

CABINET AGENDA



Monday 17th September 2007

at 9.00am

**in the Red Room, Avondale Centre,
Dyke House, Hartlepool
(Raby Road entrance)**

MEMBERS: CABINET:

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond

Councillors Hall, Hargreaves, Hill, Jackson, Payne and Tumilty

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS

3. MINUTES

To receive the Record of Decision in respect of the meeting held on 3rd September 2007 (previously circulated)

4. BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

4.1 Food Law Enforcement Service Plan 2007-2008 – *Director of Neighbourhood Services*

5. KEY DECISIONS

5.1 Tees Valley Metro – *Director of Regeneration and Planning Services*

5.2 Notification to Establish a Business Improvement District (BID) for Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates – *Director of Regeneration and Planning Services*

6. OTHER ITEMS REQUIRING DECISION

6.1 Building Schools for the Future Stage 4 Consultation – *Director of Children's Services*

6.2 Local Area Agreement (LAA) Quarter 1 Summary of Performance Report 2007/08 – *Head of Community Strategy*

7. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION / INFORMATION

- 7.1 NRF, Capital and Accountable Body Programme Outturn Report 2006/2007 –
Chief Financial Officer
- 7.2 Quarter 1 – NRF, Capital and Accountable Body Programme Monitoring Report
2007/2008 – *Chief Financial Officer*

8. REPORTS FROM OVERVIEW OF SCRUTINY FORUMS

No items

9. LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985

EXEMPT ITEMS

Under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraphs referred to below of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

10. ITEMS REQUIRING DECISION

- 10.1 Hartlepool People Centre, 21 Raby Road – *Director of Neighbourhood Services*

CABINET REPORT

17 September 2007



Report of: Director of Neighbourhood Services

Subject: Food Law Enforcement Service Plan 2007-2008

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To consider the Food Law Enforcement Plan 2007/08

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

The report set out details of Hartlepool's Food Law Enforcement Service Plan 2007/08. The plan is a requirement of the Food Standards Agency and forms the basis on which the authority may be monitored and audited to verify whether the service provided is effective in protecting the public. The plan sets out the Council's aims in respect of its food law service. Whilst focussing on 2007/08, it also identified longer-term objectives as well as a review of performance for 2006/07.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

Executive to consider issues prior to presentation to Council.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

The Food Law Enforcement Plan is part of the Budget and Policy Framework of the Council.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Council on 25 October 2007 following consideration by Cabinet and Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum (8 August 2007).

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

To endorse the Food Law Enforcement Plan 2007/08 and recommend it for approval by Council on 25 October 2007.

Report of: Director of Neighbourhood Services

Subject: Food Law Enforcement Service Plan 2007/08

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To consider the Food Law Enforcement Service Plan for 2007/08, which is a requirement under the Budget and Policy Framework.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The Food Standards Agency has a key role in overseeing local authority enforcement activities. They have duties to set and monitor standards of local authorities as well as carry out audits of enforcement activities to ensure that authorities are providing an effective service to protect public health and safety.
- 2.2 On 4 October 2000, the Food Standards Agency issued the document "Framework Agreement on Local Authority Food Law Enforcement". The guidance provides information on how local authority enforcement service plans should be structured and what they should contain. Service Plans developed under this guidance will provide the basis on which local authorities will be monitored and audited by the Food Standards Agency.
- 2.3 The service planning guidance ensures that key areas of enforcement are covered in local service plans, whilst allowing for the inclusion of locally defined objectives.
- 2.4 A Food Law Enforcement Plan for 2007/08 is attached as **Appendix 1** and takes into account the guidance requirements.
- 2.5 The Plan has been previously considered by Cabinet on 24 July 2007 and by the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum on the 8 August 2007. No significant changes have been made to the document since Cabinet's previous considerations.

2 THE FOOD LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE PLAN

- 3.1 The Service Plan for 2006/07 has been updated to reflect last year's performance.

3.2 The Plan covers the following:

- (i) Service Aims and Objectives:
That the Authority's food law service ensures public safety by ensuring food, drink and packaging meets adequate standards.
- (ii) Links with Community Strategy, Corporate Plan, Departmental and Divisional Plans:

How the Plan contributes towards the Council's main priorities (Jobs and the Economy, Lifelong Learning and Skills, Health and Care, Community Safety, Environment and Housing, Culture and Leisure and Strengthening Communities).
- (iii) Legislative Powers and Other Actions Available:

Powers to achieve public safety include programmed inspections of premises, appropriate licensing/registration, food inspections, provision of advice, investigation of food complaints and food poisoning outbreaks, as well as the microbiological and chemical sampling of food.
- (iv) Resources, including financial, staffing and staff development.
- (v) A review of performance for 2006/07.

4. ISSUES

The main issues raised in the Plan are summarised below:

- 4.1 A total of 508 premises inspections were undertaken in 2006/07 this equates to 99% of all programmed inspections planned for the year. 213 microbiological samples and 175 compositional/labelling samples were taken, 14 of the samples were regarded as unsatisfactory, mainly as a result of high bacteriological counts and 5 were unsatisfactory as the labelling/composition was incorrect.
- 4.2 In February 2006 the Food Standards Agency (FSA) introduced Safer Food Better Business (SFBB) aimed at assisting smaller catering businesses to introduce a documented food safety management system. The Tees Valley authorities, in partnership with training providers, successfully received grant funding from the FSA to deliver free training and advisory visits. These were completed by February 2007 and a total of 290 Hartlepool food businesses attended one of the Safer Food Tees Valley workshops. Since this time our resources have been directed towards continuing to assist businesses to fully implement a documented food safety management system.

- 4.3 Changes in legislation requiring food businesses to have documented management systems has resulted in 161 re-visits being carried out during 2006/07 a dramatic increase on the previous years figure of 55. It is expected that this trend will continue in 2007/08 and it is estimated a further 340 re-visits will be required. This will further stretch our limited resources.

- 4.4 On 1 April 2007 the Council launched the Tees Valley Food Hygiene Award Scheme. Each business has been awarded a provisional star rating which reflected the risk rating given at the time of the last primary inspection. The star rating was made available to the public via the Council's website and the business was provided with a certificate to display on their premises. The service has made a commitment to work with businesses to improve their rating.

The introduction of this scheme has been well received and to date there have been over 90,000 hits on the website. This scheme has raised public expectations and will place increased emphasis on achieving our programmed inspections.

- 4.5 New EU food hygiene legislation applicable to primary production (farmers & growers) has come into effect. As local authority officers were already present on farms in relation to animal welfare and feed legislation, the responsibility has been given to us to enforce this legislation. It is estimated that there are 68 primary producers based on the 2004 Agricultural Census. The database at present does not reflect this new area of responsibility and will need to be updated throughout the course of the year.

- 4.6 During 2007/08 there are 427 programmed food hygiene inspections and 144 programmed food standards inspections planned, in addition to an estimated 340 re visits and 115 additional visits to new / changed premises. Such inspections must be carried out by a small team of officers with the suitable qualifications and competencies to undertake them. The volume of inspections and the need to carry out many of them outside normal working hours will place an additional demand on an already heavy workload further increased by the introduction of smoke free legislation.

- 4.7 The Food Standards Agency is encouraging authorities to employ an alternative enforcement strategy for low risk food premises by the employment of self-assessment questionnaires (as opposed to inspection). Given that low risk food premises often involve other legislation such as the Health and Safety at Work Act, it is intended to continue to inspect such premises.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 5.1 Cabinet is requested to endorse the draft Food Law Enforcement Service Plan 2007/08 and recommend approval by Council at the meeting held on 25 October 2007.



Hartlepool Borough Council

Food Law Enforcement Service Plan

2007/08

FOOD SERVICE PLAN 2007/08

This Service Plan accords with the requirements of the Framework Agreement on Local Authority Food Law Enforcement, and sets out the Council's aims in respect of its food law service and the means by which those aims are to be fulfilled. Whilst focussing primarily on the year 2007-08, where relevant, longer-term objectives are identified. Additionally, there is a review of performance for 2006-07 and this aims to inform decisions about how best to build on past successes and address performance gaps.

1. Background Information

Hartlepool is situated on the North East coast of England. The Borough consists of the town of Hartlepool and a number of small outlying villages. The total area of the Borough is 9,390 hectares.

Hartlepool is a unitary authority, providing a full range of services. It adjoins Easington District Council to the north, Sedgfield District Council to the west and Stockton on Tees Borough Council to the south. The residential population is 90,161 of which ethnic minorities comprise 1.2% (2001 census).

2. Service Aims and Objectives

Hartlepool Borough Council aims to ensure:

- That food and drink intended for human consumption which is produced, stored, distributed, handled or consumed in the borough is without risk to the health or safety of the consumer.
- Food and food packaging meets standards of quality, composition and labelling and reputable food businesses are not prejudiced by unfair competition.
- The effective delivery of its food law service so as to secure appropriate levels of public safety in relation to food hygiene, food standards and feeding stuffs enforcement.

In its delivery of the service the Council will have regard to directions from the Food Standards Agency (FSA), Approved Codes of Practice, the Enforcement Concordat, and guidance from Local Authorities Co-ordinators of Regulatory Services (LACORS).

Service delivery broadly comprises:

- Programmed inspection of premises for food hygiene and food standards
- Registration, licensing and approval of premises
- Microbiological and chemical analysis of food
- Food Inspection
- Provision of advice, educational materials and courses to food businesses
- Investigation of food and food-related complaints

- Investigation of cases of food and water borne infectious disease, and outbreak control
- Dealing with food safety incidents
- Promotional and advisory work

Effective performance of the food law service necessitates a range of joint-working arrangements with other local authorities and agencies such as the Health Protection Agency (HPA), Meat Hygiene Service (MHS), and the Food Standards Agency (FSA). The Council aims to ensure that effective joint-working arrangements are in place and that officers of the service contribute to the on-going development of those arrangements.

3. Policy Content

This service plan fits into the hierarchy of the Council's planning process as follows:

- Hartlepool's Community Strategy - the Local Strategic Partnership's (the Hartlepool Partnership) goal is "to regenerate Hartlepool by promoting economic, social and environmental wellbeing in a sustainable manner."
- Corporate (Best Value Performance) Plan
- Neighbourhood Services Departmental Plan
- Public Protection & Housing Divisional Plan
- Consumer Services Service Plan
- Food Law Enforcement Service Plan - sets out how the Council aims to deliver this statutory service and the Consumer Services section's contribution to corporate objectives

The Council's Community Strategy sets out its vision for 'a prosperous, caring, confident and outward looking community realising its potential in an attractive environment'. This Food Law Service Plan contributes towards the vision and the Council's seven main priorities in the following ways:

Jobs and the Economy

By providing advice and information to new and existing businesses to assist them in meeting their legal requirements with regard to food law requirements, and avoid potential costly action at a later stage.

Lifelong Learning and Skills

By providing and facilitating training for food handlers on food safety as part of lifelong learning, and promoting an improved awareness of food safety and food quality issues more generally within the community.

Health and Care

By ensuring that food businesses where people eat and drink, or from which they purchase their food and drink, are hygienic and that the food and drink sold is safe, of good quality and correctly described and labelled to inform choice.

Community Safety

By encouraging awareness amongst food businesses of the role they can play in reducing problems in their community by keeping premises in a clean and tidy condition.

Environment and Housing

By encouraging businesses to be aware of environmental issues which they can control, such as proper disposal of food waste.

Culture and Leisure

By exploring ways to promote high standards of food law compliance in hotels, other tourist accommodation, public houses and other catering and retail premises.

Strengthening Communities

By developing ways of communicating well with all customers, including proprietors of food businesses whose first language is not English, and ensuring that we deliver our service equitably to all.

This Food Law Enforcement Service Plan similarly contributes to the vision set out in the Neighbourhood Services Department Plan “to work hand in hand with communities and to provide and develop excellent services that will improve the quality of life for people living in Hartlepool neighbourhoods”. Within this, the Consumer Services Section has a commitment to ensure the safe production, manufacture, storage, handling and preparation of food and its proper composition and labelling.

The Council has in place a Food Law Enforcement Policy, which has been revised and subsequently approved by the Adult & Public Health Services Portfolio Holder on 21 March 2005.

The Council is committed to the principles of equality and diversity. The Food Law Enforcement Service Plan consequently aims to ensure that the same high standards of service is offered to all, and that recognition is given to the varying needs and backgrounds of its customers.

4. Legislative Powers and Other Actions Available

From 1 January 2006, new EU food hygiene legislation has applied throughout the UK. The introduction of the new legislation was to:

- modernise, consolidate and simplify the previous EU food hygiene legislation
- apply effective and proportionate controls throughout the food chain, from primary production to sale or supply to the final consumer
- focus controls on what is necessary for public health protection
- clarify that it is the primary responsibility of food business operators to produce food safely

The Council has a wide range of duties and powers conferred on it in relation to food safety functions.

The Council must appoint and authorise inspectors, having suitable qualifications and competencies for the purpose of carrying out duties under the Food Safety Act 1990 and Regulations made under it and also specific food regulations made under the European Communities Act 1972, which include the Food Hygiene (England) Regulations 2006 and the Official Feed and Food Controls (England) Regulations 2006.

Authorised officers can inspect food at any stage of the production, manufacturing, distribution and retail chain. The Council must draw up and implement an annual programme of risk-based inspections so as to ensure that food and feedingstuffs are inspected in accordance with relevant legislation, the Food Law Code of Practice and centrally issued guidance.

The sampling of food for the purposes of microbiological and chemical examination and analysis forms an integral part of the inspection process. It is a critical means of ensuring the microbiological and chemical safety of food, checking composition and labelling. The Food Safety (Sampling and Qualifications) Regulations 1990 provide the framework for sampling.

The inspection of food commodities again forms an integral part of the inspection process and is provided for by virtue of Sections 32 and 9 of the Food Safety Act 1990. The purpose of food inspection is to check that food complies with food safety requirements and is fit for human consumption. Section 9 also sets out provisions relating to the detention, seizure and condemnation of food.

It is recognised that whilst the inspection process is the primary means of securing compliance with food safety legislation, this can be enhanced by the provision of advice, educational materials and training courses.

The service is obliged to investigate complaints relating to the sale of food not complying with food safety requirements, or not of the nature, substance or quality demanded, or injurious to health, or unfit for human consumption, or

labelled or presented so as to mislead consumers. Similarly, the service responds to complaints alleging breaches of hygiene requirements.

The investigation of cases of food poisoning and outbreak control is a shared responsibility between the food law service and the County Durham and Tees Valley Health Protection Unit of the Health Protection Agency. Responsibility for the enforcement of measures to control food-borne disease rests with the local authority, with the Health Protection Agency having a statutory duty to designate medical officers to assist the local authority in carrying out their duties in this respect.

A national food incident warning system is in operation throughout the United Kingdom, which acts as a rapid alert system in respect of food related hazards. The food law service must ensure that any action specified by the Food Standards Agency in a food alert is undertaken promptly and in accordance with any risk assessment carried out by the Agency. If the Authority propose to take alternative action this must first be agreed with the Agency.

In addition to legislative requirements as above, local authority food law services are required to have regard to the Food Law Code of Practice and Practice Guidance which gives detailed direction to authorities on enforcement of food legislation.

There is currently a requirement to report to the Food Standards Agency annually on performance in relation to food law enforcement activities. Annual performance statistics for all authorities are now made publicly available by the Food Standards Agency and the best and worst performing councils are highlighted.

5. Service Delivery Mechanisms

Inspection Programme

Inspections carried out for food hygiene, food standards and for feeding-stuffs are carried out in accordance with the Council's policy and procedures on food premises inspections and relevant national guidance.

Information on premises liable to food law inspections is held on the ITECS computerised system. An inspection schedule is produced from this system at the commencement of each reporting year, in accordance with guidance issued by the Food Standards Agency.

The food hygiene and food standards inspection programmes are risk-based systems that accord with current guidance. The current premises profiles are shown in the tables below:

Food Hygiene:

Risk Category	Frequency of Inspection	No of Premises
A	6 months	8
B	12 months	108
C	18 months	377
D	24 months	137
E	36 months or other enforcement	122
Unclassified	Requiring inspection/risk rating	
Total		742

Food Standards:

Risk Category	Frequency of Inspection	No of Premises
A	12 months	
B	24 months	87
C	36 months or other enforcement	553
Unclassified		57
Total		697

The inspection programme for 2007/08 comprises the following number of scheduled food hygiene and food standards inspections:

Food Hygiene:

Risk Category	Frequency of Inspection	No of Inspections
A	6 months	16
B	12 months	108
C	18 months	204
D	24 months	26
E	36 months or alternative enforcement strategy	0
Unclassified		
Total		427

Additional to this inspection programme there are 3 manufacturing businesses (2 fishery products establishments and a kebab manufacturer) that are subject to approval under Regulation 853/2004. These are not included in the inspection programme but instead are subject to a minimum inspection frequency in 12 months as set out in the following tables, in accordance with current guidance.

Product Specific Inspections :

	Primary Inspection	Secondary Inspections	No in Hartlepool
Meat Products	1	2	0
Minced Meat and Meat Preparation	1	2	1
Dairy Products	1	1	0
Fishery Products	1	1	2
Egg Products	1	1	0
Shellfish Purification or despatch	1	1	0

New EU food hygiene legislation applicable to primary production (farmers & growers) has come into effect. On the basis the local authority officers were already present on farms in relation to animal welfare and feed legislation, the responsibility has been given to us to enforce this legislation. The service has estimated 68 primary producers based on the 2004 Agricultural Census. The database at present does not reflect this new area of responsibility and will need to be updated throughout the course of the year to reflect these premises.

Food Standards:

Risk Category	Frequency of Inspection	No of Inspections
A	12 months	0
B	24 months	69
C	36 months or alternative enforcement	75
Not classified		
Total		144

An estimated 10% of programmed inspections are of premises where it is more appropriate to conduct inspections outside the standard working time hours. Arrangements are in place to inspect these premises out of hours by making use of the Council's flexible working arrangements, lieu time facilities and, if necessary, paid overtime provisions. In addition, these arrangements will permit the occasional inspection of premises which open outside of, as well as during standard work time hours. The Food Law Code of Practice requires inspections of these premises at varying times of operation.

As a follow-up to primary inspections, the service undertakes revisits in accordance with current policy. It is estimated that such revisits are required in over 50% of instances (some premises requiring more than one revisit to check compliance). For the year 2007/08, the inspection programme would generate an estimated 340 revisits. A number of these premises revisits will

be undertaken outside standard working hours and arrangements are in place as described above to facilitate this.

It is anticipated that consistent, high quality programmed inspections by the service will, over time, result in a general improvement in standards, reducing the frequency for recourse to formal action.

The performance against inspection targets for all food hygiene and food standards inspections is reported monthly as part of the Neighbourhood Services Department internal performance monitoring. In addition, performance against inspection targets is reported quarterly to the Adult & Public Health Services Portfolio Holder as part of the Neighbourhood Services Department plan update.

Port Health

Although Hartlepool is a Port Health Authority it is not a border inspection post and therefore no food enters the port.

As of 15 June 2007 the Authority will be responsible for issuing Ship Sanitation Certificates, issued under the International Health Regulations 2005. The extent of this additional work has yet to be quantified.

Fish Quay

There is a Fish Quay within the Authority's area, which provides a market hall and associated fish processing units.

Alternative Enforcement Strategy for Low Risk Food Premises

From April 2005 an alternate enforcement strategy via "self assessment" may be employed for low risk food premises, i.e. those rated as food hygiene risk Categories E and food standards risk Category C, in accordance with guidance. Self-assessment usually consists of questionnaires for these businesses and a subsequent evaluation of the results of this self-assessment by officers. A percentage of those businesses returning questionnaires are visited to validate the information received, as well as businesses not responding. Inspection visits may also be made where a low risk business is the subject of complaint and where notification of change of business use or proprietorship is received. The Head of Public Protection believes that the best use of resources at this time is to continue to carry out inspections at these low risk premises. These inspections often cover other legislation such as Health & Safety at Work.

Registration and Approval of Premises

Food business operators must register their establishments with the relevant local authority in accordance with the requirement of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004. This provision allows for the service to maintain an up-to-date premises database and facilitates the timely inspection of new premises and,

when considered necessary, premises that have changed food business operator or type of food use.

The receipt of a food premises registration form initiates an inspection of all new food premises. In the case of existing premises, where a change of food business operator is notified, other than at the time of a programmed inspection, an assessment is made of the need for inspection based on the date of the next programmed inspection, premises history, and whether any significant change in the type of business is being notified. It is anticipated that approximately 115 additional premises inspections will be generated for new food businesses during 2007/08.

A competent authority must with some exceptions, approve food business establishments that handle food of animal origin. If an establishment needs approval, it does not need to be registered as well.

Premises which require approval include those that are producing any, or any combination of the following; minced meat, meat preparations, mechanically separated meat, meat products, live bivalve molluscs, fishery products, raw milk (other than raw cows' milk), dairy products, eggs (not primary production) and egg products, frogs legs and snails, rendered animal fats and greaves, treated stomachs, bladders and intestines, gelatine and collagen and certain cold stores and wholesale markets.

The approval regime necessitates full compliance with the relevant requirements of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 and Regulation (EC) 853/2004.

There are 3 premises in the Borough (2 fishery products establishments and a kebab manufacturer), which are subject to approval.

Microbiological and Chemical Analysis of Food

An annual food sampling programme is undertaken with samples being procured for the purposes of microbiological and chemical analyses. This programme is undertaken in accordance with the service's Food Law Sampling Policy.

All officers taking formal samples must follow the guidance contained in and be qualified in accordance with relevant legislative requirements and centrally issued guidance, including that contained in the Food Law Code of Practice and Practice Guidance. Follow-up action is carried out in accordance with the food law service's sampling policy.

Microbiological analysis of food and water samples is undertaken by the Newcastle Laboratory of the Health Protection Agency based at the General Hospital in Newcastle, and chemical analysis of samples by Tees Valley Measurement for informal samples and by the Council's appointed food examiner at the Public Analyst Durham County Council for formal samples.

From April 2005 sampling allocations from the Health Protection Agency, which is responsible for the appropriate laboratory facilities, has been based on a credits system dependant on the type of sample being submitted and examination required.

The allocation for Hartlepool is 8,300 credits for the year 2007-08, which includes sampling of water supply in food premises and pool waters.

Points are allocated as follows:

Sample type	No of credits
Food Basic	25
Water Basic	15
Dairy Products	15
Environmental	10
Formal samples	50

A sampling programme is produced each year for the start of April. The sampling programme for 2007-08 includes national and regional surveys organised by LACORS and HPA/Local Authority liaison group.

Sampling programmes have been agreed with the Food Examiners and Tees Valley Measurement (a joint funded laboratory based at Canon Park, Middlesbrough). These have regard to the nature of food businesses in Hartlepool and will focus on locally manufactured/processed foods and foods targeted as a result of previous sampling and complaints.

A proportion of the planned sampling programme is of imported foods in accordance with guidance from the Food Standards Agency.

Microbiological Food Sampling Plan 2007-08

April Local Shopping Basket Survey	May Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/ HPA Fresh herb survey	June Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/ HPA Fresh herb survey
July Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/ HPA Fresh herb survey LACORS/HPA Salads & sauces from kebab takeaways	August Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/ HPA Fresh herb survey Pre-packed fruit & salad	September Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/ HPA Fresh herb survey
October Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/ HPA Fresh herb survey Approved premises / locally manufactured products	November Local Shopping Basket Survey LACORS/HPA Edible seeds Imported foods	December Local Shopping Basket Survey Cooked meats from butchers shops
January Local Shopping Basket Survey Products purchased over the internet	February Local Shopping Basket Survey Imported foods	March Local Shopping Basket Survey

In addition to carrying out food sampling, arrangements are in place to enable inspections linked environmental sampling to be carried out,

The products sampled as part of the shopping basket survey include:

- Pasta salads
- Pease pudding
- Cooked ham
- Butter
- Cheese spread
- Cheese
- Yoghurt sundaes
- Cream cakes
- Salad garnish
- Cooked rice
- Ready to eat fish

Composition and Labelling Sampling Plan 2007-08

MONTH	TEST	SAMPLES
April	Meat content of meat pies from Parkers	3
	Chocolate Labelling	4
May	Floral origin of honey	12
June	Calcium claims on pre-packed foods (Labels of the pre-packed goods above)	8 8
July	Vitamin C in soft drinks, including drinks from Britvic (labels of the pre-packed goods above)	18 18
Aug	QUID – sandwiches concentrating on local producers pre – packed sandwiches (Labels of the pre-packed goods above)	15 15
Sept	Meat content of locally produced sausages	3
Oct	Meat species of takeaway meals	13
Nov	Spirits in Restaurants	10
Dec	Sodium in breakfast cereals (Labels of the pre-packed goods above)	12 12
Jan	Peanut proteins in takeaway meals (Imported food sampling)	12
Feb	Lead / Tin in canned fruit & vegetable (Labels of the pre-packed goods above)	3 3
Mar	Fat in ready meals (Labels of the pre-packed goods above)	18 18

Total samples = 205

Feeding Stuffs

It is planned that six informal animal feeding stuffs samples will be taken this year.

At present feeding stuffs sampling has been given a low priority due to the lack of local manufacturers and packers. Informal samples are, however, taken of packaged goods.

An annual feeding stuffs sampling plan has been drawn up to carry out informal sampling at the most appropriate time of the year in respect of farms, pet shops and other retail establishments.

Feedingstuffs Sampling Plan 2007/08

April - June	0
July - September	2 Broiler Chicken Feed
October - December	2 from grain stores for mycotoxins
January - March	2 Milk replacer

Private Water Supplies

There are two premises using private water supplies in their food production, one is a brewery and the other a soft drinks manufacturer. Regular sampling is carried out of these supplies in accordance with relevant legislative regulations.

Food Inspection

The purpose of food inspection is to check that food complies with food safety requirements and is fit for human consumption, and is properly described and labelled. As such, the activity of inspecting food commodities, including imported food where relevant, forms an integral part of the food premises inspection programme. Food inspection activities are undertaken in accordance with national guidelines.

Provision of Advice, Educational Materials and Courses to Food Businesses

Following changes in relation to certified courses we are reviewing the training courses offered by the section. Where we are unable to deliver courses we will advise businesses of alternative local providers.

It is recognised that for most local food businesses contact with an officer of the service provides the best opportunity to obtain information and advice on legislative requirements and good practice. Officers are mindful of this and aim to ensure that when undertaking premises inspections sufficient opportunity exists for business proprietors to seek advice. In addition, advisory leaflets produced by the Food Standards Agency are made available to business proprietors.

In February 2006 the Food Standards Agency (FSA) introduced Safer Food Better Business (SFBB) aimed at assisting smaller catering businesses to introduce a documented food safety management system. The Tees Valley authorities in partnership with training providers successfully received grant funding from the FSA to deliver free training and advisory visits. These were completed by February 2007 and a total of 290 Hartlepool food businesses attended one of the Safer Food Tees Valley workshops. Since this time our resources have been directed towards continuing to assist businesses to fully implement a documented food safety management system.

Guidance is also prepared and distributed to food businesses relating to changes in legislative requirements. This included sending out a newsletter to all food businesses within the borough. The service also encourages new food business proprietors and existing businesses to seek guidance and advice on their business. It is estimated that 56 such advisory visits will be carried out during the year.

On 1 April 2007 the Council launched the Tees Valley Food Hygiene Award Scheme. At this time each business was awarded a provisional star rating which reflected the risk rating given at the time of the last primary inspection. The star rating was made available to the public via the Council's website and the business was provided with a certificate to display on their premises. The service has made a commitment to work with businesses to improve their rating.

Feeding stuffs advice is available via the Council's web site.

A limited level of promotional work is also undertaken by the service on food safety, with minimal impact on programmed enforcement work.

Investigation of Food and Food-related Complaints

The service receives approximately 17 complaints, each year concerning food products, all of which are subject to investigation. An initial response is made to these complaints within two working days. Whilst many complaints are investigated with minimal resource requirements, some more complex cases may be resource-intensive and potentially affect programmed inspection workloads.

All investigations are conducted having regard to the guidance on the 'Home Authority Principle'.

The procedures for receipt and investigation of food complaints are set out in detailed guidance and internal policy documents.

Investigation of Cases of Food Poisoning and Outbreak Control

Incidents of food related infectious disease are investigated in liaison with the Durham and Tees Valley Health Protection Unit and in the case of outbreaks in accordance with the Health Protection Unit's Outbreak Control Policy.

Where it appears that an outbreak exists the Principal EHO (Commercial) or an EHO, will liaise with the local Consultant in Communicable Disease Control and, where necessary, the Director of Durham and Tees Valley Health Protection Unit, to determine the need to convene an Outbreak Control Team. Further liaison may be necessary with agencies such as the Food Standards Agency, the Health Protection Agency and Northumbrian Water.

Statistical returns are made weekly by the service to the Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre.

It is estimated that approximately 108 food poisoning notifications are received each year. Most cases are sporadic in nature and can be investigated as part of the normal day-to-day workload. It is recognised, however, that in the event of a major outbreak a significant burden is likely to be placed on the service and this would inevitably impact on the performance of the inspection programme.

Dealing with Food Safety Incidents

A national alert system exists for the rapid dissemination of information about food hazards and product recalls, this is known as the food alert warning system.

All food alerts received by the service are dealt with in accordance with national guidance and internal quality procedures.

Food alert warnings are received by the service from The Food Standards Agency via the electronic mail system, and EHCNet during working hours. The Principal EHO (Commercial Services) or, if absent, the Consumer Services Manager ensures that a timely and appropriate response is made to each food alert.

Out of hours contact is arranged through Richard Court, telephone number 01429 869424.

In the event of a serious local incident, or a wider food safety problem emanating from production in Hartlepool, the Food Standards Agency will be alerted in accordance with guidance.

Whilst it is difficult to predict with any certainty the number of food safety incidents that will arise during any 12 month period, it is estimated that the service is likely to be notified of between 60 – 80 food alerts during 2007/08, a small proportion of which will require action to be taken by the Authority. This level of work can ordinarily be accommodated within the day-to-day workload of the service, but more serious incidents may require additional resources and may have an effect on the programmed inspection workload and other service demands.

Investigation of Complaints Relating to Food Safety and Food Standards in Premises

The service investigates all complaints that it receives about food safety and food standards conditions and practices in food businesses. Initial response to any complaint is made within two working days. In such cases the confidentiality of the complainant is paramount. All anonymous complaints are also currently investigated.

The purpose of investigation is to determine the validity of the complaint and, where appropriate, to seek to ensure that any deficiency is properly addressed. The general approach is to assist the food business operator in ensuring good standards of compliance, although enforcement action may be necessary where there is failing in the management of food safety, or regulatory non-compliance.

Based on the number of complaints in 2006/07 it is estimated that approximately 56 such complaints will be received in 2007/08.

Feed Law Enforcement

From 1 January 2006 feed businesses must be approved or registered with their local authority under the terms of the EC Feed Hygiene Regulation (183/2005).

This replaces the previous arrangements (under EC Directive 95/69), as implemented by the Feeding Stuffs (Establishments and Intermediaries) Regulations 1999, which required feed businesses to be approved or registered if they were involved in the manufacture, use or marketing of certain feed additives.

This legislation relates to nearly all feed businesses. This means, for example, that importers and sellers of feed, hauliers and storage businesses will now require approval or registration. Livestock and arable farms growing and selling crops for feed are also within the scope of the provisions of the regulation.

Liaison Arrangements

The service actively participates in local and regional activities and is represented on the following:

- Tees Valley Food Liaison group
- The local HPA/Local Authority Sampling group
- Tees Valley Public Health group
- North East Trading Standards liaison group

Home Authority Arrangements

The Authority has no formal arrangements with food businesses to act as Home Authority at the present time. The Authority is originating authority for two premises, a brewery and a soft drinks manufacturer. Regular visits are made to these premises to maintain dialogue with management and an up to date knowledge of operations.

General

The delivery point for the food law enforcement service is at:

Civic Centre
Victoria Road
Hartlepool
TS24 8AY

Members of the public and businesses may access the service at this point from 08.30 - 17.00 Monday to Thursday and 08.30 - 16.30 on Friday.

A 24-hour emergency call-out also operates to deal with Environmental Health emergencies, which occur out of hours.

6. Resources

Staffing Allocation

The Director of Neighbourhood Services has overall responsibility for the delivery of the food law service. The Head of Public Protection has responsibility for ensuring the delivery of the Council's Environmental Health service, including delivery of the food law service, in accordance with the service plan. The Consumer Services Manager, with the requisite qualifications and experience, is designated as lead officer in relation to food safety and food standards functions and has responsibility for the day to day management of the service.

The resources determined necessary to deliver the service in 2006/07 are as follows:

1 x 0.25 FTE Consumer Services Manager (with responsibility also for Health & Safety, Licensing and Trading Standards)

1 x 0.35 FTE Principal EHO Commercial (with responsibility also for Health & Safety and Animal Health)

3 x FTE EHO (with requisite qualifications and experience and with responsibility also for Health & Safety)

1 x 0.56 FTE Part-time EHO (with requisite qualifications and experience and with responsibility also for Health & Safety)

1 x FTE Technical Officer Food (with requisite qualifications and experience)

The Consumer Services Manager has responsibility for planning service delivery and day to day management of the Food Law service, Health & Safety at Work, Licensing, Public Health, Water Quality, Trading Standards, Animal Health & Welfare and I.T. as well as general management responsibilities as a member of the Public Protection Management Team.

The Principal EHO (Commercial Services) has responsibility for the day to day supervision of the Food Law Service, Health & Safety at Work, Public Health, Water Quality and Animal Health & Welfare.

The EHO's have responsibility for the performance of the food premises inspection programme as well as the delivery of all other aspects of the food law service, particularly more complex investigations. In addition these officers undertake Health & Safety at Work enforcement.

The food technical officer is also responsible for inspections, as well as revisits, investigation of less complex complaints and investigation of incidents of food-borne disease.

Administrative support is provided by Support Services within Neighbourhood Services department.

All staff engaged in food safety law enforcement activity will be suitably trained and qualified and appropriately authorised in accordance with guidance and internal policy.

Staff undertaking educational and other support duties will be suitably qualified and experienced to carry out this work.

Financial Resources

The annual budget for the Consumer Services section in the year 2007/08 is:

	£000
Employees	732
Other	253.5
Support Recharges	120
Income	(166.3)
Net Budget	939.2

This budget is for all services provided by this section i.e. Health & Safety, Licensing, Trading Standards and resources are allocated in accordance with service demands.

Equipment and Facilities

A range of equipment and facilities are required for the effective operation of the food law service. The service has a documented procedure that ensures the proper maintenance and calibration of equipment and its removal from use if found to be defective.

The service has a computerised performance management system, ITECS. This is capable of maintaining up to date accurate data relating to the activities of the food law service. A documented database management procedure has been produced to ensure that the system is properly maintained, up to date and secure. The system is used for the generation of the inspection programmes, the recording and tracking of all food activities, the production of statutory returns and the effective management of performance.

During 2007/08 we will be migrating to the Authority Public Protection computer system

Training Plans

The qualifications and training of staff engaged in food law enforcement are prescribed and this will be reflected in the Council's policy in respect of appointment and authorisation of officers.

It is a mandatory requirement for officers of the food law service to maintain their professional competency by undertaking a minimum of 10 hours core training each year through attendance at accredited short courses, seminars or conferences. This is also consistent with the requirements of the relevant professional bodies.

The Council is committed to the personal development of staff and has in place Personal Development Plans for all members of staff.

The staff Personal Development Plan scheme allows for the formal identification of the training needs of staff members in terms of personal development linked with the development needs of the service on an annual basis. The outcome of the process is the formulation of a Personal Development Plan that clearly prioritises training requirements of individual staff members. The Personal Development Plans are reviewed six monthly.

The details of individual Personal Development plans are not included in this document but in general terms the priorities for the service are concerned with ensuring up to date knowledge and awareness of legislation, building capacity within the team with particular regard to vertical directive premises, the provision of food hygiene training courses, developing the role of the Food Safety Officer, and training and development of new staff joining the team.

Detailed records are maintained by the service relating to all training received by officers.

7. Service Review and Quality Assessment

Quality Assessment

The Council is committed to quality service provision. To support this commitment the food law service seeks to ensure consistent, effective, efficient and ethical service delivery that constitutes value for money.

A range of performance monitoring information will be used to assess the extent to which the food service achieves this objective and will include on-going monitoring against pre-set targets, both internal and external audits and stakeholder feedback.

Specifically the Principal EHO (Commercial Services) will carry out accompanied visits with officers undertaking inspections, investigations and other duties for the purpose of monitoring consistency and quality of the inspection and other visits carried out as well as maintaining and giving feedback with regard to associated documentation and reports.

The Best Value Performance Indicator BV166, applicable to Environmental Health, is subject to scrutiny. The target for attainment by the service against BV166 standard, which includes the provision of written enforcement policies, planned enforcement activity and measurement of customer satisfaction levels, is 100%.

It is possible that the Food Standards Agency may at any time notify the Council of their intention to carry out an audit of the service.

Review

It is recognised that a key element of the service planning process is the rational review of past performance. In the formulation of this service plan a review has been conducted of performance against those targets established for the year 2006/07.

This service plan will be reviewed at the conclusion of the year 2007/08 and at any point during the year where significant legislative changes or other relevant factors occur during the year. It is the responsibility of the Consumer Services Manager to carry out that review with the Head of Public Protection.

The service plan review will identify any shortfalls in service delivery and will inform decisions about future staffing and resource allocation, service standards, targets and priorities.

Any relevant amendments to the Council's Best Value programme will be incorporated into the service plan together with any matters identified through quality assessment audits.

Following any review leading to proposed revision of the service plan Council approval will be sought.

Performance Review 2006-07

This section describes performance of the service in key areas during 2006/07.

During 2006/07 the section has been fully staffed for the first time in a number of years. Steps were taken to ensure that inspections outstanding from the previous year (2005/06) were carried out in addition to all programmed inspections and inspections of new businesses.

Changes in legislation requiring food businesses to have documented management systems has resulted in 161 re-visits being carried out during 2006/07 a dramatic increase on the previous years figure of 55. It is expected that this trend will continue in 2007/08 with an estimated number of re-visit of 340 based on the first quarter of 2007/08. This will further stretch our limited resources.

Inspection Programme

The food premises inspection programme for 2006/07 did not quite reach the target of 100%. Due to difficulties in gaining access to certain premises 99% of Food Hygiene and Food Standards inspections were achieved. The outstanding inspections will be added to the programme for 2007/08.

Registration and Approval of Premises

Premises subject to approval were inspected and given comprehensive guidance with regard to approval requirements. .

Food Sampling Programme

The food sampling programme for 2006/07 has been completed. The microbiological results are:

Microbiological Sampling (1/4/06 31/3/07)

	Total number	Number of Samples	
	of samples	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
<u>Bacteriological Surveys</u>	168	159	9
Shopping Basket			
Raw Eggs	6	6	0
Ready to eat fish	21	20	1
Continental Market	5	2	3
Water Supply to mobile food vendors	7	6	1
Locally produced pies	6	6	0

The composition and labelling results are:

Food Standards Sampling (01.04.06 – 31.03.07):

Nature of Sample	Reason for Sampling	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Fish Products	Pictorial / fish content	2	0
Fruit Juice	Vitamin C content	18	0
Locally produced sandwiches	Labelling regulations	0	2
Takeaway foods	Meat species	4	3
Fish	Fish species	12	0
Meat Pies	Meat content	2	0
Sandwiches	Labelling salad cream / mayonnaise	24	0
Alcoholic drinks	Alcohol content	3	0
Diet / health foods	Fat content	19	0
Imported foods	Heavy metal content	10	0
Mineral / spring water	Nitrate content	24	0
Ready meals	Salt content	20	0
Cereal bars	Calcium content	8	0
Preserves	Sugar content	24	0

Where unsatisfactory samples are identified, officers carry out follow-up work to identify the cause and take appropriate action.

The programme of feeding stuffs sampling was undertaken. Feeding stuffs has been given a low priority due to the lack of local manufacturers and packers.

Food Inspection

The service undertook no formal seizure of unfit food in the year.

Promotional Work

In February 2006 the Food Standards Agency (FSA) introduced Safer Food Better Business (SFBB) aimed at assisting smaller catering businesses to introduce a documented food safety management system. The Tees Valley authorities in partnership with training providers successfully received grant funding from the FSA to deliver free training and advisory visits. These were completed by February 2007 and a total of 290 Hartlepool food businesses attended one of the Safer Food Tees Valley workshops. Since this time our resources have been directed towards continuing to assist businesses to fully implement a documented food safety management system.

The service was unable to provide food hygiene training during the year due to insufficient resources.

The team has continued to offer advice and information on request with 56 advisory visits to businesses being carried out during the year.

Food Hygiene Award Scheme

Development work was carried out in conjunction with the other Tees Valley authorities to introduce a Tees Valley Food Hygiene Award scheme. The scheme was based around a national pilot being undertaken by the Food Standards Agency.

In accordance with the 'Food Law Code of Practice', following every 'primary' inspection a risk rating is undertaken which is used to determine the frequency of inspection for the business. Of the seven main categories used to determine the overall rating score the following three factors are used to create a star rating:

1. Food Hygiene and Safety
2. Structure and Cleaning
3. Management and Control

These ratings are the only ones that are directly controllable by the business and are the reason they have been used to obtain the food businesses star rating.

The total score from the 3 categories is then used to derive the star rating ranging from 0 (major improvements needed) through to 5 stars (excellent).

The table below shows the results of the star ratings provisionally awarded to businesses on 1 April 2007:

Number of Stars	Number of Premises	Percentage of premises
5 Stars	24/759	3%
4 Stars	155/759	20%
3 Stars	226/759	30%
2 Stars	262/759	35%
1 Star	60/759	8%
0 Stars	32/759	4%

Complaints

During the year the service dealt with 56 complaints relating to the condition of food premises and food handling practice. In addition, 17 complaints of unfit or out of condition food, extraneous matter, mould and unsatisfactory labelling of food items were also received. These investigations have been undertaken all within our target of 2 working days; however, they have had some effect on performance of the inspection programme.

Food Poisoning

The service received 108 notifications of food poisoning during the year and investigated 3 outbreaks of infectious disease, all of which occurred in residential /nursing care homes and were found to be viral in nature

Food Safety Incidents

The Service received 68 food alerts from the Food Standards Agency during the year. All requiring action were dealt with expeditiously. No food incidents were identified by the Authority that required notification to the Food Standards Agency.

Enforcement

During 2006/07, no emergency prohibition notices were served on businesses where formal cessation of a food activity was necessary. Three improvement notices were served on businesses to ensure compliance with food safety issues. No prosecutions or formal cautions were undertaken.

Improvement Proposals 2006/07

The following areas for improvement were identified in the 2006/07 Food Service Plan.

1. To complete the process of approving / re approving relevant premises

Approvals have been granted to two establishments and the approval process is nearing completion for the third.

2. To ensure that all relevant premises are registered under food hygiene legislation

All relevant premises have been contacted and registered.

3. Review / internal audit of food quality system

This work is ongoing but has not yet been completed.

8. Key Areas for Improvement 2007/08

In addition to committing the service to specific operational activities such as performance of the inspection programme, the service planning process assists in highlighting areas where improvement is desirable. Detailed below are specifically identified key areas for improvement that are to be progressed during 2007/08.

1. Complete review / internal audit of food quality system
2. Produce a summary of the Food Enforcement Policy

3. Reduce the number of premises in bands 0-2 stars in food hygiene awards scheme by 5%
4. Record all food samples on the Authority Public Protection computer system

CABINET REPORT

17th September, 2007



Report of: THE DIRECTOR OF REGENERATION AND
PLANNING SERVICES

Subject: TEES VALLEY METRO

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report is very largely a generic report which is being considered by all the local authorities in the Tees Valley. The report seeks a commitment from each of the Tees Valley Local Authorities to an “in principle” funding contribution towards the Tees Valley Metro project as a fundamental part of the submission of a revised business case to the Department for Transport (DfT) and Network Rail in early 2008.

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

This report sets out the current status of the Tees Valley Metro project and its key benefits, both to the City Region and to each individual Local Authority, and in the context of emerging Government policy. The report seeks a commitment from each of the Tees Valley Local Authorities to an “in principle” funding contribution towards the Tees Valley Metro project as a fundamental part of the submission of a revised business case to the Department for Transport (DfT) and Network Rail in early 2008.

The report covers:

- Policy Context;
- Summary of the Preferred Scheme;
- Costs;
- Funding;
- Key Benefits;
- “Local” Contributions; and,
- Financial Implications.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

The Tees Valley Metro is a very significant strategic infrastructure system that will potentially influence the future development, sustainability and economic well-being of Hartlepool and all the communities in the Tees Valley. In particular where it is provided the Metro will increase social inclusion and enhance accessibility to services and centres of employment by public transport and encourage modal shift.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

Key, test (i) and (ii) apply.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

The decision will be made by Cabinet on 17th September 2007. The Hartlepool Partnership will also receive a presentation from the Joint Strategy Unit in October.

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

- a) Cabinet authorises the Director of Regeneration & Planning in consultation with the Mayor and the Neighbourhood & Communities Portfolio Holder to enter into negotiations with the other four Tees Valley Authorities to endeavour to provide a combined local funding contribution of 10% of the capital cost (currently around £14 million) towards the Tees Valley Metro project between 2011 and 2013;
- b) any contribution from Hartlepool Borough Council to the 10% of capital cost should be proportionate to the benefits accruing from the system as a whole to each authority, taking into account particularly the population of each authority and the timing of implementation of appropriate metro facilities serving Hartlepool;
- c) any “in principle” funding contribution commitment towards the Tees Valley Metro project is subject to the outcome of the further feasibility and costing exercise;
- d) the best viable configuration of metro services serving Hartlepool is explored, by the Director of Neighbourhood Services;
- e) a further report be presented to Cabinet and Council for the approval of the precise commitment required from Borough Council funds.

Report of: THE DIRECTOR OF REGENERATION AND
PLANNING SERVICES

Subject: TEES VALLEY METRO

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report is very largely a generic report which is being considered by all the local authorities in the Tees Valley. The report sets out the current status of the Tees Valley Metro project and its key benefits, both to the City Region and to each individual Local Authority, and in the context of emerging Government policy. The Tees Valley Metro is a very significant strategic infrastructure system that will potentially influence the future development, sustainability and economic well-being of Hartlepool and all the communities in the Tees Valley. In particular where it is provided the Metro will increase social inclusion and enhance accessibility to services and centres of employment by public transport and encourage modal shift.
- 1.2 The report seeks a commitment from each of the Tees Valley Local Authorities to an “in principle” funding contribution towards the Tees Valley Metro project as a fundamental part of the submission of a revised business case to the Department for Transport (DfT) and Network Rail in early 2008.
- 1.3 In order for the project to progress and be considered by the DfT and through the Regional Funding Allocation (RFA) process, an “in principle” funding commitment from each of the Tees Valley Local Authorities to provide a local contribution covering 10% of the capital cost is required. Any contributions from the Local Authorities (and other sources) are likely to be required between 2011 and 2013, and a confirmation on the exact contribution from each Authority will not be required until 2009/10.
- 1.4 The report covers:
- Policy Context;
 - Summary of the Preferred Scheme;
 - Costs;
 - Funding;
 - Key Benefits;

- “Local” Contributions; and,
- Financial Implications.

1.5 The main issues relating to these items are discussed under each heading below.

2. POLICY CONTEXT

- 2.1 The Government's 30 Year Strategy for Rail and High Level Output Specification, published in July 2007, makes reference to the need to look at more innovative and cost effective ways of providing rail-based solutions on suburban lines. This was the starting point for the development of the Metro proposals, and the Tees Valley could be an effective pilot of such new proposals. Network Rail's recent Route Utilisation Strategy (RUS) for the East Coast Main Line (ECML) states that capacity is increasingly becoming an issue on the ECML – part of the Metro proposals will, in fact, free up additional capacity on the ECML.
- 2.2 The recently issued Modifications to the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) included, as part of Policy 51 – Regional Public Transport Provision, the “development of a rail-based metro system” as a “priority for further investigation” for the Tees Valley City Region. The scheme is also listed in the Transport Investment Priorities in Table 4. The need to improve connectivity within and between City Regions is also set out in the Regional Economic Strategy (RES).
- 2.3 The development of the Tees Valley Metro scheme was also highlighted in the Tees Valley City Region Business Case, submitted to Government in October 2006. The need for the scheme to support long term regeneration aspirations for the City Region was set out, and the scheme was also referenced in the supporting Investment Plan, which is shortly to be incorporated within a new Multi-Area Agreement with Government.
- 2.4 The Tees Valley Metro scheme was also referenced within all of the Tees Valley Authorities' Second LTPs as a key intervention for which a detailed business case was being developed. The proposals also support many elements of the individual Community Strategies for the Tees Valley, particularly increasing social inclusion and enhancing accessibility to all services by public transport.

3. PREFERRED SCHEME

- 3.1 TVR has been developing the Metro proposal since November 2004, and in October 2006 produced an outline business case that set out the preferred option for Metro at that time. This was based on an assumption that the new system would be required to be almost completely segregated from the existing heavy rail network (albeit in the same alignment).
- 3.2 However, as discussed in the preceding section, DfT and Network Rail are now looking at an arrangement whereby such systems as that proposed for the Tees Valley can “share” track with existing heavy rail services, both passenger and freight. This offers possibilities for the Metro system such as additional services along the Durham Coast line to Hartlepool that could not have been considered previously.
- 3.3 The ECML RUS also noted the future conditions for the continuation of services to Bishop Auckland, which update the position contained in the Regional Rail Strategy (North East Assembly, 2004) from which the initial Metro proposals took their lead.
- 3.4 Finally, since October 2006, a significant amount of work has been undertaken to develop the Tees Valley Bus Network Improvements scheme (with a capital cost of some £40 million between 2008 and 2011), which aims to provide a “step change” in the provision of bus services across the Tees Valley. It is important that these proposals and the Metro proposals are complementary to provide a wholly integrated network.
- 3.5 Hence, some work has recently been undertaken to refine the Metro proposals, and the current elements of the scheme that will be assessed in terms of cost and feasibility over the next period of work are:
 - A four trains per hour service between Darlington and Saltburn throughout the working day;
 - New rolling stock with higher levels of passenger quality and comfort;
 - Up to five new stations along the route, serving key employment sites, major regeneration areas and Durham Tees Valley Airport;
 - Upgrades to all other stations along the route;
 - Supporting heavy rail/metro service enhancements to Hartlepool and Nunthorpe (the latter possibly with park and ride to serve East Cleveland);

- Complementary links to the existing Community Rail Partnerships along the Esk Valley and Bishop Auckland lines; and
- Integrated express bus services where heavy rail/metro services are not economically viable in the short term.

3.6 Members should be aware that detailed cost benefit evaluation remains to be undertaken on the additional elements, and that the proposed phasing of the implementation of the improvements will need to be addressed in terms of economic viability. **However, the need to provide an integrated network across the whole of the Tees Valley is fundamental to the development of the scheme.**

4. COSTS

- 4.1 During the project development to date, two separate value engineering and risk management exercises have been undertaken in order to provide a robust estimate of the capital costs. A Quantified Risk Assessment (QRA) has also been undertaken, following HM Treasury guidelines.
- 4.2 The capital cost estimate for the core section of the route, taken from Darlington to Saltburn, including the QRA cost, was estimated at £141.9 million (2005 prices). Over the next period further work will be done to calculate the costs and benefits and feasibility of the north south metro line including the link to Hartlepool and Nunthorpe. Total scheme costings are therefore still tentative though it has been suggested that on balance these should not increase dramatically.
- 4.3 In working with Network Rail, a new option for using shared running along much of the route is now being discussed, as described previously, which should reduce the level of new infrastructure required, and hence the comparative cost. On the other hand, there may be some additional costs associated with the elements of the scheme that are being examined in a little more detail in the next stage of work, that were not included in the core scheme.
- 4.4 In terms of operating costs, the total forecast annual operating cost for the core system is £6.6 million, compared with the estimated operating costs for the existing service of £5.7 million. This is due to increased frequency of service and higher staff costs, although maintenance and fuel costs are lower and the proportional operating cost increase is less than the frequency increase proposed.

- 4.5 However, due to the attractiveness of the system to existing trips and those new trips allied to the regeneration proposals, the new system will require less subsidy than the existing system for all of the ridership scenarios modelled to date. Under the central ridership scenario, the new system will require around £1.5 million less per year in subsidy payments, even with the increased frequency and quality of service for passengers. This subsidy saving benefits central Government directly.
- 4.6 The option for increased track-sharing currently being examined also assumes that the system remains part of the national rail network, which will not place additional operating cost risk on the Local Authorities if this model is pursued.

5. FUNDING

- 5.1 TVR is currently developing a robust funding plan. Discussions have been held with the DfT and Network Rail to move the project forward through an innovative funding mechanism, using a “balance sheet” of debits and credits.
- 5.2 The largest element of the future funding required for the local rail network that overlaps with Tees Valley Metro is subject to confirmation by Network Rail as part of their Business Plan for 2009 - 14. Although it is by no means certain that all, some, or indeed any, of this funding could be used within the “balance sheet”, if funding were diverted to the Metro project, then the funding “gap” would be lower than the total capital cost of the project.
- 5.3 TVR is now working to close this funding “gap”, aiming to determine suitable sources of funding by October and confirm the value of planned rail renewal work that will be superseded by the Metro project. This “gap” will need to be funded principally from regional sources, as well as the “local” contribution, as defined by the DfT and discussed in Section 7.
- 5.4 Crucially, TVR is working to secure a contribution from the DfT in respect of major transport schemes, and, in order to do this, position Metro favourably for the review of the RFA process to be undertaken later in 2007. In order to ensure that the project can be part-funded in this way, it is now necessary to secure an “in principle” commitment to a local funding contribution, otherwise the scheme will not be taken further within the RFA review.

- 5.5 However, for Members to understand the benefits that such an “in principle” commitment will bring, the following section includes a clear statement of the benefits, both in overall terms, and also to each of the Tees Valley Authorities.

6. KEY BENEFITS

- 6.1 The work done by TVR for the outline business case assessment showed that there was a strong economic benefit: cost ratio, even assuming a relatively modest transfer of ridership from the private car.
- 6.2 In addition to the reduced long term subsidy that accrues to central Government, other headline benefits of the proposals are as follows:
- Enhanced capacity on the ECML and Trans Pennine rail routes;
 - Opportunities for additional passenger and freight train services, particularly Teesport (although additional paths over and above those available at present are not needed as part of the current Northern Gateway proposals);
 - Potential to serve new markets along the Durham Coast whilst enhancing connections to Tyne and Wear;
 - Support sustainable development and contribute to reducing CO₂ emissions;
 - Support for economic regeneration and delivers significant uplift in GVA (currently calculated at some £400 million);
 - Significant accessibility and social inclusion benefits.
- 6.3 Significant local benefits would accrue to each of the Tees Valley Authorities, both with the proposals being considered at present, and as part of any future network extensions, as described in turn below:
- 6.4 In Darlington:
- New platforms at Bank Top adjacent to the Central Park development;
 - New station at Durham Tees Valley Airport;
 - Possible new station serving the football stadium or Morton Palms to support development opportunities;

- Release of track capacity on the ECML to allow more trains to run from Darlington to York and Newcastle;
 - Continued rail service on the Bishop Auckland line; and,
 - Complements plans to remodel Bank Top station and offers the opportunity to develop car parking adjacent to the new Metro platforms.
- 6.5 Looking beyond the core scheme, Metro offers the potential for a future street-running extension into Darlington town centre.
- 6.6 In Hartlepool:
- New services to Hartlepool Interchange (both bus and rail);
 - Enhanced heavy rail connections along the Durham Coast line, including Grand Central;
 - Supports development proposals within the town centre and at Victoria Harbour; and,
 - Creation of additional track capacity to allow more trains to run from Hartlepool to York and Middlesbrough.
- 6.7 Beyond the core scheme, Metro offers the potential for a future station serving Queen's Meadow.
- 6.8 In Middlesbrough:
- Fast and frequent connections to the ECML at Darlington, Grand Central at Eaglescliffe and Durham Tees Valley Airport;
 - Direct support for the Stockton Middlesbrough Initiative;
 - Possible new station at Teesside Leisure Park;
 - Support for the regeneration at Middlehaven and Middlesbrough Football Stadium, including a possible station; and
 - Possible extensions to Nunthorpe, including a park and ride site to serve East Cleveland and relieve peak hour congestion on key radial routes.
- 6.9 Looking beyond the core scheme, Metro offers the potential for a new station at James Cook Hospital with any extension to Nunthorpe, and a future street-running extension in Middlesbrough.

6.10 In Redcar and Cleveland:

- Fast and frequent connections to the ECML at Darlington, Trans Pennine Express at Middlesbrough and Grand Central at Eaglescliffe;
- Support for the Northern Gateway at Teesport;
- Possible new stations to serve residential areas such as The Inggs;
- Possible links to the Wilton site; and
- Possible extensions to Nunthorpe, including a park and ride site to serve East Cleveland.

6.11 Beyond the core scheme, Metro offers the potential for future services to East Cleveland and a street-running extension to Guisborough.

6.12 In Stockton-on-Tees:

- Fast and frequent connections to the ECML at Darlington, Trans Pennine Express at Thornaby, Grand Central at Eaglescliffe and Durham Tees Valley Airport;
- Direct support for the Stockton Middlesbrough Initiative; and,
- Support for development opportunities at Eaglescliffe, Preston Farm, Thornaby and Teesside Retail Park, including possible new stations.

6.13 Looking beyond the core scheme, Metro offers the potential for future street-running extensions to Stockton town centre and Ingleby Barwick.

7. “LOCAL” CONTRIBUTIONS

7.1 As set out in Section 5, in order to progress the scheme within the DfT’s appraisal process and qualify to be considered within the review of the RFA, an “in principle” commitment is required now. The DfT requires a “local” contribution to each major local transport scheme of *at least 10% of the gross capital cost*. At the present time, this equates to a combined funding contribution of £14 million.

7.2 However, the DfT does not necessarily define “local” as simply meaning a Local Authority contribution. “Local” contributions can also include the following within the DfT guidelines:

- European sources;
- Private sector;
- LTP funding already secured and diverted to the project; and
- Any other mechanisms outside a central Government grant.

7.3 Therefore, although at the present time, the Tees Valley Authorities are being asked to provide an “in principle” funding commitment to the Metro project, there are a range of additional funding sources that can be classed as “local” within the DfT’s definition that will need to be explored fully as the business case for the proposals is developed.

7.4 TVR and Officers will continue to work to maximise the value of the contribution from sources other than the Local Authorities during the next stage of the project, but the “in principle” funding commitment is still required at the present time in order for the project to progress.

8. FUNDING IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Based on the current capital cost estimate of £141.9 million, a 10% contribution is some £14 million, and hence there is a need to secure the “in principle” funding commitment for this sum between the Tees Valley Authorities.

8.2 Dividing this equally between each of the Authorities gives a contribution of around £3 million per Authority. However, it is suggested that any contribution should be proportionate to the benefits accruing from the system as a whole to each authority, taking into account particularly the population of each authority and the timing of implementation of metro facilities serving Hartlepool. Again, it should be stressed that TVR and Officers will continue to work to maximise the value of the contribution from sources other than the Local Authorities during the next stage of the project.

8.3 A draft timescale for implementing the improvements has been developed, linked to the period of the current Northern Rail franchise, which ends in 2013. This is viewed as the preferable date for any changes, given that any alteration to the existing franchise arrangements will incur a cost penalty.

8.4 Therefore, any “local” contributions from the Local Authorities (and other sources) are likely to be required between 2011 and 2013. Hence, a confirmation on the exact contribution from each Authority will not be

required until 2009/10, by which time more details will be available on the benefits of the scheme for each Authority, and the phasing of the project.

9. RECOMMENDATION

9.1 It is recommended that:

- f) Cabinet authorises the Director of Regeneration & Planning in consultation with the Mayor to enter into negotiations with the other four Tees Valley Authorities to endeavour to provide a combined local funding contribution of 10% of the capital cost (currently around £14 million) towards the Tees Valley Metro project between 2011 and 2013;
- g) any contribution from Hartlepool Borough Council to the 10% of capital cost should be proportionate to the benefits accruing from the system as a whole to each authority, taking into account particularly the population of each authority and the timing of implementation of appropriate metro facilities serving Hartlepool;
- h) any “in principle” funding contribution commitment towards the Tees Valley Metro project is subject to the outcome of the further feasibility and costing exercise;
- i) the best viable configuration of metro services serving Hartlepool is explored;
- j) a further report be presented to Cabinet and Council for the approval of the precise commitment required from Borough Council funds.

CABINET REPORT

17th September 2007



Report of: The Director of Regeneration & Planning Services

Subject: NOTIFICATION TO ESTABLISH A BUSINESS
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT (BID) FOR LONGHILL &
SANDGATE INDUSTRIAL ESTATES

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To give formal notification to Cabinet of the intention to undertake the necessary ballot for the establishment of a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates.

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

This report details the completed Business Plan (see appendix) that will be publicised to all businesses on the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates in anticipation of the proposed ballot for the establishment of the BID.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

This report follows on from the previous report to Cabinet on the 11th June 2007 that highlighted the work undertaken so far in developing a BID for Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates, what the theme of the proposed BID is and what the identified management arrangements are for the BID including the role of HBC.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

Key Decision, test i applies.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Cabinet 17th September 2007

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

Cabinet are asked to accept this report as the formal notification to pursue a ballot for the establishment of a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates.

Report of: The Director of Regeneration & Planning Services

Subject: NOTIFICATION TO ESTABLISH A BUSINESS
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT (BID) FOR LONGHILL &
SANDGATE INDUSTRIAL ESTATES

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To give formal notification to Cabinet of the intention to undertake the necessary ballot for the establishment of a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates.
- 1.2 Members will recall that a report was brought to Cabinet on 11th June 2007 that highlighted the work undertaken so far in developing a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates. This report included details of what the theme of the proposed BID is and what the identified management arrangements are for the BID including the role of HBC. Cabinet accepted this report as a pre-proposal notification to pursue a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates and agreed to officers continuing discussions with a view to completing the business plan and preparing for the ballot.

2.0 DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS PLAN

- 2.1 The Longhill and Sandgate BID Partnership consists of the Borough Council, L&S Business Association, Hartlepool New Deal for Communities, and Hartlepool Police.
- 2.2 Representatives from each of the Partnership organisations have formed a Management Board that has been responsible for developing and agreeing the Business Plan (**see appendix 1**).
- 2.3 The Partnership is in the process of developing its own constitution, with input from the Councils legal section that will govern the running of this partnership.
- 2.4 The Business Plan has been developed taking into consideration the various consultation with the Longhill and Sandgate businesses as well as relevant stakeholder organisations
- 2.5 A summary of the Business Plan is being sent out to all businesses that the BID will concern with full copies available on the website to download.

3.0 KEY FEATURES OF THE L&S BID

- 3.1 The installation of the proposed CCTV system for the two estates will be funded by Hartlepool NDC for £149,000 and HBC Community Safety Capital Grants Scheme for £31,200. A further £85,000 has been agreed through a Section 106 planning agreement with Tesco and their proposal to expand their store on the Longhill Industrial Estate.
- 3.2 It is therefore proposed that the Longhill & Sandgate BID will fund the monitoring, maintenance and updating of the proposed CCTV system.
- 3.3 However if finances allow the BID Partnership will have the power to re-profile budget headings and costs within the purpose and constraints of the agreed BID.
- 3.4 Hartlepool Borough Council will be the accountable body for the proposed BID and will have the role of collecting the levy from businesses, holding the money collected, arranging payments of invoices on expenditure as approved in accordance with the overall BID purpose and agreement.
- 3.5 It has been agreed that the BID levy will be 2% of the rateable value of all hereditaments in the proposed BID area. (The list of rate payers will be determined and monitored by the Councils rates section).
- 3.6 There will be two thresholds set to this levy, a minimum payment threshold of £100 and a maximum payment threshold of £1,500.
- 3.7 From the NNDR rates list held by the Council it is estimated that with the proposed 2% levy with the thresholds the annual amount collected will be £47,168 from about 200 businesses that are based in the BID area.
- 3.8 From the Optimum CCTV feasibility report undertaken the revenue costs have been estimated at £38,682 p.a. These costs may change when the services for monitoring and maintenance are reviewed. Any leftover funds will be used as a reserve fund to cover the cost of replacing the cameras in the future.
- 3.9 The BID is expected to run for 5 years starting from 1st April 2008 until 31st March 2013.

4.0 BALLOT

- 4.1 The L&S BID will only proceed if the formal ballot is successful and for this it must meet two tests:
 1. A majority (51%) in number of those voting must be in favour of the proposal.
 2. There must be a majority in the proportion of the aggregate rateable value of those voting

- 4.2 The ballot will be administered on behalf of Hartlepool Borough Council by Electoral Reform Services who has previous expertise in undertaking BID ballots.
- 4.3 The ballot will be a postal ballot
- 4.4 The returning officer for the ballot will be the Council's Chief Solicitor.

5.0 NEXT STEPS

- 5.1 This report serves as the formal notification to the Council to pursue the development of a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates and the undertaking of the necessary ballot.
- 5.2 The process for undertaking the ballot involves a number of steps that have to be taken as identified in the table below.

17/09/07	Formal proposal notification to HBC and the Secretary of State informing of the intention to undertake a BID and the necessary ballot.
28/09/07	Formal notice to ballot published in the local press and in direct mail to the businesses.
12/10/07	Ballot papers sent out to businesses
09/11/07	Final day for ballot

6.0 RECOMMENDATION TO CABINET

- 6.1 To accept this report as the formal notification to ballot for the establishment of a BID for the Longhill and Sandgate Industrial Estates area.

Longhill & Sandgate



Business Improvement District

5th + Draft

Business Plan
2008 to 2013

Contents

Project Summary	8
1. Business Improvement Districts Concept.....	8
2. The Need for a BID at Longhill & Sandgate	9
3. Location.....	10
Longhill & Sandgate Map	12
4. The Longhill & Sandgate BID Proposal.....	13
5. BID Services.....	13
6. The Longhill & Sandgate BID Partnership.....	14
7. Funding.....	14
8. BID Ballot.....	15
9. BID Levy & Collection	15
10. Alteration of BID Arrangements.....	16
11. Baseline.....	16

Project Summary

The Longhill & Sandgate Business Improvement District aims to improve the safety and security of the customers and businesses based on the Longhill & Sandgate Industrial Estates area. This will be achieved through establishing estates wide CCTV coverage, providing cost effective security for all of the businesses on the Longhill & Sandgate Industrial Estates at vulnerable times.

This initiative to monitor, maintain and update the system will be funded through the introduction of a Business Improvement District (BID), a mechanism for collecting the revenue needed through a self-imposed business levy to the businesses on the Longhill & Sandgate estates area.

The plan for a Longhill & Sandgate BID has developed from an initial request from the Longhill & Sandgate Business Association who represents the business community of the Longhill & Sandgate Industrial Estates area, for a partnership with Hartlepool Borough Council to enhance the safety & security provisions enveloped within the wider Longhill & Sandgate Improvement Scheme.

The key priority of the Longhill & Sandgate Business Association is to improve the security of the area. Crime has been identified as a significant concern for resident businesses and a number of measures have already been undertaken under the umbrella of the Longhill & Sandgate Improvement Scheme.

This initiative will give businesses the opportunity to vote for further crime reduction measures to be implemented over the coming years.

(pics)

1. Business Improvement District - Concept

The Business Improvement District Regulations 2004 enable local businesses and other stakeholders to form partnerships to implement improvements, which will benefit their local area.

BIDs work in addition to existing services provided by the local authority to encourage the revitalisation of an area, addressing issues such as image, security, environment and transport.

Businesses will decide on issues they want to address, the amount of funding to be raised and the services they want to implement.

These must be agreed upon by a majority of ratepayers in the BID area via a ballot. Approval of the BID would have to meet two tests:

1. Firstly, a simple majority of those voting in the BID ballot must vote in favour.
2. Secondly, those voting in favour must represent a majority by rateable value.

Any improvements will be funded via a supplement to the business rate billed by the local authority.

(pics)

2. The need for a BID at Longhill & Sandgate

Longhill & Sandgate are strategic industrial sites in Hartlepool located on the A689 and the motorway network and close to the town centre. The estates consist of approximately 220 businesses, with plenty of scope for expansion.

The ARUP report commissioned by the NDC in August 2002 clearly identified that crime was a major concern for resident businesses on the Longhill & Sandgate estates. Nationally, the Chamber of Commerce has estimated that crime costs UK business £19 billion a year and escalated by 24% in 2003, because of security initiatives already undertaken on Longhill & Sandgate the crime levels have reduced and a BID initiative will continue the positive focus on this issue.

Following the Arup study, funding of £1.9 million from the NDC (New Deal for Communities) was secured to undertake a comprehensive improvement strategy for the Longhill & Sandgate Industrial Estates area that included the following projects:

- A Business Liaison Manager.
- Comprehensive street lighting improvement scheme
- Highway improvements to aid access to and from the estates.
- ETF (Environmental Task Force)
- Improved street cleaning
- Landscaping and void site clearance
- Business Improvement Grants
- Business Security Grants.
- Gateway Signage
- Fly Tipping control
- Greater control of illegal fires on site.
- Abandoned vehicle removal & control

Since the launch of the scheme in 2003 reported crime has reduced significantly. However, there is a concern that criminal activity may have been dispersed to other areas and could return having an impact on production, increasing insurance premiums and subsequently the bottom line if the momentum is not maintained.

There has been extensive consultation undertaken with the businesses of the Longhill & Sandgate Industrial Estates area to date that has resulted in the provision for safety & security on the estates as being a priority. From these consultations the businesses have expressed their requests for additional security for the Longhill & Sandgate and the merits of various delivery methods such as, private patrols, warden schemes, CCTV, and the level of cover required at vulnerable times.

Some of the consultations already undertaken include:

- Arup Report (This included information taken from the 2000 MORI Household Survey, 2000 NEMS Business Survey & TVJSU)
- Business Association meetings
- Individual canvassing – Consultants, BA officers, 'E'-Mail, telephone and meetings with the Economic Development Team.

The NDC in partnership with Hartlepool Borough Council has been consulting with the Longhill & Sandgate Business Association about the introduction of a CCTV system that will cover both estates since the inception of the Longhill & Sandgate Improvement Scheme and the BID is seen as the appropriate vehicle for the introduction of this major security initiative.

The consultation undertaken (individual canvassing of businesses) in 2006 clearly demonstrated that the businesses would be willing to contribute towards the running of an estates wide CCTV system.

The Business Association, who agreed that there was sufficient demand demonstrated to pursue a CCTV project, discussed the results of this consultation and decided that the best way to administer the running cost fairly to all businesses would be through developing a BID.

The Longhill & Sandgate BID has the support of the Cleveland Police, who has provided support and crime advice to the partnership during the development of this plan.

3. Location

The boundary of the proposed Longhill & Sandgate BID includes the industrial areas of the Longhill Industrial Estate and the Sandgate Industrial Estate, in the Borough of Hartlepool. The site occupies land reclaimed from the closure of a British Steel Plant in the early 70s.

It is formed by the following boundaries: -

- to the north, Burbank St/Moreland St
- to the east, Middlesbrough/Newcastle railway line
- to the south, the boundary of the industrial estate
- to the west, the A689 Belle Vue Way

It includes the following roads within its boundary: -

- Windemere Road
- Redworth Street
- Moreland Street
- Green Street
- Thompson Street
- Clark Street (part)
- Coniston Road

- Thomlinson Road
- Ullswater Road
- King Street
- Sarah Street
- Ladysmith Street
- Sydenham Road (part)
- Casebourn Road
- Greatham Street
- Baltic Street
- Oxford Street (part)
- Burn Road
- Mainsforth Terrace
- Ainsly Street
- Wood Street
- Sadler Street
- Havelock Street
- Whitby Street South
- Pilgrim Street
- Dundas Street
- Bowser Street
- Cromwell Street
- Freville Street
- Bertha Street

(pics)

Longhill & Sandgate Map



4. The Longhill & Sandgate BID Proposal

The Longhill & Sandgate Business Association and Hartlepool Borough Council jointly propose the Longhill & Sandgate BID.

Hartlepool Borough Council is the local authority and billing authority for the Longhill & Sandgate estates.

The Longhill & Sandgate BID proposal evolves the partnership of the L&S Business Association, HBC, NDC and Cleveland Police (Hartlepool District).

If the L&S BID is approved the BID will start on 1st April 2008 and operate until 31st March 2013.



5. BID Services

Following the various consultations outlined in section 2, there was a strong consensus from businesses to implement an estates wide CCTV system. It is therefore proposed that the Longhill & Sandgate BID will improve the safety and security of businesses, customers, employees and visitors and will fund the monitoring, maintenance and up-grade of the estates wide CCTV.

The service will consist of up to 15 cameras recorded 24hr and monitored for 12hrs in the evening and 24hrs at weekends (this may expand during winter months).

Hartlepool NDC and HBC along with help from Cleveland Police (Hartlepool Division) and the Businesses Association have agreed that they will utilise policies, procedures and good practices commonly used within the management of CCTV systems to make sure that all regulatory and legislative requirements are met and

they will positively pursue the security & safety of everyone who works, uses or visits the estates.

6. The Longhill & Sandgate BID Partnership

The proposal is for the Partnership to consist of, the Longhill & Sandgate Business Association, Hartlepool Borough Council, Hartlepool New Deal for Communities and Cleveland Police (Hartlepool Division).

The make up of the partnership board is set out below.

Longhill/Sandgate Business Association	3 representatives (from the Business Association executive)
Hartlepool Borough Council	2 representatives (Regeneration Portfolio Holder and Director of Regeneration & Planning)
Hartlepool NDC	2 representatives (Director of NDC and one NDC Resident Steering Group Rep)
Hartlepool Police	1 representative (District Commander)

The partnership will have its own agreed constitution that will provide the rules and regulations that will govern the running of the organisation.

The accountable body will be Hartlepool Borough Council and will manage the finances on behalf of the partnership.

7. Funding

The project budget is shown in the table below for the anticipated five years of the BID.

INCOME	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	TOTAL
BID payments	£47,168	£47,168	£47,168	£47,168	£47,168	£235,840
In-kind cont.						
Other						
TOTAL	£47,168	£94,336	£141,504	£188,672	£235,840	£235,840

EXPENDITURE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	TOTAL
Monitoring	£18,500	£18,500	£18,500	£18,500	£18,500	£92,500
Maintenance	£5,235	£5,235	£5,235	£5,235	£5,235	£26,175
Transmission	£14,947	£14,947	£14,947	£14,947	£14,947	£74,735
Other						
TOTAL	£38,682	£77,364	£116,046	£154,728	£193,410	£193,410

NOTE. All expenditure costs are estimates from the Optimum CCTV feasibility study.

8. BID Ballot

The Longhill & Sandgate BID proposal will only proceed if a formal BID Ballot is successful.

The BID Ballot will be administered by Electoral Reform Services on behalf of the BIDs Partnership in accordance with the BID Ballot Regulations (2004). It will be a postal ballot.

All listed non-domestic ratepayers within the BID boundary on 09/11/2007 will be entitled to one vote per hereditament. In the case of a vacant property the property owner will be entitled to vote.

(pics)

The ballot will need to meet two tests.

- Firstly, a majority (51%) in number of those voting must be in favour of the proposal.
- Secondly, there must be a majority in the proportion of the aggregate rateable value of those voting.

Full voting procedures will be issued with ballot papers.

9. BID Levy & Collection

The BID levy will consist of one payment over and above the normal rates paid.

A basic levy of 2% of the rateable value charged on all hereditaments within the Longhill & Sandgate BID area.

There will be a minimum payment threshold of £100 and a maximum payment of £1,500

No individual hereditaments within the L&S BID area will be exempt from the BID levy.

The levy will be based on the 2006 Draft Rating Assessment as listed on the Valuation Office website at 30th November 2006 (www.voa.gov.uk)

Any new hereditaments added to the Non-Domestic Rating List during the initiative will be charged the basic levy that applies.

Where any business is in occupation of a hereditament for a part of any chargeable period, that business will only be liable for a proportionate BID levy.

Where a premise is vacant, the liability to pay the annual BID levy, or proportion thereof, will revert to the legal occupier (e.g. leasehold or freehold interest etc.)

Hartlepool Borough Council will collect the levy through the Direct Debit system using the ten monthly payment process that it uses at present for collection of non-domestic rates; this does not remove the right for a business to pay in one payment if it so wishes.

A separate bill will be sent out for the BID Levy, this bill will show the amount that each business will pay.

(pics)

10.Alteration of BID Arrangements

The BID area cannot be changed nor the BID levy percentage increased without an alteration ballot.

The L&S BID Partnership Board will have the power to reprofile budget headings and costs within the constraints of the revenue received through the BID and for the purposes set out for the BID.

11.Baseline

Part of the legislative requirement of undertaking a BID is to have a baseline agreement with the local council for all of the services provided by the council within the BID area.

The Longhill & Sandgate BID is focused on security (CCTV) but the baseline agreement is still required as the BID can be expanded to cover environmental, traffic or highways improvements.

For the purpose of the baseline agreement, the geographical areas mapped out as within the two estates have been identified for inclusion; these being areas of adopted highway and therefore within the Councils remit of responsibility for maintenance:

All other areas within the estate are not adopted and are therefore not included within the baseline agreement.

Cleansing

Manual Street Cleansing	Only by ILM and NDC
Mechanical Street Cleansing	Large Mechanical once per week
Fly Tipping Removal	As required
Graffiti Removal	As required
Fly Poster Removal	As required
Weed spraying of hard standing area	Twice per year perhaps more by ILM

Horticultural Services

Grass Cutting	Every two weeks or so during summer months
Landscaping	As required
Shrub bed Maintenance	As required
Weed spraying	Twice per year perhaps more by ILM

Highway Maintenance

Highway Inspection statutory duty	Walked inspection twice yearly
Winter Maintenance / Gritting	This does not take place
Salt Bins	There are no grit bins that we provide
Pavement Maintenance	This takes place albeit not a priority
Road Maintenance	This takes place albeit not a priority
Street Furniture Maintenance	As required
Street Lighting Maintenance	As required

CABINET REPORT

17th September 2007



Report of: Director of Children's Services

Subject: BUILDING SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE STAGE 4
CONSULTATION

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

Members are requested:

- to note the previous Cabinet decision (19th March 2007) to authorise consultation on the possible co-location of Springwell and Catcote schools;
- to authorise additional consultation on projects of special educational needs provision that complement provision at Springwell and Catcote;
- to authorise the Project Board to prepare the necessary consultation documentation and programme.

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

The report provides background information about provision for pupils with special educational needs (SEN) in Hartlepool and information about consultation on SEN issues undertaken so far as part of BSF consultation. It recommends that further consultation should be undertaken about a range of SEN issues.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

Building Schools for the Future will have a significant impact on the future provision of mainstream and special education in Hartlepool.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

Non key.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Cabinet 17th September 2007.

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

Members are requested:

- to note the previous Cabinet decision (19th March 2007) to authorise consultation on the possible co-location of Springwell and Catcote schools;
- to authorise additional consultation on projects of special educational needs provision that complement provision at Springwell and Catcote;
- to authorise the Project Board to prepare the necessary consultation documentation and programme.

Report of: Director of Children's Services

Subject: BUILDING SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE STAGE 4
CONSULTATION

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

Members are requested:

- to note the previous Cabinet decision (19th March 2007) to authorise consultation on the possible co-location of Springwell and Catcote schools;
- to authorise additional consultation on projects of special educational needs provision that complement provision at Springwell and Catcote;
- to authorise the Project Board to prepare the necessary consultation documentation and programme.

2. BACKGROUND – SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS (SEN)

In 1998 Hartlepool adopted a vision and aim for inclusive education. Hartlepool's mission statement for inclusive education is as follows:

'Hartlepool Council believes that all children should have an equal opportunity to have access to a broad and balanced curriculum and to be included in all activities at school that are open to pupils of their age group. The Council aims to secure this equal opportunity for every child by promoting and supporting the development of an inclusive education within mainstream schools and by ensuring that ultimately every child is able to access a mainstream school and receive appropriate support in respect of any special educational needs they may have. This is a long term aim which will be worked towards over a number of years. The needs of individual children will remain paramount and Hartlepool special schools will form part of the provision both in relation to individual children and in their supporting role to mainstream schools.'

This Mission Statement has been supported by strong plans and processes developed in consultation with all key stakeholders. As a result, Hartlepool has a very strong local, regional and national reputation for the effectiveness of its SEN provision. This was recognised by inspectors in the recent Joint Area Review report.

3. PROVISION FOR SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS (SEN)

There is a range of provision for SEN in Hartlepool, ranging from provision in special schools and resource bases through to support for inclusion in mainstream education. Hartlepool has two special schools:

- Springwell Special School caters for primary age pupils;
- Catcote Special School caters for secondary age pupils. It has recently acquired Business and Enterprise status. It also provides vocational education for Key Stage 4 (secondary age pupils) and, on a franchise arrangement with Hartlepool College of Further Education, for pupils aged post 16.

Both of these special schools have developed their services to support inclusive education by providing outreach support to mainstream pupils and 'in-reach' support for 'guest' pupils who would benefit from a short period in a special school.

Hartlepool also has a number of specialist resource bases, as follows:

- High Tunstall resource base for pupils with physical and medical difficulties;
- Brierton resource base for pupils with autistic spectrum disorders (ASD) : (Cabinet has agreed in principle to this resource transferring to Manor if Brierton closes);
- Jesmond Road Primary School : resource base for Key Stage 1 pupils with learning difficulties;
- Grange Primary School : resource bases for pupils with physical and medical difficulties (Key Stage 1 and 2) and learning difficulties (Key Stage 2);
- Owton Manor Primary School : resource bases for pupils with speech and language difficulties (Key Stage 1 and outreach Key Stage 2) and learning difficulties (Key Stage 2);
- Golden Flatts Primary School : resource base for pupils with learning difficulties (Key Stage 2);
- Kingsley Primary School: resource base for pupils with autistic spectrum disorder (Key Stage 1 and 2).

The Council also has an Access to Learning service which provides for excluded pupils, Home and Hospital tuition, anxious and phobic pupils and pupils with behavioural, emotional and social difficulties (BESD).

4. BACKGROUND – BUILDING SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE (BSF)

As part of Stage 2 consultation on Building Schools for the Future, more detailed consideration was given to options for Catcote and Springwell schools and the possibility of co-locating them on the same site. The possibility that other facilities could share the site e.g. health service facilities and community facilities was also noted. Some aspects of these suggestions were widely supported but others were less popular, e.g. the suggestion of identifying the

facilities as 'a learning village' which was seen as a confusing concept, not easily understood.

It was determined that further consultation in relation to the possible co-location of Springwell and Catcote Special Schools should be subject to a separate round of consultation at Stage 4. It is now recommended that some additional SEN issues should be incorporated within this consultation process.

5. ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION AT STAGE 4 CONSULTATION

There are a number of issues in relation to special educational needs which can usefully be incorporated into the Stage 4 consultation process, as follows:

(i) **Co-location of Catcote and Springwell Special Schools**

As previously indicated, the main focus of consultation in Stage 4 will be consultation Cabinet has already approved in relation to the potential for the co-location of Springwell and Catcote schools. This consultation can include the principle of co-location, the possible site for co-location, the possibility of phasing the co-location, additional facilities/services which might be provided, the potential for sponsorship and a name for the new facility. It can also seek views on the continued development of outreach and preventative services.

(ii) **Provision for pupils with behavioural, emotional and social difficulties (BESD)**

As part of the policy for inclusion, there has been a strong emphasis on trying to ensure that, wherever possible, children's and young people's needs are met within Hartlepool, using existing specialist provision or providing individual packages of support where appropriate. Historically children with behavioural, emotional and social difficulties (BESD) whose needs cannot be met in Hartlepool have been placed in special schools in neighbouring authorities (which were part of the former Cleveland local authority). As these authorities have reconfigured their provision to meet the needs of their own school populations, it has become increasingly difficult for Hartlepool to access places in their special schools. Consequently, a significant number of young people with statements of special educational need for BESD were placed within the facilities at Access to Learning, which was primarily intended to meet the needs of excluded pupils. Whilst there is an overlap in the range of needs of these pupils, their needs are not identical and it has been recognised that placement at Access to Learning is not an appropriate long term solution for this group. A temporary service level agreement has been drawn up with Catcote Special School, which has now taken over the responsibility for the delivery of education to pupils with statements for BESD, although the pupils currently remain on site at the Access to Learning premises on Brierton Lane. It is recommended that the consultation should consider the formal transfer of this provision to Catcote School from Access to Learning.

(iii) Access to Learning (A2L) and provision for excluded pupils

From September 2007, there is a requirement for schools and their local authority to work in partnership to secure appropriate provision for excluded pupils. In Hartlepool, a Behaviour and Attendance Partnership has been established to govern this within the overall framework of an Education Improvement Partnership. It is recommended that the Stage 4 consultation process be used to publicise the changes which the partnership is making to A2L and to seek comments on:

- the future size of Pupil Referral Unit in the light of successful reductions in exclusions;
- the need for preventative and outreach work to prevent exclusions;
- possible location of the service in the short and medium term.

(iv) Outreach support by special schools

Springwell and Catcote schools support mainstream pupils through outreach and guest pupil support. The current support is in the form of support staff, such as Teaching Assistants, working directly with individual children. There is currently no arrangement in place for senior, experienced specialist teachers to visit mainstream schools to give advice on a regular basis.

(v) Secondary resource bases

There is a strong commitment from Hartlepool secondary schools to continue to build on the principles of inclusion. In two cases, however, additional support bases have been provided (Brierton and High Tunstall) to meet the needs of particular groups of children. In relation to Brierton, the Stage 3 consultation documentation suggested that, if Brierton Community School were to close, the additionally resourced provision to pupils with autistic spectrum disorder currently based at Brierton could either close or transfer to Manor College of Technology. Cabinet met on 3rd September 2007 and decided to proceed to publish a formal proposal to discontinue Brierton Community School on 31st August 2009. Cabinet also decided to transfer ASD provision to Manor College of Technology, should Brierton close, with additional resourcing to support outreach.

The resource base at High Tunstall for pupils with physical and medical difficulties continues to provide a well-regarded service in the town, but it would be possible to include in the consultation the possibility of the resource base developing outreach provision.

(vi) Primary resource bases

The majority of the primary resource bases have reviewed their operation to provide more inclusive provision and no further change is proposed. In one case, however, successful developments in mainstream schools suggest a need to review resource base provision. Because of improvements in inclusive provision for young children with learning difficulties in mainstream primary schools and the outreach and guest pupil support available from Springwell, the resource base at Jesmond Road for

Key Stage 1 pupils has been under-utilised and currently has no pupils on role. It is recommended that the consultation should include a proposal to close this resource base.

5. THE STAGE 4 CONSULTATION PROCESS

Subject to Cabinet approval it is proposed that the fourth stage of consultation on Building Schools for the Future and provision for special educational needs in Hartlepool begins on 24th September 2007 and runs until 26th October 2007.

The aims of the fourth round of consultation will be to seek the views of a range of stakeholders about meeting the needs of those children who require more help with their education than the majority of other children i.e. those children with special educational needs.

The consultation will be town wide but with particular focus on pupils, parents, staff and governors from Springwell, Catcote, Jesmond Road and High Tunstall as well as the wide range of stakeholders included in earlier rounds of consultation.

The BSF Project Board has already begun to discuss the detail of consultation documents and activity subject to Cabinet approval of the range of issues.

6. DECISIONS REQUIRED

Members are requested:

- to note the previous Cabinet decision (19th March 2007) to authorise consultation on the possible co-location of Springwell and Catcote schools;
- to authorise additional consultation on projects of special educational needs provision that complement provision at Springwell and Catcote;
- to authorise the Project Board to prepare the necessary consultation documentation and programme.

7. CONTACT OFFICER

Sue Johnson, Assistant Director (Planning & Service Integration) tel: 523773.

CABINET REPORT

17th September 2007



Report of: Head of Community Strategy

Subject: LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT (LAA) QUARTER 1
SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE REPORT 2007/08

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To inform Cabinet of performance against the Local Area Agreement (LAA) 2007/08.

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

The LAA includes 36 priority outcomes, structured around the seven Community Strategy Themes. Appendix 1 shows a summary of progress against the Local Area Agreement Indicators and pooled funding allocations for each of the 36 LAA Outcomes.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

Hartlepool's LAA is a three year agreement (2006-09) based on the Community Strategy that sets out the priorities for Hartlepool and forms an agreement between Central Government (represented by GONE) and a local area represented by Hartlepool Borough Council and other key partners through the Hartlepool Partnership. The LAA is the delivery plan of the Community Strategy.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

Non key.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Hartlepool Partnership 7 September 2007, Cabinet 17 September 2007.

6. DECISION REQUIRED

Cabinet is requested to note the report and take any decisions necessary to address the performance or financial risks identified.

Report of: Head of Community Strategy

Subject: LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT (LAA) QUARTER 1
SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE REPORT 2007/08

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report contains a summary of performance against the Local Area Agreement (LAA) 2007/08.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Hartlepool's LAA submission was agreed by Cabinet on the 10 February 2006 and was signed off by Government in March 2006. The LAA submission is available on the Council's website (www.hartlepool.gov.uk).
- 2.2 Hartlepool's LAA is structured around the aims and themes of the Community Strategy and forms the strategic framework for monitoring progress and is aimed at delivering a better quality of life for people through improving performance on a range of national and local priorities. The LAA is the delivery plan of the Community Strategy.

3.0 QUARTER ONE PERFORMANCE 2007/08

- 3.1 The LAA includes 36 priority outcomes, structured around the seven Community Strategy Themes. **Appendix 1** shows a summary of progress against the Local Area Agreement Indicators and pooled funding allocations for each of the 36 LAA Outcomes.
- 3.2 A traffic light rating system is used with detail provided for red rated indicators and expenditure. This type of reporting is often termed 'reporting by exception' highlighting where the key challenges are in achieving the outcomes and requirements of the LAA. Full details of progress on all of the outcomes, indicators and actions is contained in Local Area Agreement Delivery and Improvement Plan, Progress Update: Quarter 1 (April – June 2007) available on the Council website www.hartlepool.gov.uk (paper copies are available on request).
- 3.3 The following performance indicators are red traffic lighted and present the Council and the Local Strategic Partnership with a challenge to improve performance (A summary of performance to date against all of the outcomes is shown in Appendix 1).

National Floor Target (FT) Indicators

- LLS1. Early Years - Improve children's communication, social and emotional development
- HC2. Gap in Female life expectancy
- HC10. Mortality rate from cancer amongst people aged under 75

Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy (NRS) Narrowing the Gap Indicators

- LLS4. Early Years (% of 3 and 4 years olds attending provision)
- HC5. Female life expectancy
- HC6. Gap in female life expectancy
- HC18. Immunisation rates
- SC3. % adults who feel they can affect decisions in their area

Reward Element (RE) Indicators

- JE17. Number of Carers completing education or training and achieving NVQ Level 2 or equivalent, or higher
- JE18. Number of Carers remaining in employment for a minimum of 16 hours per week, and for at least 32 weeks in the year

3.4 No concerns have arisen regarding LAA grant expenditure in Q1.

4.0 AMENDMENTS TO 2007/8 BUDGETS

4.1 Cabinet agreed the LAA budget on 25th June following confirmation from Government Office on the breakdown of funding within the LAA Grant. At that meeting it was outlined that the Home Office Safer Stronger Communities Fund (SSCF) was to be cut by 14% but that it had not yet been confirmed what the final budgets would be for the 3 associated grants within it. Following that meeting the 2007/8 budgets have been confirmed:

Outcome 15 - reduction in Drug Partnership Support Grant from £64,745 to £55,645.

Outcome 16 - reduction in Building Safer Communities Fund and ASB Coordinator Grant from £147,210 to £126,519 (this includes a reduction for overspend in the 2006/7 financial year).

4.2 In addition the budget for the Homelessness Project within Outcome 1 has been increased by £2,000 to £44,906 to secure match funding from ESF. This increase will be covered by the Jobs & Economy NRF programme.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 It is recommended that Cabinet

- Note the amendments to the budget allocations;
- Note the current position regard to performance and expenditure against the pooled LAA finding allocations;
- Take any decisions necessary to address performance.



hartlepoolpartnership

Local Area Agreement Quarter 1 2007/08 Performance -Traffic Light Summary

1. Introduction

This report contains the Quarter 1 (April –June 2007) summary of progress against the Local Area Agreement Indicators and pooled funding allocations.

A traffic light rating system is used based on the key shown on page 2 and narrative is provided against all indicators rated as red (where performance has deteriorated and achievement of the target is unlikely). A traffic light rating is also show against the pooled LAA funding allocated to outcomes.

This type of reporting is often termed ‘reporting by exception’ highlighting where the key challenges are in achieving the outcomes and requirements of the LAA.

Full details of progress on all of the outcomes, indicators and actions is contained in Local Area Agreement Delivery and Improvement Plan, Progress Update: Quarter 1 (April –June 2007).

2. Contents

	Page
Overall LAA Summary (All Outcomes)	3
Summary of National Floor Target Indicators	3
Summary of Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy Narrowing the Gap Indicators	3
Summary of Reward Element Indicators	3
Jobs and the Economy Summary	4
Lifelong Learning and Skills Summary	6
Health and Care Summary	8
Community Safety	10
Environment	12
Housing	14
Culture and Leisure	15
Strengthening Communities	16

2. Key to traffic lights

Performance Indicators

😊	Performance on target
😐	Performance static and achievement uncertain; performance improving and achievement unlikely or uncertain
😞	Performance deteriorating and achievement unlikely / uncertain; performance static and achievement unlikely

↑	Latest figures indicate an improvement in performance
↓	Latest figures indicate a decline in performance
=	Performance rated the same as previously reported. Please note this does not necessarily indicate static performance, it just shows that the traffic light rating remains the same as before

Pooled Funding

😊	Spend on target
😐	Currently underspending but forecast to spend in full by end of financial year
😞	Underspend likely at the end of the financial year

Overall LAA Summary (All Outcomes)

	No. Indicators	%
😊	111	51
😐	71	33
😞	35	16

Summary of National Floor Target (FT) Indicators

	No. Indicators	%	
😊	9	53	
😐	5	29	
😞	3	18	<p>LLS1. Early Years - Improve children's communication, social and emotional development HC2. Gap in Female life expectancy HC10. Mortality rate from cancer amongst people aged under 75</p> <p>*please note HC 19. U18 conception rate no longer rated red</p>

Summary of Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy (NRS) Narrowing the Gap Indicators

	No. Indicators	%	
😊	20	48	
😐	15	36	
😞	7	16	<p>LLS4. Early Years (% of 3 and 4 years olds attending provision) HC5. Female life expectancy HC6. Gap in female life expectancy HC18. Immunisation rates SC3. % adults who feel they can affect decisions in their area</p> <p>*please note JE2. Adults supported to achieve a level 2 qualification, JE4. Employment Rate, JE6 Unemployment Rate and CL5. Increase Leisure Centre attendance no longer red rated</p>

Summary of Reward Element (RE) Indicators

	No. Indicators	%	
😊	13	62	
😐	6	28.5	
😞	2	9.5	<p>JE17 Number of Carers completing education or training and achieving NVQ Level 2 or equivalent, or higher</p> <p>JE 18 Number of Carers remaining in employment for a minimum of 16 hours per week, and for at least 32 weeks in the year</p>

Summary of Sustainable Development (SD) Indicators

	No. Indicators	%
😊	8	73
😐	3	27
😞	0	0

Summary of Progress Against Spend

	No. Outcomes	%
😊	18	69
😐	8	31
😞	0	0

Jobs and the Economy Summary

	No. Indicators	%		No. Indicators	%
😊	12	44	↑	10	37
😐	13	48	↓	2	7
☹️	2	7	=	15	55

1. Increase skill levels of the local population with clear reference to local business need

JE1	Number of adults who are supported in achieving at least a full first level 2 qualification or equivalent		😊 ↑
JE2	Number of adults who are supported in achieving at least a full first level 2 qualification or equivalent (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)	NRS	😊 ↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
£140,051		£38,215	
		😊	

2. To attract appropriate inward investors and support indigenous growth, making use of local labour resource and supporting local people in gaining maximum benefit from the economic regeneration of the town, including all people of working ages especially the young

JE3	Employment rate	FT, SD	😊 =
JE4	Employment rate (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)	NRS	😐 =
JE5	Unemployment rate		😐 ↑
JE6	Unemployment rate (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)	NRS	😐 ↑
JE7	Youth Unemployment rate		😐 ↑
JE8	Youth Unemployment rate (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)	NRS	😐 ↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
£643,268		£250,914	
		😊	

3. To support the sustainable growth, and reduce the unnecessary failure, of locally-owned business, promoting the growth and sustainability of enterprise and small businesses and to increase total entrepreneurial activity amongst the local population

JE9	VAT Registrations	FT	😊 =
JE10	Net change in business stock (registrations – de-registrations)	SD	😊 =
JE11	Number of new businesses created		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£225,825		£74,398	
		😊	

4. For those living in the wards with the worst labour market position in areas in receipt of NRF, significantly improve their overall employment rate and reduce the difference between their employment rate and the overall employment rate for England

JE4	Employment rate (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)		😊 =
JE6	Unemployment rate (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)		😊 ↑
JE8	Youth Unemployment rate (NRS – Narrowing the Gap)		😊 ↑
JE23	Within Hartlepool a reduction by 2007-8 of at least 1.6 ⁵ percentage points in the overall benefits claim rate ⁶ for those living in the Hartlepool wards identified by DWP as having the worst initial labour market position.		😊 = (New)
JE24	Within Hartlepool a reduction by 2007-8 of at least 1.6 percentage points in the difference between the overall benefits claimant rate for England and the overall rate for the Hartlepool wards with the worst labour market position.		😊 = (New)
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

**5. Achieve Economic Well-being
(Every Child Matters Outcome, Independence, Well-being & Choice)**

JE12	Young people are supported in developing self confidence, team working skills and enterprise		😊 =
JE13	Hartlepool enterprise activities are available to all key stage 4 pupils in Hartlepool Secondary school		😊 =
JE14	All key stage 4 pupils undertake work related learning and useful work experience		😊 =
JE15	Careers education & guidance is provided to all young people aged 13-19		😊 =
JE16	Provision is planned to ensure the numbers of young people classified as Not in Education Employment or Training (NEET) is reduced		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£1,070,239		£265,877	
		😊	

6. Improving training and employment prospects for targeted groups

JE17	Number of Carers completing education or training and achieving NVQ Level 2 or equivalent, or higher	RE	☹️↓
JE18	Number of Carers remaining in employment for a minimum of 16 hours per week, and for at least 32 weeks in the year.	RE	☹️↓
JE19	Numbers of drug users given structured work experience/employment opportunities of at least 13 weeks	RE	😊=
JE20	Number of offenders from Hartlepool being helped into employment with the assistance of HBC and being sustained in the job for a minimum of 4 weeks for a minimum of 16 hours per week	RE	😊↑
JE21	Number of offenders that have gained basic skills at entry level 3,2 and 1 and level 1 or level 2	RE	😊↑
JE22	Employment Rate (16-24) %	RE	😊=
Allocation		Current Spend	
£61,622		£0	
		😊=	

...

Lifelong Learning and Skills Summary

	No. Indicators	%		No. Indicators	%
😊	18	75	↑	4	17
😐	4	17	↓	1	4
☹️	2	8	=	19	79

7. Enjoy and Achieve raise achievement and standards of children and young people in the early years, primary and secondary phases of education

LLS1	Early Years - Improve children's communication, social and emotional development	FT	☹️ =
LLS2	Early Years - Improve children's communication, social and emotional development (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😐 ↓
LLS3	Early Years - Increase the percentage of 3 and 4 year olds who attend an early years and childcare		😊 =
LLS4	Early Years - Increase the percentage of 3 and 4 year olds who attend an early years and childcare (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	☹️ =
LLS5	Key Stage 2	FT	😊 =
LLS6	Key Stage 2 (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
LLS7	Key Stage 3	FT	😊 ↑
LLS8	Key Stage 3 (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
LLS9	Key Stage 4	FT	😊 =
LLS10	Key Stage 4 (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS, SD	😊 =
LLS22	By 2008 all schools located in Local Authority Districts in receipt of NRF to ensure that at least 50% of pupils achieve level five or above in each of English, maths and science.	NRS	😊 = (new)
Allocation		Current Spend	
£1,622,662		£197,275	
		😐	

8. Provision of high quality learning and skills opportunities that drive economic competitiveness, widen participation and build social justice

LLS11	No. of new Skills for Life qualifications		😊 =
LLS12	No. of new Skills for Life qualifications (Neighbourhood Renewal Area)	NRS	😊 ↑
LLS13	Level 1 Qualifications		😊 ↑
LLS14	Level 1 Qualifications (Neighbourhood Renewal Area)	NRS	😊 ↑
LLS15	Level 2 Qualifications		😊 =
LLS16	Level 2 Qualifications (Neighbourhood Renewal Area)	NRS	😊 =

LLS17	Level 3 Qualifications		☹ =
LLS18	Level 3 Qualifications (Neighbourhood Renewal Area)	NRS	☺ =
LLS19	Level 4 Qualifications		☹ =
LLS20	Level 4 Qualifications (Neighbourhood Renewal Area)	NRS	☹ =
LLS21	Modern Apprentices Framework Completions		☺ =
LLS22	Modern Apprentices Framework Completions (Neighbourhood Renewal Area)	NRS	☺ =
LLS23	Number of learners participating in Adult Education Programmes		☺ =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£271,317		£33,449	☺

...

Health and Care Summary

	No. Indicators	%			No. Indicators	%
😊	19	46		↑	8	20
😐	15	36.5		↓	5	12
😞	7	22.5		=	28	68

9. Improved health - reduce premature mortality rates and reduce inequalities in premature mortality rates between wards/neighbourhoods

HC1	Life Expectancy Females (Hartlepool)		😞 =
HC2	Gap in Hartlepool and England life expectancy – female	FT	😞 =
HC3	Life Expectancy Males (Hartlepool)		😐 ↓
HC4	Gap in Hartlepool and England life expectancy – male	FT	😐 =
HC5	Life Expectancy Females (NRA)	NRS	😞 =
HC6	Gap in NRA and Hartlepool Females	NRS	😞 =
HC7	Life Expectancy Males (NRA)	NRS	😐 ↓
HC8	Gap in NRA and Hartlepool Males	NRS	😐 ↓
HC40	All Age, All Cause Mortality – Males	SD	😐 = (new)
HC41	All Age, All Cause Mortality – Females	SD	😐 = (new)
HC9	Mortality rates from heart disease, stroke and related diseases in people under 75 (Hartlepool)	FT	😊 =
HC10	Mortality rate from cancer amongst people aged under 75 (Hartlepool)	FT	😞 =
HC11	The prevalence of smoking among adults (Hartlepool)		😊 =
HC12	The prevalence of smoking among adults (NRA + NDC)	NRS	😊 =
HC13	Number of 4 week smoking quitters (NRA + NDC)	NRS	😊 =
HC14	Number of 4 week smoking quitters (rest of Hartlepool)		😊 =
HC15	Number of patients completing a 10 week programme of referred activity as a result of health practitioner recommendation	RE	😐 =
HC16	Of those completing a 10 week programme, the percentage going onto mainstream activity	RE	😐 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£369,522		£17,980	
		😐	

12.Be healthy

HC17	Immunisation rates - % uptake of 2 doses of MMR at 5 years of age (Hartlepool)		☹ =
HC18	Immunisation rates - % uptake of 2 doses of MMR at 5 years of age (NRA)	NRS	☹ =
HC19	U18 conception rates (Hartlepool)	FT	☹ ↑
HC20	U18 conception rates (NRA)	NRS	☹ ↑
HC21	Number of schools achieving the new Healthy Schools Status. Performance expected with reward.	RE	☹ ↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
£322,459		£12,790	
		☹	

11.Exercise of choice and control and retention of personal dignity

HC22	Vulnerable Adults helped to live at home per 1000 population:		☹ ↑
HC23	Vulnerable adults, or their carers receiving direct payments per 100,000 adults		☹ ↑
HC24	Number of people receiving intermediate care:		☹ ↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
£134,226		£33,878	
		☹	

12. Mental Well-being

HC25	Suicide rates	FT	☹ =
HC26	Prescribing of high level antidepressants		☹ =
HC27	Number of emergency psychiatric re-admissions as a percentage of discharges		☹ ↓
HC28	Adults aged 18-64 with mental health problems helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 18-64		☹ =
HC29	Direct payments to people with mental health needs		☹ =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£181,159		£50,491	
		☹	

13. Access to Services

HC30	Emergency Bed Days		☹ ↓
HC31	Waiting times in A& E		☹ =
HC32	Outpatient waiting times:		☹ =
HC33	Diagnostic waiting times:		☹ =
HC34	MRI/CT waiting times:		☹ ↑
HC35	Inpatient and Daycase waiting times		☹ =

HC36	Cancer waiting times:		😊 =
HC37	Access to Equipment and Telecare		😊 ↑
HC38	Access to social care services:		😊 =
HC39	Services provided to carers:		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£60,699		£10,214	
		😊	

Community Safety Summary

	No. Indicators*	%			No. Indicators	%
😊	16	42		↑	2	5
😐	19	50		↓	5	13
☹️	3	8		=	31	82

*total of 26 indicators despite numbering up to 29 as 3 indicators have been removed

14. Reduced total crime (as measured by 10 BCS comparator crimes) and narrow the gap between Neighbourhood Renewal area and Hartlepool

CS1	Total Crime (10 BCS comparator crimes)	FT	😊 =
CS30	Total Crime (10 BCS comparator crimes) Neighbourhood Renewal Area	NRS	☹️ = (new)
CS2	Domestic burglary (Hartlepool) Performance expected with reward.	RE	😊 =
CS3	Domestic burglary (NRS)	NRS	😐 ↓
CS4	Vehicle crime (Hartlepool) Performance expected with reward. (theft of and theft from motor vehicle)	RE	😊 =
CS5	Vehicle crime (NRS) (theft of and theft from motor vehicle)	NRS	😊 =
CS6	Reduce the incidents of local violence (common assault and wounding) Performance expected with reward.	RE	😊 =
CS31	Reduce the proportion of adults who re-offend		Not Available
CS32	Reduce the proportion of young offenders who re-offend		😐 = (new)
CS33	Reduce the proportion of prolific and other priority offenders who re-offend		😐 = (new)
CS34	New Indicator from BVPI general survey: % people who think using or dealing drugs is a very or fairly big problem in their area		😊 = (new)
Allocation		Current Spend	
£384,233		£11,780	
		😊	

15. Reduced harm caused by illegal drugs and alcohol

CS10	Number of problem drug users in treatment		😊 =
CS11	% problem drug users retained in treatment for 12 weeks or more		😊 =
CS12 a)	% reduction of readmissions to Ward 5 due to alcohol abuse		😊 = (new)
CS12 b)	% reduction in Ward 5 detoxification programmes due to alcohol abuse		😊 = (new)
CS12 c)	violent crime committed under influence of intoxicating substance per 1000 population		😊 = (new)
CS12 d)	violent crime committed in and around licensed premises per 1000 population		😊 = (new)
Allocation		Current Spend	
£55,645		£14,769	😊

16. Improved neighbourhood safety and increased public reassurance leading to reduced fear of crime and anti-social behaviour

CS13	% residents who feel very or fairly safe out in their neighbourhood after dark. (Viewpoint)		😊 =
CS14	% people who are very or fairly worried about a) having home broken into b) being mugged on street (Viewpoint)		a) 😊 = b) 😊 =
CS15	% people who are satisfied with the quality of service provided by the Police (Hartlepool) (MORI survey)		😊 =
CS16	% people who are satisfied with the quality of service provided by the Police (NRS) (MORI survey)	NRS	😊 =
CS17	Deliberate fires (Hartlepool)		😊 ↑
CS18	Deliberate fires (NRS)	NRS	😊 ↓
CS19	Accidental fire-related deaths		😊 =
CS20	Criminal damage		😊 ↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
£359,494		£56,351	😊

17.Reduced anti-social and criminal behaviour through improved prevention activities

CS21	Personal, social and community disorder reported to Police (Hartlepool)	SD	☹ =
CS22	Personal, social and community disorder reported to Police (NRS)	NRS	☹ =
CS23	Reduce year on year the number of first time entrants to youth justice system		☹ =
CS24	% of residents stating that 'Teenagers hanging around on the streets' is a problem. Performance expected with reward.	RE	☺ =
CS25	% of residents stating that 'People being drunk or rowdy in public places' is a problem Performance expected without reward. Performance expected with reward.	RE	☺ =
CS35	% residents who feel very or fairly well informed about what is being done to tackle anti-social behaviour in their local area		☹ = (new)
CS36	% residents who feel that parents in their local area are not taking responsibility for the behaviour of their children is very or fairly big problem		☹ = (new)
CS37	% residents who feel that people in their area are not treating them with respect and consideration is very or fairly big problem.		☹ = (new)
CS38	% residents who have high level of perceived ASB in their local area		☺ = (new)
Allocation		Current Spend	
£673,315		£77.442	☹

18. Stay Safe

CS26	Improve the long term stability of placements for Looked After Children PAF/D35		☹ ↓
CS27	% of children on the Child Protection Register who have previously been registered PAF/A3		☹ =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No LAA funding allocated		N/A	

19. Reducing incidents of Domestic Violence

CS28	Number of repeat referrals to the police for incidences of domestic violence (performance with reward)	RE	☹ =
CS29	Number of perpetrators attending a perpetrator programme not re-offending within 6 months of completing the programme (performance with reward)	RE	☹ =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£27,954		£0	☺

Environment Summary

	No. Indicators	%			No. Indicators	%
😊	13	76		↑	1	6
😐	4	24		↓	1	6
☹️	0	0		=	15	88

20. Delivering sustainable communities through protecting natural resources and enhancing the local environment and the community's enjoyment of it

E1	Number of volunteer days spent working on nature conservation in Hartlepool		😊 =
E2	Number of Tees Valley Biodiversity Action Plan points relevant to Hartlepool achieved		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No funding allocated		N/A	

21. Improve the quality of the local environment by having cleaner, greener and safer public spaces and by reducing the gap in aspects of liveability between the worst wards/neighbourhoods and the district as whole, with a particular focus on reducing levels of litter and detritus

E3	Cleanliness of the neighbourhood - % of transects surveyed falling below grade b for litter and detritus (Hartlepool)	FT	😊 =
E4	Cleanliness of the neighbourhood - % of transects surveyed falling below grade b for litter and detritus (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
E5	% of people who think litter and rubbish in the streets is a problem in there area		😊 =
E6	% of people who think litter and rubbish in the streets is a problem in there area (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
E7	Increase the proportion of people satisfied with their local area as a place to live (Hartlepool)		😊 =
E8	Increase the proportion of people satisfied with their local area as a place to live (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£88,468		£9,793	😊

22. Provide a safe, efficient, effective and accessible transport system

E9	Increase/maintain the number of bus passenger journeys		☹️ ↑
E10	Bus passenger satisfaction		☹️ ↓
E11	Reduce the number of deaths and serious injuries	FT	☹️ =
E12	Reduce the number of children killed or seriously injured	FT	☹️ =
E16	The percentage annual increase in the number of schools with an approved school travel plan		😊 = (new)
Allocation		Current Spend	
£15,000		£7,061	😊

23. Make better use of natural resources, reduce greenhouse gases, minimise energy use and reduce the generation of waste and maximise recycling

E13	Tonnage of household waste recycled or composted		😊 =
E17	Reduction in the percentage of municipal waste land filled		😊 = (new)
E18	Increase in the percentage of municipal waste recycled		😊 = (new)
E15	Climate Change indicator – reduction in Greenhouse Gas emissions	SD	😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£207,787		£7,061	😊

Housing Summary

	No. Indicators	%		No. Indicators	%
😊	11	85	↑	3	23
😐	2	15	↓	1	8
☹️	0	0	=	9	69

24. As part of an overall housing strategy for Hartlepool, improve housing conditions within the most deprived neighbourhoods/wards, with a particular focus on ensuring that all social housing is made decent by 2010

H1	Achieving decent homes standard in social housing sector	FT, SD	😊 =
H2	Achieving decent homes standard in private sector housing sector		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

25. Meeting Housing and Support Needs

H3	Increase support to enable residents to live independently in their own homes		😊 =
H4	Increase the number of people receiving floating support services		😊 =
H5	Increase the number of adaptations carried out to enable vulnerable people to remain living independently in their own home		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

26. Improving the advice and support provided to homeless people and helping them to access employment, training and educational opportunities

H6	BV 213 the number of households considering themselves homeless who approached the housing advice service and where intervention resolved their situation		😐 ↓
H7	The percentage of new tenants receiving support from HBC sustaining their tenancies for 6 months		😊 =
H8	The percentage of RSL tenants evicted without personal contact from their landlord		😐 =
H9	Employment Rate (16-24) % (Performance expected with reward)	RE	😊 =
H10	Number of failed tenancies (Performance expected with reward)	RE	😊 ↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
£25,000		£0	

27. Improving the energy efficiency of houses

H11	Improve the energy efficiency of housing stock		😊↑
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

28. Balancing Housing Supply And Demand

H12	Number of houses cleared in HMR intervention area		😊↑
H13	Number of new homes constructed in HMR intervention area		😊=
Allocation		Current Spend	
£105,000		£0	😊

Culture and Leisure Summary

	No. Indicators	%			No. Indicators	%
😊	10	83		↑	1	8
😐	1	8		↓	1	8
☹️	1	8		=	10	83

29. Enrich individual lives, strengthen communities and improve places where people live through enjoyment of leisure, culture and sport

CL1	Engagement in museum outreach activity by under-represented groups		😊 =
CL2	Visits by C2DE (MORI definition of Working Class) visitors to the Museum of Hartlepool (based on Renaissance funded MORI visitor survey)		😊 =
CL3	Number of individuals trained to deliver activities within clubs and the community (Local Indicator)	SD	😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

30. Cultural and leisure services, including libraries, better meet the needs of the community, especially disadvantaged areas

CL4	Increase annual Leisure Centre attendances (Hartlepool)		😊 =
CL5	Increase annual Leisure Centre attendances (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😐 ↑
CL6	Increase proportion of residents satisfied with museums/arts (Hartlepool)		😊 =
CL7	Increase proportion of residents satisfied with museums/arts (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
CL8	Increase residents satisfaction with public parks and open spaces (Hartlepool)		😊 =
CL9	Increase residents satisfaction with public parks and open spaces (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	☹️ ↓
CL10	Increase residents satisfaction with libraries (Hartlepool)		😊 =
CL11	Increase residents satisfaction with libraries (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
CL12	Increase leisure card holders attendance (Hartlepool)		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

Strengthening Communities Summary

	No. Indicators	%		No. Indicators	%
😊	12	46	↑	2	8
😐	13	50	↓	0	0
☹️	1	4	=	24	92

31. To empower local people to have a greater voice and influence over local decision making and the delivery of services

SC1	Maintain the level of involvement in the Community Network (Hartlepool)		😊 =
SC2	Percentage of adults who feel they can affect decisions that affect own area (Hartlepool)		😊 =
SC3	Percentage of adults who feel they can affect decisions that affect own area (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	☹️ =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£133,590		£31,250	
		😊	

32. Make a positive contribution

SC4	Percentage of people who have been helped by others (unpaid and not relatives) i) over the past year and ii) one a month over the past year (Hartlepool)		😐 =
SC5	Percentage of people who have been helped by others (unpaid and not relatives) i) over the past year and ii) one a month over the past year (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😐 =
SC6	Increase the proportion of people undertaking voluntary work/community activity (Hartlepool)	SD	😊 =
SC7	Increase the proportion of people undertaking voluntary work/community activity (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊 =
SC8	Increase the number of looked after children participating in their reviews		😊 =
Allocation		Current Spend	
£141,681		£0	
		😐	

33. To improve the quality of life for the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods and ensure service providers are more responsive to neighbourhood needs and improve their delivery

SC9	Increase the proportion of people satisfied with their local area as a place to live (Hartlepool)		😊↑
SC10	Increase the proportion of people satisfied with their local area as a place to live (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊↑
SC11	Increase the proportion of people satisfied with their local area as a place to live (Neighbourhood Element Area)		😊=
SC12	Burbank - Neighbourhood Element Target		😊=
SC13	Dyke House/Stranton/Grange – Neighbourhood Element Target		😊=
SC14	Owton – Neighbourhood Element Target		😊=
SC15	North Hartlepool – Neighbourhood Element Target		😊=
Allocation		Current Spend	
£1,107,913		£165,381	😊

34. Increasing financial resources within family environments to provide improved lifestyle opportunities

SC16	Number of Council Tax Disabled Reliefs (performance with reward)	RE	😊=
SC17	Number of Council Tax Carer Reductions (performance with reward)	RE	😊=
SC18	Number of Severely Mentally Impaired Reductions (performance with reward)	RE	😊=
Allocation		Current Spend	
£37,039		£7496	😊

35. Freedom from discrimination or harassment

SC19	Percentage of people who feel that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together (Hartlepool)		😊=
SC20	Percentage of people who feel that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊=
SC21	Reducing the proportion of people feeling no involvement in the community (Hartlepool)		😊=
SC22	Reducing the proportion of people feeling no involvement in the community (Neighbourhood Renewal narrowing the gap)	NRS	😊=
SC23	Proportionate Assessment: - % of older service users receiving an assessment that are from minority ethnic groups, compared to the % of older people in the local population that are from such groups (E47)		😊=
SC24	Proportionate service provision: - % of older service users receiving services following an assessment that are from a minority ethnic group, compared to the % of users assessed that are from such groups (E48)		😊=
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

36. Creating a fairer world

SC25	Number of retail establishments offering Fairtrade as an alternative	SD	☺ =
SC26	Number of catering establishments offering Fairtrade as an alternative		☺ =
Allocation		Current Spend	
No pooled funding allocated		N/A	

CABINET REPORT

17th September, 2007



Report of: Chief Financial Officer

Subject: NRF, CAPITAL AND ACCOUNTABLE BODY
PROGRAMME OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide details of the Council's overall Capital outturn for 2006/2007, the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and the Spending Programmes where the Council acts as the Accountable Body.

The report considers the following areas: -

- NRF
- Capital Monitoring
- Accountable Body Programme Monitoring

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

The report provides detailed outturn reports for Capital for each Portfolio along with outturns for the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and the spending programmes where the Council acts as the Accountable Body. The report follows the format adopted for previous reports, which allows each Portfolio Holder to readily review the outturn for their area of responsibility.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

Cabinet has overall responsibility for the monitoring of the Council's budgets.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

None.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Cabinet 17th September, 2007.

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

Cabinet is asked to note the report.

Report of: Chief Financial Officer

Subject: NRF, CAPITAL AND ACCOUNTABLE BODY
PROGRAMME OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To inform Cabinet of the Council's own 2006/2007 Capital outturn and outturns for the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and the spending programmes where the Council acts as the Accountable Body.

1.2 This report considers the following areas: -

- NRF
- Capital Monitoring;
- Accountable Body Programme Monitoring;

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 In line with previous 2006/2007 monitoring reports, this report is an integrated comprehensive document that is page numbered, thus allowing Members easier navigation around the report. (See contents table below). The report provides a summary, followed by a section for each Portfolio where more detailed information is provided.

Section	Heading	Page
3.	NRF Outturn	2
4.	Capital Outturn	2
5.	Accountable Body Programme	2
6.	Regeneration, Liveability and Housing Portfolio	3
7.	Culture, Leisure and Transportation Portfolio	4
8.	Children's Services Portfolio	5
9.	Adult and Public Health Service Portfolio	7
10.	Finance and Efficiency Portfolio	8
11.	Performance Management Portfolio	11
12.	Recommendations	12
Appendix A	NRF Outturn	13
Appendix B	Capital Outturn	14
Appendix C	Accountable Body Outturn	15
Appendices D-M	Capital & NRF Monitoring Report to 31 st March, 2007, by Portfolio	16-35

- 2.3 This report will be submitted to Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee on 19th October, 2007. This will ensure that Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee is able to review the report at the earliest opportunity.

3. NRF OUTTURN 2006/2007

- 3.1 Details of NRF expenditure are summarised at Appendix A. Details of individual schemes are contained in appendices D, G and I. In overall terms total expenditure amounted to £3,887,200, compared to a budget of £3,985,400, resulting in a favourable variance of £98,200.

4. CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

- 4.1 Details of the Capital outturns are summarised at Appendix B. In overall terms total expenditure amounted to £24,044,200, compared to the annual budget of £37,178,300, with £13,026,100 rephased to 2007/2008, resulting in a favourable variance of £108,000.

5. ACCOUNTABLE BODY PROGRAMME

5.1 Single Regeneration Budget (SRB)

The Council acts as Accountable Body for the North Hartlepool Partnership. Actual expenditure incurred during 2006/2007 on revenue was £380,700 against an approved budget of £398,400. The remaining £17,700 has been rephased into 2007/2008.

Capital expenditure amounted to £1,148,200, compared to an approved budget of £1,866,200. The remaining £718,000 has been rephased into 2007/2008.

Details of SRB expenditure are summarised at Appendix C, Table 1.

Detailed reports showing individual schemes are included within Appendix K, Table 1 and Appendix L, Table 2.

5.2 New Deal for Communities (NDC)

The Council acts as Accountable Body for the Hartlepool New Deal for Communities (NDC). The partnership Action Plan for 2006/2007 totalled £6,702,000. There was also another £3,251,000 available to NDC from grants and this was supplemented by contributions from the Council's own resources and land receipts giving a total of £11,298,000. The outturn for both revenue and capital was £9,376,100, the balance being rephased into 2007/2008 and not lost to the partnership.

Details of NDC expenditure are summarised at Appendix C, Table 2. Detailed reports showing individual schemes are included in Appendix K, Table 2 and Appendix L, Table 3.

There are no items to bring to Members attention.

5.3 **Single Programme (SP)**

These monies are allocated to the Council by the Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership supplemented by contributions from the Council's own resources. In total the Council budgeted £1,630,900 to spend in 2007/2008 on revenue and capital projects. Total spend was £1,282,200, resulting in a favourable variance of £348,700.

Details of Single Programme expenditure are summarised at Appendix C, Table 3. Detailed reports showing individual schemes are included within Appendix K, Table 3 and Appendix L, Table 4.

5.4 **Children's Fund**

The Children's Fund is mainly funded by the Department for Education and Skills (DfES).

The Children's Fund were granted a budget of £410,600 for financial year 2006/2007. Actual net expenditure as at 31st March, 2007, amounted to £408,100 as set out in Appendix C, Table 4. Detailed information is set out in Appendix K, Table 4.

6. **REGENERATION, LIVEABILITY AND HOUSING PORTFOLIO**

6.1 **NRF Outturn 2006/2007**

6.1.1 The Neighbourhood Renewal Fund Revenue Statement for 2006/2007 is shown at **Appendix D**.

6.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounted to £2,919,600, compared to anticipated expenditure of £2,951,200, resulting in a favourable variance of £31,600.

6.1.3 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

6.2 **Capital Outturn 2006/2007**

6.2.1 Details of the Regeneration and Liveability Portfolio 2006/2007 Capital Outturn is summarised at **Appendix E** and shows:

i) **Expenditure in Current Year**

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st March, 2007
- Column D - This represents the value of expenditure re-phased to 2007/2008

- Column E - 2006/2007 Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns C and D
- Column F - 2006/2007 Variance from Budget: Column E less Column B. Favourable variances are indicated in brackets.
- Column G - Type of Financing:

ii) **Expenditure over Years**

- Column H - Original Budget for Scheme
- Column I - Latest Approved Budget for Scheme. The inclusion of both the original budget and latest approved budget enables Members to see the history of a particular scheme.
- Column J - Expenditure in Previous Years
- Column K - Anticipated Expenditure 2006/2007. This equals Column E.
- Column L - Anticipated Expenditure in Future Years: This is the value of works to be complete/paid in future years.
- Column M - Anticipated Final Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns J, K and L.
- Column N - Variance from Approved Budget: Column M less Column I. Favourable variances are indicated by brackets.

6.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Members' Library.

6.2.3 Total expenditure for 2006/2007 amounted to £4,502,100, compared to the approved budget of £5,469,900 with £967,800 repensed to 2007/2008, resulting in a nil variance.

6.2.4 There are no major items to bring to the attention of the Portfolio Holder.

7. CULTURE, LEISURE AND TRANSPORTATION PORTFOLIO

7.1 Capital Outturn 2006/2007

7.1.1 Details of the Culture, Housing and Transportation Portfolio 2005/2006 Capital Outturn is summarised at **Appendix F** and shows:

i) Expenditure in Current Year

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st March, 2007
- Column D - This represents the value of expenditure repensed to 2007/2008

- Column E - 2006/2007 Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns C and D
- Column F - 2006/2007 Variance from Budget: Column E less Column B. Favourable variances are indicated in brackets.
- Column G - Type of Financing:

ii) **Expenditure over Years**

- Column H - Original Budget for Scheme
- Column I - Latest Approved Budget for Scheme. The inclusion of both the original budget and latest approved budget enables Members to see the history of a particular scheme.
- Column J - Expenditure in Previous Years
- Column K - Anticipated Expenditure 2006/2007. This equals Column E.
- Column L - Anticipated Expenditure in Future Years: This is the value of works to be complete/paid in future years.
- Column M - Anticipated Final Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns J, K and L.
- Column N - Variance from Approved Budget: Column M less Column I. Favourable variances are indicated by brackets.

7.1.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Members' Library.

7.1.3 Total expenditure for 2006/2007 amounted to £3,759,700, compared to the approved budget of £8,411,300 with £4,651,600 rephased to 2007/2008, resulting in a nil variance.

7.1.4 There are two significant items that have been rephased, the Transport Interchange, where work is scheduled to commence during 2007/2008 and the H₂O Centre where, as previously reported during the 2007/2008 revenue budget setting process, work is not due to commence until 2008/2009 at the earliest.

7.1.5 There are no other major items to bring to the attention of the Portfolio Holder.

8. CHILDREN'S SERVICES PORTFOLIO

8.1 NRF Outturn 2006/2007

8.1.1 The Neighbourhood Renewal Fund Revenue Statement for 2006/2007 is shown at **Appendix G**.

8.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounted to £222,800, compared to anticipated expenditure of £270,000, resulting in a favourable variance of £47,200.

8.1.3 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

8.2 **Capital Outturn 2006/2007**

8.2.1 Details of the Children's Services Portfolio 2006/2007 Capital Outturn is summarised at **Appendix H** and shows:

i) **Expenditure in Current Year**

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st March, 2007
- Column D - This represents the value of expenditure re-phased to 2007/2008
- Column E - 2006/2007 Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns C and D
- Column F - 2006/2007 Variance from Budget: Column E less Column B. Favourable variances are indicated in brackets.
- Column G - Type of Financing:

ii) **Expenditure over Years**

- Column H - Original Budget for Scheme
- Column I - Latest Approved Budget for Scheme. The inclusion of both the original budget and latest approved budget enables Members to see the history of a particular scheme.
- Column J - Expenditure in Previous Years
- Column K - Anticipated Expenditure 2006/2007. This equals Column E.
- Column L - Anticipated Expenditure in Future Years: This is the value of works to be complete/paid in future years.
- Column M - Anticipated Final Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns J, K and L.
- Column N - Variance from Approved Budget: Column M less Column I. Favourable variances are indicated by brackets.

8.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Members' Library.

8.2.3 Total expenditure for 2006/2007 amounted to £5,793,200, compared to the approved budget of £8,983,000 with £3,189,800 rephased to 2007/2008, resulting in a nil variance.

- 8.2.4 Schemes funded by General Sure Start/Children's Centre grants accounted for £1.4 million of the slippage. This grant (£1.6 million) was awarded as a two-year allocation, commencing 2006/2007, therefore work had yet to commence on a number of schemes while £971,000 was still unallocated, to be determined in 2007/2008.

The balance either related to retentions/final accounts to be agreed, school determined expenditure with grant funding flexibility (e.g. Devolved Capital, Workforce Reform etc), or three schemes where work has slipped and will now commence in 2007/2008 (Eldon Grove – Major internal works, High Tunstall – C Block Roof and Grange – internal works to kitchen).

- 8.2.5 The main items to bring to Portfolio Holders attention are:

Although the overall works programme has been completed within the total budgeted funding there were three schemes where final costs significantly exceeded their original cost estimates.

	Original Cost Estimate £	Final Agreed Price £
Brougham Primary – roof repairs	10,000	32,862
Manor College – SEN Resource Area	45,000	135,333
Stranton Primary – Replace School heating	175,000	216,629

In overall terms these increases were funded from savings and cost reductions elsewhere in the programme and details were taken to the Children's Services Portfolio Holder on 10th July, 2007.

Regular reports are being taken during the year to the Children's Services Portfolio Holder updating the position in relation to latest cost estimates for 2007/2008 schemes.

9. ADULT AND PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE PORTFOLIO

9.1 NRF Outturn 2006/2007

- 9.1.1 The Neighbourhood Renewal Fund Revenue Statement for 2006/2007 is shown at **Appendix I**.

- 9.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounted to £744,800, compared to anticipated expenditure of £764,200, resulting in a £19,400 favourable variance.

- 9.1.3 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

9.2 Capital Outturn 2006/2007

9.2.1 Details of the Adult & Public Health Portfolio Service 2006/2007 Capital Outturn is summarised at **Appendix J** and shows:

i) Expenditure in Current Year

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st March, 2007
- Column D - This represents the value of expenditure re-phased to 2007/2008
- Column E - 2006/2007 Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns C and D
- Column F - 2006/2007 Variance from Budget: Column E less Column B. Favourable variances are indicated in brackets.
- Column G - Type of Financing:

ii) Expenditure over Years

- Column H - Original Budget for Scheme
- Column I - Latest Approved Budget for Scheme. The inclusion of both the original budget and latest approved budget enables Members to see the history of a particular scheme.
- Column J - Expenditure in Previous Years
- Column K - Anticipated Expenditure 2006/2007. This equals Column E.
- Column L - Anticipated Expenditure in Future Years: This is the value of works to be complete/paid in future years.
- Column M - Anticipated Final Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns J, K and L.
- Column N - Variance from Approved Budget: Column M less Column I. Favourable variances are indicated by brackets.

9.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Members' Library.

9.2.3 Total expenditure for 2006/2007 amounted to £7,187,800, compared to the approved budget of £8,150,300 with £962,500 rephased to 2007/2008, resulting in a nil variance.

9.2.4 There are no major items to bring to the attention of the Portfolio Holder.

10. FINANCE AND EFFICIENCY PORTFOLIO**10.1 Accountable Body Revenue Outturn for 2006/2007**

10.1.1 The Council acts as Accountable Body for the North Hartlepool, Hartlepool New Deal for Communities, Single Programme Partnerships and the Children's Fund. Details of revenue outturns are summarised in the following tables at **Appendix K**.

10.1.2 Table 1 – Single Regeneration Budget (SRB)

Details of progress against the approved revenue budgets are summarised at Table 1. Actual expenditure amounted to £380,700 compared to anticipated expenditure of £398,400, resulting in a favourable variance of £17,700. This balance has been rephased into 2007/2008.

10.1.3 There no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.1.4 Table 2 – New Deal for Communities (NDC)

The Council acts as Accountable Body for the Hartlepool New Deal for Communities (NDC). Details of progress against the approved revenue budgets are summarised at Table 2. Actual expenditure amounted to £3,913,700, compared to anticipated expenditure of £4,372,900, resulting in a favourable variance of £459,200 that has been rephased into 2007/2008.

10.1.5 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.1.6 Table 3 – Single Programme

These monies are allocated to the Council by Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership. The Council was allocated £921,400 to spend in 2006/2007 on revenue projects. Actual expenditure amounted to £909,900, resulting in a favourable variance of £11,500.

10.1.7 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.1.8 Table 4 – Children's Fund Programme

The Children's Fund Programme is mainly funded by the Department for Education and Skills (DfES).

The Children's Fund was granted a budget of £410,600 for financial year 2006/2007. Actual net expenditure amounted to £408,100 with the balance of £2,500 carried forward into 2007/2008.

10.1.9 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.2 Capital Outturn 2006/2007

10.2.1 Details of the Finance Portfolio Service 2006/2007 Capital Outturn is summarised at **Appendix L** and shows:

i) Expenditure in Current Year

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st March, 2007
- Column D - This represents the value of expenditure re-phased to 2007/2008
- Column E - 2006/2007 Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns C and D
- Column F - 2006/2007 Variance from Budget: Column E less Column B. Favourable variances are indicated in brackets.
- Column G - Type of Financing:

ii) Expenditure over Years

- Column H - Original Budget for Scheme
- Column I - Latest Approved Budget for Scheme. The inclusion of both the original budget and latest approved budget enables Members to see the history of a particular scheme.
- Column J - Expenditure in Previous Years
- Column K - Anticipated Expenditure 2006/2007. This equals Column E.
- Column L - Anticipated Expenditure in Future Years: This is the value of works to be complete/paid in future years.
- Column M - Anticipated Final Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns J, K and L.
- Column N - Variance from Approved Budget: Column M less Column I. Favourable variances are indicated by brackets.

10.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Members' Library.

10.2.3 Table 1 – Resources

Actual expenditure amounted to £2,303,500 compared to the approved budget of £5,557,900, with £3,254,400 rephased to 2007/2008 resulting in a nil variance.

10.2.4 A significant part of the rephased expenditure, some £1,705,800, relates to the Civic Centre Refurbishment. Previous reports on this scheme highlighted the complexity of the works. The time required to consult and assess the available options has been longer than

anticipated and delayed the implementation of the works to be undertaken, although these are well underway in 2007/2008. Further details on these works and their costs are included in the monitoring report to 31st July, 2007.

10.2.5 **Table 2 – Single Regeneration Budget**

Actual expenditure amounted to £1,148,200, compared to the approved budget of £1,866,200, resulting in a favourable variance of £718,000. This has been rephased into 2007/2008.

10.2.6 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.2.7 **Table 3 – New Deal for Communities**

Actual expenditure amounted to £5,462,400, compared to the approved budget of £6,925,100, with an additional £1,280,300 rephased into 2007/2008, resulting in an overall favourable variance of £182,400.

The management of NDC resources is subject to specific Government regulations were the Partnership is able to renegotiate the annual allocation during mid year review with Government Office for the North East. This provides the Partnership with a degree of flexibility in managing the overall programme.

10.2.8 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.2.9 **Table 4 – Single Programme**

These monies are allocated to the Council by the Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership supplemented by contributions from the Council's own resources. The Council budgeted £709,500 to spend in 2006/2007 on capital projects. Actual expenditure amounted to £372,300. The balance of £337,200 has been rephased into 2007/2008.

11. **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PORTFOLIO**

11.1 **Capital Outturn 2006/2007**

11.1.1 Details of the Performance Management Portfolio Service 2006/2007 Capital Outturn is summarised at **Appendix M** and shows:

i) **Expenditure in Current Year**

Column A - Scheme Title
 Column B - Budget for Year
 Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st March, 2007

- Column D - This represents the value of expenditure re-phased to 2007/2008
- Column E - 2006/2007 Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns C and D
- Column F - 2006/2007 Variance from Budget: Column E less Column B. Favourable variances are indicated in brackets.
- Column G - Type of Financing:

ii) **Expenditure over Years**

- Column H - Original Budget for Scheme
- Column I - Latest Approved Budget for Scheme. The inclusion of both the original budget and latest approved budget enables Members to see the history of a particular scheme.
- Column J - Expenditure in Previous Years
- Column K - Anticipated Expenditure 2006/2007. This equals Column E.
- Column L - Anticipated Expenditure in Future Years: This is the value of works to be complete/paid in future years.
- Column M - Anticipated Final Expenditure: This is the sum of Columns J, K and L.
- Column N - Variance from Approved Budget: Column M less Column I. Favourable variances are indicated by brackets.

11.1.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Members' Library.

11.1.3 Total expenditure for 2006/2007 amounted to £497,900 compared to the approved budget of £605,900, resulting in a favourable variance of £108,000.

11.1.4 The favourable variance in relation to Vehicles Prudential Borrowing of £108,000 represents budget not required as a result of vehicles being leased rather than purchased.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1 It is recommended that Members note the report.

NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND**Appendix A****REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**

Line No Col. A	Description of Best Value Unit Col. B	Outturn Position		
		2006/07 Approved Budget	2006/07 Actual Expenditure / (Income)	2006/07 Variance: Adverse/ (Favourable)
		Col. C £'000	Col. D £'000	Col. E (E=D-C) £'000
1	Adult Services	764.2	744.8	(19.4)
2	Children's Services	270.0	222.8	(47.2)
3	Regeneration, Liveability & Housing	2,951.2	2,919.6	(31.6)
4	Total	3,985.4	3,887.2	(98.2)

CAPITAL OUTURN REPORT TO 31ST MARCH 2007

Line No	Portfolio	2006/07 Budget	2006/07 Actual	2006/07 Expenditure Rephased to 2006/2007	2006/07 Total Expenditure	2006/07 Variance from budget
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=D+E)	Col. G (G=F-C)
£	£	£	£	£	£	£
1	Regeneration, Liveability & Housing	5,469.9	4,502.1	967.8	5,469.9	0.0
2	Culture, Leisure & Transport	8,411.3	3,759.7	4,651.6	8,411.3	0.0
3	Children's Services	8,983.0	5,793.2	3,189.8	8,983.0	0.0
4	Adult & Public Health Services	8,150.3	7,187.8	962.5	8,150.3	0.0
5	Finance (excluding accountable bodies)	5,557.9	2,303.5	3,254.4	5,557.9	0.0
6	Performance Management	605.9	497.9	0.0	497.9	(108.0)
	Total Capital Expenditure	37,178.3	24,044.2	13,026.1	37,070.3	(108.0)

ACCOUNTABLE BODY PROGRAMMES

Line No	Accountable Body Programme	2006/07 Approved Budget	2006/07 Actual Expenditure/(Income)	2006/07 Variance: Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E = (F=D-C)
		£'000	£'000	£'000
	<u>TABLE 1 - SRB North Hartlepool Partnership</u>			
1	Revenue Projects	398.4	380.7	(17.7)
2	Capital Projects	1,866.2	1,148.2	(718.0)
3	Total	2,264.6	1,528.9	(735.7)
	<u>TABLE 2 - New Deal for Communities</u>			
4	Revenue Projects	4,372.9	3,913.7	(459.2)
5	Capital Projects	6,925.1	5,462.4	(1,462.7)
6	Total	11,298.0	9,376.1	(1,921.9)
	<u>TABLE 3 Single Programme</u>			
7	Revenue Projects	921.4	909.9	(11.5)
8	Capital Projects	709.5	372.3	(337.2)
9	Total	1,630.9	1,282.2	(348.7)
	<u>TABLE 4 - Children's Fund</u>			
10	Childrens Fund	410.6	408.1	(2.5)
11	Total	410.6	408.1	(2.5)

PORTFOLIO : REGENERATION, LIVEABILITY & HOUSING**Appendix D****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/07 Approved Budget	2006/07 Actual Expenditure / (Income)	2006/07 Variance: Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E
		£'000	£'000	(E=D-C) £'000
1	Community Safety Small Grants Fund	10.0	3.6	(6.4)
2	Anti Social Behaviour Officer	66.1	61.6	(4.5)
3	Partnership Working with Communities	180.0	197.8	17.8
4	Hartlepool Scheme for Prolific Offenders	105.0	105.2	0.2
5	Project Assistant Small Grants / Community Safety	22.5	22.4	(0.1)
6	Cool Project Out of School activities for children	61.6	61.6	0.0
7	Families Changing Communities	187.7	191.6	3.9
8	Advance Project drug user reintegration into community	22.9	22.9	0.0
9	Burglary Prevention	58.1	51.0	(7.1)
10	Landlord Accreditation Scheme	10.0	5.5	(4.5)
11	Young Firefighters	33.0	33.0	0.0
12	PINS Parents in need of support dealing with drug abuse	23.0	23.0	0.0
13	Neighbourhood Policing	273.0	273.0	0.0
14	Management & Consultancy	66.5	61.5	(5.0)
15	Neighbourhood Renewal Officer	36.9	27.9	(9.0)
16	Neighbourhood Action Plan Development	40.0	40.0	0.0
17	Administration of Lifelong Learning Partnership - HCFE	4.0	3.4	(0.6)
18	Level 3 Progression - HCFE	79.0	79.0	0.0
19	Active Skills - West View Project	25.0	25.5	0.5
20	Hartlepool Deaf Centre	30.0	30.0	0.0
21	Career Coaching HVDA	32.0	32.0	0.0
22	HVDA Business Development Project	15.0	15.0	0.0
23	Dyke Hse/Stranton/Grange Neighbourhood Action Plan	65.3	62.4	(2.9)
24	Central Neighbourhood Action Plan	29.0	29.5	0.5
25	West View/King Oswy Neighbourhood Action Plan	90.3	74.7	(15.6)
26	Targeted Training	51.0	50.1	(0.9)
27	Womens Opportunities	37.5	37.5	0.0
28	Jobsbuild	77.8	78.1	0.3
29	Intermed.Labour Market(ILM)Employment Assistance	137.0	137.3	0.3
30	Marketing Assistant	24.5	26.3	1.8
31	Employment Co-ordinator	23.4	27.8	4.4
32	Improving the Employment Offer	44.0	46.4	2.4
33	North Central Hartlepool Delivery Team Staff Cost	128.0	128.0	0.0
34	Assisting Local People into Work	97.0	97.6	0.6
35	Incubator System	175.0	174.9	(0.1)
36	Volunteering into Employment	81.0	81.0	0.0
37	Skills & Knowledge	2.0	1.4	(0.6)
38	Community Employment Outreach	150.0	152.8	2.8
39	STEP Homelessness Project	70.0	70.0	0.0
40	Positive Choices for Carers-Training & Education	10.0	10.0	0.0
41	Owton Manor West N'hood Watch Residents Assoc.	35.0	35.0	0.0
42	West View Project - Training for Young People	30.0	30.0	0.0
43	RESPECT Employment & Training Support 16-18 years	6.9	6.9	0.0
44	Grange Road Methodist Church Employment Project	30.0	30.0	0.0
45	Burbank Neighbourhood Action Plan	23.0	20.7	(2.3)
46	Rift House/Burn Valley Neighbourhood Action Plan	50.8	54.4	3.6
47	Owton Neighbourhood Action Plan	44.7	43.9	(0.8)
48	Rossmere Neighbourhood Action Plan	23.0	11.8	(11.2)
49	Headland Neighbourhood Action Plan	33.7	34.6	0.9
50	Environmental Education	18.0	18.3	0.3
51	Community Safety Wardens	150.0	150.0	0.0
52	Dyke House Environment Team	100.0	101.5	1.5
53	Minor Works	6.5	6.5	0.0
54	Totals	2,951.2	2,919.6	(31.6)

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
7205	ASBO Police Officer Jutland Road	25.5	0.0	25.5	25.5	0.0	UCPB	30.0	30.0	4.5	25.5	0.0	30.0	0.0
7218	Disabled Facility Grants	433.0	432.9	0.1	433.0	0.0	GRANT	433.0	433.0	0.0	432.9	0.1	433.0	0.0
7219	Home Plus Grants (provided by Endeavour HA)	140.0	161.2	0.0	161.2	21.2	GRANT	140.0	140.0	0.0	161.2	0.0	161.2	21.2
7220	Private Sector Housing Grants	413.5	369.3	23.0	392.3	(21.2)	GRANT	413.5	413.5	0.0	369.3	23.0	392.3	(21.2)
7222	Minor Works - North	58.4	39.5	18.9	58.4	0.0	UCPB	58.4	58.4	0.0	39.5	18.9	58.4	0.0
7223	Minor Works - South	85.2	55.8	29.4	85.2	0.0	UCPB	85.2	85.2	0.0	55.8	29.4	85.2	0.0
7224	Minor Work - Central	46.1	42.3	3.8	46.1	0.0	UCPB	46.1	46.1	0.0	42.3	3.8	46.1	0.0
7226	Housing Regeneration Strategy Consultancy	6.0	6.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	GRANT	6.0	6.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	6.0	0.0
7230	Housing Regeneration	2,209.7	1,820.3	389.4	2,209.7	0.0	MIX	7,150.0	15,128.7	9,242.7	1,820.3	4,065.7	15,128.7	0.0
7231	Housing Thermal Efficiency	317.0	285.4	31.6	317.0	0.0	GRANT	317.0	317.0	0.0	285.4	31.6	317.0	0.0
7368	Building Safer Communities Initiatives	60.2	56.9	3.3	60.2	0.0	UCPB	44.1	190.3	130.1	60.2	0.0	190.3	0.0
7416	Brougham Enterprise Centre Refurbishment	541.0	541.0	0.0	541.0	0.0	MIX	500.0	1,278.1	737.1	541.0	0.0	1,278.1	0.0
7431	Community Safety Strategy	111.9	24.0	87.9	111.9	0.0	UCPB	50.0	120.0	8.1	111.9	0.0	120.0	0.0
7465	Recycling Scheme	698.5	462.0	236.5	698.5	0.0	MIX	800.0	888.5	190.0	462.0	236.5	888.5	0.0
7510	Interreg Seaport Theme 1	7.3	7.3	0.0	7.3	0.0	GRANT	0.0	9.1	1.8	7.3	0.0	9.1	0.0
7524	Private Housing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	GRANT	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
7525	Railing Restoration	18.0	18.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	GRANT	50.0	155.7	137.7	18.0	0.0	155.7	0.0
7579	Newburn Bridge Units - Elec Refit Works	13.1	5.9	7.2	13.1	0.0	UCPB	15.0	50.1	37.0	13.1	0.0	50.1	0.0
7595	Tees Valley Empty Property Initiative	60.0	0.5	59.5	60.0	0.0	GRANT	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.5	59.5	60.0	0.0
7611	Drug Interventions Programme	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	GRANT	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
7615	Covert Cameras Fly Tipping	15.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	RCCO	15.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0
7650	Environmental Action Equipment	29.3	29.3	0.0	29.3	0.0	GRANT	29.3	29.3	0.0	29.3	0.0	29.3	0.0
7272	Wheely Bin Purchase	86.5	55.9	30.6	86.5	0.0	UDPB	86.5	86.5	0.0	55.9	30.6	86.5	0.0
7398	Sand.Rd/Sheriff St Improvements	4.5	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	RCCO	4.5	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0
7404	HRA Residual	21.1	0.0	21.1	21.1	0.0	RCCO	158.4	158.4	137.3	0.0	21.1	158.4	0.0
7778	Security Improvements - North Cemetry	19.0	19.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	MIX	19.0	19.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	19.0	0.0
		5,469.9	4,502.1	967.8	5,469.9	0.0		10,561.0	19,772.6	10,626.4	4,626.0	4,520.2	19,772.6	0.0

Key

RCCO
MIX
UCPB
SCE ®

Revenue Contribution towards Capital
Combination of Funding Types
Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
		2006/2007 Budget	2006/2007 Actual	2006/2007 Expenditure	C+D 2006/2007 Total	E-B 2006/2007 Variance	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure	Variance from Approved budget
		£'000	as at 31/03/07 £'000	Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	Expenditure £'000	from budget £'000							J+K+L	M-I
7080	NRF Street Lighting	49.0	49.0	0.0	49.0	0.0	GRANT	99.0	380.1	331.1	49.0	0.0	380.1	0.0
7081	Waverley Allotments Refurbishment	29.0	25.1	3.9	29.0	0.0	MIX	45.0	85.0	56.0	29.0	0.0	85.0	0.0
7203	Sir William Gray House - DDA	19.2	16.6	2.6	19.2	0.0	MIX	41.0	66.2	47.0	19.2	0.0	66.2	0.0
7206	Social Lighting Programme	3.6	3.6	0.0	3.6	0.0	RCCO	41.0	115.1	111.5	3.6	0.0	115.1	0.0
7207	Community Safety-Car Park Security/CCTV	33.6	33.6	0.0	33.6	0.0	SPB	18.2	177.8	144.2	33.6	0.0	177.8	0.0
7208	Community Safety-Alleyway Stopping Up Prog.	1.6	0.8	0.8	1.6	0.0	CAPREC	27.1	30.1	28.5	1.6	0.0	30.1	0.0
7213	Grayfields Sports Pavillion	910.2	832.6	77.6	910.2	0.0	MIX	137.0	1,573.5	663.3	910.2	0.0	1,573.5	0.0
7214	Burn Valley Park Improvements	50.4	39.2	11.2	50.4	0.0	MIX	7.4	299.6	249.2	50.4	0.0	299.6	0.0
7215	Seaton Carew Cricket Club Ground Imps	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	CAPR	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
7217	Throston Community Centre Refurbishment	7.1	3.7	3.4	7.1	0.0	MIX	38.2	38.2	31.1	7.1	0.0	38.2	0.0
7235	Low Floor Infrastructure	20.3	20.3	0.0	20.3	0.0	SPB	130.0	281.3	261.0	20.3	0.0	281.3	0.0
7236	Bus Shelter Improvements	10.8	10.8	0.0	10.8	0.0	SPB	20.0	75.4	64.6	10.8	0.0	75.4	0.0
7237	Cycle Routes General	68.4	68.4	0.0	68.4	0.0	SPB	60.0	392.3	323.9	68.4	0.0	392.3	0.0
7240	Hartlepool Transport Interchange	1,910.2	44.7	1,865.5	1,910.2	0.0	SPB	50.0	2,421.6	511.4	1,910.2	0.0	2,421.6	0.0
7241	Dropped Crossings	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	SPB	20.0	151.9	121.9	30.0	0.0	151.9	0.0
7242	Other Street Lighting	87.2	87.2	0.0	87.2	0.0	SPB	52.0	388.8	301.6	87.2	0.0	388.8	0.0
7243	Highways Maintenance Other Schemes	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	SPB	16.0	140.8	130.8	10.0	0.0	140.8	0.0
7244	Travel Plans Workplace	9.4	9.4	0.0	9.4	0.0	SPB	6.9	72.3	62.9	9.4	0.0	72.3	0.0
7245	Cycle Parking	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	SPB	6.0	60.3	59.9	0.4	0.0	60.3	0.0
7247	Bus Quality Corridor	27.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	SPB	28.0	50.8	23.8	27.0	0.0	50.8	0.0
7250	Sustainable Travel Awareness	13.9	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0	SPB	10.0	46.1	32.2	13.9	0.0	46.1	0.0
7251	Public Transport CCTV	20.2	20.2	0.0	20.2	0.0	SPB	20.0	42.0	21.8	20.2	0.0	42.0	0.0
7252	Safer Streets Initiative	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	SPB	30.0	59.8	39.8	20.0	0.0	59.8	0.0
7255	Advanced Cycle Route Scheme Design	11.6	11.6	0.0	11.6	0.0	SPB	10.0	30.7	19.1	11.6	0.0	30.7	0.0
7265	Coastal Protection Strategic Study	6.7	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	GRANT	102.0	176.3	169.6	6.7	0.0	176.3	0.0
7269	Rural Bus Challenge Scheme	30.1	0.0	30.1	30.1	0.0	GRANT	44.0	70.0	39.9	30.1	0.0	70.0	0.0
7271	Rossmere Fountain Improvements	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.9	0.0	MIX	433.5	441.2	439.9	1.3	0.0	441.2	0.0
7355	Bowling Green Improvements	31.5	31.5	0.0	31.5	0.0	MIX	20.0	31.8	0.3	31.5	0.0	31.8	0.0
7367	Ward Jackson Park Refurbishment	19.6	3.1	16.5	19.6	0.0	MIX	1,869.2	2,038.4	2,018.8	19.6	0.0	2,038.4	0.0
7372	Seaton Play Area Improvements	4.5	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	MIX	30.0	32.2	27.7	4.5	0.0	32.2	0.0
7375	Countryside Development Works	15.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	MIX	4.4	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0
7277	Library Improvements	40.0	4.0	36.0	40.0	0.0	RCCO	40.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
7657	Headland Sports Office	10.9	10.2	0.7	10.9	0.0	RCCO	10.9	10.9	0.0	10.9	0.0	10.9	0.0
New	Drainage Works-Seaton Allotments	15.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	RCCO	15.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0
New	New Sports & Recreation Vehicles	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	RCCO	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0
New	Replacement Lifeguards Vehicle	9.0	0.0	9.0	9.0	0.0	RCCO	9.0	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0
7380	H2O Watersports Centre	1,998.7	0.0	1,998.7	1,998.7	0.0	MIX	2,000.0	2,000.0	1.3	1,998.7	0.0	2,000.0	0.0
7382	Greatham Play Area Equipment	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	MIX	5.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
7409	Longhill Industrial Estate Improvements	4.7	4.7	0.0	4.7	0.0	SPB	50.0	73.9	69.2	4.7	0.0	73.9	0.0
7410	LTP2 Development	24.4	24.4	0.0	24.4	0.0	SPB	40.0	64.4	40.0	24.4	0.0	64.4	0.0
7412	Basement Car Park	15.9	0.0	15.9	15.9	0.0	UPB	34.0	34.0	18.1	15.9	0.0	34.0	0.0
7414	Jutland Road Play Area Upgrade	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	GRANT	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
7424	Pride in Hartlepool	18.3	11.7	6.6	18.3	0.0	UCPB	5.0	30.0	11.7	18.3	0.0	30.0	0.0
7452	Local Safety Scheme	54.6	54.6	0.0	54.6	0.0	SPB	150.0	841.7	787.1	54.6	0.0	841.7	0.0
7454	Murray Street LSS	72.5	72.5	0.0	72.5	0.0	SPB	20.0	79.3	6.8	72.5	0.0	79.3	0.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
		2006/2007 Budget	2006/2007 Actual	2006/2007 Expenditure	C+D 2006/2007 Total	E-B 2006/2007 Variance	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure	Variance from Approved budget
		£'000	as at 31/03/07 £'000	Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	Expenditure £'000	from budget £'000							J+K+L	M-I
7455	Hart Lane Road Safety Improvements	359.5	359.5	0.0	359.5	0.0	SPB	10.0	424.6	65.1	359.5	0.0	424.6	0.0
7456	New Car Park York Road Flatlets	8.6	0.1	8.5	8.6	0.0	CAPREC	105.0	104.9	96.3	8.6	0.0	104.9	0.0
7457	Coronation Drive Coast Protection Works Phase 3	69.5	69.5	0.0	69.5	0.0	GRANT	2,864.3	2,635.9	2,566.4	69.5	0.0	2,635.9	0.0
7458	Marks & Spencer Car Park Refurbishment	38.2	1.7	36.5	38.2	0.0	UDPB	325.0	299.7	261.5	38.2	0.0	299.7	0.0
7462	Hart To Haswell Cycleway	11.5	11.5	0.0	11.5	0.0	SPB	0.5	12.0	0.5	11.5	0.0	12.0	0.0
7474	Briarfields Allotments	75.0	72.5	2.5	75.0	0.0	RCCO	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
7487	Local Transportation Plan-Monitoring	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	SPB	47.0	88.3	85.0	3.3	0.0	88.3	0.0
7499	Lithgo Close - Contaminated Land	174.5	83.8	90.7	174.5	0.0	MIX	133.7	333.5	159.0	174.5	0.0	333.5	0.0
7508	Anhydrite Mine	200.0	67.9	132.1	200.0	0.0	GRANT	72.1	275.7	75.7	200.0	0.0	275.7	0.0
7537	Grayfields Running Track	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	MIX	20.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
7538	LTP-Advance Traffic Management Design	12.3	12.3	0.0	12.3	0.0	SPB	10.0	12.3	0.0	12.3	0.0	12.3	0.0
7540	Tees Valley Major Scheme Bid	15.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	SPB	15.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0
7541	Safer Routes to School	49.8	49.8	0.0	49.8	0.0	SPB	100.0	524.7	474.9	49.8	0.0	524.7	0.0
7543	LTP-School Safety Zones	25.6	25.6	0.0	25.6	0.0	SPB	20.0	25.6	0.0	25.6	0.0	25.6	0.0
7544	LTP-Shop Mobility	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	SPB	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
7545	LTP-Motorcycle Training	21.3	21.3	0.0	21.3	0.0	SPB	20.0	21.3	0.0	21.3	0.0	21.3	0.0
7546	LTP-Road Safety Education & Training	12.9	12.9	0.0	12.9	0.0	SPB	20.0	12.9	0.0	12.9	0.0	12.9	0.0
7547	LTP-Dial-a-Ride	33.0	33.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	SPB	92.0	33.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	33.0	0.0
7548	LTP-Greatham Creek Bridge Repairs	134.4	134.4	0.0	134.4	0.0	SPB	80.0	134.4	0.0	134.4	0.0	134.4	0.0
7549	LTP-Other Bridge Schemes	7.2	7.2	0.0	7.2	0.0	SPB	10.0	7.2	0.0	7.2	0.0	7.2	0.0
7550	LTP-Hart Lane/Wiltshire Way Maintenance	199.7	199.7	0.0	199.7	0.0	SPB	200.0	199.7	0.0	199.7	0.0	199.7	0.0
7551	LTP-Murray Street Maintenance	40.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	SPB	40.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
7552	LTP-Owton Manor Lane Maintenance	298.8	298.8	0.0	298.8	0.0	SPB	375.0	298.8	0.0	298.8	0.0	298.8	0.0
7553	LTP-Arncliffe Gardens Maintenance	31.3	31.3	0.0	31.3	0.0	SPB	26.0	31.3	0.0	31.3	0.0	31.3	0.0
7554	LTP-Groves Street Maintenance	13.9	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0	SPB	14.0	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0
7555	LTP-York Road Footways Maintenance	12.6	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0	SPB	34.0	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0
7556	LTP-Victoria Road Maintenance	59.8	59.8	0.0	59.8	0.0	SPB	56.0	59.8	0.0	59.8	0.0	59.8	0.0
7557	LTP-Winterbottom Avenue Maintenance	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	SPB	8.0	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0
7558	LTP-Nesbyt Road Maintenance	14.1	14.1	0.0	14.1	0.0	SPB	12.0	14.1	0.0	14.1	0.0	14.1	0.0
7560	LTP-North Hart Lane Maintenance	5.1	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	SPB	2.0	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0
7580	Highways Remedial Works - Hartlepool Marina	9.6	1.7	7.9	9.6	0.0	TDC	95.2	209.8	200.2	9.6	0.0	209.8	0.0
7581	Tees Valley Boundary Signs	5.4	0.0	5.4	5.4	0.0	GRANT	8.5	8.5	3.1	5.4	0.0	8.5	0.0
7582	Alleygates Capital Works	25.8	25.8	0.0	25.8	0.0	MIX	50.0	69.4	43.6	25.8	0.0	69.4	0.0
7583	Greenland Creosote Works	16.7	1.7	15.0	16.7	0.0	SCE	30.9	39.7	23.0	16.7	0.0	39.7	0.0
7584	Open Market Resurfacing	43.4	0.0	43.4	43.4	0.0	UCPB	49.0	49.0	5.6	43.4	0.0	49.0	0.0
7590	Ward Jackson Car Park - Tunstall Court	79.6	1.9	77.7	79.6	0.0	MIX	60.0	80.0	0.4	79.6	0.0	80.0	0.0
7605	Focus - Section 278 Highways Scheme	21.0	21.0	0.0	21.0	0.0	GRANT	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.0	0.0
7607	Waterproofing phase 1 - Multi Storey Car Park	184.3	184.3	0.0	184.3	0.0	MIX	179.0	184.3	0.0	184.3	0.0	184.3	0.0
7609	Hart Lane/Raby Road Traffic Signals	23.4	23.4	0.0	23.4	0.0	SPB	27.5	23.4	0.0	23.4	0.0	23.4	0.0
7613	Newburn Bridge LSS	28.9	28.9	0.0	28.9	0.0	SPB	30.0	28.9	0.0	28.9	0.0	28.9	0.0
7614	Traffic Signal Improvements	8.9	8.9	0.0	8.9	0.0	SPB	10.0	8.9	0.0	8.9	0.0	8.9	0.0
7624	LTP - Headland Traffic Management	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	SPB	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
7639	Footpath Works at Hartlepool Marina	26.6	1.4	25.2	26.6	0.0	GRANT	26.6	26.6	0.0	26.6	0.0	26.6	0.0
7644	LTP - School Travel Plans	14.8	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0	SPB	15.0	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0
7649	LTP - Headland Signing Strategy	5.8	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	SPB	5.0	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7364 & 7365	Summerhill Maintenance	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	MIX
7651	Burn Valley Park Beck	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	GRANT
7674	LTP-A689 Stockton Street	69.5	69.5	0.0	69.5	0.0	SPB
7675	LTP-York Road Remedials	54.9	54.9	0.0	54.9	0.0	SPB
		8,411.3	3,759.7	4,651.6	8,411.3	0.0	

EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
1,704.4	2,190.0	2,188.0	2.0	0.0	2,190.0	0.0
5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
66.5	69.5	0.0	69.5	0.0	69.5	0.0
47.0	54.9	0.0	54.9	0.0	54.9	0.0
13,063.5	21,927.0	13,515.3	8,411.7	0.0	21,927.0	0.0

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

PORTFOLIO : CHILDRENS SERVICES**Appendix G****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/07 Approved Budget	2006/07 Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	2006/07 Variance: Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E (E=D-C)
		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	NRF - Education Business Links	55.0	44.0	(11.0)
2	NRF - Project Co-ordination	6.1	6.5	0.4
3	NRF - New Initiatives (Boys Underachieving)	11.3	24.7	13.4
4	NRF - Hartlepool On Track Project	45.0	3.9	(41.1)
5	NRF - Contingency	2.9	0.0	(2.9)
6	NRF - Reducing Childhood Obesity	109.7	104.5	(5.2)
7	NRF - PCT Occupational Care for Kids	40.0	39.2	(0.8)
8	Total	270.0	222.8	(47.2)

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Anticipated Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
7448	Barnard Grove - Replace Roofing/Windows (04/05)	1.7	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	MIX	175.0	165.3	163.6	1.7	0.0	165.3	0.0
7273	Barnard Grove - Modifications to Entrance (04/05)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	SCE(R)	15.3	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
7528	Barnard Grove - Improvements to Kitchen Ventilation	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	GRANT	10.0	3.7	3.4	0.3	0.0	3.7	0.0
7534	Barnard Grove - Boiler Plant Replacement	69.6	69.6	0.0	69.6	0.0	GRANT	67.3	69.6	0.0	69.6	0.0	69.6	0.0
7274	Brierton - Roof Repair - Phase 2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	GRANT	51.9	51.0	50.9	0.1	0.0	51.0	0.0
7275	Brierton - Relocation to Single Site	6.7	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	MIX	680.1	680.1	673.4	6.7	0.0	680.1	0.0
7276	Brierton - Remove Boundary Fence	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	MIX	40.0	50.0	35.7	14.3	0.0	50.0	0.0
7277	Brierton - Convert top site to Access 2 Learning School	6.6	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	MIX	210.0	220.4	213.8	6.6	0.0	220.4	0.0
7478	Brierton - Re-Roof Craft Block	64.2	62.7	1.5	64.2	0.0	GRANT	65.7	64.2	0.0	64.2	0.0	64.2	0.0
7279	Brierton - Replace Boiler in Caretakers House	2.4	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	RCCO	4.9	6.4	4.0	2.4	0.0	6.4	0.0
7360	Brierton - Purchase of Mobile Unit	1.9	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.0	MIX	15.0	34.7	32.8	1.9	0.0	34.7	0.0
7420	Brierton - Build Sports Hall & Sports Facilities	20.4	0.0	20.4	20.4	0.0	MIX	1,133.4	2,653.4	2,633.0	20.4	0.0	2,653.4	0.0
7451	Brierton - Purchase ICT & Internal Alterations	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	MIX	150.0	144.0	143.4	0.6	0.0	144.0	0.0
7715	Brierton - Roof Works on Music Block and Toilets	10.6	10.6	0.0	10.6	0.0	RCCO	22.0	10.6	0.0	10.6	0.0	10.6	0.0
7501	Brougham - Install Nursery Toilet / Change Facility	48.4	47.7	0.7	48.4	0.0	SCE (R)	45.0	48.4	0.0	48.4	0.0	48.4	0.0
7638	Brougham - Demolish Unsafe Wall & Rebuild	5.8	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	RCCO	5.8	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0
7497	Brougham - Roof Repairs	32.9	32.9	0.0	32.9	0.0	GRANT	10.7	32.9	0.0	32.9	0.0	32.9	0.0
7357	Brougham - Develop Outside Play Area	4.9	0.0	4.9	4.9	0.0	GRANT	20.0	24.9	20.0	4.9	0.0	24.9	0.0
7626	Brougham - Improve Acoustics in Hall	7.1	7.1	0.0	7.1	0.0	RCCO	10.0	7.1	0.0	7.1	0.0	7.1	0.0
7281	Catcote - Install Shower/Changing/Toilet Facilities	3.2	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	GRANT	26.4	27.0	23.8	3.2	0.0	27.0	0.0
7535	Catcote - Window Replacement	36.5	36.5	0.0	36.5	0.0	GRANT	37.8	36.5	0.0	36.5	0.0	36.5	0.0
7282	Clavering - Replace Roof & Windows	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GRANT	46.6	39.8	39.8	0.0	0.0	39.8	0.0
7283	Clavering - Improvements to Kitchen Ventilation	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	GRANT	3.7	3.7	3.4	0.3	0.0	3.7	0.0
7539	Clavering - Replace Timber in Nursery	1.8	1.6	0.2	1.8	0.0	GRANT	4.0	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0
7491	Clavering - Roof Repairs Phase 4 (06/07)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	SCE (R)	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
7284	Clavering - Replace Boiler Control	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	GRANT	84.5	74.1	73.8	0.3	0.0	74.1	0.0
7285	Dyke House - Refurbish Boys Toilet (04/05)	4.5	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	MIX	120.0	135.8	131.3	4.5	0.0	135.8	0.0
7286	Dyke House - Replace Boiler in Science Block	13.3	13.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	GRANT	64.0	66.3	53.0	13.3	0.0	66.3	0.0
7574	Dyke House - Replace Boiler in Caretakers House	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	GRANT	5.2	4.6	4.1	0.5	0.0	4.6	0.0
7575	Dyke House - ICT Equipment Purchase	251.2	38.4	212.8	251.2	0.0	RCCO	85.0	251.2	0.0	251.2	0.0	251.2	0.0
7562	Dyke House - Sports Hall Floor Renewal	60.5	60.5	0.0	60.5	0.0	GRANT	60.0	60.5	0.0	60.5	0.0	60.5	0.0
7627	Dyke House - Replace Science Block Windows	23.0	23.0	0.0	23.0	0.0	RCCO	16.5	23.0	0.0	23.0	0.0	23.0	0.0
7586	Dyke House City Learning Centre Equipment Purchase	150.0	147.9	2.1	150.0	0.0	GRANT	150.0	150.0	0.0	150.0	0.0	150.0	0.0
7385	Dyke House City Learning Centre Ext & ICT Purchase	19.1	3.1	16.0	19.1	0.0	MIX	634.7	925.5	906.4	19.1	0.0	925.5	0.0
7386	Dyke House - Extension to Blue Room	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	MIX	85.7	85.7	83.0	2.7	0.0	85.7	0.0
7500	Dyke House - Purchase ICT & Refurb Tech Classes	100.0	26.9	73.1	100.0	0.0	GRANT	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
7288	English Martyrs - Build new outdoor Sports Pitch	20.6	12.4	8.2	20.6	0.0	MIX	406.7	453.2	432.6	20.6	0.0	453.2	0.0
7358	English Martyrs - Remodel School inc build new VI Form	172.1	170.6	1.5	172.1	0.0	MIX	502.2	647.2	475.1	172.1	0.0	647.2	0.0
7287	Eldon Grove - Improve Access	5.7	4.9	0.8	5.7	0.0	SCE (R)	34.0	39.2	33.5	5.7	0.0	39.2	0.0
7628	Eldon Grove - Major Internal Works	100.0	4.6	95.4	100.0	0.0	RCCO	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	Eldon Grove - Erect Perimeter Fence	26.0	0.0	26.0	26.0	0.0	MIX	26.0	26.0	0.0	26.0	0.0	26.0	0.0
7289	Fens - Roof Repair (Main Hall)	1.6	1.2	0.4	1.6	0.0	GRANT	39.5	37.9	36.3	1.6	0.0	37.9	0.0
7290	Fens - Purchase & Install Playground Equipment	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	MIX	15.0	42.4	41.3	1.1	0.0	42.4	0.0
7291	Fens - Improve Access (04/05)	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	SCE(R)	13.5	13.5	13.2	0.3	0.0	13.5	0.0
7292	Fens - Rewire (Phase 2)	14.6	14.3	0.3	14.6	0.0	GRANT	60.0	68.7	54.1	14.6	0.0	68.7	0.0
7570	Fens - (Rewire Ph 3)	25.4	25.4	0.0	25.4	0.0	GRANT	24.6	25.4	0.0	25.4	0.0	25.4	0.0
7477	Fens - Replace Hall Windows	53.8	53.8	0.0	53.8	0.0	GRANT	57.3	53.8	0.0	53.8	0.0	53.8	0.0
7563	Fens - Boiler Replacement	17.3	17.3	0.0	17.3	0.0	GRANT	17.5	17.3	0.0	17.3	0.0	17.3	0.0
7293	Golden Flatts - Build Multi Use Games Area	2.5	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	MIX	71.5	110.3	107.8	2.5	0.0	110.3	0.0
7294	Golden Flatts - Classroom Alterations	1.2	1.1	0.1	1.2	0.0	GRANT	10.0	9.3	8.1	1.2	0.0	9.3	0.0
7295	Grange - Replace Classrooms (03/04)	26.4	0.2	26.2	26.4	0.0	GRANT	2,073.7	2,195.4	2,169.0	26.4	0.0	2,195.4	0.0
7297	Grange - Renew Annexe Timber Windows (04/05)	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	MIX	43.9	44.9	44.5	0.4	0.0	44.9	0.0
7298	Grange - Air Conditioning 04/05	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	MIX	8.5	8.0	7.5	0.5	0.0	8.0	0.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

Element 3 Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
		2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Anticipated Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
7629	Grange - Internal Works to Kitchen	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	RCCO	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
7527	Greatham - Improvements to Kitchen Ventilation	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	GRANT	5.0	2.8	2.7	0.1	0.0	2.8	0.0
7359	Greatham - Car Park Improvements	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	MIX	10.0	15.5	15.2	0.3	0.0	15.5	0.0
7300	Greatham - Boiler Replacement (04/05)	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	MIX	55.1	55.1	50.1	5.0	0.0	55.1	0.0
7302	High Tunstall - Build New Gym	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	MIX	589.1	582.3	582.1	0.2	0.0	582.3	0.0
7303	High Tunstall - Refurbish Toilets & Footpaths	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	SCE(R)	5.6	4.8	4.6	0.2	0.0	4.8	0.0
7561	High Tunstall - Dining Hall Roof Repairs	32.5	32.5	0.0	32.5	0.0	GRANT	35.6	32.5	0.0	32.5	0.0	32.5	0.0
7633	High Tunstall -. 'C' Block Roof (06/07)	116.2	6.3	109.9	116.2	0.0	GRANT	94.2	116.2	0.0	116.2	0.0	116.2	0.0
7305	High Tunstall - Install Step Lift	2.9	0.0	2.9	2.9	0.0	GRANT	23.0	24.4	21.5	2.9	0.0	24.4	0.0
7500	High Tunstall - Refurb Classes / Equip Purchase	100.0	96.9	3.1	100.0	0.0	GRANT	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
7533	Jesmond Rd - Relocate Nursery to form Foundation Unit, installation of ramps & internal works	360.0	353.3	6.7	360.0	0.0	MIX	390.0	360.0	0.0	360.0	0.0	360.0	0.0
7589	Jesmond Rd - Install Extractor Fan (06/07)	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	RCCO	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
7498	Jesmond Rd - Install Handrail on Staircase	13.1	13.1	0.0	13.1	0.0	SCE (R)	13.1	13.1	0.0	13.1	0.0	13.1	0.0
7306	Jesmond Rd - Build Multi-Use Games Area	1.3	0.0	1.3	1.3	0.0	MIX	71.7	62.4	61.1	1.3	0.0	62.4	0.0
7307	Jesmond Rd - Resite Kitchen	7.6	6.2	1.4	7.6	0.0	GRANT	46.8	55.7	48.1	7.6	0.0	55.7	0.0
7576	Jesmond Rd - Roof Works	24.4	24.4	0.0	24.4	0.0	GRANT	35.2	41.7	17.3	24.4	0.0	41.7	0.0
7610	Jesmond Rd - Demolition of Kitchen Block & install External Lighting and white lines to create car park	59.2	59.2	0.0	59.2	0.0	RCCO	55.0	59.2	0.0	59.2	0.0	59.2	0.0
	Jesmond Rd - Demolition of Nursery	17.0	0.0	17.0	17.0	0.0	RCCO	17.0	17.0	0.0	17.0	0.0	17.0	0.0
7492	Kingsley - Roof Repairs	57.1	57.1	0.0	57.1	0.0	GRANT	62.0	57.1	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1	0.0
7308	Kingsley - Modification to Entrance (05/06)	1.9	1.8	0.1	1.9	0.0	RCCO	15.0	16.6	14.7	1.9	0.0	16.6	0.0
7513	Kingsley - Improvements to Kitchen Ventilation	2.8	2.2	0.6	2.8	0.0	GRANT	4.2	4.2	1.4	2.8	0.0	4.2	0.0
7469	Kingsley - Extension to School for Children's Centre	267.0	2.1	264.9	267.0	0.0	GRANT	250.0	267.0	0.0	267.0	0.0	267.0	0.0
7310	Lynnfield - Install Ramps	2.1	0.8	1.3	2.1	0.0	GRANT	19.1	16.5	14.4	2.1	0.0	16.5	0.0
7311	Lynnfield - Roof Repairs (05/06)	12.9	11.2	1.7	12.9	0.0	GRANT	100.0	112.0	99.1	12.9	0.0	112.0	0.0
7493	Lynnfield - Boiler Renewal (Caretakers House)	4.9	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0	MIX	4.5	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0
7057	Lynnfield - Build Community Facility	17.8	0.0	17.8	17.8	0.0	GRANT	441.0	1,202.4	1,184.6	17.8	0.0	1,202.4	0.0
7312	Manor - Build New Science Lab	6.6	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	MIX	482.1	477.3	470.7	6.6	0.0	477.3	0.0
7313	Manor - Build New Tennis Courts	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	0.0	MIX	102.2	117.8	115.2	2.6	0.0	117.8	0.0
7572	Manor - Install Swimming Pool Ramp (06/07)	22.2	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	SCE (R)	22.9	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0
7314	Manor - Build E-Learning Centre	31.4	2.0	29.4	31.4	0.0	MIX	584.1	818.2	786.8	31.4	0.0	818.2	0.0
7315	Manor - Replace Boiler to Drama Block	5.6	5.3	0.3	5.6	0.0	GRANT	46.5	49.6	44.0	5.6	0.0	49.6	0.0
7316	Manor - Replace Windows (05/06)	8.5	6.1	2.4	8.5	0.0	GRANT	63.0	57.9	49.4	8.5	0.0	57.9	0.0
7568	Manor - Develop new SEN/Resource Centre	135.3	99.0	36.3	135.3	0.0	MIX	90.0	135.3	0.0	135.3	0.0	135.3	0.0
7317	Owton Manor - Build New Sports Facility	13.3	13.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	MIX	506.3	566.1	552.8	13.3	0.0	566.1	0.0
7318	Owton Manor - Replace Boiler	13.1	13.1	0.0	13.1	0.0	MIX	395.7	436.6	423.5	13.1	0.0	436.6	0.0
	Owton Manor - Refurb Nursery & Int Modifications to create Children's Centre	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	GRANT	40.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
7596	Owton Manor - Relocate Entrance, New Staffroom/Kitchen, Relocate/Refurbish Library, New Lift	182.0	80.2	101.8	182.0	0.0	MIX	215.0	182.0	0.0	182.0	0.0	182.0	0.0
	Owton Manor - Remove Asbestos from Kitchen	1.4	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	RCCO	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0
	Owton Manor - Remedial Works to Boiler	3.5	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	RCCO	3.5	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0
7319	Rift House - Boiler Replacement (04/05)	3.4	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	MIX	101.6	101.4	98.0	3.4	0.0	101.4	0.0
7654	Rift House - Relocation of Nursery & Refurbish Existing Nursery to create a Children's Centre	56.0	5.5	50.5	56.0	0.0	GRANT	30.0	56.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	56.0	0.0
7320	Rossmere - Improve Access (04/05)	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	SCE(R)	25.1	25.1	24.4	0.7	0.0	25.1	0.0
7529	Rossmere - Caretakers House Heating	5.1	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	MIX	4.6	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0
7321	Sacred Heart - Hall Extension (05/06)	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	RCCO	0.5	31.8	31.5	0.3	0.0	31.8	0.0
7648	Seaton Nursery - Replace Obsolete Heater	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	RCCO	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
7322	Springwell - Build Trim Trail & Ball Play Area	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	MIX	75.9	77.7	75.4	2.3	0.0	77.7	0.0
7323	Stranton - Build New Community Facility	27.1	0.0	27.1	27.1	0.0	MIX	1,280.1	1,397.4	1,370.3	27.1	0.0	1,397.4	0.0
7566	Stranton - Replace School Heating System	216.6	216.6	0.0	216.6	0.0	GRANT	175.0	216.6	0.0	216.6	0.0	216.6	0.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Anticipated Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
7587	Stranton - Caretakers Heating System Renewal (06/07)	5.5	5.5	0.0	5.5	0.0	RCCO	4.8	5.5	0.0	5.5	0.0	5.5	0.0
7597	Stranton - Develop Outside Play Area	78.4	77.9	0.5	78.4	0.0	GRANT	67.0	78.4	0.0	78.4	0.0	78.4	0.0
	Stranton - Children's Centre mod to kitchen & offices	77.9	0.0	77.9	77.9	0.0	GRANT	80.0	77.9	0.0	77.9	0.0	77.9	0.0
7515	Stranton - Improvements to Kitchen Ventilation	4.4	4.0	0.4	4.4	0.0	GRANT	2.6	7.0	2.6	4.4	0.0	7.0	0.0
7505	St Aidans - Extend Playground	42.9	42.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	MIX	54.2	47.6	4.7	42.9	0.0	47.6	0.0
7325	St Begas - Build Comm Room/Toilets (Children's Centre)	4.2	4.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	GRANT	114.5	129.2	125.0	4.2	0.0	129.2	0.0
7567	St Cuthberts - Boiler Replacement	70.0	67.5	2.5	70.0	0.0	MIX	70.0	70.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	70.0	0.0
7326	St Helens - Extension to build Children's Centre	4.7	0.0	4.7	4.7	0.0	GRANT	178.6	219.6	214.9	4.7	0.0	219.6	0.0
7327	St Helens - Kitchen Refurbishment	7.0	6.8	0.2	7.0	0.0	GRANT	82.0	73.5	66.5	7.0	0.0	73.5	0.0
7597	St Helens - Develop Outside Play Area	27.0	26.6	0.4	27.0	0.0	MIX	27.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0
7636	St John Vianney - Develop Outside Nature Garden	6.1	0.0	6.1	6.1	0.0	GRANT	6.1	6.1	0.0	6.1	0.0	6.1	0.0
7328	St John Vianney - Build Children's Centre	6.3	0.0	6.3	6.3	0.0	GRANT	302.5	293.0	286.7	6.3	0.0	293.0	0.0
7023	St John Vianney - Build Early Years Centre	10.1	10.1	0.0	10.1	0.0	MIX	638.5	697.2	687.1	10.1	0.0	697.2	0.0
7330	St Teresa's - Extension to build Children's Centre	2.1	0.0	2.1	2.1	0.0	GRANT	183.8	165.7	163.6	2.1	0.0	165.7	0.0
7588	St Teresa's - Boiler Replacement	66.6	60.8	5.8	66.6	0.0	MIX	66.6	66.6	0.0	66.6	0.0	66.6	0.0
7422	St Hilda's - New School Build	45.8	12.1	33.7	45.8	0.0	MIX	1,471.0	1,510.6	1,464.8	45.8	0.0	1,510.6	0.0
7637	Throston - Renovations to Nursery	13.5	13.5	0.0	13.5	0.0	GRANT	13.5	13.5	0.0	13.5	0.0	13.5	0.0
7567	Ward Jackson - Replace Kitchen Windows (Ph 4)	31.6	31.5	0.1	31.6	0.0	GRANT	33.3	31.6	0.0	31.6	0.0	31.6	0.0
7333	Ward Jackson - Create Storage Space	1.6	0.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	MIX	24.7	31.7	30.1	1.6	0.0	31.7	0.0
7334	Ward Jackson - Replace Windows (Phase 2)	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	GRANT	35.7	36.2	34.8	1.4	0.0	36.2	0.0
7335	Ward Jackson - Replace Windows (Phase 3 - 05/06)	1.8	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	GRANT	27.7	23.9	22.1	1.8	0.0	23.9	0.0
7336	West Park - Roof Repair - Phase 2 (03/04)	5.1	5.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	GRANT	64.9	61.2	56.1	5.1	0.0	61.2	0.0
7337	West Park - Develop Playground	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	GRANT	15.0	13.0	12.8	0.2	0.0	13.0	0.0
7338	West Park - Re-roof (Phase - 04/05)	1.6	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	GRANT	40.0	28.4	26.8	1.6	0.0	28.4	0.0
7482	West Park - Roof Repairs Phase 5 (06/07)	30.6	30.6	0.0	30.6	0.0	GRANT	26.8	30.6	0.0	30.6	0.0	30.6	0.0
7573	West View - Replace Windows in Key Stage 1 Area	46.4	46.4	0.0	46.4	0.0	GRANT	44.6	46.4	0.0	46.4	0.0	46.4	0.0
7598	West View - Improve / Refurbish Nursery & Reception	168.2	4.8	163.4	168.2	0.0	GRANT	150.0	168.2	0.0	168.2	0.0	168.2	0.0
7340	West View - Develop Football Facilities (03/04)	5.5	0.0	5.5	5.5	0.0	GRANT	170.0	182.1	176.6	5.5	0.0	182.1	0.0
7593	West View - Replace Boiler Control (06/07)	2.1	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	RCCO	2.1	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0
7341	West View - Replace Hall Windows	2.9	2.6	0.3	2.9	0.0	GRANT	24.0	24.0	21.1	2.9	0.0	24.0	0.0
7342	Carlton Camp Redevelopment Phase 1 - New Accommodation Block; Create Meeting Room & Storage; Develop Challenge Course and other on-site adventure opportunities	801.1	768.7	32.4	801.1	0.0	MIX	859.1	1,208.1	407.0	801.1	0.0	1,208.1	0.0
	Carlton - Redevelop Ph 2 (Works to be determined)	90.0	0.0	90.0	90.0	0.0	MIX	90.0	90.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
	Carlton - Buy & Install Chall Course and Climbing Wall	84.2	76.4	7.8	84.2	0.0	MIX	73.0	84.2	0.0	84.2	0.0	84.2	0.0
	Improve Kitchen Ventilation - Various Schools	30.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	GRANT	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
7521	Children's Centres - Miscellaneous Capital Expenditure	11.3	1.2	10.1	11.3	0.0	GRANT	70.0	76.1	64.8	11.3	0.0	76.1	0.0
7646	Children's Centres - Training Room - Internal Works	13.9	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0	GRANT	0.0	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0
7429	Children's Centres - IT and Tel	7.8	7.8	0.0	7.8	0.0	GRANT	0.0	7.8	0.0	7.8	0.0	7.8	0.0
	Installation of Sound Systems - Various Schools	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	SCE (R)	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
7428	Workforce Remodelling - Misc School Projects to better utilise space	452.0	358.4	93.6	452.0	0.0	GRANT	133.8	547.1	95.1	452.0	0.0	547.1	0.0
7384	Devolved Capital - Various Misc School Projects	1,202.8	1,104.1	98.7	1,202.8	0.0	GRANT	1,210.5	1,202.8	0.0	1,202.8	0.0	1,202.8	0.0
	Construction Design Management Fee - Lump Sum	8.3	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	GRANT	12.5	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0
7463	Youth Capital Fund - Spend determined by Young People	65.0	32.6	32.4	65.0	0.0	GRANT	50.0	65.0	0.0	65.0	0.0	65.0	0.0
7437	Playing for Success - Develop New Classroom at H'pool Utd	4.3	3.8	0.5	4.3	0.0	MIX	80.6	101.9	97.6	4.3	0.0	101.9	0.0
7502	Access 2 Learning School - Install Lift, Ramp & Disabled Toilet plus Internal Works	81.4	81.4	0.0	81.4	0.0	SCE (R)	35.0	81.4	0.0	81.4	0.0	81.4	0.0
7421	School Travel Plans - Develop Cycle Storage at Schools	96.1	24.2	71.9	96.1	0.0	GRANT	41.4	120.7	24.6	96.1	0.0	120.7	0.0
7387	Rossmere Pool Demolition	20.6	20.5	0.1	20.6	0.0	RCCO	14.0	21.6	1.0	20.6	0.0	21.6	0.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Anticipated Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
7348	Education Development Centre - Works to Dining Room and Kitchen	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	RCCO	10.0	10.0	7.3	2.7	0.0	10.0	0.0
7520	Preparation Works for installing watercoolers (Various Schools)	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	RCCO	1.2	1.1	0.4	0.7	0.0	1.1	0.0
7518	Access 2 Learning - Mechanical & Engineering Works	54.2	54.2	0.0	54.2	0.0	RCCO	0.0	57.4	3.2	54.2	0.0	57.4	0.0
7606	Access 2 Learning - Demolition of Music Block	40.1	40.1	0.0	40.1	0.0	RCCO	47.0	40.1	0.0	40.1	0.0	40.1	0.0
	Funding (Mod'sation, Access, RCCO) Currently Unallocated	6.5	0.0	6.5	6.5	0.0	MIX	0.0	6.5	0.0	6.5	0.0	6.5	0.0
7447	Purchase of Interactive Whiteboards (Various Schools)	2.1	0.0	2.1	2.1	0.0	GRANT	64.3	64.3	62.2	2.1	0.0	64.3	0.0
7344	Brinkburn Pool - Reinstatement of Pool after Fire	4.1	3.9	0.2	4.1	0.0	MIX	197.0	197.0	192.9	4.1	0.0	197.0	0.0
7577	Boys Welfare - Refurbishment/Redevelopment	185.9	185.9	0.0	185.9	0.0	RCCO	140.0	197.1	11.2	185.9	0.0	197.1	0.0
7347	Sure Start South - Build Children's Centre Ext at Rossmere	6.7	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	GRANT	360.2	395.3	388.6	6.7	0.0	395.3	0.0
	Youth Service - Purchase of Mobile Youth Bus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	RCCO	60.0	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
	Children's Centres Grant - Currently Unallocated (2006-2008)	970.5	0.0	970.5	970.5	0.0	GRANT	970.4	970.5	0.0	970.5	0.0	970.5	0.0
7345	Sure Start North - Refurbish Office at West View Comm Centre	2.5	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	GRANT	176.0	176.0	173.5	2.5	0.0	176.0	0.0
7426	Purchase & Install new Integrated Children's Computerised System for Children & Families	230.7	230.7	0.0	230.7	0.0	GRANT	20.0	251.9	21.2	230.7	0.0	251.9	0.0
7652	Sure Start Central - Refur Daycare Suite at Chatham House	38.9	5.0	33.9	38.9	0.0	GRANT	18.0	38.9	0.0	38.9	0.0	38.9	0.0
7460	Sure Start North - Landscaping Works at Main Centre	8.2	1.4	6.8	8.2	0.0	GRANT	6.0	8.2	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.2	0.0
7388	Sure Start Central - Improvement Works at Lowthian Road	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	MIX	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0
7210	Capital Grant Cont to building Rift House N'hood Nursery	4.3	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0	MIX	79.1	78.8	74.5	4.3	0.0	78.8	0.0
7670	Rift House - Install Ramp (04/05)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	SCE (R)	14.1	14.3	14.1	0.2	0.0	14.3	0.0
7722	Rossmere - Window Replacement (03/04)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	SCE (R)	25.1	26.5	26.1	0.4	0.0	26.5	0.0
7671	Stranton - Window Renewal (04/05)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	SCE (R)	20.0	28.8	28.7	0.1	0.0	28.8	0.0
7721	Throston - Roof Repairs Phase 2 (03/04)	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	SCE (R)	45.6	43.6	42.2	1.4	0.0	43.6	0.0
7350	Flint Walk Office Conversion (old project)	3.7	3.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	RCCO	30.0	182.0	178.3	3.7	0.0	182.0	0.0
7659	Sacred Heart - Renewal of Electr. Serv Phs1	36.2	36.2	0.0	36.2	0.0	GRANT	36.2	36.2	0.0	36.2	0.0	36.2	0.0
7672	Brinkburn Youth Centre - Internal Works	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	RCCO	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0
7725	Fens - Heating System replace parts	3.5	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	RCCO	3.5	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0
7744	St Josephs - Contribution to ICT Suite	34.0	34.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	RCCO	34.0	34.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	34.0	0.0
7666	Brougham - SPACE Centre Modifications	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	GRANT	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0
7662	Seaton Nursery - Alterations to Buildings	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	SCE (R)	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
7619	West View - Removal of asbestos	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	SCE (R)	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0
7738	Fens - Improvements to toilets	26.8	26.8	0.0	26.8	0.0	RCCO	26.8	26.8	0.0	26.8	0.0	26.8	0.0
7739	Fens - Garden/Store room extension	50.5	50.5	0.0	50.5	0.0	RCCO	50.5	50.5	0.0	50.5	0.0	50.5	0.0
7740	Fens- New Office extension	55.9	55.9	0.0	55.9	0.0	RCCO	55.9	55.9	0.0	55.9	0.0	55.9	0.0
7667	Stranton - Modify multi-agency team Room	1.8	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	GRANT	1.8	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0
7741	Fens - Alterations to Caretakers bungalow	24.6	24.6	0.0	24.6	0.0	RCCO	24.6	24.6	0.0	24.6	0.0	24.6	0.0
	St Helens - Paving and Radiators	3.5	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	RCCO	3.5	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0
7661	Catcote- Develop Vocational areas	45.0	0.0	45.0	45.0	0.0	GRANT	45.0	45.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	45.0	0.0
	SSC - Chatham Road	5.9	0.0	5.9	5.9	0.0	GRANT	5.9	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0
	Staff Workspaces - General	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	RCCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		8,983.0	5,793.2	3,189.8	8,983.0	0.0	0.0	23,800.7	29,245.0	20,262.0	8,983.0	0.0	29,245.0	0.0

Key

RCCO	Revenue Contribution towards Capital	GRANT	Grant Funded
MIX	Combination of Funding Types	CAP REC	Capital Receipt
UCPB	Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing	UDPB	Unsupported Prudential Borrowing
SCE ®	Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)	SPB	Supported Prudential Borrowing

PORTFOLIO : ADULT & PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE**Appendix I****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/07 Approved Budget	2006/07 Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	2006/07 Variance: Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E (E=D-C)
		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	NRF - Mental Health Development Project	62.9	62.9	0.0
2	NRF - Mobile Maintenance Worker	9.0	20.0	11.0
3	NRF - Owton Ross Health Dev Worker	40.0	39.7	(0.3)
4	NRF - Smoking Issues	72.5	72.5	0.0
5	NRF - Health Inequalities Comm Chest	25.0	24.3	(0.7)
6	NRF - Life Channel Health Education	2.0	1.9	(0.1)
7	NRF - Voluntary Community Sector Core Costs	154.4	155.2	0.8
8	NRF - Discharge Planning Post	24.0	24.8	0.8
9	NRF - Belle Vue Sports Project	39.0	39.0	0.0
10	NRF - Cardiac Rehab through Exercise	25.0	24.8	(0.2)
11	NRF - Connected Care / Health Trainers	88.0	57.4	(30.6)
12	NRF - Anchor Trust Community Development	31.1	31.1	0.0
13	NRF - Alzheimers Day Service	61.9	61.9	0.0
14	NRF - Hartlepool Carers	20.6	20.6	0.0
15	NRF - Mental Health Carers Support	20.8	20.8	0.0
16	NRF - TNEY / MIND Common Mental Health Needs	41.0	40.9	(0.1)
17	NRF - MIND Manager & NDC Support Network	47.0	47.0	0.0
18		764.2	744.8	(19.4)

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000								
7229	Cemetery Flooding Works	37.8	33.4	4.4	37.8	0.0	UDPB	340.0	340.1	302.3	37.8	0.0	340.1	0.0
7234	Chronically Sick & Disabled Persons Adaptations	125.2	125.2	0.0	125.2	0.0	MIX	45.0	125.2	0.0	125.2	0.0	125.2	0.0
7082	NRF Adaptations	27.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	GRANT	27.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0
7737	DDA & Other Capital Works	3.2	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	SCE(R)	3.2	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0
7723	Resettlement Capital Works	300.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0	GRANT	300.0	300.0	0.0	300.0	0.0	300.0	0.0
7351	Improving Information Management (IIM) - Systems	35.2	35.2	0.0	35.2	0.0	MIX	101.9	35.2	0.0	35.2	0.0	35.2	0.0
7479	Improving Information Management (IIM) - Single Assessment Project (SAP)	13.8	13.8	0.0	13.8	0.0	MIX	0.0	13.8	0.0	13.8	0.0	13.8	0.0
7480	Improving Information Management (IIM) - Electronic Social Care Record	33.5	33.5	0.0	33.5	0.0	MIX	0.0	33.5	0.0	33.5	0.0	33.5	0.0
7481	Improving Information Management (IIM) - IT Infrastructure	19.4	19.4	0.0	19.4	0.0	MIX	0.0	19.4	0.0	19.4	0.0	19.4	0.0
7352	Brooklyn 'UK On-line' ICT Initiative	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	GRANT	7.5	7.5	6.5	1.0	0.0	7.5	0.0
7356	Joseph Rowntree Development (Extra Care Housing)	6,656.7	6,656.7	0.0	6,656.7	0.0	MIX	750.0	10,594.5	3,937.8	6,656.7	0.0	10,594.5	0.0
7389	Mental Health	235.3	0.1	235.2	235.3	0.0	SCE(R)	76.4	235.6	0.3	235.3	0.0	235.6	0.0
7403	Spion Kop Cem Environmental Project (INCA)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	GRANT	30.0	26.6	26.5	0.1	0.0	26.6	0.0
7438	Adult Education - Capital Equip Replacement	23.8	0.0	23.8	23.8	0.0	GRANT	20.0	30.0	6.2	23.8	0.0	30.0	0.0
7441	Adult Education - Neighbourhood Learning in Deprived Communities Fund	92.2	42.7	49.5	92.2	0.0	GRANT	81.2	92.2	0.0	92.2	0.0	92.2	0.0
7473	Grant to 'Peoples Relief of Pressure' Mental Health Initiative	11.0	11.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	SCE(R)	0.0	11.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	11.0	0.0
7531	Adult Education - Education Development Centre - Refurbishment	68.2	54.0	14.2	68.2	0.0	MIX	68.2	68.2	0.0	68.2	0.0	68.2	0.0
7578	Lynne Street ATC - Demolition	119.3	106.5	12.8	119.3	0.0	RCCO	120.0	120.0	0.7	119.3	0.0	120.0	0.0
7616	Three Rivers Housing (Extra Care Housing)	308.4	0.0	308.4	308.4	0.0	GRANT	308.4	308.4	0.0	308.4	0.0	308.4	0.0
7622	Adult Education - Capital Equipment Replacement	13.2	0.0	13.2	13.2	0.0	GRANT	13.2	13.2	0.0	13.2	0.0	13.2	0.0
7724	Adult Education - Further Education Building Refurbishment	26.0	26.0	0.0	26.0	0.0	GRANT	26.0	26.0	0.0	26.0	0.0	26.0	0.0
		8,150.3	7,187.8	962.5	8,150.3	0.0		2,318.0	12,430.6	4,280.3	8,150.3	0.0	12,430.6	0.0

Key

RCCO	Revenue Contribution towards Capital	GRANT	Grant Funded
MIX	Combination of Funding Types	CAP REC	Capital Receipt
UCPB	Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing	UDPB	Unsupported Prudential Borrowing
SCE ®	Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)	SPB	Supported Prudential Borrowing

ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**TABLE 1 - SINGLE REGENERATION BUDGET**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/2007 Approved Budget	2006/2007 Actual Expenditure / Income	2006/2007 Variance; Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E
		£'000	£'000	(E=D-C) £'000
1	Programme Administration Budget	207.2	201.0	(6.2)
2	Contribution to Abbey Street Project	1.0	1.0	0.0
3	Headland History Project	1.1	0.5	(0.6)
4	Jobsbuild - Promote Employment of Local People	20.0	20.0	0.0
5	Targeted Training	48.2	46.2	(2.0)
6	Headland Tourism Marketing	84.5	79.7	(4.8)
7	Intermediate Labour Market	36.4	32.3	(4.1)
8	Totals	398.4	380.7	(17.7)

ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**TABLE 2 - NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/2007 Approved Budget	2006/2007 Actual Expenditure / Income	2006/2007 Variance; Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E
		£'000	£'000	(E=D-C) £'000
9	Longhill - Site Manager	44.0	36.2	(7.7)
10	Longhill - Business Security Scheme	14.4	14.4	0.0
11	Longhill - ILM Scheme	65.0	65.0	0.0
12	Childcare Training	15.2	12.2	(3.1)
13	Employment Advice and Support: At Work	229.3	263.3	34.1
14	Enterprise Development Package	139.6	128.6	(11.0)
15	Commercial Areas - Building Modernisation	41.3	42.2	0.8
16	Commercial Areas - Bus Support Manager	47.0	43.7	(3.3)
17	Mental Health Support Workers	89.8	89.8	0.0
18	Complementary Therapies	7.1	7.1	0.0
19	Drop in for Health - Health Bus	25.3	25.2	(0.1)
20	Health Dev. Workers & Activity Block Fund	53.4	40.1	(13.4)
21	Sure Start Extension	274.0	142.2	(131.8)
22	Practical Support to Individuals	124.1	126.6	2.6
23	Low Level Support - Phase 2	35.0	27.0	(8.0)
24	Drug Outreach	60.7	60.7	0.0
25	Childrens Emotional Wellbeing	70.0	36.6	(33.4)
26	Football Development Officer	38.0	38.0	0.0
27	Hartlepool Access - Shopmobility	0.0	5.0	5.0
28	Peoples Access to Health	44.7	41.2	(3.5)
29	Young Persons Emotional Wellbeing	0.0	3.4	3.4
30	Community Wardens	315.7	239.1	(76.6)
31	Target Hardening - Phase 3	98.2	115.9	17.7
32	Community Safety Grants Pool	20.0	17.5	(2.5)
33	Good Citizenship Initiative	26.0	26.0	0.0
34	Drug Enforcement Unit	50.0	50.0	0.0
35	Victim Support	28.0	28.0	0.0
36	Community Safety Premises	72.6	59.3	(13.3)
37	Domestic Violence	44.5	44.5	(0.0)
38	Dordrecht	39.6	32.0	(7.6)
39	CCTV Implementation - Phase 2	25.3	12.3	(13.0)
40	Offendering / Mentoring Scheme	23.1	8.8	(14.3)
41	Anti-Social Behaviour	81.7	44.6	(37.1)
42	Community Learning Centre - Stranton	72.2	31.7	(40.4)
43	Community Learning Centre - Lynnfield	72.4	55.6	(16.8)
44	Social Inclusion	63.6	36.7	(26.9)
45	Continuing Education and Vocational Training	19.8	49.0	29.2
46	Bursary Fund	65.6	77.0	11.3
47	Hoop Dreams (Education)	14.9	13.7	(1.2)
48	Educational Achievement Project	204.8	216.0	11.2
49	Key Stage 2 & 3 Transition	56.4	38.8	(17.6)
50	Community Chest	25.0	22.0	(3.0)
51	Belle Vue Extension	18.5	18.5	0.0
52	Osbourne Road Hall	13.1	13.1	0.0
53	Ethnic Minorities	110.0	88.6	(21.4)
54	Money Advice and Debt Counselling Service	32.9	32.9	0.0
55	Money Wise Community Banking	96.3	96.3	0.0

ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**TABLE 2 - NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/2007 Approved Budget	2006/2007 Actual Expenditure / Income	2006/2007 Variance; Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E (E=D-C)
		£'000	£'000	£'000
56	Peoples Centre	67.9	68.0	0.1
57	Family Support	26.8	29.9	3.1
58	Voluntary Sector Premises Pool	6.0	6.0	0.0
59	Hartlepool Youth Project	174.2	148.4	(25.8)
60	Capacity Building	130.0	93.4	(36.6)
61	Sunday Opening	5.4	5.4	(0.0)
62	Arts Development Initiative	9.0	10.4	1.4
63	Grange Road Methodist Church	4.9	4.9	0.0
64	Community Transport	19.8	6.7	(13.1)
65	Horizon Centre	43.6	43.6	(0.0)
66	Events Project	0.0	0.0	0.0
67	Childrens Activities Project	105.6	110.0	4.5
68	Hartbeat	41.1	39.2	(1.9)
69	Housing Advice and Tenancy Support Service	44.1	43.5	(0.7)
70	Environmental Task Force	123.0	153.1	30.1
71	Housing Regeneration Company	350.6	418.2	67.6
72	Evaluation Project	119.0	123.6	4.6
73	Communications Project	65.0	59.9	(5.1)
74	Neighbourhood Management	118.2	54.9	(63.2)
75	Hartlepool Partners	7.0	3.5	(3.5)
76	Management and Administration	573.6	544.7	(28.8)
77	Income from Revival	(769.7)	(769.7)	0.0
78	Totals	4,372.9	3,913.7	(459.2)

ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE OUTTURN REPORT FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2006/2007**TABLE 3 - SINGLE PROGRAMME**

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/2007 Approved Budget	2006/2007 Actual Expenditure / Income	2006/2007 Variance; Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E (E=D-C)
		£'000	£'000	£'000
79	Tees Valley for Offshore High Value Engineering	60.0	60.0	0.0
80	Building Futures	753.0	742.1	(10.9)
81	Coastal Arc Coordinator	38.9	38.4	(0.5)
82	Coastal Arc Tourism (Marketing and Training)	60.0	59.9	(0.1)
83	Coastal Arc Tourism (Events Hartlepool)	5.0	5.0	0.0
84	Coastal Arc Tourism (Events Redcar)	4.5	4.5	0.0
84	Totals	921.4	909.9	(11.5)

TABLE 4 - CHILDREN'S FUND

Line No	Description of Best Value Unit	Outturn Position		
		2006/2007 Approved Budget	2006/2007 Actual Expenditure / Income	2006/2007 Variance; Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E (E=D-C)
		£'000	£'000	£'000
85	Children's Fund Partnership	410.6	408.1	(2.5)
86	Totals	410.6	408.1	(2.5)

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

TABLE 1 - RESOURCES

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure	Variance from Approved budget
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000							J+K+L	M-I
7090	City Challenge Architects TOS	1.4	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	CAPR	69.5	69.5	68.1	1.4	0.0	69.5	0.0
7091	City Challenge Clawback	228.8	0.0	228.8	228.8	0.0	GRANT	228.8	228.8	0.0	228.8	0.0	228.8	0.0
7200	Civic Centre Capital Maintenance	1,873.8	168.0	1,705.8	1,873.8	0.0	PRUD BOR	3,000.0	2,873.8	0.0	1,873.8	1,000.0	2,873.8	(0.0)
7256	Memorial for lives Lost at Sea	4.8	0.0	4.8	4.8	0.0	CAPR	4.8	4.8	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8	0.0
7257	Disability Discrimination Act Works	72.1	26.0	46.1	72.1	0.0	PRUD BOR	77.7	77.7	0.0	72.1	0.0	72.1	(5.6)
7258	Improvements to Public Facilities	6.6	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	CAPR	185.0	205.3	198.7	6.6	0.0	205.3	0.0
7259	Demolition of Stranton House	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	CAPR	35.0	37.5	36.7	0.8	0.0	37.5	0.0
7260	Piazza and Slipway - Trincomalee Trust	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	CAPR	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.0
7261	Regeneration Office Accomodation	7.9	0.0	7.9	7.9	0.0	CAPR	11.0	9.0	1.1	7.9	0.0	9.0	0.0
7262	Archive Store Refurbishment	7.9	0.0	7.9	7.9	0.0	MIX	310.0	429.1	421.2	7.9	0.0	429.1	0.0
7263	York Flatlets Demolition	7.7	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	CAPR	3.5	41.6	33.9	7.7	0.0	41.6	0.0
7264	Mobile Benefits	135.7	6.4	129.3	135.7	0.0	RES	85.0	250.0	114.3	135.7	0.0	250.0	0.0
7418	St Bennedicts Barlows Building Demolition	166.6	166.6	0.0	166.6	0.0	CAPR	50.0	181.8	15.2	166.6	0.0	181.8	0.0
7445	Financial Management System Development	208.4	208.4	0.0	208.4	0.0	MIX	696.9	767.3	558.9	208.4	0.0	767.3	0.0
7446	ERDM and Workflow Development	128.2	128.2	0.0	128.2	0.0	MIX	400.0	618.2	490.0	128.2	0.0	618.2	0.0
7464	Establishment of Contact Centre	1,072.0	1,072.0	0.0	1,072.0	0.0	PRUD BOR	1,179.2	1,222.4	150.4	1,072.0	0.0	1,222.4	0.0
7467	Refurbishment of War Memorials	98.0	2.4	95.6	98.0	0.0	PRUD BOR	98.0	98.0	0.0	98.0	0.0	98.0	0.0
7468	Information Technology Strategy	500.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	0.0	PRUD BOR	500.0	500.0	0.0	500.0	0.0	500.0	0.0
7470	HR Analyser System	18.2	18.2	0.0	18.2	0.0	CAPR	135.2	135.2	117.0	18.2	0.0	135.2	0.0
7623	Corporate IT Projects (prev IEG)	114.7	21.0	93.7	114.7	0.0	IEGGRANT	257.5	338.6	223.9	114.7	0.0	338.6	0.0
7631	Members ICT/Flexible/Remote Access	200.8	85.1	115.7	200.8	0.0	CAPR	200.8	200.8	0.0	200.8	0.0	200.8	0.0
7632	Homeworking IT Equipment	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	CAPR	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.0
7634	Town Centre LIFT Scheme	90.0	0.0	90.0	90.0	0.0	CAPR	90.0	90.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
CC421/CC423	Burbank/Murray Street	122.0	0.0	122.0	122.0	0.0	GRANT	122.0	122.0	0.0	122.0	0.0	122.0	0.0
	R & B Flooring and Furnishing	30.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	CAPR	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
7673	Phoenix Centre Purchase	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	CAPR	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
7483	Civic Centre-HR Relocation	61.3	61.3	0.0	61.3	0.0	MIX	79.6	79.6	0.0	61.3	0.0	61.3	(18.3)
7201	Corporate Planned Maint- Civic Ctre PH4 Bal System	12.2	11.8	0.4	12.2	0.0	RCCO	3.9	3.9	0.0	12.2	0.0	12.2	8.3
7604	Corporate Planned Maint- Civic Ctre Electricity	15.9	1.9	14.0	15.9	0.0	RCCO	20.0	20.0	0.0	15.9	0.0	15.9	(4.1)
7449	Corporate Planned Maint- Rossmere YC - DDA Works	5.6	5.6	0.0	5.6	0.0	RCCO	7.1	7.1	0.0	5.6	0.0	5.6	(1.5)
7602	Corporate Planned Maint- EDC Ph2 Roofing Conf Hall	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	RCCO	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.1
7603	Corporate Planned Maint- EDC Ph3 Roofing Conf Hall	62.5	62.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	RCCO	60.0	60.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	62.5	2.5
7496	Corporate Planned Maint- Throston Library Roof	50.8	6.0	44.8	50.8	0.0	RCCO	30.0	30.0	0.0	50.8	0.0	50.8	20.8
7585	Corporate Planned Maint- A2L Boiler Rep	84.7	84.7	0.0	84.7	0.0	RCCO	74.6	74.6	0.0	84.7	0.0	84.7	10.1
7719	Corporate Planned Maint- Brinkburn YC Re-roof	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	RCCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.9
7225	Corporate Planned Maint- Borough Hall Boiler	22.2	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	RCCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	22.2	22.2
7503	Corporate Planned Maint- Chrurch St Boiler	43.6	43.6	0.0	43.6	0.0	RCCO	30.0	30.0	0.0	43.6	0.0	43.6	13.6
		5,557.9	2,303.5	3,254.4	5,557.9	0.0		8,177.3	8,938.8	2,429.9	5,557.9	1,000.0	8,987.8	49.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007**TABLE 2 - SINGLE REGENERATION BUDGET**

Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		A	B	C	D	E	F
		2006/2007 Budget	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget	G Type of financing
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
7000	Voluntary Sector Premises Pool	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	SRB
7001	Headland Community Resource Centre Ph 1 & 2	20.9	0.0	20.9	20.9	0.0	HBC
7002	Sports Improvement Scheme	85.3	68.3	17.0	85.3	0.0	MIX
7003	Carnegie Building Refurbishment	141.9	27.9	114.0	141.9	0.0	MIX
7004	Tackling Crime Together - Street Lighting Project	11.2	11.2	0.0	11.2	0.0	MIX
7006	Headland Promenade CCTV	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	MIX
7007	Oakesway Industrial Improvement Area	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	SRB
7008	Commercial Improvement Area	42.7	42.7	0.0	42.7	0.0	MIX
7009	Developing Enterprise Scheme	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	SRB
7010	Heugh Battery Project	4.9	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0	SRB
7021	Heugh Battery Project -Phase 2/2b	299.0	24.5	274.5	299.0	0.0	MIX
7011	Headland Key Buildings (Grants)	96.0	0.0	96.0	96.0	0.0	SRB
7012	Headland Regeneration Programme	235.6	235.6	0.0	235.6	0.0	MIX
7013	Headland Town Square	403.6	353.6	50.0	403.6	0.0	MIX
7015	Targeted Private Housing Improvements	255.0	255.0	0.0	255.0	0.0	MIX
7016	Environmental Improvements - Key Residential Areas	140.6	35.2	105.4	140.6	0.0	MIX
7024	Green Corridors and Gateways	11.2	11.2	0.0	11.2	0.0	HBC
7417	Friarage Field Building Demolition	44.9	4.7	40.2	44.9	0.0	MIX
7647	Headland Bowls Pavilion Renovation	28.4	28.4	0.0	28.4	0.0	MIX
		1,866.2	1,148.2	718.0	1,866.2	0.0	

EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
215.7	245.8	215.8	30.0	0.0	245.8	0.0
1,899.4	765.0	744.1	20.9	0.0	765.0	0.0
1,939.3	2,068.6	1,983.3	85.3	0.0	2,068.6	0.0
1,120.4	1,044.4	902.5	141.9	0.0	1,044.4	0.0
204.9	207.6	196.4	11.2	0.0	207.6	0.0
25.0	17.0	12.0	5.0	0.0	17.0	0.0
149.7	127.7	127.7	0.0	0.0	127.7	0.0
375.7	210.8	168.1	42.7	0.0	210.8	0.0
83.0	83.0	73.0	10.0	0.0	83.0	0.0
176.9	184.6	179.7	4.9	0.0	184.6	0.0
549.1	549.1	0.0	299.0	250.1	549.1	0.0
263.1	207.3	31.3	96.0	80.0	207.3	0.0
2,532.1	2,425.6	2,190.0	235.6	0.0	2,425.6	0.0
1,005.0	1,371.7	968.1	403.6	0.0	1,371.7	0.0
1,008.2	940.1	685.1	255.0	0.0	940.1	0.0
2,061.4	2,010.6	1,870.0	140.6	0.0	2,010.6	0.0
11.2	11.2	0.0	11.2	0.0	11.2	0.0
44.9	44.9	0.0	44.9	0.0	44.9	0.0
28.4	28.4	0.0	28.4	0.0	28.4	0.0
13,693.4	12,543.4	10,347.1	1,866.2	330.1	12,543.4	0.0

TABLE 3 - NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES

Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		A	B	C	D	E	F
		2006/2007 Budget	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget	G Type of financing
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
7058	Longhill Junction Improvements	79.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	(79.4)	MIX
7059/7060	Longhill Business Security and Environmental Imps	148.2	89.5	0.0	89.5	(58.6)	MIX
7061	Business Security Fund	64.0	118.8	0.0	118.8	54.7	NDC
7062	CIA Building Modernisation Grant	292.4	552.5	0.0	552.5	260.0	NDC
7063	CIA Environmental Improvements	515.6	232.6	0.0	232.6	(283.0)	MIX
7054	Crime Premises	10.0	25.1	0.0	25.1	15.1	NDC
7056	Target Hardening Phase 3	124.0	41.5	0.0	41.5	(82.5)	NDC
7050	Osbourne Road Hall	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.6	NDC
7051	Voluntary Sector Premises Pool	46.5	68.6	0.0	68.6	22.1	NDC
7052	Peoples Centre	65.6	2.8	0.0	2.8	(62.7)	NDC
7053	Hartlepool Youth Project	14.5	6.7	0.0	6.7	(7.8)	NDC
7071	Area Remodelling Project	4,987.3	3,707.0	1,280.3	4,987.3	0.0	MIX
7076	Environmental Improvements	550.0	558.5	0.0	558.5	8.5	NDC
7065	Neighbourhood management	27.5	58.1	0.0	58.1	30.6	NDC
		6,925.1	5,462.4	1,280.3	6,742.7	(182.4)	

EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
180.0	180.0	50.6	0.0	129.4	180.0	0.0
836.3	1,021.2	765.2	89.5	166.5	1,021.2	0.0
435.0	435.0	175.5	118.8	140.7	435.0	0.0
1,209.7	1,209.7	354.1	552.5	303.1	1,209.7	0.0
1,265.4	1,328.4	362.9	232.6	732.9	1,328.4	0.0
322.0	385.1	345.0	25.1	15.0	385.1	0.0
372.0	374.2	100.0	41.5	232.7	374.2	0.0
60.9	62.9	60.9	0.6	1.4	62.9	0.0
267.5	267.5	154.3	68.6	44.6	267.5	0.0
138.1	138.1	72.5	2.8	62.8	138.1	0.0
698.7	628.7	611.2	6.7	10.8	628.7	0.0
15,648.0	15,648.0	12,667.8	3,707.0	(726.8)	15,648.0	0.0
750.0	750.0	0.0	558.5	191.5	750.0	0.0
165.0	302.5	11.9	58.1	232.5	302.5	0.0
22,348.6	22,731.3	15,731.9	5,462.4	1,537.0	22,731.3	0.0

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

TABLE 4 - SINGLE PROGRAMME

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR						EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing	Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
7103	Coastal Arc CAA – Wingfield Castle	462.3	320.3	142.0	462.3	0.0	GRANT	1,863.1	1,863.1	426.7	462.3	974.1	1,863.1	0.0
7102	Interreg Joint Costs Planning new Activities	12.6	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0	GRANT	12.6	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0
7504	Seaton Carew Bus Station - Landscaping	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	GRANT	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
7105	Coastal Walkway Phase 1	204.6	9.4	195.2	204.6	0.0	GRANT	204.6	204.6	0.0	9.4	195.2	204.6	0.0
		709.5	372.3	337.2	709.5	0.0		2,110.3	2,110.3	426.7	514.3	1,169.3	2,110.3	0.0

Key

RCCO

MIX

UCPB

SCE ®

Revenue Contribution towards Capital

Combination of Funding Types

Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing

Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT

CAP REC

UDPB

SPB

Grant Funded

Capital Receipt

Unsupported Prudential Borrowing

Supported Prudential Borrowing

CAPITAL OUTTURN REPORT 2006/2007

		EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Element 3 Code	Scheme Title	2006/2007 Budget £'000	2006/2007 Actual as at 31/03/07 £'000	2006/2007 Expenditure Rephased to 2007/08 £'000	C+D 2006/2007 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2006/2007 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7466	Vehicles Prudential Borrowing (3yr programme)	600.0	492.0	0.0	492.0	(108.0)	UDPB
7642	Works to Post Room - Bryan Hanson House	5.9	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	MIX
		605.9	497.9	0.0	497.9	(108.0)	

EXPENDITURE OVER YEARS						
H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Original Budget for Scheme	Latest Approved Budget for Scheme	Expenditure in previous years	Expenditure in 2006/2007	Anticipated Expenditure in future years	Anticipated Final Expenditure J+K+L	Variance from Approved budget M-I
1,130.0	600.0	0.0	492.0	0.0	492.0	(108.0)
6.0	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0
1,136.0	605.9	0.0	497.9	0.0	497.9	(108.0)

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

CABINET REPORT

17th September, 2007



Report of: Chief Financial Officer

Subject: QUARTER 1 – NRF, CAPITAL AND ACCOUNTABLE
BODY PROGRAMME MONITORING REPORT
2007/2008

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide details of progress against the Council's overall Capital budget for 2007/2008, the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and the Spending Programmes where the Council acts as the Accountable Body.

The report considers the following areas: -

- NRF
- Capital Monitoring
- Accountable Body Programme Monitoring

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

The report provides detailed monitoring information for each Portfolio up to 31st July, 2007.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

Cabinet has overall responsibility for the monitoring of the Council's budgets.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

None.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Cabinet 17th September, 2007.

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

Cabinet is asked to note the report.

Report of: Chief Financial Officer

Subject: QUARTER 1 – NRF, CAPITAL AND
ACCOUNTABLE BODY PROGRAMME
MONITORING REPORT 2007/2008

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To inform Cabinet of progress against the Council's own 2007/2008 Capital budget, the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and the spending programmes where the Council acts as the Accountable Body for the period to 31st July, 2007.

1.2 This report considers the following areas: -

- NRF
- Capital Monitoring;
- Accountable Body Programme Monitoring;

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 In line with previous monitoring reports, this document is an integrated comprehensive document that is page numbered, thus allowing Members easier navigation around the report. (See Contents Table below). The report firstly provides a summary, followed by a section for each Portfolio where more detailed information is provided.

Section	Heading	Page
3.	NRF Monitoring	2
4.	Capital Monitoring	2-4
5.	Accountable Body Programme	4-5
6.	Regeneration and Liveability Portfolio	5-6
7.	Culture, Leisure and Tourism Portfolio	6-7
8.	Neighbourhood and Communities Portfolio	7
9.	Children's Services Portfolio	8-9
10.	Adult and Public Health Service Portfolio	9-10
11.	Finance and Efficiency Portfolio	10-12
12.	Recommendations	13
Appendix A	NRF Monitoring	14
Appendix B	Capital Monitoring	15
Appendix C	Accountable Body Monitoring	16
Appendices D-N	July, 2007 by Portfolio	17-38

- 2.2 This report will be submitted to Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee for review at the earliest opportunity.

3. NRF MONITORING 2007/2008

- 3.1 Details of NRF expenditure are summarised at Appendix A. Details of individual schemes are contained in appendices D, G, I and K. At this stage actual expenditure amounts to £1,259,200 compared to expected expenditure of £1,284,200, resulting in a favourable variance of £25,000. The Local Strategic Partnership reviews any variances and agrees a revised programme budget to ensure the full spend of the NRF Programme. Therefore this budget will be fully spent by the yearend.

4. CAPITAL MONITORING 2007/2008

- 4.1 Expenditure for all Portfolios is summarised at Appendix B.
- 4.2 Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007, totals £6,917,000, compared to the approved budget of £34,864,100, with a further £28,870,300 expected to be paid before the year-end.
- 4.3 The position is not unusual as there is traditionally a long lead time between the commencement of capital projects and payment for works executed. Detailed monitoring of progress on implementing capital projects indicate that the required financial outputs will be achieved by the year-end. The position will continue to be closely monitored throughout the remainder of the year.
- 4.4 There are three issues to bring to Member's attention as detailed in the following paragraphs.
- 4.5 **Coast Protection – Headland Fencing and Promenade**
- 4.6 At your meeting on 6th August, 2007, Members were advised that various health and safety works needed to be undertaken to the Headland Promenade, including replacing railings where necessary. The cost of these works are estimated at £120,000. At that time funding for these works had not been identified, pending a review of the current year's Capital Programme and progress in achieving capital receipts.
- 4.7 A review of progress in achieving the £2 million capital receipts target has now been completed to reflect progress on the major planned disposals. This review indicates that these receipts are now becoming much more certain. In addition, a number of smaller receipts have now been completed. Taken together these two issues provide a greater degree of certainty that the £2 million capital receipts target will be exceeded, although at this stage it is not certain

by how much. However, it would not be inappropriate to anticipate funding the cost of these above works from capital receipts.

- 4.8 Further details of the actual capital receipts achieved during 2007/2008 will be reported later in the year within the 2008/2009 Budget and Policy Framework proposals.

4.9 **Civic Centre Refurbishment**

- 4.10 Previous reports on the programme of works to be undertaken within the Civic Centre highlighted the complexity of this project and the risk of potential additional costs once works commenced owing to unknown factors. Work has been progressing on works to the roof and drainage system, which are the most difficult aspects of the project as the full extent of these works could not be established until they commenced. In practice significant additional costs have been incurred on these aspects of the project and it is not possible to accommodate these costs within the original budget of £3 million. These additional costs total £0.9 million.

- 4.11 Included in that additional cost are some items from Schedule 2 of the works, that were approved but not funded, that have been brought forward. Such as:

- Audio-visual facilities for the Council Chamber; brought forward at Cabinet request. Timing will allow advantages from other works in the area e.g. shared scaffolding costs. The proposal is to install two projectors and display screens for improved presentation, with replacement audio system and improved hearing-aid loop system. The audio system will include remote microphones for use during Council meetings.
- Committee Room refurbishment, brought forward at Cabinet request. Timing will allow benefits to installation costs, e.g. shared procurement costs for carpets. Proposal is to replace furniture and carpets. Minor decoration works to be implemented if maintenance budget funded.

- 4.12 There are also several items of growth not contained within the original scope of work, such as:

- Refurbishment of the roof parapet, essential works required as the extent of damage to the parapet was more than initial inspection had assessed. Intrusive structural inspection highlighted non-standard installation and potential instability. Testing showed water ingress routes to the Level 4 office area. Proposal is to utilise the roof contractor to remove the existing parapet for the full perimeter and install capping.

- 4.13 Members will recall that the capital costs of this project are funded from Prudential Borrowing and the revenue budget includes a provision of £0.3 million to cover the resulting repayment charges, including interest. The revenue budget was established on the basis of interest rates prevailing when the 2006/2007 budget was set.
- 4.14 Since that time the average interest rate on the Council's borrowing has been reduced through the Treasury Management strategy. As a result of this action the available revenue provision will support a capital cost of £3.75 million, which would cover the majority of the increased costs of this project without impacting on the revenue budget position. It is therefore suggested that Members approve the use of this budget provision to support the higher costs of this project. It is also suggested that the remaining capital shortfall of £0.15m is also funded from Prudential Borrowing and the resulting revenue cost of £12,000 be funded from the core Centralised Estimate budget.
- 4.15 **Burbank Community Centre Refurbishment**
- 4.16 Funding of £120,000 was allocated for this project from the £1.7m included in the approved capital budget for specific projects. Additional civil engineering works have been necessary to ensure the first floor is secure. These works have cost approximately £9,000 and this amount cannot be accommodated with the existing budget allocation.
- 4.17 At the start of the year a small part (£34,000) of the available £1.7m capital allocation was uncommitted. This amount is still available and it is suggested that these measures be used to fund the increased cost of the Burbank Community Centre refurbishment. This will leave an uncommitted balance of £25,000, which it is suggested is retained until detailed costs of other schemes are finalised. Any unused balance can be carried forward to 2008/2009.

5. ACCOUNTABLE BODY PROGRAMME

- 5.1 The Council acts as Accountable Body for the Hartlepool New Deal for Communities (NDC) and Single Regeneration Budget (SRB) and the Children's Fund Partnership. As part of its role as Accountable Body the Council needs to be satisfied that expenditure is properly incurred and is progressing as planned. In addition, the Council has been allocated monies from the Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership (SP). Although, we are not the Accountable Body for the Partnership, the Council still has responsibilities for ensuring that expenditure is properly incurred and progressing as planned. This objective is achieved through a variety of means, including your consideration of monitoring reports for these areas as follows: -

i) Single Regeneration Budget (SRB)

The Council act as Accountable Body for the North Hartlepool Partnership. Details of progress against the approved budget are summarised at Appendix C, Table 1. Detailed reports showing individual schemes are included with Appendices M, Table 1 and N, Table 2.

There are no items to bring to Members attention and expenditure will be on target at the year-end.

ii) New Deal for Communities (NDC)

The management of NDC resources is subject to specific Government regulations were the Partnership is able to renegotiate the annual allocation during mid year review with Government Office for the North East. This provides the Partnership with a degree of flexibility in managing the overall programme. The programme is currently forecasting full year expenditure at £6,151,900 against a grant approval of £6,541,000 (£5,719,000 2007/2008 approval plus the £822,000 underspend from 2006/2007). There is also another £1,280,300 of capital expenditure forecast which is funded through other grants for Area Remodelling which NDC monitors, giving a total NDC budget of £7,821,300.

Appendix C provides details of the latest agreed budget in relation to this target along with the total actual expenditure as at 31st July, 2007.

In order to ensure that the Partnership achieves as close to its target allocation as possible the NDC Steering Group will approve additional allocations during the year and each project will be closely monitored up to the financial year-end.

There are no major items to bring to Members attention and expenditure will be on target at the year-end.

iii) Single Programme (SP)

These monies are allocated to the Council by Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership. The Partnership Board approves the annual delivery plan. Details of progress against budgets are summarised at Appendix C, Table 3. Schemes are detailed within Appendices M, Table 3 and N, Table 4.

There are no items to bring to Members attention and expenditure will be on target at the year-end.

iv) **Children's Fund**

The Children's Fund is funded by the Department for Education and Skills (DfES).

The Children's Fund have been granted a budget of £395,000 for financial year 2007/2008. Actual expenditure to date amounts to £61,400 as set out in Appendix C, Table 4. Detailed information is set out in Appendix M, Table 4.

There are no items to bring to Members attention and expenditure will be on target at the year end.

6. REGENERATION AND LIVEABILITY PORTFOLIO**6.1 NRF Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007**

6.1.1 Details of NRF actual and anticipated expenditure as at 31st July, 2007 are shown at **Appendix D**.

6.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounts to £1,083,300, compared to anticipated expenditure of £1,083,300, resulting in a nil current variance. It is anticipated there will be no variance at outturn.

6.1.3 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

6.2 Capital Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

6.2.1 Details of anticipated and actual capital expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, is summarised in **Appendix E** and shows:

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007
- Column D - Expected remaining expenditure to be incurred in the period August to March, 2008
- Column E - Expected total expenditure to be incurred by 31st March, 2008
- Column F - Column E less Column B = expected slippage or over/underspend
- Column G - Type of financing

6.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Member's Library.

6.2.3 Actual expenditure to date amounts to £13,100, compared to the approved budget of £326,100 with £313,000 of expenditure remaining. This is not unusual for this time of the year and it is expected that expenditure will be in line with budget at outturn.

- 6.2.4 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention and expenditure is expected to be on target at the year-end.

7. CULTURE, LEISURE AND TOURISM PORTFOLIO

7.1 Capital Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

- 7.1.1 Details of anticipated and actual capital expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, is summarised in **Appendix F** and shows:

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007
- Column D - Expected remaining expenditure to be incurred in the period August to March, 2008
- Column E - Expected total expenditure to be incurred by 31st March, 2008
- Column F - Column E less Column B = expected slippage or over/underspend
- Column G - Type of financing

- 7.1.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Member's Library.

- 7.1.3 Actual expenditure to date amounts to £120,800, compared to the approved budget of £2,863,000, with £2,742,200 of expenditure remaining. This is not unusual for this time of the year and it is expected that expenditure will be in line with budget at outturn.

- 7.1.4 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

8. NEIGHBOURHOOD AND COMMUNITIES PORTFOLIO

8.1 NRF Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

- 8.1.1 Details of NRF actual and anticipated expenditure as at 31st July, 2007 are shown at **Appendix G**.

- 8.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounts to £27,400, compared to anticipated expenditure of £39,400, resulting in a current favourable variance of £12,000. It is anticipated there will be no variance at outturn.

- 8.1.3 There are no major items to bring to the Portfolio Holder's attention.

8.2 Capital Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

- 8.2.1 Details of anticipated and actual capital expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, is summarised in **Appendix H** and shows:

- Column A - Scheme Title

- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007
- Column D - Expected remaining expenditure to be incurred in the period August to March, 2008
- Column E - Expected total expenditure to be incurred by 31st March, 2008
- Column F - Column E less Column B = expected slippage or over/underspend
- Column G - Type of financing

8.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Member's Library.

8.2.3 Actual expenditure to date amounts to £2,901,100, compared to the approved budget of £11,418,700 with £8,520,000 of expenditure remaining. This is not unusual for this time of the year and it is expected that expenditure will be in line with budget at outturn.

8.2.4 There are no major items to bring to the Portfolio Holder's attention.

9. CHILDREN'S SERVICES PORTFOLIO

9.1 NRF Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

9.1.1 Details of Children's Services NRF actual expenditure and anticipated expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, are shown at **Appendix I**.

9.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounts to £16,800, compared to anticipated expenditure of £29,800 resulting in a current favourable variance of £13,000. It is anticipated there will be no variance at outturn.

9.1.3 The majority of expenditure will be incurred from September, 2007, onwards, coinciding with the start of the new academic year.

9.1.4 There are no major items to bring to the Portfolio Holder's attention.

9.2 Capital Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

9.2.1 Details of anticipated and actual capital expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, is summarised in **Appendix J** and shows:

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007
- Column D - Expected remaining expenditure to be incurred in the period August to March, 2008
- Column E - Expected total expenditure to be incurred by 31st March, 2008
- Column F - Column E less Column B = expected slippage or

over/underspend
Column G - Type of financing

- 9.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Member's Library.
- 9.2.3 **Appendix J** provides a summary of the Children's Service's Capital Programme, which includes schemes funded from specific capital allocations and schemes from the revenue budget which are managed as capital projects owing to the nature of the expenditure and the accounting regulations.
- 9.2.4 Actual expenditure to date amounts to £833,300, compared to the approved budget of £6,995,300, with £6,162,000 of expenditure remaining. This is not unusual for this time of year and it is expected that expenditure will be in line with budget at outturn.
- 9.2.5 There are a number of schemes on the Appendix from previous years where the final account balance is still outstanding. Officers are currently working to try and finalise any outstanding payments in this financial year.
- 9.2.6 There are some funding sources not currently fully allocated – Children's Centre Grant and Modernisation/Access Grants and RCCO funding. The Children's Centre Grant is a two year allocation (2006-2008) and schemes are currently in the process of being developed. The other funding will be allocated as the year progresses either towards schemes still at feasibility stage or for schemes required to be undertaken for immediate Health and Safety requirements.
- 9.2.7 There are no major items to bring to the Portfolio Holder's attention.

10. ADULT AND PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE PORTFOLIO

10.1 NRF Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

- 10.1.1 Details of NRF actual and anticipated expenditure as at 31st July, 2007 are shown at **Appendix K**.
- 10.1.2 In overall terms actual expenditure amounts to £131,700, compared to anticipated expenditure of £131,700, resulting in a nil current variance. It is anticipated that there will be no variance at outturn.
- 10.1.3 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention.

10.2 Capital Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

- 10.2.1 Details of anticipated and actual capital expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, is summarised in **Appendix L** and shows:

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007
- Column D - Expected remaining expenditure to be incurred in the period August to March, 2008
- Column E - Expected total expenditure to be incurred by 31st March, 2008
- Column F - Column E less Column B = expected slippage or over/underspend
- Column G - Type of financing

10.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Member's Library.

10.2.3 Capital expenditure to date amounts to £378,200 compared to the approved budget of £1,480,600, with £1,102,400 of expenditure remaining. This is not unusual for this time of the year and it is expected that expenditure will be in line with budget at outturn.

10.2.4 There are no major items to bring to the Portfolio Holder's attention.

11. FINANCE AND EFFICIENCY PORTFOLIO

11.1 Accountable Body Revenue Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007

11.1.1 The Council acts as Accountable Body for the North Hartlepool, Hartlepool New Deal for Communities, Single Programme Partnerships and the Children's Fund. Details of progress against the approved revenue budgets are summarised at **Appendix M**.

11.1.2 Table 1 – Single Regeneration Budget (SRB)

Details of progress against the approved revenue budgets are summarised at Table 1. Actual expenditure to date amounts to £30,400, compared to anticipated expenditure of £31,200, resulting in a current favourable variance of £800.

11.1.3 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention and expenditure is expected to be on target at year-end.

11.1.4 Table 2 – New Deal for Communities (NDC)

The management of NDC resources is subject to specific Government regulations were the Partnership is able to renegotiate the annual allocation during mid year review with Government Office for the North East. This provides the Partnership with a degree of flexibility in managing the overall programme.

The Partnership has been allocated £4,045,000 to spend in 2007/2008 on revenue projects. Appendix M, Table 2 provides details of the latest agreed budget in relation to this target.

Actual expenditure to date amounts to £796,300, compared to anticipated expenditure of £1,030,300, resulting in a current favourable variance of £234,000.

- 11.1.5 There are no major items to bring to Members attention and expenditure will be on target at the year-end.

11.1.6 **Table 3 – Single Programme**

These monies are allocated to the Council by Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership. The Council has been allocated £921,400 to spend in 2006/2007 on revenue projects. Actual expenditure to date amounts to £909,800, compared to anticipated expenditure of £921,400 resulting in a current favourable variance of £11,600.

- 11.1.7 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention and expenditure is expected to be on target at year-end.

11.1.8 **Table 4 – Children's Fund Programme**

The Children's Fund Programme is wholly funded by the Children and Young Person's Unit (CYPU).

The Children's Fund has been granted a budget of £395,000 for financial year 2007/2008. Actual expenditure to date amounts to £61,400, compared to an expected spend to date of £69,500 as set out in Appendix M, Table 4.

- 11.1.9 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention and expenditure is expected to be on target at year-end.

11.2 **Capital Monitoring for Period Ending 31st July, 2007**

- 11.2.1 Details of anticipated and actual capital expenditure as at 31st July, 2007, is summarised in **Appendix N** and shows:

- Column A - Scheme Title
- Column B - Budget for Year
- Column C - Actual expenditure to 31st July, 2007
- Column D - Expected remaining expenditure to be incurred in the period August to March, 2008
- Column E - Expected total expenditure to be incurred by 31st March, 2008
- Column F - Column E less Column B = expected slippage or over/underspend
- Column G - Type of financing

11.2.2 Detailed analysis of these schemes are on deposit in the Member's Library.

11.2.3 **Table 1 – Resources**

Actual expenditure to date amounts to £1,220,600, compared to the approved budget of £5,396,800, with £5,076,200 of expenditure remaining. This is not unusual for this time of the year and it is expected that expenditure will be in line with budget at outturn.

11.2.4 The main item to bring to the Portfolio Holder's attention is:

**Civic Centre Capital Maintenance
£900,000 Adverse Variance**

As detailed at 4.9 costs on the Civic Centre refurbishment are expected to exceed the original budget by £0.9 million.

11.2.5 **Table 2 – Single Regeneration Budget**

Details of progress against the approved capital budgets are summarised at Table 2. Actual expenditure to date amounts to £204,500, compared to the approved budget of £1,120,000, with £936,300 of expenditure remaining.

11.2.6 The main item to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention is:

**Sports Improvement Scheme
Adverse Variance £7,900
Targeted Private Housing Improvements
Adverse Variance £12,900**

Two of the schemes are currently showing an adverse variance of £20,800. Officers are currently exploring options for addressing this issue.

11.2.7 **Table 3 – New Deal for Communities**

The management of NDC resources is subject to specific Government regulations were the Partnership is able to renegotiate the annual allocation during mid year review with Government Office for the North East. This provides the Partnership with a degree of flexibility in managing the overall programme.

The Partnership has been allocated £3,776,300 to spend in 2007/2008 on capital projects. Appendix N, Table 3 provides details of the latest agreed budget in relation to this target.

Actual expenditure to date amounts to £1,066,000, compared to the approved budget of £3,263,800, with £2,197,800 of expenditure remaining.

- 11.2.8 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention and expenditure is expected to be on target at year-end

11.2.9 **Table 4 – Single Programme**

These monies are allocated to the Council by the Tees Valley Single Programme Partnership. The Council has been allocated £1,311,300 to spend in 2007/2008 on capital projects. Actual expenditure to date amounts to £179,400 with £1,131,900 of expenditure remaining.

- 11.2.10 There are no major items to bring to Portfolio Holder's attention and expenditure is expected to be on target at yearend.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.1 It is recommended that Members

- i) note the report.
- ii) Seek Council approval to amend the approved Capital Programme and associated Prudential Borrowing Limits in respect of the following schemes:
 - to fund Coast Protection, Headland Fencing and Promenade Works of £120,000 and to fund this amount from anticipated capital receipts;
 - to approve an increase in the Civic Centre refurbishment capital budget of £0.9 million and to note that the resulting repayment costs of £72,000 can be funded from interest rate savings.
- iii) Approve that the additional cost of refurbishing Burbank Community Centre of £9,000 be funded from the uncommitted capital allocation (paragraph 4.15).

Appendix A

NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND - REVENUE MONITORING REPORT TO 31st JULY 2007

Line No	2007/08 Latest Budget	Portfolio	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Expected Expenditure/ (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
	£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	2,775.8	Regeneration and Liveability	1,083.3	1,083.3	0.0
2	112.9	Neighbourhoods and Communities	39.4	27.4	(12.0)
3	309.1	Children's Services	29.8	16.8	(13.0)
4	703.9	Adult and Public Health	131.7	131.7	0.0
5	3,901.7		1,284.2	1,259.2	(25.0)

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT TO 31st JULY 2007

Line No	Portfolio	2007/08 Budget	2007/08 Actual	2007/08 Expenditure Remaining	2007/08 Expenditure	2007/08 Variance from budget
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=D+E)	Col. G (G=F-C)
£		£	£	£	£	£
1	Regeneration & Liveability	326.1	13.1	313.0	326.1	0.0
2	Culture, Leisure & Tourism	2,863.0	120.8	2,742.2	2,863.0	0.0
3	Neighbourhoods & Communities	11,418.7	2,901.1	8,520.0	11,421.1	2.4
4	Children's Services	6,995.3	833.3	6,162.0	6,995.3	0.0
5	Adult & Public Health Services	1,480.6	378.2	1,102.4	1,480.6	0.0
6	Finance & Efficiency	11,780.4	2,670.5	10,030.7	12,701.2	920.8
7	Total Capital Expenditure	34,864.1	6,917.0	28,870.3	35,787.3	923.2

ACCOUNTABLE BODY PROGRAMMES

Line No Col. A	2007/08 Latest Budget Col. B £'000	Accountable Body Programme Col. C	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			2007/08 Expected Expenditure/(Income) Col. D £'000	2007/08 Actual Expenditure/(Income) Col. E £'000	Variance: Adverse/ (Favourable) Col. F = (F=E-D) £'000
		<u>TABLE 1 - SRB North Hartlepool Partnership</u>			
1	31.2	Revenue Projects	31.2	30.4	(0.8)
2	1,120.0	Capital Projects	183.7	204.5	20.8
3	1,151.2	Total SRB	214.9	234.9	20.0
		<u>TABLE 2 - New Deal for Communities</u>			
4	3,479.9	Revenue Projects	1,030.3	796.3	(234.0)
5	3,263.8	Capital Projects	1,066.0	1,066.0	0.0
6	6,743.7	Total NDC	2,096.3	1,862.3	(234.0)
		<u>TABLE 3 Single Programme</u>			
7	921.4	Revenue Projects	921.4	909.8	(11.6)
8	1,311.3	Capital Projects	179.4	179.4	0.0
9	2,232.7	Total SP	1,100.8	1,089.2	(11.6)
		<u>TABLE 4 - Miscellaneous</u>			
10	395.0	Childrens Fund	69.5	61.4	(8.1)
11	395.0	Total Miscellaneous	69.5	61.4	0.0

PORTFOLIO : REGENERATION & LIVEABILITY**Appendix D****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Project Title	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Expected Expenditure/ (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
	£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	16.5	Community Safety Small Grants Fund	4.6	4.6	0.0
2	72.0	Anti Social Behaviour Officer	13.5	13.5	0.0
3	39.1	Community Safety Wardens	39.1	39.1	0.0
4	182.2	Partnership Working with Communities	79.9	79.9	0.0
5	124.8	Hartlepool Scheme for Prolific Offenders	0.3	0.3	0.0
6	23.6	Project Assistant Small Grants / Community Safety	7.5	7.5	0.0
7	63.2	Cool Project Out of School activities for children	31.6	31.6	0.0
8	180.2	Families Changing Communities	79.4	79.4	0.0
9	33.0	Young Firefighters	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	273.0	Neighbourhood Policing	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	73.0	Management & Consultancy	22.4	22.4	0.0
12	90.0	Community Chest	90.0	90.0	0.0
13	48.0	Neighbourhood Renewal Officer	13.9	13.9	0.0
14	40.0	Neighbourhood Action Plan Development	0.2	0.2	0.0
15	4.6	Administration of LLP	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	79.0	Level 3 Progression - HCFE	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	24.5	Active Skills - West View Project	12.0	12.0	0.0
18	30.0	Hartlepool Deaf Centre	10.0	10.0	0.0
19	32.0	Career Coaching HVDA	16.0	16.0	0.0
20	50.3	Dyke House/Stranton/Grange Neighbourhood Action Plan	4.0	4.0	0.0
21	18.8	Central Neighbourhood Action Plan	0.8	0.8	0.0
22	45.2	West View/King Oswy Neighbourhood Action Plan	12.6	12.6	0.0
23	52.1	Targeted Training	30.6	30.6	0.0
24	61.5	Womens Opportunities	34.1	34.1	0.0
25	78.2	Jobsbuild	39.1	39.1	0.0
26	120.7	Intermediate Labour Market(ILM) Employment Assistance	113.6	113.6	0.0
27	25.2	Marketing Assistant	8.4	8.4	0.0
28	25.0	Employment Co-ordinator	15.9	15.9	0.0
29	42.3	Improving the Employment Offer	15.1	15.1	0.0
30	105.0	North Central Hartlepool Delivery Team Staff Cost	26.3	26.3	0.0
31	83.4	Assisting Local People into Work	54.5	54.5	0.0
32	160.2	Incubator System	104.4	104.4	0.0
33	78.6	Volunteering into Employment	39.3	39.3	0.0
34	8.6	Skills & Knowledge	0.0	0.0	0.0
35	147.2	Community Employment Outreach	72.6	72.6	0.0

PORTFOLIO : REGENERATION & LIVEABILITY**Appendix D****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Project Title	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Expected Expenditure/ (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
	£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
36	42.9	STEP Homelessness Project	22.5	22.5	0.0
37	10.0	Positive Choice for Carers - Training & Education	5.0	5.0	0.0
38	23.0	Owton Manor West N'hood Watch Residents Assoc.	17.3	17.3	0.0
39	20.0	West View Project - Training for Young People	15.0	15.0	0.0
40	20.0	Grange Road Methodist Church	10.0	10.0	0.0
41	20.0	Burbank Neighbourhood Action Plan	4.8	4.8	0.0
42	29.1	Rift House/Burn Valley Neighbourhood Action Plan	0.0	0.0	0.0
43	41.7	Owton Neighbourhood Action Plan	1.6	1.6	0.0
44	28.3	Rossmere Neighbourhood Action Plan	14.2	14.2	0.0
45	9.8	Headland Neighbourhood Action Plan (North Hartlepool)	1.2	1.2	0.0
46	2,775.8		1,083.3	1,083.3	0.0

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
	Scheme Title	2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7205	ASBO Police Office Jutland Road	25.5	0.0	25.5	25.5	0.0	CAP REC
7208	CSS - Alleyway Stopping Up Programme	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	CAP REC
7368	Building Safer Communities Initiatives	48.5	6.9	41.6	48.5	0.0	UCPB
7431	Community Safety Strategy	237.9	0.0	237.9	237.9	0.0	UCPB
7525	HLF-Railing Restoration	6.2	6.2	0.0	6.2	0.0	GRANT
7579	Newburn Bridge Units-Elec Refit Works	7.2	0.0	7.2	7.2	0.0	UCPB
		326.1	13.1	313.0	326.1	0.0	

Key

RCCO	Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX	Combination of Funding Types
UCPB	Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE @	Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	2007/2008 E-B Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7474	Briarfields Allotments Refurbishment	2.5	0.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	RCCO
7712	Bridge Community Centre - Demolition	150.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0	UCPB
7713	Burbank Community Centre -Refurbishment	136.0	42.1	93.9	136.0	0.0	UCPB
7214	Burn Valley Park Improvements	11.2	0.0	11.2	11.2	0.0	MIX
7651	Burn Valley Park Beck	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	GRANT
7377	Central Library - Various Improvement Works	36.0	0.0	36.0	36.0	0.0	RCCO
7375	Countryside Development Works	15.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	MIX
7718	Eldon Grove - Demolition of Sports Centre	120.0	0.0	120.0	120.0	0.0	UCPB
0	Foreshore - Replacement Lifeguard Vehicle	9.0	0.0	9.0	9.0	0.0	RCCO
7213	Grayfields Sports Pavillion	77.7	25.3	52.4	77.7	0.0	MIX
7382	Greatham Play Area Equipment	40.0	31.4	8.6	40.0	0.0	MIX
7657	Headland Sports Hall - Develop Office	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.0	
7716	Historic Quay - Demolition of Toilet Block	51.0	0.0	51.0	51.0	0.0	UCPB
7380	H2O Watersports Centre	1,998.7	0.0	1,998.7	1,998.7	0.0	MIX
7414	Jutland Road Play Area Upgrade	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	GRANT
7271	Rossmere Fountain Improvements	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	MIX
0	Rossmere - Pitch Improvements	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	MIX
7215	Seaton Carew Cricket Club Ground Imps	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	CAPR
0	Seaton Allotments - Drainage Works	15.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	MIX
7203	Sir William Gray House - Disability Improvements	2.6	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	CAPR
7676	Sport & Recreation - Purchase of New Vehicles	19.5	19.5	0.0	19.5	0.0	MIX
7217	Throston Community Centre	3.3	0.0	3.3	3.3	0.0	MIX
0	Town Moor - Develop Multi Use Games Area	26.0	0.0	26.0	26.0	0.0	MIX
7590	Ward Jackson Car Park - Tunstall Court	77.7	0.0	77.7	77.7	0.0	MIX
7354	Ward Jackson Fountain Repairs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
7367	Ward Jackson Park Refurbishment	16.5	2.3	14.2	16.5	0.0	MIX
7081	Waverley Allotments	3.9	0.0	3.9	3.9	0.0	MIX
		2,863.0	120.8	2,742.2	2,863.0	0.0	

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Departmental Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

PORTFOLIO : NEIGHBOURHOODS & COMMUNITIES**Appendix G****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Project Title	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Expected Expenditure/ (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
	£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	88.5	Environment Team	29.5	14.7	(14.8)
2	21.7	Environmental Education	7.2	10.0	2.8
3	2.7	Landlord Accreditation Scheme	2.7	2.7	0.0
4	112.9		39.4	27.4	(12.0)

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7222	Minor Works - North	65.9	2.4	63.5	65.9	0.0	MIX
7223	Minor Works - South	76.4	13.0	63.4	76.4	0.0	MIX
7224	Minor Work - Central	50.8	33.9	16.9	50.8	0.0	MIX
7272	Wheely Bin Purchase	75.6	26.2	49.4	75.6	0.0	MIX
7465	Recycling Scheme	236.5	0.0	236.5	236.5	0.0	UDPB
7404	HRA Residual Expenditure	21.1	0.0	21.1	21.1	0.0	CORP RES
7218	Disabled Facility Grants	430.0	37.6	392.4	430.0	0.0	MIX
7230	North Central Hartlepool Housing Regeneration	4,065.7	1,312.0	2,753.7	4,065.7	0.0	MIX
7595	Tees Valley Empty Property Initiative	179.5	0.0	179.5	179.5	0.0	SHIP
7219	Home Plus Grants (provided by Endeavour HA)	126.8	15.9	110.9	126.8	0.0	SHIP
7231	Housing Thermal Efficiency	222.6	73.7	148.9	222.6	0.0	SHIP
7220	Private Sector Housing Grants	503.2	53.6	449.6	503.2	0.0	SHIP
7720	Public Conveniences	120.1	5.7	114.4	120.1	0.0	UDPB
7207	LTP-Community Safety-Car Park Security/CCTV	50.0	9.9	40.1	50.0	0.0	SPB
7235	LTP-Low Floor Infrastructure	18.0	0.0	18.0	18.0	0.0	SPB
7236	LTP-Bus Shelter Improvements	50.0	2.1	47.9	50.0	0.0	SPB
7237	LTP-Cycle Routes General	100.0	1.5	98.5	100.0	0.0	SPB
7240	LTP-Hartlepool Transport Interchange	1,696.0	9.6	1,686.4	1,696.0	0.0	SPB
7241	LTP-Dropped Crossings	30.0	10.0	20.0	30.0	0.0	SPB
7242	LTP-Other Street Lighting	52.0	1.0	51.0	52.0	0.0	SPB
7244	LTP-Travel Plans Workplace	13.0	6.0	7.0	13.0	0.0	SPB
7247	LTP-Bus Quality Corridor	18.0	3.6	14.4	18.0	0.0	SPB
7250	LTP-Sustainable Travel Awareness	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	SPB
7251	LTP-Public Transport CCTV	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	SPB
7252	LTP-Safer Streets Initiative	20.0	1.9	18.1	20.0	0.0	SPB
7265	Coastal Protection Strategic Study	6.4	6.4	0.0	6.4	0.0	MIX
7269	Rural Bus Challenge Scheme	30.1	0.0	30.1	30.1	0.0	GRANT
7412	Basement Car Park	15.9	0.0	15.9	15.9	0.0	UDPB
7424	Pride in Hartlepool	21.6	2.9	18.7	21.6	0.0	UCPB
7452	LTP-Local Safety Scheme	35.0	8.3	26.7	35.0	0.0	SPB
7454	Murray Street LSS	13.8	13.8	0.0	13.8	0.0	SPB
7456	New Car Park York Road Flatlets	8.5	0.0	8.5	8.5	0.0	CAP REC
7458	Marks & Spencer Car Park Refurbishment	36.5	6.1	30.4	36.5	0.0	UDPB
7487	LTP-Local Transportation Plan-Monitoring	4.0	2.5	1.5	4.0	0.0	SPB
7499	Lithgo Close - Contaminated Land	90.7	81.8	8.9	90.7	0.0	CAP REC
7508	Anhydrite Mine	132.1	76.3	55.8	132.1	0.0	UCPB
7538	LTP-Advance Traffic Management Design	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	SPB
7541	LTP-Safer Routes to School	55.0	9.7	45.3	55.0	0.0	SPB
7542	LTP-Parking Lay-bys	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	SPB
7543	LTP-School Safety Zones	20.0	8.8	11.2	20.0	0.0	SPB
7544	LTP-Shop Mobility	40.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	SPB
7545	LTP-Motorcycle Training	21.0	0.0	21.0	21.0	0.0	SPB
7546	LTP-Road Safety Education & Training	13.7	0.0	13.7	13.7	0.0	SPB
7547	LTP-Dial-a-Ride	60.0	0.0	60.0	60.0	0.0	SPB
7580	Highways Remedial Works - Hartlepool Marina	7.9	0.0	7.9	7.9	0.0	TDC
7581	Tees Valley Boundary Signs	5.4	0.0	5.4	5.4	0.0	GRANT
7583	Greenland Creosote Works	15.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	SCE
7584	Open Market Resurfacing	43.4	0.0	43.4	43.4	0.0	UCPB
7605	Focus - Section 278	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	GRANT
7613	LTP-Newburn Bridge LSS	32.3	32.3	0.0	32.3	0.0	SPB
7624	LTP-Headland Traffic ManagementCongestion	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	SPB
7639	Footpath Works to Marina	25.2	23.5	1.7	25.2	0.0	GRANT
7644	LTP-Travel Plans Schools	13.0	1.8	11.2	13.0	0.0	SPB
7674	LTP-A689 Stockton Street	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	SPB
7677	LTP-HM-York Road Improvements	106.0	1.6	104.4	106.0	0.0	SPB
7678	LTP-HM-Wiltshire Way	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	SPB
7679	LTP-HM-A689 Stranton	58.8	34.4	24.4	58.8	0.0	SPB
7680	HM-Allendale Street (RS)	9.5	9.5	0.0	9.5	0.0	CAP REC
7681	HM-Arch Court	7.6	7.6	0.0	7.6	0.0	CAP REC
7683	LTP-HM-Carlisle Street	13.5	13.5	0.0	13.5	0.0	SPB
7684	HM-Catherine Street	7.0	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	CAP REC
7686	LTP-HM-Duncan Road	14.0	14.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	SPB
7687	LTP-HM-Eldon Grove	25.8	25.8	0.0	25.8	0.0	SPB
7688	LTP-HM-Elmwood Road	24.9	24.9	0.0	24.9	0.0	SPB
7689	LTP-HM-Elwick Road	82.2	82.2	0.0	82.2	0.0	SPB
7690	LTP-HM-Farndale Road	8.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	SPB

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7692	LTP-HM-Grantham Avenue	27.0	27.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	SPB
7693	LTP-HM-Grasmere Street	13.7	13.7	0.0	13.7	0.0	SPB
7694	LTP-HM-Greenock Road	14.5	14.5	0.0	14.5	0.0	SPB
7695	LTP-HM-Hartville Road	14.3	0.3	14.0	14.3	0.0	SPB
7696	LTP-HM-Holt Street	25.8	25.8	0.0	25.8	0.0	SPB
7697	LTP-HM-Kesteven Road CDS (110-128)	5.6	5.6	0.0	5.6	0.0	SPB
7698	LTP-HM-Kesteven Road CDS (138-152)	3.6	3.6	0.0	3.6	0.0	SPB
7699	LTP-HM-Kesteven Road CDS (162-176)	3.6	3.6	0.0	3.6	0.0	SPB
7700	HM-Keswick Street	13.9	13.9	0.0	13.9	0.0	CAP REC
7701	LTP-HM-Kipling Road	16.6	16.6	0.0	16.6	0.0	SPB
7703	LTP-HM-Retention (HM)	17.0	0.0	17.0	17.0	0.0	SPB
7705	Seaton Bus Station - Repairs & Redecoration	150.0	0.0	150.0	150.0	0.0	CAP REC
7706	Waterproofing Phase 2 - Multi Story Car Park	300.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0	CAP REC
7707	Highways Maintenance - Other Schemes (non LTP)	14.8	0.0	14.8	14.8	0.0	CAP REC
7714	Owton Manor Lane Shops	77.0	77.9	0.0	77.9	0.9	MIX
7732	LTP-Speed Activated Signs	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	SPB
7734	LTP-Hart Lane/Wiltshire Way Junction Improvement 07-08	90.3	90.3	0.0	90.3	0.0	SPB
7735	LTP-Seaton Carew Bus Station	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	SPB
7736	LTP-York Road (Park Road to Lister Street)	412.0	0.2	411.8	412.0	0.0	SPB
7787	Stockton Road-Prevent Flooding	35.0	35.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	CAP REC
7788	LTP-Coniscliffe Road - Outside School	16.0	16.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	CAP REC
7790	Resurface Dowson Road	12.0	12.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	CAP REC
7791	Resurface Nesbyt Road	4.4	4.4	0.0	4.4	0.0	CAP REC
7792	Resurface North Close Elwick	9.5	9.5	0.0	9.5	0.0	CAP REC
7793	Resurface Penrith Street	6.8	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.2	CAP REC
7794	Resurface Purvis Place	7.3	7.4	0.0	7.4	0.1	CAP REC
7795	Resurface Richard Court	14.2	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.1	CAP REC
7796	Resurface Rosedale Avenue	5.7	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.1	CAP REC
7797	Resurface Staindrop Street	17.5	17.5	0.0	17.5	0.0	CAP REC
7798	Resurface Stockton Road Service Area	32.5	33.0	0.0	33.0	0.5	CAP REC
7799	Resurface Swainby Road	20.8	21.0	0.0	21.0	0.2	CAP REC
7800	Resurface The Green Greatham	14.0	14.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	CAP REC
7801	Resurface The Grove Greatham	16.3	16.5	0.0	16.5	0.2	CAP REC
7802	Resurface West Park	19.3	15.2	4.1	19.3	0.0	CAP REC
7803	Resurface Westwood Way	15.2	15.2	0.0	15.2	0.0	CAP REC
7804	Resurface Whitby Walk	10.8	10.9	0.0	10.9	0.1	CAP REC
7805	LTP-Footpath - West View Road	21.1	21.0	0.1	21.1	0.0	SPB
7806	Footpath - Truro Drive	80.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	CAP REC
7807	Footpath - Verner Road	52.0	52.0	0.0	52.0	0.0	CAP REC
7808	LTP-Congestion Reduction	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	SPB
7809	LTP-Retention (Integrated Transport Block)	13.0	0.7	12.3	13.0	0.0	SPB
7810	LTP-Clavering Area Traffic Management	12.0	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	SPB
7838	LTP-Tees Road Footways (west side)	137.0	0.0	137.0	137.0	0.0	SPB
7549	LTP-Bridge Repairs	90.0	0.0	90.0	90.0	0.0	SPB
7839	LTP-Footways-Duke Street	14.0	0.0	14.0	14.0	0.0	SPB
7840	LTP-Footways-Arbroath Grove	3.5	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	SPB
7841	LTP-Footways-Winterbottom Avenue	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	SPB
7842	LTP-Footways-Warren Road	14.7	0.0	14.7	14.7	0.0	SPB
7843	LTP-Footways-Farr Walk/Fordyce Road	12.0	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	SPB
		11,418.7	2,901.1	8,520.0	11,421.1	2.4	

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Departmental Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

PORTFOLIO : CHILDREN'S SERVICES**Appendix I****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Project Title	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Expected Expenditure/ (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
	£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	0.0	NRF - Education Business Links	0.0	13.0	13.0
2	86.1	NRF - Hartlepool On-Track Project	28.7	0.0	(28.7)
3	0.0	NRF - Project Co-ordination	0.0	1.3	1.3
4	0.0	NRF - Behaviour & Attendance	0.0	1.4	1.4
5	86.0	NRF - New Initiatives	1.1	1.1	0.0
6	41.7	NRF - Health Development Worker - Dyke House	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	95.3	NRF - Healthy Schools	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	309.1		29.8	16.8	(13.0)

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7758	Barnard Grove - New Pipework and Fan Convectors	14.1	0.0	14.1	14.1	0.0	SCE (R)
7757	Barnard Grove - KS2 Roofworks	68.3	0.0	68.3	68.3	0.0	GRANT
7726	Brierton - Roof Works	37.8	0.3	37.5	37.8	0.0	GRANT
7275	Brierton - Relocation to Single Site	6.7	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	MIX
7276	Brierton - Remove Boundary Fence	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	MIX
7277	Brierton - Convert top site to Access 2 Learning School	6.6	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	MIX
7478	Brierton - Re-Roof Craft Block	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	GRANT
7451	Brierton - Build Sports Hall & Sports Facilities	20.4	0.0	20.4	20.4	0.0	MIX
7420	Brierton - Purchase ICT & Internal Alterations	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	MIX
7767	Brierton - Upgrade Fire Alarm System	27.1	0.0	27.1	27.1	0.0	RCCO
7501	Brougham - Install Nursery Toilet / Change Facility	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	SCE (R)
7655	Brougham - Improvement Works to Kitchen/Courtyard	1.9	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0
7666	Brougham - Modifications to SPACE Centre	3.2	0.0	3.2	3.2	0.0	GRANT
7769	Brougham - Resurface Play Area	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	RCCO
7357	Brougham - Develop Outside Play Area	4.9	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0	GRANT
7746	Brougham - Refurbish Toilets	25.8	0.0	25.8	25.8	0.0	RCCO
7768	Brougham - Replace Windows at front & Part Rear	13.9	0.0	13.9	13.9	0.0	GRANT
7770	Brougham - Boiler Replacement	6.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	GRANT
7500	Catcote - Develop Vocational Areas	145.0	37.7	107.3	145.0	0.0	GRANT
7759	Catcote - Window Replacement	30.7	0.0	30.7	30.7	0.0	GRANT
7747	Catcote - Caretakers Bungalow Roof Replacement	20.0	0.2	19.8	20.0	0.0	GRANT
7748	Clavering - Replace Caretakers Bungalow Roof	20.0	0.2	19.8	20.0	0.0	GRANT
7491	Clavering - Roof Repairs Phase 4 (06/07)	46.6	0.0	46.6	46.6	0.0	SCE (R)
7664	Clavering - Create New Foundation Stage Unit	300.0	0.0	300.0	300.0	0.0	GRANT
7749	Clavering - Renew Pipework / Radiators / Convectors	16.3	0.0	16.3	16.3	0.0	GRANT
7765	Dyke House - Resurface Car Park	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	RCCO
7286	Dyke House - Replace Boiler in Science Block	59.8	0.0	59.8	59.8	0.0	RCCO
7575	Dyke House - ICT Equipment Purchase	285.8	48.3	237.5	285.8	0.0	RCCO
7586	Dyke House City Learning Centre	152.1	23.4	128.7	152.1	0.0	GRANT
7385	Dyke House City Learning Centre Extension	16.0	0.0	16.0	16.0	0.0	MIX
7386	Dyke House - Extension to Blue Room	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	MIX
7288	English Martyrs - Build new outdoor Sports Pitch	8.2	0.0	8.2	8.2	0.0	MIX
7358	English Martyrs - Remodel School inc build new VI Form	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	MIX
7628	Eldon Grove - Major Internal Works	95.4	0.0	95.4	95.4	0.0	RCCO
	Eldon Grove - Erect Perimeter Fence	26.0	0.0	26.0	26.0	0.0	MIX
7760	Fens - Replace Fan Convectors & Radiators (Ph 1)	12.9	0.0	12.9	12.9	0.0	GRANT
7750	Fens - Upgrade Kitchen Electrics	15.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	MIX
7780	Fens - Replace Boiler	82.1	40.0	42.1	82.1	0.0	GRANT
7729	Golden Flatts - Window Replacement	82.2	0.3	81.9	82.2	0.0	MIX
7294	Golden Flatts - Classroom Alterations	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	GRANT
7295	Grange - Replace Classrooms (03/04)	26.3	0.0	26.3	26.3	0.0	GRANT
7571	Grange - Window Replacement	46.6	35.4	11.2	46.6	0.0	MIX
7629	Grange - Internal Works to Kitchen	90.0	0.6	89.4	90.0	0.0	RCCO
7665	Greatham - Create Play Area	17.7	17.7	0.0	17.7	0.0	GRANT
	Hart - Create Outdoor Play Area	12.3	0.0	12.3	12.3	0.0	GRANT
7633	High Tunstall - 'C' Block Roof (06/07)	137.6	0.0	137.6	137.6	0.0	MIX
7305	High Tunstall - Install Step Lift	2.9	0.0	2.9	2.9	0.0	GRANT
7500	High Tunstall - Refurbish Classrooms / Equipment Purchase	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	GRANT
	Jesmond Rd - Relocate Nursery to form Foundation Unit, installation of ramps & internal works	5.8	0.2	5.6	5.8	0.0	MIX
	Jesmond Rd - Improve Car Park Lighting	9.5	0.0	9.5	9.5	0.0	RCCO
7307	Jesmond Rd - Resite Kitchen	1.5	0.9	0.6	1.5	0.0	GRANT
7610	Jesmond Rd - Demolition of Kitchen Block & Nursery	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	RCCO
7751	Kingsley - Caretakers Roof Replacement	20.0	0.2	19.8	20.0	0.0	GRANT
7773	Kingsley - Caretakers Bungalow Heating	6.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	GRANT
7469	Kingsley - Create Outdoor Play Area	30.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	GRANT
7469	Kingsley - Extension to School for Children's Centre	297.9	6.5	291.4	297.9	0.0	GRANT
7772	Kingsley - Window Replacement	130.6	0.0	130.6	130.6	0.0	GRANT
7311	Lynnfield - Roof Repairs (05/06)	1.7	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	GRANT
7057	Lynnfield - Build Community Facility	17.8	0.0	17.8	17.8	0.0	GRANT
7762	Lynnfield - Boiler Plant Replacement	85.0	0.0	85.0	85.0	0.0	GRANT
7727	Lynnfield - Refurbish Toilets	42.9	0.3	42.6	42.9	0.0	MIX
7312	Manor - Build New Science Lab	6.6	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	MIX
7565	Manor - Upgrade Fire Alarm System	46.5	0.0	46.5	46.5	0.0	GRANT

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7314	Manor - Build E-Learning Centre	29.4	2.7	26.7	29.4	0.0	MIX
7315	Manor - Replace Boiler to Drama Block	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	GRANT
7316	Manor - Replace Windows (05/06)	2.4	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	GRANT
7568	Manor - Develop new SEN/Resource Centre	36.3	0.0	36.3	36.3	0.0	MIX
	Manor - Improve Stage Access	18.0	0.0	18.0	18.0	0.0	MIX
	Owton Manor - Relocate Entrance, New Staffroom/Kitchen,						
7596	Relocate/Refurbish Library, New Lift	72.9	72.9	0.0	72.9	0.0	MIX
	Owton Manor - Remove Asbestos from Kitchen	1.4	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	RCCO
7666	Owton Manor - Modifications to SPACE Centre	23.4	0.0	23.4	23.4	0.0	GRANT
7819	Rift House - ICT Development	32.3	0.1	32.2	32.3	0.0	GRANT
	Rift House - Relocation of Nursery & Refurbish Existing Nursery to create a Children's Centre	173.7	0.5	173.2	173.7	0.0	GRANT
7669	Rift House - Create Outdoor Play Area	50.0	5.9	44.1	50.0	0.0	GRANT
7775	Rift House - Window Replacement	62.9	0.0	62.9	62.9	0.0	GRANT
	Rift House - Disabled Toilet	21.7	0.0	21.7	21.7	0.0	SCE (R)
	Rift House - Entrance Works	9.0	0.0	9.0	9.0	0.0	SCE (R)
7752	Rossmere - Pipe Works (Final Phase)	13.0	0.0	13.0	13.0	0.0	GRANT
7728	Rossmere - Window Replacement	43.4	35.4	8.0	43.4	0.0	GRANT
7825	Rossmere - Install Lift	29.3	0.0	29.3	29.3	0.0	SCE (R)
7597	Sacred Heart - Create Outdoor Play Area	6.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	GRANT
7662	Seaton Nursery - Alterations to Building	4.6	4.6	0.0	4.6	0.0	0
7322	Springwell - Build Trim Trail & Ball Play Area	2.3	1.7	0.6	2.3	0.0	MIX
7323	Stranton - Build New Community Facility	21.9	0.0	21.9	21.9	0.0	MIX
7753	Stranton - Replace Caretakers Bungalow Roof	20.0	0.2	19.8	20.0	0.0	GRANT
7763	Stranton - Replace Windows (07/08)	34.9	0.7	34.2	34.9	0.0	GRANT
7597	Stranton - Develop Outside Play Area	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	GRANT
	Stranton - Children's Centre modifications to kitchen & offices	79.1	66.6	12.5	79.1	0.0	GRANT
7515	Stranton - Improvements to Kitchen Ventilation	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	GRANT
7325	St Begas - Build Community Room/Toilets (Children's Centre)	2.8	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	GRANT
7567	St Cuthberts - Boiler Replacement	2.5	0.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	MIX
7326	St Helens - Extension to build Children's Centre	4.7	4.4	0.3	4.7	0.0	GRANT
7327	St Helens - Kitchen Refurbishment	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	GRANT
7764	St Helens - Boiler House Roof Replacement	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	GRANT
7636	St John Vianney - Develop Outside Nature Garden	6.1	0.2	5.9	6.1	0.0	GRANT
7328	St John Vianney - Build Children's Centre	6.3	0.0	6.3	6.3	0.0	GRANT
7330	St Teresa's - Extension to build Children's Centre	2.1	0.0	2.1	2.1	0.0	GRANT
7588	St Teresa's - Boiler Replacement	5.8	0.0	5.8	5.8	0.0	MIX
7422	St Hilda's - New School Build	9.4	0.0	9.4	9.4	0.0	MIX
	Throston - Works to Shower Room	5.9	0.0	5.9	5.9	0.0	GRANT
7567	Ward Jackson - Replace Kitchen Windows (Ph 4)	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	GRANT
7754	Ward Jackson - Caretakers Bungalow Roof Replacement	20.0	0.3	19.7	20.0	0.0	GRANT
7745	Ward Jackson - Replace Windows (07/08)	59.0	45.4	13.6	59.0	0.0	GRANT
7755	West Park - Roofwork	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	GRANT
7776	West Park - Pipework (Phase 1)	18.0	0.0	18.0	18.0	0.0	GRANT
7766	West Park - Caretakers Bungalow Heating	6.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	GRANT
	West Park - Security Works inc. CCTV, Fencing etc	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	RCCO
7829	West Park - Disabled Toilet	33.5	0.0	33.5	33.5	0.0	SCE (R)
7827	West Park - Access Ramps/Install Lift	36.4	0.0	36.4	36.4	0.0	SCE (R)
7598	West View - Improve / Refurbish Nursery & Reception	175.6	94.9	80.7	175.6	0.0	GRANT
7340	West View - Develop Football Facilities (03/04)	5.5	0.0	5.5	5.5	0.0	GRANT
7777	West View - Pipework (Phase 1)	18.0	0.0	18.0	18.0	0.0	GRANT
7597	West View - Create Outdoor Play Area	3.6	0.0	3.6	3.6	0.0	GRANT
7756	West View - Replace Windows (07/08)	33.0	0.6	32.4	33.0	0.0	GRANT
7730	West View - Remodel KS2 Offices	21.2	0.4	20.8	21.2	0.0	GRANT
	Carlton Camp Redevelopment Phase 1 - New Accommodation Block; Create Meeting Room & Storage; Develop Challenge Course and other on-site adventure opportunities	32.4	15.7	16.7	32.4	0.0	MIX
7342	Carlton Outdoor Centre - Redevelopment Phase 2 (Works to be determined)	90.0	0.0	90.0	90.0	0.0	MIX
	Carlton Outdoor Centre - Purchase & Install Challenge Course and Climbing Wall	7.8	0.0	7.8	7.8	0.0	MIX
7779	Improve Ventilation in Classrooms - Various Schools	10.0	4.4	5.6	10.0	0.0	RCCO
7521	Children's Centres - Miscellaneous Capital Expenditure	11.2	0.0	11.2	11.2	0.0	GRANT
7429	Children's Centres - IT and Tel	6.8	0.3	6.5	6.8	0.0	GRANT

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
	Installation of Sound Systems - Various Schools	11.3	0.0	11.3	11.3	0.0	RCCO
7428	Workforce Remodelling - Misc School Projects to better utilise space	93.6	2.4	91.2	93.6	0.0	GRANT
7384	Devolved Capital - Various Misc Individual School Projects	1272.6	74.0	1,198.6	1,272.6	0.0	GRANT
	Construction Design Management Fee - Lump Sum Charge on 2006/07 Children's Services Capital Programme	14.2	0.0	14.2	14.2	0.0	GRANT
7463	Youth Capital Fund - Spend to be determined by Young People	97.4	21.2	76.2	97.4	0.0	GRANT
7437	Playing for Success - Develop New Classroom at H'pool Utd	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	MIX
	Access 2 Learning School - Install Lift, Ramp & Disabled Toilet plus Internal Works	41.8	0.0	41.8	41.8	0.0	GRANT
7421	School Travel Plans - Develop Cycle Storage at Schools	76.6	11.7	64.9	76.6	0.0	GRANT
7387	Rossmere Pool Demolition	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	RCCO
7348	Education Development Centre - Works to Dining Room and Kitchen	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	RCCO
	Computers for Pupils	341.6	0.0	341.6	341.6	0.0	GRANT
	Improve Ventilation in ICT Suites (O Manor, Rossmere, W View)	25.8	0.0	25.8	25.8	0.0	RCCO
	Refurbish Toilets - Various Schools	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	RCCO
	Refurbish Toilets - Barnard Grove	31.4	0.0	31.4	31.4	0.0	MIX
	Refurbish Toilets - Greatham	27.6	0.0	27.6	27.6	0.0	MIX
	Funding (Modernisation, Access, RCCO) Currently Unallocated	139.8	0.0	139.8	139.8	0.0	MIX
7447	Purchase of Interactive Whiteboards (Various Schools)	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	GRANT
7344	Brinkburn Pool - Reinstatement of Pool after Fire	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	MIX
7577	Boys Welfare - Refurbishment/Redevelopment	30.1	0.0	30.1	30.1	0.0	GRANT
7818	Sure Start Centre - Miers Avenue	14.0	0.0	14.0	14.0	0.0	GRANT
	Children's Centres Grant - Currently Unallocated (2006-2008)	199.2	0.0	199.2	199.2	0.0	GRANT
	Purchase & Install new Integrated Children's Computerised System for Children & Families	235.0	88.5	146.5	235.0	0.0	GRANT
7426	Sure Start Central - Refurbish Daycare Suite at Chatham House	30.4	30.4	0.0	30.4	0.0	GRANT
7652	Sure Start North - Landscaping Works at Main Centre	6.8	0.0	6.8	6.8	0.0	GRANT
7460	Sure Start Central - Improvement Works at Lowthian Road	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	MIX
7388	Capital Grant Contribution towards building Rift House						
7210	Neighbourhood Nursery	4.3	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0	MIX
0	Youth Service - DDA Works (General)	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	GRANT
	SSC - Chatham Road	5.9	0.0	5.9	5.9	0.0	MIX
		6,995.3	833.3	6,162.0	6,995.3	0.0	

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Departmental Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

PORTFOLIO : ADULT & PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE**Appendix K****NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND****REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Project Title	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Expected Expenditure/ (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
	£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
1	30.0	NRF - Cardiac Rehab through Exercise	2.1	2.1	0.0
2	64.6	NRF - Mental Health Development Project	16.2	16.2	0.0
3	20.6	NRF - Mobile Maintenance Worker	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	143.0	NRF - Connected Care / Health Trainers	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	31.2	NRF - Anchor Trust Community Development	7.8	7.8	0.0
6	40.7	NRF - Integrated Health & Social Care Teams	10.2	10.2	0.0
7	40.9	NRF - Owton Ross Health Dev Worker	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	72.5	NRF - Smoking Issues	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	61.9	NRF - Alzheimers Day Service	31.0	31.0	0.0
10	49.1	NRF - MIND Manager & NDC Support Network	12.1	12.1	0.0
11	20.6	NRF - Hartlepool Carers	10.6	10.6	0.0
12	21.4	NRF - Mental Health Carers Support	10.7	10.7	0.0
13	46.0	NRF - TNEY / MIND Common Mental Health Needs	11.5	11.5	0.0
14	20.0	NRF - Discharge Planning Post	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	41.4	NRF - Belle Vue Sports Project	19.5	19.5	0.0
16	703.9		131.7	131.7	0.0

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/07 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7622	Adult Education - Capital Equipment Replacement	13.2	0.0	13.2	13.2	0.0	GRANT
7441	Adult Education - NLDC	49.5	46.8	2.7	49.5	0.0	GRANT
7438	Adult Education - Capital Equip Replacement	23.8	0.0	23.8	23.8	0.0	GRANT
7531	Adult Education - Education Development Centre - Refurbishment	14.2	2.4	11.8	14.2	0.0	RCCO
7229	Cemetery Flooding Works	175.4	0.4	175.0	175.4	0.0	UDPB
7352	Brooklyn 'UK On-line' ICT Initiative	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	GRANT
7813	Care Homes - Environmental Improvements	165.0	155.0	10.0	165.0	0.0	GRANT
7234	Chronically Sick & Disabled Persons Adaptations	65.0	11.2	53.8	65.0	0.0	MIX
7349	Disabled Access Ramps - Havelock Centre	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	MIX
7351	Improving Information Management (IIM) - Systems	70.7	0.0	70.7	70.7	0.0	GRANT
7479	Improving Information Management (IIM) - Single Assessment Project (SAP)	2.5	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	GRANT
7480	Improving Information Management (IIM) - Electronic Social Care Record	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GRANT
7481	Improving Information Management (IIM) - IT Infrastructure	9.2	9.2	0.0	9.2	0.0	GRANT
7616	Learning Disability (Extra Care Housing)	308.4	0.0	308.4	308.4	0.0	GRANT
7578	Lynne Street ATC Demolition	12.8	0.0	12.8	12.8	0.0	RCCO
7389	Mental Health - to be allocated	235.3	0.1	235.2	235.3	0.0	SCE(R)
7723	Resettlement Capital Works	300.0	150.0	150.0	300.0	0.0	GRANT
7704	Replace Roof at Stranton Crematorium	34.0	0.0	34.0	34.0	0.0	CAP REC
		1,480.6	378.2	1,102.4	1,480.6	0.0	

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Departmental Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing

ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007

TABLE 1 - SINGLE REGENERATION BUDGET

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Description of Best Value Unit	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Forecast Expenditure / (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
			£'000	£'000	£'000
1	31.2	Programme Administration	31.2	30.4	(0.8)
2	31.2		31.2	30.4	(0.8)

PORTFOLIO : FINANCE & EFFICIENCY
Appendix M (cntd)
ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007
TABLE 2 - NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Description of Best Value Unit	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Forecast Expenditure / (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
			£'000	£'000	£'000
3	40.5	Longhill - Site Manager	10.1	14.0	3.9
4	14.4	Longhill - Business Security Scheme	3.6	3.6	0.0
5	82.0	Longhill - ILM Scheme	20.5	52.5	32.0
6	141.6	Longhill CCTV	35.4	0.0	(35.4)
7	3.1	Childcare Training	1.5	3.7	2.2
8	(7.1)	Employment Advice and Support: At Work	(7.1)	0.0	7.1
9	135.5	Enterprise Development Package	33.9	0.0	(33.9)
10	22.8	Commercial Areas - Building Modernisation	5.7	0.1	(5.6)
11	58.5	Commercial Areas - Bus Support Manager	14.6	15.0	0.4
12	92.4	Opening Doors - Phase 2	23.1	20.5	(2.6)
13	90.5	Mental Health Support Workers	22.6	22.6	0.0
14	15.8	Complementary Therapies	3.9	3.9	0.0
15	16.0	Drop in for Health - Health Bus	4.0	13.8	9.9
16	38.3	Sure Start Extension	9.7	0.0	(9.7)
17	58.6	Practical Support to Individuals	14.7	24.3	9.7
18	31.2	Drug Outreach	7.8	0.0	(7.8)
19	88.3	Childrens Emotional Wellbeing	22.1	12.2	(9.9)
20	38.8	Football Development Officer	9.7	0.0	(9.7)
21	142.1	Peoples Access to Health	35.5	33.1	(2.5)
22	17.8	Young Persons Emotional Wellbeing	5.8	0.9	(5.0)
23	209.5	Community Wardens	52.4	73.7	21.4
24	100.5	Target Hardening - Phase 3	25.1	30.8	5.7
25	20.7	Community Safety Grants Pool	5.2	2.7	(2.5)
26	22.3	Good Citizenship Initiative	5.6	0.0	(5.6)
27	50.0	Drug Enforcement Unit	12.5	0.0	(12.5)
28	28.0	Victim Support	7.0	3.1	(3.9)
29	38.0	Community Safety Premises	9.5	(13.1)	(22.6)
30	56.7	Domestic Violence	14.2	0.0	(14.2)
31	21.6	Dordrecht	5.4	0.1	(5.3)
32	41.2	CCTV Implementation - Phase 3	10.3	0.0	(10.3)
33	14.3	Offendering / Mentoring Scheme	3.6	0.0	(3.6)
34	37.1	Anti-Social Behaviour	9.3	(0.3)	(9.6)
35	32.0	Anti-Social Behaviour - Phase 2	8.0	0.0	(8.0)
36	71.0	Community Learning Centre - Stranton	17.8	0.0	(17.8)
37	72.8	Community Learning Centre - Lynnfield	18.2	0.0	(18.2)
38	26.9	Social Inclusion	6.7	12.7	6.0
39	59.1	Bursary Fund	14.8	9.1	(5.7)
40	1.2	Hoop Dreams (Education)	1.2	1.2	(0.0)
41	99.8	Educational Achievement Project	0.0	0.0	0.0
42	61.1	Key Stage 2 & 3 Transition	15.3	0.0	(15.3)
43	99.4	Raising Aspirations	24.9	0.0	(24.9)
44	30.0	Sustaining Attainment	7.5	0.0	(7.5)
45	18.0	Community Chest	4.5	14.0	9.5
46	13.9	Belle Vue Extension	3.5	6.9	3.5
47	11.8	Osbourne Road Hall	3.0	8.1	5.2
48	84.2	Ethnic Minorities	21.0	(3.0)	(24.0)

ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007

TABLE 2 - NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES (cntd)

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Description of Best Value Unit	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Forecast Expenditure / (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
			£'000	£'000	£'000
49	34.2	Money Advice and Debt Counselling Service	8.6	17.1	8.6
50	41.6	Peoples Centre	10.4	0.0	(10.4)
51	10.2	Family Support	2.6	2.6	0.0
52	6.3	Voluntary Sector Premises Pool	1.6	0.0	(1.6)
53	160.0	Hartlepool Youth Project	40.0	44.2	4.2
54	133.0	Capacity Building	37.6	36.6	(1.0)
55	8.6	Arts Development Initiative	2.2	2.5	0.3
56	15.0	Grange Road Methodist Church	3.8	7.5	3.8
57	13.1	Community Transport	3.3	1.1	(2.2)
58	95.5	Childrens Activities Project	23.9	25.3	1.4
59	1.9	Hartbeat	1.9	0.0	(1.9)
60	0.7	Housing Advice and Tenancy Support Service	0.7	0.0	(0.7)
61	151.6	Environmental Task Force	37.9	40.5	2.6
62	277.6	Housing Regeneration Company	69.4	19.8	(49.6)
63	70.7	Evaluation Project	17.7	18.7	1.0
64	65.0	Communications Project	16.3	30.7	14.4
65	105.3	Neighbourhood Management	26.3	39.4	13.0
66	571.7	Management and Administration	142.9	143.8	0.9
67	(724.4)	Income from Revival	0.0	0.0	0.0
68	3,479.9		1,030.3	796.3	(234.0)

PORTFOLIO : FINANCE & EFFICIENCY**Appendix M (cntd)****ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007****TABLE 3 - SINGLE PROGRAMME Revenue**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Description of Best Value Unit	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Forecast Expenditure / (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
			£'000	£'000	£'000
69	60.0	Management and Administration	60.0	60.0	0.0
70	753.0	Building Futures	753.0	742.1	(10.9)
71	38.9	Coastal Arc Coordinator	38.9	38.4	(0.5)
72	60.0	Coastal Arc Marketing	60.0	59.8	(0.2)
73	5.0	Coastal Arc Tourism (Events Hartlepool)	5.0	5.0	0.0
74	4.5	Coastal Arc Tourism (Events Redcar)	4.5	4.5	0.0
75	921.4		921.4	909.8	(11.6)

PORTFOLIO : FINANCE & EFFICIENCY**Appendix M (cntd)****ACCOUNTABLE BODY REVENUE MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31st JULY 2007****TABLE 4 - ACCOUNTABLE BODY PROGRAMME**

Line No	2007/8 Budget	Description of Best Value Unit	Actual Position 31/07/07		
			Forecast Expenditure / (Income)	Actual Expenditure/ (Income)	Variance Adverse/ (Favourable)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F (F=E-D)
			£'000	£'000	£'000
76	395.0	Children's Fund Partnership	69.5	61.4	(8.1)
77	395.0		69.5	61.4	(8.1)

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007**TABLE 1 - RESOURCES**

Project Code	A Scheme Title	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
		2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/2007 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7090	City Challenge Architects TOS Costs	1.4	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	CAP REC
7091	City Challenge Clawback	228.8	0.0	228.8	228.8	0.0	GRANT
7256	Memorial for Lives Lost at Sea	4.8	0.0	4.8	4.8	0.0	CAP REC
7258	Improvements to Public Facilities	6.6	0.0	6.6	6.6	0.0	CAP REC
7257	DDA Works / BVPI 156	96.1	2.9	93.2	96.1	0.0	UCPB
7259	Demolition of Stranton House	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	CAP REC
7260	Piazza and Slipway - Trincomalee Trust	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	GRANT
7261	Regeneration Office Accommodation	7.9	0.0	7.9	7.9	0.0	CAP REC
7262	Archive Store Refurbishment	7.9	0.0	7.9	7.9	0.0	CAP REC
7263	York Flatlets Demolition	7.7	1.0	6.7	7.7	0.0	CAP REC
7264	Mobile Benefits	129.3	0.9	128.4	129.3	0.0	RCCO
7418	St Benedicts/Barlows Building Work	34.8	18.5	16.3	34.8	0.0	CAP REC
7467	War Memorials Refurbishment	95.6	48.8	46.8	95.6	0.0	UCPB
7468	Information Technology Strategy	500.0	125.3	374.7	500.0	0.0	UDPB
7470	HR Analyser System	20.6	20.6	0.0	20.6	0.0	RCCO
7623	Corporate IT Projects (prev IEG)	93.7	63.5	30.2	93.7	0.0	RCCO
7631	Members ICT/Flexible/Remote Access	115.7	83.4	32.3	115.7	0.0	CAP REC
7634	Town Centre LIFT Scheme	90.0	0.0	90.0	90.0	0.0	CAP REC
CC421	Burbank/Murray Street	122.0	0.0	122.0	122.0	0.0	CAP REC
	R & B Flooring and Furnishing	30.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	CAP REC
7201	Corp Plan Maint - Civic Centre - PH4 Bal System	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	RCCO
7717	Re-roof - Brinkburn YC	83.0	0.0	83.0	83.0	0.0	RCCO
NOT B/F	Corp Plan Maint - EDC PH2 Roofing - Conf Hall	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	RCCO
7709	Replace Boilers - Municipal Buildings	151.0	0.0	151.0	151.0	0.0	RCCO
7496	Corp Plan Maint - Throston Library - Roofing	44.8	43.7	1.1	44.8	0.0	RCCO
7710	Replace Roof/Boiler	32.0	0.0	32.0	32.0	0.0	RCCO
7604	Corp Plan Maint - Civic Centre - Electrical Testing	14.0	8.1	5.9	14.0	0.0	RCCO
7716	Demolition of HQ Toilets	51.0	0.0	51.0	51.0	0.0	RCCO
7717	Demolition of Eldon Grove Leisure Centre	120.0	2.7	117.3	120.0	0.0	UCPB
7742	Renew Sports Hall Changing Room	0.0	0.3	-0.3	0.0	0.0	UCPB
7830	LIFT Scheme- Purchase Park Rd Hoarding Site	0.0	88.4	-88.4	0.0	0.0	UCPB
7200	Civic Centre Capital Maintenance	2,705.8	410.6	3,195.2	3,605.8	900.0	UCPB
7466	DSO Vehicle Purchase	600.0	301.1	298.9	600.0	0.0	UDPB
		5,396.8	1,220.6	5,076.2	6,296.8	900.0	

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007**TABLE 2 - SINGLE REGENERATION BUDGET**

Project Code	A	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
	Scheme Title	2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/2007 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7001	Headland Community Resource Centre Ph 1 & 2	20.9	0.0	20.9	20.9	0.0	HBC
7002	Sports Improvement Scheme	17.0	24.9	0.0	24.9	7.9	HBC
7003	Carnegie Building Refurbishment	114.0	11.4	102.6	114.0	0.0	HBC
7021	Heugh Battery Project - Phase 2/2B	524.5	0.3	524.2	524.5	0.0	MIX
7011	Headland Key Buildings (Grants)	176.0	6.9	169.1	176.0	0.0	MIX
7012	Headland Regeneration Programme	165.4	132.9	32.5	165.4	0.0	MIX
7013	Headland Town Square	50.0	1.6	48.4	50.0	0.0	MIX
7015	Targeted Private Housing Improvements	12.0	24.9	0.0	24.9	12.9	HBC
new	Friarage Environmental Scheme	40.2	1.6	38.6	40.2	0.0	MIX
		1,120.0	204.5	936.3	1,140.8	20.8	

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007**TABLE 3 - NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES**

Project Code	A	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
	Scheme Title	2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/2007 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7058	Longhill Junction Improvements	79.4	-0.2	79.6	79.4	0.0	MIX
7059/7060	Longhill Business Security and Environmental Imps	166.5	32.4	134.2	166.5	0.0	MIX
7061	Business Security Fund	85.2	51.7	33.6	85.2	0.0	NDC
7062	CIA Building Modernisation Grant	303.2	19.1	284.1	303.2	0.0	NDC
7063	CIA Environmental Improvements	731.8	8.3	723.5	731.8	0.0	NDC
7054	Crime Premises	14.9	-23.6	38.5	14.9	0.0	NDC
7056	Target Hardening Phase 3	232.7	2.1	230.6	232.7	0.0	NDC
7050	Osbourne Road Hall	1.4	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	NDC
7051	Voluntary Sector Premises Pool	44.6	15.0	29.6	44.6	0.0	NDC
7052	Peoples Centre	62.7	0.0	62.7	62.7	0.0	NDC
7053	Hartlepool Youth Project	14.5	0.0	14.5	14.5	0.0	NDC
7071	Area Remodelling Project	1280.3	860.4	419.9	1,280.3	0.0	MIX
7065	Neighbourhood management	55.0	7.5	47.5	55.0	0.0	NDC
7076	Physical Improvements	191.5	93.4	98.1	191.5	0.0	NDC
		3,263.8	1,066.0	2,197.7	3,263.8	0.0	

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT PERIOD ENDING 31ST JULY 2007**TABLE 4 - SINGLE PROGRAMME**

Project Code	A	EXPENDITURE IN CURRENT YEAR					
		B	C	D	E	F	G
	Scheme Title	2007/2008 Budget £'000	2007/2008 Actual as at 31/07/2007 £'000	2007/2008 Expenditure Remaining £'000	C+D 2007/2008 Total Expenditure £'000	E-B 2007/2008 Variance from budget £'000	Type of financing
7103	Coastal Arc CAA ~ Wingfield Castle	1116.1	177.5	938.6	1,116.1	0.0	GRANT
7105	SP Coastal Walkway Phase 1	195.2	1.9	193.3	195.2	0.0	GRANT
		1,311.3	179.4	1,131.9	1,311.3	0.0	

Key

RCCO Revenue Contribution towards Capital
MIX Combination of Funding Types
UCPB Unsupported Corporate Prudential Borrowing
SCE ® Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue)

GRANT Grant Funded
CAP REC Capital Receipt
UDPB Unsupported Departmental Prudential Borrowing
SPB Supported Prudential Borrowing