ciliellu	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA	

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment), 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution), however it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RUR4 Equestrian Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM084 – new bullet point added to ensure that proposals do not involve a significant, irreversible loss of best and most versatile land.

Sustainability	raisal Appraisal criteria		cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives			MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA
3. Health . To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner	Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RUR4 Equestrian Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM084 – new bullet point added to ensure that proposals do not involve a significant, irreversible loss of best and most versatile land.

Sustainability		Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	the event of a fire? 4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? 5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?				
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	1. Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? 3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RUR4 Equestrian Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM084 – new bullet point added to ensure that proposals do not involve a significant, irreversible loss of best and most versatile land.

Sustainability	ainability		scale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	МТ	LT	explanation	
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.		M		No change from Publication SA	
environment. P. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	1. Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? 3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? 4. Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? 5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? 6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding?	+	+	+	The modification protects the loss of best and most versatile agricultural land having a positive impact on soil pollution.	
0. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA	
Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA	
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA	
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: RUR4 Equestrian Development

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM084 – new bullet point added to ensure that proposals do not involve a significant, irreversible loss of best and most versatile land.

Sustainability	A november of arithmin	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC2 The Town Centre

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM086 - additional wording to add clarity on where late night/early morning businesses can operate.

MM087 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Amount and arthuring		cale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA	
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	1. Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? 2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: RC2 The Town Centre

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

5. Housing.

Hartlepool

access to decent, good

auality, affordable

homes.

residents have

help develop high quality,

accessible and

safe transport

integrated,

system.

urban and historic

environment.

To ensure

MM086 - additional wording to add clarity on where late night/early morning businesses can operate.

MM087 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

	essment and that flood risk can be managed.	ppono	a III I I C	70 d 201	
Sustainability			scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria				explanation
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	1. Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? 2. Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? 3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation?				No change from Publication SA

Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? Will it create safer and cleaner communities? No change from 4. Safety and Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and Publication SA Security. anti-social behaviour? To create safer Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in and cleaner

community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	2.	the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?
---	----	---

	developed land?
2.	Will it help to ensure the balance of supply
	and demand in the housing stock is met in
	sustainable locations?

3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to

Will it promote the re-use of previously

- meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open
- space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable
- 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? Will it reduce the transport barriers to

accessing employment, education and

- training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that 6. **Transport.** To reduces the need to travel?
 - 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security
 - whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more
 - efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that
- contribute to climate change? 7. Built and Will the plan enhance the quality, character **Natural** and local distinctiveness of the area's **Environment.** To landscapes, open space, townscapes, protect and streetscapes, countryside and coastline? enhance the 2. Will it prevent urban development quality and local encroaching and/or occurring in the distinctiveness of

countryside. Hartlepool's rural, Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient

No change from **Publication SA**

No change from

No change from

Publication SA

Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC2 The Town Centre

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM086 - additional wording to add clarity on where late night/early morning businesses can operate.

MM087 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

|--|

Sustainability appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
		ST	MT	LT	explanation
	monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 	+	+	+	The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC2 The Town Centre

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM086 - additional wording to add clarity on where late night/early morning businesses can operate.

MM087 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A recognitional entitle size	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations.	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? Permodifications strengthen the policy: there is a position.				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to Policy required.

Policy being appraised: RC5 The Brewery and Stranton Edge of Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM089 –reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	Amount of cities	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy . To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to	 Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC5 The Brewery and Stranton Edge of Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM089 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability		nent and that flood risk can be managed. Timescale		scale Commentary		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
achieve their full		local sources?				
potential and to	3.	Will it increase the levels of attainment and				
maximise the		participation in education?				
education and skills levels of						
Hartlepool						
Residents.	L			1		
	1.	Will it improve access to public services and				No change from
3. Health.	2.	health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote				Publication SA
To improve the	۷.	healthier lifestyles?				
health and well-	3.	Will it provide local play provision, parks and				
being of the		quality green space and increase access to				
Hartlepool	1	the countryside?				
community.	4.	Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation?				
	5.	Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?		1		
4. Safety and	1.	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from
Security.	2.	Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				Publication SA
To create safer	3.	anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in				
and cleaner	J.	the event of a fire?				
community, reducing crime	4.	Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping				
and anti-social	_	clean public areas?				
behaviour.	5.	Will it reduce the perception of crime and				
	1.	allow communities to safely access all areas? Will it promote the re-use of previously		1	+	No change from
	١.	developed land?				Publication SA
	2.	Will it help to ensure the balance of supply				
		and demand in the housing stock is met in				
5. Housing.	3.	sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents				
To ensure] ,	have access to a choice of good quality				
Hartlepool		housing in sustainable communities across				
residents have		tenures that meets their needs and				
access to decent, good	4.	aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to				
quality,		meet and exceed the 'decent homes				
affordable		standard'?				
homes.	5.	Will it provide increased access to open				
	6.	space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	0.	people?				
	7.	Will it encourage high quality design and				
	1	sufficient open space in new developments?				
	1.	Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and				No change from Publication SA
		training and health care?				1 ODIICUIIOTI 3A
	2.	Will it support the location of new				
		development and provision of services that				
6. Transport. To	2	reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and soverity of				
help develop high quality,	3.	Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes?				
integrated,	4.	Will it increase personal safety and security				
accessible and		whilst travelling?				
safe transport	5.	Will it encourage more sustainable modes of				
system.	6.	travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more				
	"	efficient use of the existing transport network?				
	7.	Will it control and maintain local air quality				
		and seek to reduce transport emissions that				
7. Built and	1.	contribute to climate change? Will the plan enhance the quality, character			+	No change from
Natural	'	and local distinctiveness of the area's				Publication SA
Environment. To		landscapes, open space, townscapes,				
protect and		streetscapes, countryside and coastline?				
enhance the	2.	Will it prevent urban development	<u> </u>			

Policy being appraised: RC5 The Brewery and Stranton Edge of Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM089 -reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 	+	+	+	The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC5 The Brewery and Stranton Edge of Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM089 –reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Ammunical orthogram	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	use of natural resources?				
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC7 Lynn Street Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM090 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	Assessment and auditority	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and	Will it contribute to the development of new				No change from
Skills.	and improved education facilities?				Publication SA
To enable all	2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training				

Policy being appraised: RC7 Lynn Street Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM090 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A paraisal eviteria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of	to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				
Hartlepool Residents.					
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To	Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes,				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC7 Lynn Street Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM090 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	essment and that flood risk can be managed.	Times	calc		
appraisal	Appraisal criteria		1	Τ	Commentary/ explanation
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 	+	+	+	The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support	Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC7 Lynn Street Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM090 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	scale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation	
renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?					
3. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA	
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA	
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA	

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC11 York Road South Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM091 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	praisal Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC11 York Road South Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM091 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	essment and that flood risk can be managed.	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
objectives 2. Education and		31	///	LI	No change from
Skills.					Publication SA
To enable all	Will it contribute to the development of new				T delication of t
children and	and improved education facilities?				
young people to	2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training				
achieve their full	to meet the workforce needs of local				
potential and to maximise the	contractors and other major employers from local sources?				
education and	3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and				
skills levels of	participation in education?				
Hartlepool					
Residents.					
	Will it improve access to public services and health facilities?				No change from Publication SA
3. Health.	Will it provide opportunities to promote				1 Oblication 3A
To improve the	healthier lifestyles?				
health and well-	3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and				
being of the	quality green space and increase access to				
Hartlepool community.	the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and				
Commonly.	open-air recreation?				
	5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				
4. Safety and	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from
Security.	2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				Publication SA
To create safer	anti-social behaviour?3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in				
and cleaner	the event of a fire?				
community,	4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping				
reducing crime and anti-social	clean public areas?				
behaviour.	5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and				
	allow communities to safely access all areas? 1. Will it promote the re-use of previously		+		No change from
	developed land?				Publication SA
	2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply				
	and demand in the housing stock is met in				
5. H	sustainable locations?				
5. Housing. To ensure	3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality				
Hartlepool	housing in sustainable communities across				
residents have	tenures that meets their needs and				
access to	aspirations?				
decent, good	4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to				
quality, affordable	meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'?				
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open				
	space for residents within Hartlepool?				
	6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	people?				
	7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				
	Will it reduce the transport barriers to				No change from
	accessing employment, education and				Publication SA
	training and health care?				
	2. Will it support the location of new				
6. Transport. To	development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel?				
help develop	3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of				
high quality,	personal injury road crashes?				
integrated,	4. Will it increase personal safety and security				
accessible and	whilst travelling?				
safe transport	5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of				
system.	travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more				
	efficient use of the existing transport network?				
	7. Will it control and maintain local air quality				
	and seek to reduce transport emissions that				
	contribute to climate change?				

Policy being appraised: RC11 York Road South Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM091 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

by a Flood Risk Asse Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	scale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation	
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 	ST	MT	LT .	No change from Publication SA	
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA	
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 	+	+	+	The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.	
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA	
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities 12. Energy	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 1. Will it minimise energy use through				No change from Publication SA No change from	
Efficiency and Natural	sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land?				Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: RC11 York Road South Edge of Town Centre Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM091 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				
13. Waste . To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it lead to a reduction and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC12 The Marina Retail and Leisure Park

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM094 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar

MM095 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Amountant outboxing		cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs?				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM094 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public

awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar

MM095 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability appraisal objectives	Appraisal critoria	Time	scale		Commentary/
	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
,	4. Will it diversify the local economy?				
	5. Will it diversify the rural economy?				
	6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town				
	and local centres?				
2. Education and	7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?	+			No change from
Skills.					Publication SA
To enable all	Will it contribute to the development of new				1 delication (
children and	and improved education facilities?				
young people to	2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training				
achieve their full	to meet the workforce needs of local				
potential and to	contractors and other major employers from				
maximise the education and	local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and				
skills levels of	3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				
Hartlepool	panicipation in education				
Residents.					
	Will it improve access to public services and				No change from
	health facilities?				Publication SA
3. Health.	2. Will it provide opportunities to promote				
To improve the	healthier lifestyles?				
health and well- being of the	3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to				
Hartlepool	the countryside?				
community.	4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and				
	open-air recreation?				
	5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				
4. Safety and	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from
Security.	2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				Publication SA
To create safer	anti-social behaviour? 3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in				
and cleaner	3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire?				
community,	4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping				
reducing crime	clean public areas?				
and anti-social behaviour.	5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and				
Deliavioui.	allow communities to safely access all areas?				
	Will it promote the re-use of previously				No change from
	developed land?				Publication SA
	Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in				
	sustainable locations?				
5. Housing.	3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents				
To ensure	have access to a choice of good quality				
Hartlepool	housing in sustainable communities across				
residents have	tenures that meets their needs and				
access to	aspirations?				
decent, good quality,	Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes				
affordable	standard'?				
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open				
	space for residents within Hartlepool?				
	6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	people?				
	7. Will it encourage high quality design and				
	sufficient open space in new developments?				

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM094 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public

awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar

MM095 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal critoria	Timescale			Commentary/	
objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA	
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within 				Modification to include provision of interpretation will have a positive impact on the outcome of this objective in relation to access to the natural environment, although this does not change the overall outcome of the objective to a double positive.	
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA	
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective although this does not change the overall outcome of the objective to a double positive.	

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM094 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public

awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar

MM095 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources? 				No change from Publication SA
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it lead to a reduction and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM094 - reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public

awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar

MM095 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability		Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Approiso chieno	ST	MT	LT	explanation

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC14 – Trincomalee Wharf Retail and Leisure Park

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM096 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar.

MM097 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives		ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC14 – Trincomalee Wharf Retail and Leisure Park

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM096 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar.

MM097 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.							
Sustainability	A manufact authoris	Time	scale		Commentary/		
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation		
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and 				No change from Publication SA		
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	sufficient open space in new developments? 1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA		
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				Modification to include provision of interpretation will have a positive impact on the outcome of this objective in relation to access to the natural environment, although this does not change the overall outcome of the objective to a double positive.		

Policy being appraised: RC14 – Trincomalee Wharf Retail and Leisure Park

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM096 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar.

MM097 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	A consist of adjusts	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological 				No change from Publication SA
environment. P. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	networks. 1. Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? 3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? 4. Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? 5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? 6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding?				The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective although this does not change the overall outcome of the objective to a double positive.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC14 – Trincomalee Wharf Retail and Leisure Park

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM096 – reference drawn to the provision of interpretation boards to assist in improving public awareness in relation to the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coat SPA and Ramsar.

MM097 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A secretary authoriza	Times	Timescale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC16 The Local Centres

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM098 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Assessment address	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy . To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: RC16 The Local Centres

Appraises: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM098 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	essment and that flood risk can be managed.	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria		explanation		
3. Health.	Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote				No change from Publication SA
To improve the health and well-	healthier lifestyles? 3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and				
being of the Hartlepool community.	quality green space and increase access to the countryside? 4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and				
	open-air recreation? 5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				No alegan per frage
4. Safety and Security.	Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour?				No change from Publication SA
To create safer and cleaner community,	3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? 4. Will the aptition to presidents a good to a piece.				
reducing crime and anti-social	Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and				
behaviour.	allow communities to safely access all areas? 1. Will it promote the re-use of previously				No change from
	developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations?				Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool	Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across				
residents have access to decent, good	tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to				
quality, affordable	meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'?				
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool?6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				
	Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care?				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport. To	Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel?				
help develop high quality,	Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes?				
integrated, accessible and safe transport	Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of				
system.	travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network?				
	7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? Output Description:				
7. Built and Natural	Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes,				No change from Publication SA
Environment. To protect and enhance the	streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the				
quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural,	countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated				
urban and historic environment.	Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets				

Policy being appraised: RC16 The Local Centres

Appraises: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM098 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appraisal evitoria	Times	cale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
	and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding					
	landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments?					
	Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?					
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 				No change from Publication SA	
. Water, Air and	Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources?				The modification gives a clear direction within the	
Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To	 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? 3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? 4. Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? 	+	+	+	policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.	
achieve sustainable use of water resources.	5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences?6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding?					
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places,	Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people?				No change from Publication SA	
promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities?4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place?					
1. Equity,	Will it promote social cohesion? Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness?				No change from Publication SA	
Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong	2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged?3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities?					
and inclusive communities	Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?					
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable	Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in				No change from Publication SA	
energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?					

Policy being appraised: RC16 The Local Centres

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM098 – reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	ssinem and mar nood isk cambe managed.	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC17 Late Night Uses Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017,

Key changes:

MM099 – amend operational hours from 7am to 2am, add shops to the list of permissible uses and set out that no businesses shall operate between the hours of 2am and 7am.

MM100 – delete immediate before Church Street area (grammatical correction)

MM101 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	A second order	Timescale			Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT LT		explanation	
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				Modification gives clarity on opening times, this does not impact the outcome of this objective.	
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: RC17 Late Night Uses Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017,

Key changes:

MM099 – amend operational hours from 7am to 2am, add shops to the list of permissible uses and set out that no businesses shall operate between the hours of 2am and 7am.

MM100 – delete immediate before Church Street area (grammatical correction)

MM101 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A converted authority	Timesco		e Commentary/	Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria		MT	LT	explanation
young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and	Will the plan enhance the quality, character				No change from

Policy being appraised: RC17 Late Night Uses Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017,

Key changes:

MM099 – amend operational hours from 7am to 2am, add shops to the list of permissible uses and set out that no businesses shall operate between the hours of 2am and 7am.

MM100 – delete immediate before Church Street area (grammatical correction)

MM101 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Appraisal critoria	Time	scale	Commentary	Commentary/
appraisai objectives	Appraisai criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
appraisal	and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments?			LT	<i>* '</i>
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	1. Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? 2. Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? 3. Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? 4. Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? 5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? 6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding?				The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective, given the comments of the SA assessment at Publication the outcome remains balances and therefore no change.
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy	Will it minimise energy use through				No change from

Policy being appraised: RC17 Late Night Uses Area

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017,

Key changes:

MM099 – amend operational hours from 7am to 2am, add shops to the list of permissible uses and set out that no businesses shall operate between the hours of 2am and 7am.

MM100 – delete immediate before Church Street area (grammatical correction)

MM101 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	ssmem and mar nood isk can be managed.	Time	Timescale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				Publication SA
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 1 (Economy) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: RC18 Hot Food Takeaway

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM102 – add in Museum Road/South Lauder Street sub area

 $\,$ MM103 – MM120 and MM123 – 126 – amend policy thresholds due to updated retail survey data and mathematical rounding up

MM121-122 - insert Owton Manor East and Owton Manor West into the policy

MM127 – reference made to allowing one takeaway in each of the villages and reference drawn stating that takeaways will not be permitted outside of village envelopes.

Will flot bo politilities	a conside of thiage entrolep	03.			
Sustainability	Appraisal criteria	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal obiectives	Appraisal Chiefia	ST	MT	LT	explanation

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM102 – add in Museum Road/South Lauder Street sub area

MM103 - MM120 and MM123 - 126 - amend policy thresholds due to updated retail survey data and mathematical rounding up

MM121-122 – insert Owton Manor East and Owton Manor West into the policy

MM127 – reference made to allowing one takeaway in each of the villages and reference drawn stating that takeaways will not be permitted outside of village envelopes.

Sustainability	d outside of village envelopes.	Timescale			
appraisal	Appraisal criteria			Т	Commentary/ explanation
objectives	3 Mellin	ST	MT	LT	
	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward				The modification to allow a hot food takeaway in
	investment companies?				the villages could have
1. Economy. To	Will it encourage new start business?				an positive impact on the
encourage	Will it provide a range of quality sustainable				rural economy, however
strong, diverse	iobs?				overall this does not
and stable	4. Will it diversify the local economy?				increase the outcome of
economy.	5. Will it diversify the rural economy?				this objective to a double
	6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town				positive.
	and local centres?				
	7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				
2. Education and Skills.					No change from Publication SA
To enable all	1 Will it contribute to the development of new				Publication 3A
children and	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities?				
young people to	Will it encourage lifelong learning and training				
achieve their full	to meet the workforce needs of local				
potential and to	contractors and other major employers from				
maximise the	local sources?				
education and	3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and				
skills levels of	participation in education?				
Hartlepool					
Residents.					
	Will it improve access to public services and The state for all the services.				No change from
2 11 0 0 11 10	health facilities?				Publication SA
3. Health. To improve the	Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles?				
health and well-	3. Will it provide local play provision, parks and				
being of the	quality green space and increase access to				
Hartlepool	the countryside?				
community.	4. Will it promote the use of existing facilities and				
	open-air recreation?				
	5. Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities?				
4. Safety and	1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from
Security.	2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and				Publication SA
To create safer	anti-social behaviour?				
and cleaner	3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in				
community,	the event of a fire?				
reducing crime	4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping				
and anti-social	clean public areas?				
behaviour.	5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?				
	Will it promote the re-use of previously		+	+	No change from
	developed land?				Publication SA
	2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply				
	and demand in the housing stock is met in				
	sustainable locations?				
5. Housing.	3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents				
To ensure	have access to a choice of good quality				
Hartlepool	housing in sustainable communities across				
residents have	tenures that meets their needs and				
access to	aspirations?				
decent, good	Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes'				
quality, affordable	standard'?				
homes.	5. Will it provide increased access to open				
HOIHES.	space for residents within Hartlepool?				
	6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	people?				
	7. Will it encourage high quality design and				
	sufficient open space in new developments?				
					· ·

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM102 – add in Museum Road/South Lauder Street sub area

MM103 - MM120 and MM123 - 126 - amend policy thresholds due to updated retail survey data and mathematical rounding up

MM121-122 – insert Owton Manor East and Owton Manor West into the policy

MM127 – reference made to allowing one takeaway in each of the villages and reference drawn stating that takeaways will not be permitted outside of village envelopes.

	d outside of village envelopes.	1			
Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Times	Commenday/		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisa chiena	ST	MT	LT	explanation
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality 				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within				No change from Publication SA
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM102 – add in Museum Road/South Lauder Street sub area

MM103 - MM120 and MM123 - 126 - amend policy thresholds due to updated retail survey data and mathematical rounding up

MM121-122 – insert Owton Manor East and Owton Manor West into the policy

MM127 – reference made to allowing one takeaway in each of the villages and reference drawn stating that takeaways will not be permitted outside of village envelopes.

Sustainability	Account at attacts	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
O. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain veable places, promoting ustainable festyles and ocial cohesion.	Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? Will it promote social inclusion and tackle	31	MI		No change from Publication SA No change from
1. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				Publication SA
2. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources? 				No change from Publication SA
3. Waste . To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM102 – add in Museum Road/South Lauder Street sub area

 $\,$ MM103 – MM120 and MM123 – 126 – amend policy thresholds due to updated retail survey data and mathematical rounding up

MM121-122 – insert Owton Manor East and Owton Manor West into the policy

MM127 – reference made to allowing one takeaway in each of the villages and reference drawn stating that takeaways will not be permitted outside of village envelopes.

Sustainability appraisal	Appraisal criteria	Timescale			Commentary/
objectives	Appluisui ciliellu	ST	MT	LT	explanation
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The in relation to allowing a hot food takeaway in the village has a positive impact in relation to the rural economy, overall this does not change the outcome of Objective 1 (Economy) from the previous assessment.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: LT1 - Leisure and Tourism

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM128 – insert Mill House Edge of Town Centre Area

MM129 – rewording of policy to place onus on protecting and enhancing Seaton Carew Conservation Area not just protecting it.

MM130 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability appraisal	A position of the first control of the first contro	Times	cale		Commentary/
objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	1. Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? 2. Will it encourage new start business? 3. Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? 4. Will it diversify the local economy? 5. Will it diversify the rural economy? 6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? 7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	1. Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? 2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: LT1 - Leisure and Tourism

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM128 – insert Mill House Edge of Town Centre Area

MM129 – rewording of policy to place onus on protecting and enhancing Seaton Carew Conservation Area not just protecting it.

MM130 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	ssme	ent and that flood risk can be managed.	Tires	- ml -		
appraisal	Appraisal criteria		Times	1	1,-	Commentary/ explanation
objectives			ST	MT	LT	·
4. Safety and	1. 2.	Will it create safer and cleaner communities?				No change from Publication SA
Security.	۷.	Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour?				Publication 3A
To create safer	3.	Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in				
and cleaner	٥.	the event of a fire?				
community,	4.	Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping				
reducing crime		clean public areas?				
and anti-social behaviour.	5.	Will it reduce the perception of crime and				
benaviour.		allow communities to safely access all areas?				
	1.	Will it promote the re-use of previously				No change from
		developed land?				Publication SA
	2.	Will it help to ensure the balance of supply				
		and demand in the housing stock is met in				
E Hausing	2	sustainable locations?				
5. Housing. To ensure	3.	Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality				
Hartlepool		housing in sustainable communities across				
residents have		tenures that meets their needs and				
access to		aspirations?				
decent, good	4.	Will it encourage improvements in homes to				
quality,		meet and exceed the 'decent homes				
affordable		standard'?				
homes.	5.	Will it provide increased access to open				
		space for residents within Hartlepool?				
	6.	Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable				
	_	people?				
	7.	Will it encourage high quality design and				
	1.	sufficient open space in new developments? Will it reduce the transport barriers to				No change from
	١.	accessing employment, education and				Publication SA
		training and health care?				1 delication of t
	2.	Will it support the location of new				
		development and provision of services that				
6. Transport. To		reduces the need to travel?				
help develop	3.	Will it reduce the incidence and severity of				
high quality,		personal injury road crashes?				
integrated,	4.	Will it increase personal safety and security				
accessible and	5.	whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of				
safe transport system.	٥.	travel, especially in urban areas?				
3,310111.	6.	Will it maintain, improve and make more				
	0.	efficient use of the existing transport network?				
	7.	Will it control and maintain local air quality				
		and seek to reduce transport emissions that				
	<u> </u>	contribute to climate change?				
	1.	Will the plan enhance the quality, character				Modification strengthens
		and local distinctiveness of the area's	1			wording of the policy in
	1	landscapes, open space, townscapes,				relation to the
7. Built and	2.	streetscapes, countryside and coastline?				enhancement of the historic environment,
Natural	۷.	Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the	1			whilst positive this does
Environment. To		countryside.	1			not increase the
protect and	3.	Will it enhance the quality, character and	1			outcome of this objective
enhance the		setting of Hartlepool's designated	1			to a double positive.
quality and local		Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic	1			·
distinctiveness of		parks, gardens, scheduled ancient	1			
Hartlepool's rural,		monuments, none designated heritage assets	1			
urban and	1	and areas of archaeological interest?				
historic	4.	Will it enhance or increase access to these				
environment.	_	natural and cultural assets?				
	5.	Will it be of detriment to surrounding				
	6.	landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical				
	0.	environment is attractive, responsive, flexible	1			
L	1	опунонным антастус, гозроныхс, нехиме	1	1		

Policy being appraised: LT1 - Leisure and Tourism

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM128 – insert Mill House Edge of Town Centre Area

MM129 – rewording of policy to place onus on protecting and enhancing Seaton Carew Conservation Area not just protecting it.

MM130 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	A page of a start of	Time	nescale Comment		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of	and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species?				No change from Publication SA
the natural environment.	Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				The good of Good Constitution of the Constitut
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local 				The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective, given the comments of the SA assessment at Publication the outcome remains balances and therefore no change.
	flooding? 1. Will it improve accessibility and quality of key				No change from
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	services and facilities and improve access to jobs? 2. Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? 4. Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? 5. Will it promote social cohesion?				Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: LT1 - Leisure and Tourism

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM128 - insert Mill House Edge of Town Centre Area

MM129 – rewording of policy to place onus on protecting and enhancing Seaton Carew Conservation Area not just protecting it.

MM130 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A possional evidencia	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? Odifications strengthen the policy however whilst positions.				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcome of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution) it does not change the outcome of the previous SA.

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: LT2 Tourism Development in the Marina

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM131 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported

Sustainability	Amount of others	Timescale			Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA	
Education and Skills.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities?				No change from Publication SA	
To enable all children and	Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of					

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM131 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	Assessment and address	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities? 2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? 3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? 4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? 5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	1. Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? 2. Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? 3. Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? 4. Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? 5. Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? 6. Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? 7. Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments?				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM131 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

	by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed. Sustainability Timescale						
appraisal	Appraisal criteria			1	Commentary/ explanation		
objectives		ST	MT	LT	<u> </u>		
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA		
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				No change from Publication SA		
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA		
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 	+	+	+	The modification gives a clear direction within the policy in relation to flood risk; this has a positive impact on the outcome of this objective.		

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM131 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A provided attacks	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	 Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? Will it reduce demand for natural resources? Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources? 				No change from Publication SA
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	 Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? 				No change from Publication SA

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM131 - reference that 'more vulnerable' development would not be supported in Flood Zone 2 and 3 unless supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and that flood risk can be managed.

Sustainability	A parallel evitoria	Tim	escale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation

Conclusions: The modifications strengthen the policy; there is a positive change in relation to the outcome of Objective 9 (Water, Air and Soil Pollution).

Recommendation: No further change to the Policy.

Policy being appraised: LT5

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM132 – Reference to Planning Obligations, to manage recreation on the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar

Sustainability		Time	scale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it mprove the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				No change from Publication SA	
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA	
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA	
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: LT5

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM132 – Reference to Planning Obligations, to manage recreation on the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar

Sustainability	A mayorical evidenia	Times	Timescale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA	
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA	
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: LT5

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM132 – Reference to Planning Obligations, to manage recreation on the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar

Sustainability		Time	Timescale		Commentary/	
appraisal	Appraisal criteria	ST	МТ	LT	explanation	
objectives		31	///1		•	
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural	 Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or enhance ecological networks. 	+	+	+	Modification will improve the outcome against this objective and is an outcome of the HRA.	
environment.						
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA	
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA	
1. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				No change from Publication SA	
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA	
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals?				No change from Publication SA	

Policy being appraised: LT5

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM132 – Reference to Planning Obligations, to manage recreation on the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA and Ramsar

Sustainability	A mountain authoris	Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST MT		LT	explanation
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: Modification has strengthened the policy and a positive outcome has now been achieved in relation to Objective 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).

Recommendation: No further changes to Policy required.

Policy being appraised: HE3 – Conservation Areas

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM134 – Expansion of wording in the policy to include the setting of Conservation Areas.

Sustainability	A mayorical ovitoria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool Residents.	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: HE3 – Conservation Areas
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:
MM134 – Expansion of wording in the policy to include the setting of Conservation Areas.

Sustainability	Insion of wording in the policy to include the setting of Conservation Areas. Timescale			Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	1. Will it create safer and cleaner communities? 2. Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? 3. Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? 4. Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? 5. Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas?				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport . To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	1. Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? 2. Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? 3. Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? 4. Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? 5. Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? 6. Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? 7. Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change?				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	1. Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest?				Modification strengthens the double positive outcome of this objective.

Policy being appraised: HE3 – Conservation Areas
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017
Key changes:

	MM134 – Expansion	of wording in th	ne policy to include	the setting of Co	nservation Areas.
--	-------------------	------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Sustainability		Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
objectives	4. Will it enhance or increase access to these				
	natural and cultural assets?				
	5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding				
	landscape and open space?				
	6. Will it help to ensure that the physical				
	environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable?				
	7. Will it encourage high quality design?				
	Will it provide sufficient open space in new				
	developments?				
	9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within				
	Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				
B. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of				No change from Publication SA
protect and	Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool?				FUBICATION 3A
enhance the	2. Will it improve access to these nature				
biodiversity and	conservation sites?				
geodiversity of	Will it protect habitats and priority species? Will it improve or aphance acalegical.				
the natural	Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				
environment.		-			
9. Water, Air and	Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources?				No change from Publication SA
Soil Pollution. To	Will it protect or improve and monitor local air				1 Oblication 3/A
improve and or	quality?				
retain the quality	Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil				
of watercourses,	and water pollution?				
air quality and	4. Will it protect or improve the quality of				
soil quality. To	controlled waters?				
achieve sustainable use of	5. Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences?				
water resources.	6. Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local				
*** A TOT TO SOUTE OS.	flooding?				
	Will it improve accessibility and quality of key				No change from
0. Liveability and	services and facilities and improve access to				Publication SA
Place. To create	jobs?				
and sustain	Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local				
liveable places, promoting	people? 3. Will it improve access to culture, leisure and				
sustainable	recreational activities?				
lifestyles and	Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse				
social cohesion.	community and promote a sense of place?				
	5. Will it promote social cohesion?				
1 Earribs	Will it promote social inclusion and tackle workloanness?				No change from
1. Equity, Diversity, Equality	worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure				Publication SA
and	no group of people are disadvantaged?				
Participation- To	3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive				
promote strong	communities?				
and inclusive	4. Will it increase community cohesion?				
communities	5. Will it create community ownership,				
2. Energy	participation and engagement?	1		-	No change from
Efficiency and					Publication SA
Natural	Will it minimise energy use through				
Resources. To	sustainable, efficient and effective use of				
minimise energy	buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use				
use and support	of renewable energy resources in				
renewable	environmentally acceptable locations?				
energy	3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources?				
production and	4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient				
encourage the prudent use of	use of natural resources?				
natural resources.					

Policy being appraised: HE3 – Conservation Areas

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM134 – Expansion of wording in the policy to include the setting of Conservation Areas.

Sustainability	A	Time	scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
13. Waste . To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Conclusions: Modification strengthens the double positive outcome of Objective 7 (Built and Natural Environment).

Recommendation: No further changes to Policy required.

Policy being appraised: NE1 – Natural Environment

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM139 – inclusion of reference to the Hartlepool Mitigation Strategy and Delivery Plan

MM140 – Further clarity on requirements in relation to ancient trees.

MM141 – Further reference to landscape character.

Sustainability	Amount of others	Times	Timescale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	 Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable jobs? Will it diversify the local economy? Will it diversify the rural economy? Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? Will it reduce levels of deprivation? 				No change from Publication SA
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to	Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? Will it increase the levels of attainment and				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: NE1 – Natural Environment

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM139 – inclusion of reference to the Hartlepool Mitigation Strategy and Delivery Plan MM140 – Further clarity on requirements in relation to ancient trees.

MM141 – Further reference to landscape character.

MM141 – Further ref Sustainability	·		scale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	МТ	confinentiary/	
maximise the education and skills levels of Hartlepool	participation in education?				
3. Health. To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				No change from Publication SA
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that 				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and	contribute to climate change? 1. Will the plan enhance the quality,				Modification strengthens

Policy being appraised: NE1 – Natural Environment

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM139 – inclusion of reference to the Hartlepool Mitigation Strategy and Delivery Plan MM140 – Further clarity on requirements in relation to ancient trees.

MM141 – Further reference to landscape character.

Sustainability	A provided orthograph	Times	Timescale		Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? 2. Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. 3. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? 4. Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? 5. Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? 6. Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? 7. Will it encourage high quality design? 8. Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? 9. Will it improve Green Infrastructure within Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs?				the double positive outcome of this objective.
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	1. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 2. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 3. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 4. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				Modification strengthens the double positive outcome of this objective.
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				No change from Publication SA
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	 Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? Will it increase community cohesion? Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: NE1 – Natural Environment

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)

Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM139 – inclusion of reference to the Hartlepool Mitigation Strategy and Delivery Plan

MM140 – Further clarity on requirements in relation to ancient trees.

MM141 – Further reference to landscape character.

Sustainability	Appraisal critoria	Time	scale		Commentary/	
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation	
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA	
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 	•			No change from Publication SA	
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA	
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations Conclusions: Th	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations? e modification strengthen the double positive outc.		Ohio		No change from Publication SA	

Conclusions: The modification strengthen the double positive outcomes of Objectives 7 (Built and Natural Environment) and 8 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity).

Recommendation: No further changes to Policy required.

Policy being appraised: NE5 – Playing Fields

Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM142 - Additional criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and reference to Playing Pitch Strategy.

Sustainability appraisal	•		cale		Commentary/ explanation
objectives	Appraisa chiena	ST MT LT	LT		
1. Economy. To encourage strong, diverse and stable economy.	Will it encourage and support the establishment and development of inward investment companies? Will it encourage new start business? Will it provide a range of quality sustainable				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: NE5 – Playing Fields
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:
MM142 – Additional criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and reference to Playing Pitch Strates

	criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and	referen	ce to F	Playing	Pitch Strategy.
Sustainability		Times	cale		Commentary/
appraisal	Appraisal criteria	ST	МТ	LT	explanation
objectives	iaha?	31	74(1		·
	jobs? 4. Will it diversify the local economy? 5. Will it diversify the rural economy? 6. Will it improve the viability and vitality of town and local centres? 7. Will it reduce levels of deprivation?				
2. Education and Skills. To enable all children and young people to achieve their full potential and to maximise the education and skills levels of	1. Will it contribute to the development of new and improved education facilities? 2. Will it encourage lifelong learning and training to meet the workforce needs of local contractors and other major employers from local sources? 3. Will it increase the levels of attainment and participation in education?				No change from Publication SA
Hartlepool Residents.					
3. Health . To improve the health and wellbeing of the Hartlepool community.	 Will it improve access to public services and health facilities? Will it provide opportunities to promote healthier lifestyles? Will it provide local play provision, parks and quality green space and increase access to the countryside? Will it promote the use of existing facilities and open-air recreation? Will it reduce poverty and health inequalities? 				Modification strengthens the policy to ensure that loss of playing pitches is mitigated. This strengthens the positive assessment against this objective previously made.
4. Safety and Security. To create safer and cleaner community, reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.	 Will it create safer and cleaner communities? Will it reduce crime, violence, disorder and anti-social behaviour? Will it help to ensure residents are kept safe in the event of a fire? Will it contribute to maintaining and keeping clean public areas? Will it reduce the perception of crime and allow communities to safely access all areas? 				No change from Publication SA
5. Housing. To ensure Hartlepool residents have access to decent, good quality, affordable homes.	 Will it promote the re-use of previously developed land? Will it help to ensure the balance of supply and demand in the housing stock is met in sustainable locations? Will it help to ensure that Hartlepool residents have access to a choice of good quality housing in sustainable communities across tenures that meets their needs and aspirations? Will it encourage improvements in homes to meet and exceed the 'decent homes standard'? Will it provide increased access to open space for residents within Hartlepool? Will it meet the housing needs of vulnerable people? Will it encourage high quality design and sufficient open space in new developments? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: NE5 – Playing Fields
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM142 – Additional criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and reference to Playing Pitch Strategy.

Sustainability	Criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and	Timescale		,	Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
6. Transport. To help develop high quality, integrated, accessible and safe transport system.	 Will it reduce the transport barriers to accessing employment, education and training and health care? Will it support the location of new development and provision of services that reduces the need to travel? Will it reduce the incidence and severity of personal injury road crashes? Will it increase personal safety and security whilst travelling? Will it encourage more sustainable modes of travel, especially in urban areas? Will it maintain, improve and make more efficient use of the existing transport network? Will it control and maintain local air quality and seek to reduce transport emissions that contribute to climate change? 				No change from Publication SA
7. Built and Natural Environment. To protect and enhance the quality and local distinctiveness of Hartlepool's rural, urban and historic environment.	 Will the plan enhance the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, open space, townscapes, streetscapes, countryside and coastline? Will it prevent urban development encroaching and/or occurring in the countryside. Will it enhance the quality, character and setting of Hartlepool's designated Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, historic parks, gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, none designated heritage assets and areas of archaeological interest? Will it enhance or increase access to these natural and cultural assets? Will it be of detriment to surrounding landscape and open space? Will it help to ensure that the physical environment is attractive, responsive, flexible and sustainable? Will it encourage high quality design? Will it provide sufficient open space in new developments? Will it improve Green Infrastructure within 				Modification strengthens the policy to ensure that loss of playing pitches is mitigated. This strengthens the positive assessment against this objective previously made.
8. Biodiversity and Geodiversity. To protect and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity of the natural environment.	Hartlepool and adjacent Boroughs? 5. Will it preserve or enhance the quality of Nature Conservation Sites within Hartlepool? 6. Will it improve access to these nature conservation sites? 7. Will it protect habitats and priority species? 8. Will it improve or enhance ecological networks.				No change from Publication SA
9. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. To improve and or retain the quality of watercourses, air quality and soil quality. To achieve sustainable use of water resources.	 Will it help to achieve sustainable use of water resources? Will it protect or improve and monitor local air quality? Will it minimise atmospheric, noise, land, soil and water pollution? Will it protect or improve the quality of controlled waters? Will it improve infrastructure such as coastal defences? Will it prevent and/or reduce the risk of local flooding? 				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: NE5 – Playing Fields
Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement)
Appraised: 29th November 2017

Key changes:

MM142 – Additional criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and reference to Playing Pitch Strategy.

	criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and	referer T	ice to F	Playing	Pitch Strategy.
Sustainability	Appraisal critoria	Timescale			Commentary/
appraisal objectives	Appraisal criteria	ST	MT	LT	explanation
10. Liveability and Place. To create and sustain liveable places, promoting sustainable lifestyles and social cohesion.	 Will it improve accessibility and quality of key services and facilities and improve access to jobs? Will it provide sufficient retail facilities for local people? Will it improve access to culture, leisure and recreational activities? Will it create and sustain a vibrant and diverse community and promote a sense of place? Will it promote social cohesion? 				Modification strengthens the policy to ensure that loss of playing pitches is mitigated. This strengthens the positive assessment against this objective previously made.
11. Equity, Diversity, Equality and Participation- To promote strong and inclusive communities	1. Will it promote social inclusion and tackle worklessness? 2. Will it help to reduce deprivation and ensure no group of people are disadvantaged? 3. Will it encourage stronger socially inclusive communities? 4. Will it increase community cohesion? 5. Will it create community ownership, participation and engagement?				Modification strengthens the policy to ensure that loss of playing pitches is mitigated. This strengthens the positive assessment against this objective previously made.
12. Energy Efficiency and Natural Resources. To minimise energy use and support renewable energy production and encourage the prudent use of natural resources.	1. Will it minimise energy use through sustainable, efficient and effective use of buildings and land? 2. Will it support or promote the increasing use of renewable energy resources in environmentally acceptable locations? 3. Will it reduce demand for natural resources? 4. Will it encourage the prudent and efficient use of natural resources?				No change from Publication SA
13. Waste. To minimise the production of waste and to maximise opportunities for recycling.	 Will it minimise the generation of household and commercial waste? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with as close to the source as feasible? Will it maximise the opportunities for recycling waste materials? Will it ensure that waste is dealt with in a sustainable manner? Does it make provision for an adequate supply of minerals? 				No change from Publication SA
14. Climate Change. To address the causes of climate change and minimise emissions of greenhouse gasses.	 Will it encourage prudent use of natural resources? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it lead to a reduction in CO₂e emissions? Will it assist in mitigation and/or adaptation to climate change? Will it increase emphasis on the issue of climate change and global warming effects, such as rising sea levels and the impact of additional development? Will it ensure that flood management takes a sustainable approach? Will it reduce the risk of flooding? Will it tackle global sustainability issues? 				No change from Publication SA
15. Futurity. To ensure that development that meets the needs of today should not restrict choices and opportunities for future generations	Will its outcomes be detrimental to future generations? Will it restrict the choices of future generations?				No change from Publication SA

Policy being appraised: NE5 – Playing Fields Appraisers: Matthew King (Planning Policy) Helen Williams (Planning Policy) and Tony Dixon (Enforcement) Appraised: 29th November 2017 Key changes: MM142 - Additional criterion in relation to loss of built sports facilities and reference to Playing Pitch Strategy. Sustainability Timescale Commentary/ appraisal Appraisal criteria explanation ST MT LT objectives **Conclusions:** The modifications strengthen the policy however whilst positive changes are referenced in relation to the outcomes of Objectives 3 (Health), 7 (Built and Natural Environment), 10 (Liveability and Place) and 11 (Equity, Diversity and Participation); they do not change the outcome of the previous SA. **Recommendation:** No further changes to Policy required.