SCHOOLS' FORUM

Friday 8 November 2019 – 9.00am

CECA

King Oswy Drive, Hartlepool, TS24 9PB

AGENDA

1.	Apologies – Jo Wilson, Julie Deville, Tracey Gibson (Emma Gibson sub), Caroline Reed, Jo Heaton, Penny Thompson, Rachel Clark	Chair
2.	Minutes from last Forum (25 October 2019) & Matters Arising	Chair
3.	National Funding Formula (I) (standard item)	Mark Tilling
4.	SEND free school update (standard item)	Danielle Swainston
5.	SEMH (Standard item)	Chair
6.	Central Services School Block Update 2020/21 - R/D	Amanda Whitehead
7.	Indicative School Block Budgets 2020/21 – R (Information only)	Amanda Whitehead
8.	Any Other Business	
9.	Date and Time of Next Forum Meeting – Friday 15 November 2019 @ 9am at CETL	

Minimum Number Hold

profile if a little system would be provided

4383

denter the second section is a

Schools' Forum Meeting 25 October 2019

Attendees:

Members
Christopher Simmons (CS) (Governor)
Nicola Dunn (ND) (Small)

Helen O'Brien (HO) (Large <50%) Jo Heaton (JHe) (Diocese of Durham)

John Hardy (JH) (VA Small)

Louise Robson (LR) (Academy - Special)

Lynne Chambers (LC) (Primary-Academy >25% >50% FSM)

Mark Hughes (MH) 16-19 Sector

Martyn Gordon (MG) PRU Mary Frain (MF) (VA Large)

Rachel Williams (RW) Diocese - Roman Catholic

Sarah Tait (ST) (Academy – Secondary)

Sue Sharpe (SS) (Large Deprived)

Local Authority Officers

Jane Watt (JW) (Children's

Finance)

Amanda Whitehead

(Assistant Director Education)

Danielle Swainston (DS)

(Assistant Director Children &

Joint Commissioning Services)

Eileen Larkin (EAL)

(Administrator)

Observer

Lisa Greig (LG) Catcote

Agenda Item					
1	Apologies				
1.1	Tracey Gibson, Julie Thomas, Jo Wilson, David Turner, Mark Tilling, Sandra Shears and Penny Thompson				
2	Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising- 11 October 2019				
2.1	The Chair acknowledged the passing of Alan Chapman and the work that he did for Forum and his passionate contribution to SEND.				
2.2	It was requested that Lynn Chambers apologies were added to the minutes.	EL			
2.3	ZW noted that the confirmation around ARP information was still outstanding. Chair asked for this information to be available at the next Forum. JW to chase the request.	JW			
3	National Funding Formula (I) (standard item)				
3.1	To be covered under item 7				

4	SEND free school update (standard item)	
4.1	DS advised – still in evaluation process therefore, no further update available to be given.	
5	SEMH (standard item)	
5.1	SS no further update available to be given.	
6	Dedicated Schools Grant – High Needs Block Budget Requirement 2020/21 (R/I) – no decision / vote at this stage	
6.1	This report builds on the consultation with head teachers that started on 17 October 2019, soon after government funding for 2020/21 was announced on 11 October. The report considers the outlook for high needs spending in 2020/21 and beyond, including the increased funding from government and the likely demand and growth in provision.	
6.2	DS referred to report.	
6.3	Forum were asked to present feedback from their consultation with head teachers, at the head teachers meeting held on the 23 October 2019, on a proposed schools block transfer of £0.550m to the high needs block for 2020/21 as outlined in the email and supporting documents issued on 17 October 2019.	
6.4	LR – queried whether the £168k loss of government funding reported for 2019/20 was built into the. JW advised that the £168k reduction was reflected in the £1.748m increased funding for 2020/21. LR recognised that numbers were uncertain due to the nature of the spending and queried what could be done collectively?	
6.5	CS queried the figures in 4.8 (average of both £53k and £57k quoted). JW provided clarification on this point and explained that the £53k average was for children with SEMH need only.	
6.6	JH drew a correlation between the increase in EHCP requests and the £0.550m not being available in the schools block. He challenged the allocation of cost responsibility between education, health and social care.	
6.7	DS – advised Forum of the SEND Executive group – legal advice would be sought in relation to what costs and needs education should appropriately pay for.	
6.8	HO'B felt that more information should be shared about the new Free School so that schools could understand how this might impact them financially.	

E a		
6.9	DS provided more information about the new SEMH Free School. She explained that there would be a total of 50 places and that 25 of these would be allocated to other local authorities. Savings would be possible from the new Free School by placing children there who would otherwise be placed in independent school or out of area provision. A further discussion took place around out of town placements and there was an understanding that it would not be feasible for all children with SEMH need to be relocated to the new Free School once open, although it would be feasible for some children who are not particularly settled in their current provision.	
6.10	DS confirmed that financial models are being collated for the new Free School and will be shared with Forum in future meetings.	
6.11	CS requested clarification on the places from Hartlepool and DS advised that 25 would be allocated to Hartlepool. Sunderland and Gateshead had bid for the other places.	
6.12	CS enquired if in the future demand in Hartlepool increases could the other local authority places be reduced? DS confirmed that this could be structured into the contract / agreement with the other local authorities.	
6.13	LR stressed that the projection of needs is important. Catcote are seeing cases of extremely complex SEMH need coming through.	
6.14	ZW enquired if it was realistic that the Free School would open in January 2021 and DS advised that DfE are confirming it is on track at the moment. However, DS advised caution.	
6.15	ZW - Children are living longer and have more complex needs and cuts (via MFG to special schools) are still being made every year. The needs of children are having to be met that were not met before. Changes need to happen in mainstream schools. Changes need to be made to prepare the workforce to support the children. This needs to form part of an overall strategy.	ALL
6.16	SS – Children's needs are getting more and more complex and felt that there was not enough money in High Needs Block.	JW
6.17	JHe led a further discussion re the pressures on headteachers from parents. JH commented that CAMHs depend on a 1-2-1 in schools that is not paid for.	EL
6.18	DS – suggestion of SEND summit being set up? Conversations need to be had to address the split of funding with health and social care. JH supported this idea.	
6.19	JW confirmed that, even if Schools' Forum were to support a £0.550m transfer, the authority would need to disapply to the Secretary of State as the amount is above the 0.5% block transfer tolerance. JW	

	acknowledged that with schools not yet knowing their budgets for 2020/21, a key part of decision making is missing.	
6.20	JW advised Forum that financial information advising of the make-up of school budgets for next year had not been received until late last Friday. Before this information could be shared, a lot of analysis needed to be done. Some changes have been made to calculations which has now allowed some schools to be entitled to more funding.	
6.2	JW – asked for ideas on what Forum would like to be modelled and gave suggestions of what could be done.	
6.22	After consultation it was agreed that voting would take place on the 15 following discussion on the 8 November to allow sufficient time for consultation with head teachers.	
6.24	JH enquired if he could have representation on the 15 th as he would not be available for his vote to be carried. JW to look into this.	JW
6.25	ND left the meeting at 9.53am.	
6.26	Suggestion was put forward for provisional dates of Schools Forum meetings to enable diary management.	
6.27	ZW left the meeting at 9.57am.	
	Management of the stock of the commission of the control of the co	
7	Dedicated Schools Grant – Schools Block Budget Proposals 2020/21 (R/I) – no decision / vote at this stage. Subject to funding information being released	E - 10 0
7.1	Following on from the discussion at item 6 on the agenda, Schools' Forum requested the following budget models:	
	 Nil transfer to high needs block 0.5% (£0.326m) transfer to high needs block £0.550m transfer to high needs block 	
	Each of the models will include the £0.267m transfer from CSSB and a variant (a) and (b) for a local MFG at +0.5% and at +1.84% (the range allowed in the regulations)	
	JW explained that the intention was to issue budget models towards the end of half-term week.	JW
8	Any Other Business	
8.1	MF brought a proposal from Schools Capital Sub group – application for limited refurbishment work to meet the needs of 6 children for the new	0 2 3

	SEMH ARP at High Tunstall. The school is using the existing Shine centre and has already invested some of the school's own funds to establish the new ARP. The request and recommendation from Schools Capital Sub Group is for funding of £17,385 to be approved to allow for the further alterations to be made.	
8.2	Forum needed to approve the cost, as Schools Capital is a sub group of Forum.	
8.3	A vote was taken of all those eligible to vote.	
	In favour – all Against – none Abstained - none	
9	Date and Time of Next Meeting	
9.1	8 November 2019 @ 9am at CECA, King Oswy Drive, Hartlepool, TS24 9PB	



Report to Hartlepool Schools' Forum 08 November 2019 From Amanda Whitehead – Assistant Director Education

Item 6 : Central School Services Block Update 2020/21

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of the report is to provide updated information regarding the 2020/21 Central School Services Block (CSSB).
- 1.2 Indicative government funding has been confirmed since the last report presented to Schools' Forum on 13 September 2019.

2. Background

- 2.1 ESFA have now published indicative National Funding Formula (NFF) allocations for 2020/21. These allocations are based on pupil numbers and characteristics from the October 2018 census.
- 2.2 Schools' Forum agreed the budget requirement for both historic commitments and ongoing commitments in 2020/21 at their meeting on 13 September 2019.
- 2.3 At that stage, indicative funding amounts, including per-pupil rates had not been published.
- 2.4 This report provides updated funding information and explains the impact of CSSB funding and spending on the schools block.

3. 2020/21 CSSB Funding

- 3.1 CSSB funding is split into funding for historic commitments and ongoing responsibilities. Funding for historic commitments is at a flat rate whereas ongoing responsibilities are funded at a rate per pupil.
- 3.2 Hartlepool's funding for historic commitments has remained at £0.465m in recent years. The £0.465m was included in the original Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) baseline when the CSSB was first established. For 2020/21, the government has announced that funding for Hartlepool will reduce to £0.372m, a reduction of £0.093m. This 20% cut in historic commitments funding has been applied nationally.
- 3.3 The expectation from government is that the year on year costs for historic commitments should reduce over time and that the 20% funding cut is reasonable on that basis.
- 3.4 The indicative funding for ongoing commitments for 2020/21 is £0.456m which equates to a rate per pupil of £34.11 (based on October 2018 census). This compares to a rate of £33.89 per pupil for 2019/20.

4. CSSB Budget Requirement 2020/21

4.1 Schools' Forum approved the following CSSB budget requirement for 2020/21 against historic commitments at their meeting on 13 September 2019.

Service	Budget £m
Brierton Pension Costs	0.031
Licences	0.077
TOTAL Historic Costs 2020/21	0.108

- 4.2 The budget requirement of £0.108m leaves residual funding of £0.264m against the indicative funding for 2020/21 of £0.372m.
- 4.3 Schools' Forum approved the following CSSB budget requirement for 2020/21 against ongoing responsibilities at their meeting on 13 September 2019.

Service	Budget £m
Retained Education Services	0.216
Admissions	0.132
Copyright Licences	0.069
Servicing Schools' Forum	0.036
TOTAL Ongoing Responsibilities 2020/21	0.453

- 4.4 The budget requirement of £0.453m leaves residual funding of £0.003m against the indicative funding for 2020/21 of £0.456m.
- 4.5 The total residual funding against the CSSB in 2020/21 amounts to £0.267m. The policy applied in recent years is to transfer this surplus funding to the schools block. Current budget models prepared for Schools' Forum for 2020/21 assume that this transfer-in to the schools block of £0.267m will take place.
- 4.6 Without the 20% cut in government funding for historic commitments, the amount to transfer-in to the schools block would have been £0.093m higher in 2020/21.

5. Other Considerations

- 5.1 The policy from government for future funding of historic commitments within the CSSB appears to be a 20% reduction year on year from 2020/21 onwards.
- The budget requirement of £0.108m covering licences (primarily the EYES system) and pension costs relating to the closure of Brierton School is not expected to reduce over the short to medium term. This means that a year on year reduction would reduce the annual transfer-in to the schools block and by 2023/24 a budget pressure of £0.108m would materialise.
- 5.3 An enquiry has been raised with ESFA to challenge to 20% year on year reduction as it does not take account of the nature of historic commitments.

6. Recommendations

6.1 Forum is recommended to

- a) note the updated CSSB funding information for 2020/21 outlined in this report
- b) agree the proposed block transfer of £0.267m from CSSB into the schools block in 2020/21

Please note: Only School Members are able to vote on this proposal



Report to Hartlepool Schools' Forum 08 November 2019 From Amanda Whitehead – Assistant Director Education

Item 7: Indicative Schools Block Budgets 2020/21

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of the report is to provide information on the 2020/21 Schools Block indicative funding issued by the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA), along with options to be considered for setting Individual School Budgets (ISBs).
- 1.2 Information on the key ESFA funding and policy changes for schools is also presented in this report.

2. Background

- 2.1 ESFA have published indicative National Funding Formula (NFF) allocations for 2020/21. These allocations are based on pupil numbers and characteristics from the October 2018 census.
- 2.2 In consultation with their Schools' Forum, local authorities are required to consider how they set ISBs for 2020/21.
- 2.3 Any transfers to and from the Schools Block should be considered. For 2020/21, these include a transfer-in of residual funding after meeting commitments against the Central School Services Block (CSSB), along with a proposed transfer to the high needs block.
- 2.4 Finally, a local approach to setting both a Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) and Capping must be considered and implemented.

3. Key National Funding Formula (NFF) Changes in 2020/21

- 3.1 Within the indicative Schools Block for 2020/21, each school is provided with at least a 1.84% per pupil increase compared to the ESFA 2019/20 baseline. The 1.84% per pupil increase is calculated on the basis of pupil-led funding only (i.e. AWPU and any pupil characteristics). For Hartlepool, 15 schools receive protection because their per pupil amount within the 2020/21 formula would otherwise be less than the guaranteed 1.84% increase.
- 3.2 Protection relating to the 1.84% per pupil increase amounts to £0.510m. This amount reflects the 2020/21 level of ESFA protection against the hard formula (sometimes referred to as the "cliff edge"). The level of protection has decreased significantly from previous financial years. This is likely to be as a result of the increases in formula rates explained below that have brought the core funding formula closer to the level of protection.
- 3.3 The minimum per pupil funding levels in 2020/21 have increased to £3,750 (from £3,500) for all primary schools and £5,000 (from £4,800) for all secondary schools. Unlike the per pupil protection of 1.84%, this is a compulsory formula factor that must

be adhered to in local formulas (with the exception of agreement under disapplication). Calculation of compliance with the minimum per pupil (MPP) is on the basis of pupil-led funding plus school-led funding (lump sum and premises). Two primary schools in Hartlepool benefit from the new compulsory MPP.

- 3.4 Core formula factors have been increased by 4% in 2020/21, with the exception of free school meals that has increased by 1.84%. The 1.84% for free school meals is limited to inflation as it is intended to broadly reflect actual costs.
- 3.5 The NFF has always contained a formula factor for mobility, however Hartlepool schools have not benefitted from funding through this factor previously. The basis of calculation has changed from a historic basis to a formulaic approach from 2020/21. In summary, schools that attract new pupils through mobility between October census dates above a threshold of 6% of Number on Roll (NoR) receive funding. Each mobile pupil above the 6% threshold is funded at £875 (primary) and £1,250 (secondary).
- 3.6 Hartlepool schools have received mobility funding for the first time in 2020/21 under the new basis of calculation. Total mobility funding within the indicative NFF amounts to £38k.
- 3.7 ESFA have removed the gains cap from the schools formula in 2020/21. Although Schools' Forum has agreed to apply a local cap in recent years to fund an overall minimum funding guarantee (MFG) (1.7% cap in 2019/20), a gains cap has not been applied to Hartlepool schools within the NFF itself. Therefore, removal of the NFF gains cap does not affect the Hartlepool allocation.

4. Indicative NFF 2020/21

- 4.1 Indicative 2020/21 figures issued by ESFA are currently based on pupil numbers and characteristics from the October 2018 census. Allocations will be updated for the October 2019 census and reissued during December 2019.
- 4.2 The following table shows the authority-level NFF Schools Block indicative allocation for 2020/21. The growth allocation for 2020/21 has not yet been announced so is excluded from the table below (and excluded from the 2019/20 comparison).

Schools Block	Pupil Numbers (Oct 2018)	2020/21
		£m
Primary	8,066	35.449
Secondary	5,305	29.253
Premises		0.585
TOTAL 2020/21		65.287

This compares with the equivalent figure of £63.597m for 2019/20.

5. Proposed Block Transfers

5.1 As reported to Forum on 25 October 2019 and in the earlier consultation document issued on 17 October 2019, there is residual funding remaining in the CSSB after meeting planned commitments in 2020/21. In line with previous years, this residual funding can be transferred into the schools block.

- 5.2 The indicative NFF allocation for Central Services Block in 2020/21 is £0.828m. Schools' Forum approved estimated expenditure of £0.561m at their meeting on 13 September 2019 (agenda item 9: Central School Services Block 2020/21). Therefore, an estimated £0.267m funding is available to transfer into the schools block in 2020/21. This transfer is linked to a further report on CSSB being considered at this same meeting.
- 5.3 Consultation started on 17 October 2019 concerning a proposed transfer from the schools block to the high needs block in 2020/21. Although the estimated funding pressure in 2020/21 is expected to be in the region of £2.425m, additional funding of £1.748m in 2020/21 has been announced. This leaves an unfunded budget requirement estimated at £0.677m.
- 5.4 Schools' Forum received a proposal from the local authority for a block transfer of £0.550m in 2020/21 to fund the majority of the anticipated funding pressure. The £0.550m is linked to an earlier historic transfer from the high needs block to the schools block. In 2017/18, this historic transfer was baselined into the schools block.
- Were the remaining £0.127m funding pressure to materialise, the local authority would work in close partnership with Schools' Forum to find ways to control spending in 2020/21 in line with the funds available.
- 5.6 The Schools Revenue Funding 2020 to 2021 Operational Guide allows for block transfers of up to 0.5% from schools block to another block, with approval from Schools' Forum. The proposed £0.550m equates to 0.84%. Therefore, even if Schools' Forum approve the proposed transfer, the local authority will be required to submit a disapplication request to the Secretary of State.

6. <u>Setting a Local Policy for MFG and Capping</u>

- 6.1 The regulations continue to allow a local policy for a minimum funding guarantee (MFG) and cap so that any excessive year-on year changes can be protected against.
- 6.2 For 2020/21, a local MFG can be applied in the range of +0.5% to +1.84%.
- 6.3 For 2019/20, a local policy was applied on the basis of an MFG of 0.35% (compared to previous year school budget shares before deductions for Education Services Grant and De-Delegated Services). To help fund the MFG, a cap of 1.70% was applied.

7. 2020/21 Budget Modelling

- 7.1 At their meeting on 25 October 2019, Schools' Forum requested 3 budget models based on the following scenarios for a block transfer to the high needs block. Each of the 3 scenarios assume that the block transfer of £0.267m (estimated) from the CSSB will take place.
 - Budget model 1: £Nil transfer from schools block to high needs block
 - Budget model 2: £0.326m transfer (or 0.5%) from schools block to high needs block
 - Budget model 3: £0.550 transfer (or 0.84%) from schools block to high needs block

7.2 Schools' Forum also requested that each of the 3 models contains a variant (a) and (b) to show the impact of a local MFG at the minimum +0.5% (variant a) and the maximum +1.84% (variant b).

8. Budget Model Commentary

- 8.1 Appendices A to D are attached to this report. They cover:
 - A. The outcome of the 2 per-pupil funding tests applied nationally within the formula
 - B. Budget model 1 £Nil transfer from schools block to high needs block
 - C. Budget model 2 £0.326m transfer (or 0.5%) from schools block to high needs block
 - D. Budget model 3 £0.550 transfer (or 0.84%) from schools block to high needs block
- 8.2 The paragraphs below provide a commentary on each of the appendices. All 2019/20 budget figures contained within the appendices are based on 2019/20 budgets prior to deductions for Education Services Grant and De-Delegated Services. This is so that budget amounts are comparable across maintained schools and academies.
- 8.3 Appendix A Outcome of the 2 per-pupil funding tests
 As discussed in paragraph 3.3 of this report, a new compulsory factor for MPP has been introduced from 2020/21. This test calculates all of the formula funding due to a school in 2020/21, including lump sum and premises, and divides it by the Number on Roll as at October 2018. If this MPP is less than £3,750 for a primary school or £5,000 for a secondary school, the school receives an uplift to the MPP amount.

Two primary schools are indicated with a "yes" on appendix 1 under the columns headed "NFF Test 1 – MPP" as they are below the guaranteed £3,750 MPP so receive an uplift within the formula.

As covered in paragraph 3.1 of this report, a further guarantee that every school will receive at least a 1.84% per pupil increase from their 2019/20 ESFA baseline is included in the formula. The columns headed "NFF Test 2 – 1.84% Increase" in appendix 1 show the per-pupil baseline for 2019/20 and the equivalent per-pupil amount in the formula for 2020/21. The final column confirms that all schools receive a minimum 1.84% increase through the 2020/21 formula.

8.4 <u>Appendix B – Budget model 1 (£Nil transfer to high needs block)</u>
The appendix shows a budget increase of £2,209,219 (formula increase of £1,942,190 plus £267,029 transfer-in from CSSB) and the relevant share against each individual school in 2020/21. This is based on a nil transfer to high needs block.

This budget scenario involves funding greater than the schools block DSG from government because it includes a transfer-in of £0.267m from CSSB. To model within the allowable MFG range of 0.5% to 1.84%, additional funding has been passed to schools by increasing the AWPU rate per pupil and using the maximum MFG allowed of 1.84%. It was not possible to model a minimum MFG of 0.5% in this budget scenario because not all schools block funding could be distributed to schools.

8.5 <u>Appendix C – Budget model 2 (£0.326m transfer to high needs block)</u>
The appendix shows a budget increase of £1,883,521 (formula increase of £1,942,190 plus £267,029 transfer-in from CSSB less £325,698 transfer-out to HNB), and the

relevant share against each individual school in 2020/21. This is based on a 0.5% transfer (or £0.326m) to the high needs block. Schools' Forum felt that a scenario based on the 0.5% block transfer tolerance allowed in the regulations (with Forum approval) would be a helpful indicator as part of decision making.

The budget scenario requires a minimum MFG of 1.80% to ensure that all DSG schools block funding is distributed to schools. Therefore, it was not possible to model a minimum MFG of 0.5% in this budget scenario.

Option 2B in this budget model uses a cap of 5.95% to support the funding of MFG at the maximum of 1.84%. This means that no school benefits from an increase of greater than 5.95% compared to their 2019/20 budget (before deductions for ESG and De-Delegated Services).

8.6 Appendix D – Budget model 3 (£0.550m transfer to high needs block)

The appendix shows a budget increase of £1,659,219 (formula increase of £1,942,190 plus £267,029 transfer-in from CSSB less £550,000 transfer-out to HNB), and the relevant share against each individual school in 2020/21. This is based on a 0.84% transfer (or £0.550m) to the high needs block.

The budget scenario requires a minimum MFG of 1.36% to ensure that all DSG schools block funding is distributed to schools. Therefore, it was not possible to model a minimum MFG of 0.5% in this budget scenario.

Option 3B in this budget model uses a cap of 3.72% to support the funding of MFG at the maximum of 1.84%. This means that no school benefits from an increase of greater than 3.72% compared to their 2019/20 budget (before deductions for ESG and De-Delegated Services).

9. Recommendations

- 9.1 Forum is recommended to
 - a) note the formula changes for 2020/21 outlined in this report
 - b) consider the 3 options and associated budget impact for block transfers as requested by Schools' Forum, along with each of the options for setting a local policy on MFG protection and capping in 2020/21.

Please note: Schools' Forum will not be required to vote on their preferred option for school budgets at this meeting. A vote will be taken on 15 November 2019 to allow sufficient time for consultation.

Please note: On 15 November, a separate report will be presented and a separate vote will be taken for:

- (i) the proposed block transfer to high needs (no voting restrictions apply so all Forum Members are eligible to vote);
- (ii) school budget shares, including any local MFG and Cap (voting restrictions apply so only School Members are eligible to vote)

the beginning at the beginning a separation of the beautiful and the second section of the section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the section

- the stages arrestly that profess and since a right of reference in the stages are part of
 - profiles, and then in the life in the present profession, according to ground in our and party and the profiles are profiled in the profile of the profile o

APPENDIX A: Funding Formula Tests Applied Nationally

	NFF TEST 1 - MPP	
School	NFF 2020/21	Uplift to
Number	Per Pupil	Minimum Per
	(includes lump	Pupil (MPP)
	sum and	Applies?
	premises)	
	£	
1	4,402	NO
2	3,883	NO
3	4,774	NO
4	5,216	NO
5	4,918	NO
6	5,717	NO
7	4,912	NO
8	4,434	NO
9	4,817	NO
10	4,143	NO
11	4,340	NO
12	4,542	NO
13	3,819	NO
14	4,424	NO
15	5,817	NO
16	4,516	NO
17	4,385	NO
18	3,741	YES
19	3,803	NO
20	5,709	NO
21	4,884	NO
22	3,628	YES
23	4,768	NO
24	4,737	NO
25	4,860	NO
26	4,337	NO
27	5,550	NO
28	4,869	NO
29	3,819	NO
30	4,705	NO
31	3,899	NO
32	5,223	NO
33	4,553	NO
34	5,098	NO
35	5,220	NO

NFF TEST 2 - 1.84% INCREASE		
NFF 2019/20	NFF 2020/21	%age Increase
Per Pupil	Per Pupil	(must be at
Baseline	(excludes lump	least 1.84%)
	sum and	
	premises)	
£	£	
3,885	4,028	3.67%
3,472	3,536	1.84%
3,971	4,056	2.14%
5,032	5,124	1.84%
4,332	4,412	1.84%
5,438	5,609	3.16%
4,264	4,506	5.67%
3,894	4,063	4.33%
4,258	4,502	5.72%
3,604	3,758	4.29%
3,774	3,868	2.51%
3,864	3,935	1.84%
3,433	3,497	1.84%
3,920	4,031	2.82%
5,500	5,604	1.88%
3,866	4,022	4.03%
3,794	4,000	5.45%
3,356	3,475	3.54%
3,613	3,680	1.84%
5,455	5,614	2.90%
4,268	4,446	4.17%
3,281	3,358	2.37%
4,150		1.84%
3,984	4,225	6.05%
4,101	4,178	1.87%
3,810	3,880	1.84%
4,533		1.84%
4,196	4,404	4.96%
3,465	3,528	1.84%
4,209	4,326	2.80%
3,473	3,537	1.84%
4,209		1.84%
3,778	3,847	1.84%
4,360	4,440	1.84%
5,082	5,176	1.84%

APPENDIX B BUDGET MODEL 1: £Nil Transfer-Out and £0.267m Transfer-In

Summary at a glance:	
Schools block 2020/21	65,286,970
Plus transfer-in from CSSB	267,029
Less transfer-out to HNB	0
Budget Available 2020/21	65,553,999

	OPTION 1A (MFG 1.84% and Cap 0.00%)			
School	2019/20 Budget	Budget 2020/21	Budget Increase	Budget Increase
Number	(Before ESG and	(Option 1A)	(£)	(%)
	De-Del)			
	£	£		
1	1,302,676	1,360,655	57,979	4.459
2	1,553,789	1,590,894	37,105	2.39
3	767,410	787,205	19,795	2.58
4	6,365,913	6,561,276	195,362	3.07
5	919,718	938,947	19,230	2.09
6	5,884,825	6,137,996	253,171	4.30
7	1,312,458	1,398,662	86,204	6.57
8	1,323,289	1,383,718	60,428	4.57
9	1,666,910	1,765,014	98,104	5.89
10	1,230,407	1,292,292	61,885	5.03
11	1,056,451	1,091,458	35,007	3.31
12	474,266	485,396	11,130	2.35
13	1,530,811	1,560,738	29,927	1.95
14	1,515,350	1,570,732	55,382	3.65
15	4,001,450	4,121,807	120,357	3.01
16	1,332,751	1,394,187	61,436	4.61
17	1,731,732	1,848,092	116,360	6.72
18	1,509,239	1,566,162	56,923	
19	1,754,717	1,789,217	34,500	
20	6,649,219	6,920,167	270,948	
21	1,491,062	1,556,404	<u></u>	
22	1,072,459	1,100,571	28,112	2.62
23	461,603	472,419		
24	1,239,295			
25	1,039,726			
26	876,721	895,146		
27	680,740	696,968		+
28	1,561,264	1,634,772		
29	1,394,518	1,421,952		
30	1,401,553	1,435,499		
31	842,386			
32	598,932	612,285		
33	443,505			
34	672,270			
35	5,685,365			
Rounding	3,083,303	5,751,508		_
Rounding	63,344,780	65,553,999		

APPENDIX C BUDGET MODEL 2: £0.326m Transfer-Out and £0.267m Transfer-In

 Summary at a glance:
 65,286,970

 Schools block 2020/21
 65,286,970

 Plus transfer-in from CSSB
 267,029

 Less transfer-out to HNB
 (325,698)

 Budget Available 2020/21
 65,228,301

	OPTION 2A (MFG 1.80% and Cap 0.00%)			
School Number	2019/20 Budget (Before ESG and De-Del)	Budget 2020/21 (Option 2A)	Budget Increase (£)	Budget Increase (%)
	£	£		
1	1,302,676	1,351,669	48,993	3.76%
2	1,553,789	1,583,529	29,741	1.919
3	767,410	783,566	16,156	2.119
4	6,365,913	6,507,270	141,357	2.229
5	919,718	938,603	18,885	2.059
6	5,884,825	6,091,723	206,899	3.529
7	1,312,458	1,390,381	77,923	5.949
8	1,323,289	1,374,673	51,384	3.889
9	1,666,910	1,754,354	87,445	5.25%
10	1,230,407	1,283,188	52,782	4.299
11	1,056,451	1,084,117	27,666	2.629
12	474,266	485,240	10,973	2.319
13	1,530,811	1,560,146	29,334	1.929
14	1,515,350	1,560,366	45,016	2.979
15	4,001,450	4,091,248	89,798	
16	1,332,751	1,385,172	52,421	3.939
17	1,731,732		104,056	
18	1,509,239	1,566,162	56,923	
19	1,754,717	1,788,519	33,801	1.939
20	6,649,219	6,868,072	218,853	3.299
21	1,491,062	1,547,095	56,033	3.769
22	1,072,459	1,100,571	28,112	
23	461,603	472,270	10,667	
24	1,239,295		57,723	
25	1,039,726		20,495	
26	876,721	894,820	18,099	
27	680,740	695,352	14,611	
28	1,561,264		63,699	
29	1,394,518		26,900	
30	1,401,553	1,429,044	27,491	
31	842,386		17,454	1
32	598,932		13,145	
	443,505		10,351	Ĭ
34	672,270			
35	5,685,365	i	104,173	
Rounding	0,000,000	(86)	(86)	2.037
	63,344,780		1,883,521	

OPTION 2B (MFG 1.84% and Cap 5.95%)				
2019/20 Budget	Budget 2020/21	Budget Increase	Budget	
(Before ESG and	(Option 2B)	(£)	increase (%)	
De-Del)				
	£			
1,302,676	1,351,669	48,993	3.76%	
1,553,789	1,584,131	30,343	1.95%	
767,410	783,845	16,435	2.14%	
6,365,913	6,507,270	141,357	2.22%	
919,718	938,947	19,230	2.09%	
5,884,825	6,091,723	206,899	3.52%	
1,312,458	1,388,026	75,568	5.76%	
1,323,289	1,374,673	51,384	3.88%	
1,666,910	1,754,354	87,445	5.25%	
1,230,407	1,283,188	52,782	4.29%	
1,056,451	1,084,117	27,666	2.62%	
474,266	485,396	11,130	2.35%	
1,530,811	1,560,738	29,927	1.95%	
1,515,350	1,560,366	45,016	2.97%	
4,001,450	4,091,248	89,798	2.24%	
1,332,751	1,385,172	52,421	3.93%	
1,731,732	1,829,843	98,111	5.67%	
1,509,239	1,566,162	56,923	3.77%	
1,754,717	1,789,217	34,500	1.97%	
6,649,219	6,868,072	218,853	3.29%	
1,491,062	1,547,095	56,033	3.76%	
1,072,459	1,100,571	28,112	2.62%	
461,603	472,419	10,816	2.34%	
1,239,295	1,297,018	57,723	4.66%	
1,039,726	1,060,603	20,877	2.01%	
876,721	895,146	18,424	2.10%	
680,740	695,594	14,854	2.18%	
1,561,264	1,624,964	63,699	4.08%	
1,394,518	1,421,952	27,434	1.97%	
1,401,553	1,429,593	28,040	2.00%	
842,386	860,151	17,765	2.11%	
598,932	612,285	13,353	2.23%	
443,505	453,997	10,492	2.37%	
672,270	686,750	14,480	2.15%	
5,685,365	5,791,908	106,543	1.87%	
0	95			
63,344,780	65,228,301	1,883,521		

APPENDIX D BUDGET MODEL 3: £0.550m Transfer-Out and £0.267m Transfer-In

 Summary at a glance:
 5chools block 2020/21
 65,286,970

 Plus transfer-in from CSSB
 267,029

 Less transfer-out to HNB
 (550,000)

 Budget Available 2020/21
 65,003,999

	OPTION 3A (MFG 1.36% and Cap 0.00%)			
School	2019/20 Budget	Budget 2020/21	Budget Increase	Budget
Number	(Before ESG and	(Option 3A)	(£)	Increase (%)
	De-Del)			
	£	£		
1	1,302,676	1,347,356	44,679	3.43%
2	1,553,789	1,577,392	23,603	1.52%
3	767,410	780,718	13,308	1.73%
4	6,365,913	6,481,344	115,431	1.81%
5	919,718	935,095	15,377	1.67%
6	5,884,825	6,069,509	184,685	3.14%
7	1,312,458	1,386,406	73,948	5.63%
8	1,323,289	1,370,331	47,042	3.55%
9	1,666,910	1,749,237	82,327	4.94%
10	1,230,407	1,278,818	48,412	3.93%
11	1,056,451	1,080,592	24,142	2.299
12	474,266	483,648	9,381	1.989
13	1,530,811	1,554,107	23,295	1.529
14	1,515,350	1,555,390	40,040	2.649
15	4,001,450	4,076,578	75,128	1.889
16	1,332,751	1,380,844	48,093	3.619
17	1,731,732	1,829,881	98,149	5.679
18	1,509,239	1,566,162	56,923	3.779
19	1,754,717	1,781,398	1	1.529
20	6,649,219	6,843,062	193,843	2.929
21	1,491,062	1,542,626	51,564	3.469
22	1,072,459	1,100,571	28,112	2.629
23	461,603	470,752		1.989
24	1,239,295			4.359
25	1,039,726			1.609
26	876,721	891,502		1.699
27	680,740	692,879		1.789
28				3.789
29	-,,			1.549
30				1.569
31				1.709
32				1.849
33				2.019
34		i -		1.769
35	-			1.419
33	3,083,303	92		2.72/
	63,344,780			

OPTION 3B (MFG 1.84% and Cap 3.72%)				
2019/20 Budget	Budget 2020/21	Budget Increase	Budget	
(Before ESG and	(Option 3B)	(£)	Increase (%)	
De-Del)				
	TIME TO STATE OF			
	£			
1,302,676	1,347,356	44,679	3.43%	
1,553,789	1,584,131	30,343	1.95%	
767,410	783,845	16,435	2.14%	
6,365,913	6,484,759	118,846	1.87%	
919,718	938,947	19,230	2.09%	
5,884,825	6,069,509	184,685	3.14%	
1,312,458	1,361,329	48,871	3.72%	
1,323,289	1,370,331	47,042	3.55%	
1,666,910	1,728,933	62,023	3.72%	
1,230,407	1,276,285	45,878	3.73%	
1,056,451	1,080,592	24,142	2.29%	
474,266	485,396	11,130	2.35%	
1,530,811	1,560,738	29,927	1.95%	
1,515,350	1,555,390	40,040	2.64%	
4,001,450	4,076,794	75,344	1.88%	
1,332,751	1,380,844	48,093	3.61%	
1,731,732	1,794,689	62,958	3.64%	
1,509,239	1,565,434	56,195	3.72%	
1,754,717	1,789,217	34,500	1.97%	
6,649,219	6,843,062	193,843	2.92%	
1,491,062	1,542,626	51,564	3.46%	
1,072,459	1,100,571	28,112	2.62%	
461,603	472,419	10,816	2.34%	
1,239,295	1,284,714	45,419	3.66%	
1,039,726	1,060,603	20,877	2.01%	
876,721	895,146	18,424	2.10%	
680,740	695,594	14,854	2.18%	
1,561,264	1,618,107	56,843	3.64%	
1,394,518	1,421,952	27,434	1.97%	
1,401,553	1,429,593	28,040	2.00%	
842,386	860,151	17,765	2.11%	
598,932			2.23%	
443,505	453,997		2.37%	
672,270			2.15%	
5,685,365			1.87%	
0		1		
63,344,780	65,003,999	1,659,219		