

12<sup>th</sup> May, 2008

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond

Councillors Aiken, Akers-Belcher, Allison, Atkinson, Barker, Brash, R W Cook, S Cook, Cow ard, Cranney, Fenwick, Fleet, Fleming, Flintoff, Gibbon, Griffin, Hall, Hargreaves, Hill, Jackson, James, Johnson, Kaiser, Laffey, Lauderdale, A E Lilley, G Lilley, London, A Marshall, J Marshall, McKenna, Dr. Morris, Payne, Plant, Preece, Richardson, Rogan, Shaw, Simmons, Sutheran, Tumilty, Turner, Wallace, Wistow, Worthy, Wright and Young.

Madam or Sir,

You are hereby summoned to attend the <u>ANNUAL MEETING OF COUNCIL</u> to be held on <u>THURS DAY, 22nd May, 2008 at 7.00 p.m.</u> in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool to consider the subjects set out in the attached agenda.

By order P Walker

Chief Executive

Enc

## **COUNCIL AGENDA**



## 22nd May 2008

at 7.00 p.m.

## in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Hartlepool.

- 1. To elect a person to preside at the meeting if the Chair is not present.
- To receive apologies from absent members.
- 3. To elect the Chair of Council for the coming Municipal Year.
- 4. To elect the Vice-Chair of Council for the coming Municipal Year.
- 5 To receive suitable presentations from the newly-elected Chair.
- 6. To receive any Declarations of Interest from Members.
- 7. To approve the Minutes of Proceedings for the previous meeting of the Council held on 17th April, 2008 (copy attached).
- 8. To receive any announcements from the Chair, Mayor and/or Head of the Paid Service.
- To be informed by the Mayor about the composition and constitution of the Executive for the coming Municipal Year, and the scheme of Executive delegations (copy attached).
- 10. To approve a programme of ordinary meetings of the Council for the year (copy attached).
- 11. To make appointment to committees, forums and other bodies as required by the Constitution. (To follow)
- 12. To make appointments to joint committees and other outside bodies where appointment is reserved to Council. (To follow)

## COUNCIL

## MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

## 17 April 2008

The meeting commenced at 7.00 p.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

### PRESENT:-

The Chairman, Councillor C Richardson, presiding:

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond

### **COUNCILLORS:**

### OFFICERS:

Paul Walker, Chief Executive Peter Devlin, Acting Chief Solicitor Andrew Atkin, Assistant Chief Executive Mike Ward, Chief Financial Officer Nicola Bailey, Director of Adult and Community Services Dave Stubbs, Director of Neighbourhood Services Denise Ogden, Head of Neighbourhood Management Ralph Harrison, Head of Public Protection Stuart Green, Assistant Director (Planning and Economic Development) John Robinson, Children's Fund Manager David Cosgrove, Principal Democratic Services Officer Sarah Bird. De mocratic Services Officer

### 155. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENT MEMBERS

Councillors Fenwick, Griffin, Johnson, Kaiser, Wallace, Wistow and Young

### 156 COUNCILLOR GRIFFIN

Councillors commented that Councillor Griffin had recently suffered a broken wrist as a result a fall and suggested that the Chair of the council write to Councillor Griffin wishing her a speedy recovery.

### 157. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST FROM MEMBERS

None.

# 158. BUSINESS REQUIRED BY STATUTE TO BE DONE BEFORE ANY OTHER BUSINESS

None

### 159. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

None.

### 160. MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

The Minutes of Proceedings of the Council held on the 28 February 2008, having been laid before the Council.

RESOLVED - That the minutes be confirmed.

The minutes were thereupon signed by the Chairman.

# 161. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL ON THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING OF THE COUNCIL

None.

### 162. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

(a) Questions to Members of the Executive about recent decisions of the Executive

None.

- (b) Questions to Members of the Executive and Chairs of Committees and Forums, for which Notice has been given
- Councillor G Lilley to Councillor Payne, Finance and Efficiency Portfolio Holder.

"Government policy is encouraging local authorities to make land available for affordable housing. What specific sites are under consideration for this purpose in Hartlepool?"

Councillor Payne commented that In response to the Government agenda to provide more affordable homes and to address a significant identified need in Hartlepool the Council are progressing measures to bring forward more affordable accommodation.

Firstly following recommendations of the Regeneration and Planning Services Scrutiny Forum investigation (The Availability of Good Quality Affordable Rented Social Accommodation in Hartlepool) Cabinet has agreed to prepare an affordable Housing Development Plan Document (under the new spatial planning system) which will seek to secure agreed proportions of affordable dwellings within new developments.

Secondly it is working with local Registered Social Landlords to support bids to the Housing Corporation for funding to provide new units and funding has been secure against the following sites:- Tristram Avenue, Browning Avenue, Warren Road, Smythe Place and Thackeray Road

Thirdly, Cabinet has agreed to further investigations to be carried out to identify potential public and private sites which may be suitable for affordable housing including supporting future Housing Corporation bids. This work is ongoing and will be presented to Cabinet at a future date. In October 2007 Cabinet agreed to three sites, where there is the potential for some affordable housing as part of a wider mix to be looked at, in discussion with local Registered Social Landlords. Findings will be reported back to Cabinet before any further decisions are made.

#### These sites are:-

- The cleared land adjacent to Surtees Street and Huckelhoven Way
- A site at Golden Flatts on the south side of Seaton Lane, to the west of the Education Development Centre
- A site on the west (landward side) of Coronation Drive, south of Warrior Drive on the northern edge of Seaton Carew.

No formal decisions have been made in respect of these sites and any proposals would be subject to public consultation, technical assessments and planning consent before being taken forward.

In a supplementary question, Councillor G Lilley indicated that land was the valuable asset the authority owned and asked if the Portfolio Holder knew whether the government would make up the difference between the full market value and the value gained when land was allocated for social housing.

Councillor Payne indicated that he did not know if government would take such action. Councillor Payne believed that the local authority had a responsibility to the residents of Hartlepool who were on waiting lists for social housing and those who were desperately trying to buy their first home. Before sites were designated for development, the Council should be consulting the residents of the area to see what they wanted in their neighbourhood. That consultation needed to be fully inclusive and not just those residents on the periphery of the development site.

Councillor G Lilley in his second supplementary question referred to previous consultation exercises that had not been successful in gaining the true feelings of residents as was shown with the Dickens Street development proposals. Councillor G Lilley asked if Councillor Payne believed that there needed to be full member involvement in the selection of the sites and full public consultation once they were selected.

Councillor Payne commented that he believed that developers were trying to tie up deals before they had come before Cabinet and that should not be allowed Councillor Payne considered that if the government wanted to continue. Councils to allocate land for social housing and affordable housing schemes, then it should put its money up for site clearance.

In the following debate, Members expressed their concern at the comments of Councillor Payne at land deals being done behind the back of Cabinet. Members considered that the wishes of the community needed to come first in the allocation of land uses. Members were also concerned to ensure that consultation was effective and inclusive and, should local residents voice strong concern in opposition to the Council's plans, actually make a difference.

Members expressed the view that the Council should always try to gain the highest value it could for land as part of its responsibility to local council tax payers. There was also comment that the Council should be much stronger in stipulating to developers what they wished to see built.

The Mayor commented that the government had recently placed a lot of pressure on local authorities to allocate land for housing development. Caution needed to be exercised in light of the current economic climate and the Council needed to have a full debate on this issue in the near future.

2. Councillor J Marshall to Councillor Hargreaves, Performance Portfolio Holder.

One million pounds was allocated to single status from the General Fund 2006/07. Can the Portfolio Holder tell us if any of that money has been spent, if not w hy not, and w hat has happened to it?

Councillor Hargreaves stated that the money was still in the General Fund as none had been spent at this time. As had been reported to Council, the money would be used to fund the implementation of the Single Status agreement once

that agreement had been concluded following a positive Unison ballot of Members. The money was specifically designated to fund the back payments to those who had received pay increases through the Job Evaluation process.

In a supplementary question Councillor J Marshall asked why if the fund had been allocated in 2006/07 had it not been returned to the General Fund as an underspend, the use of which would be for this Council to discuss.

Councillor Hargreaves indicated that any money not spent in meeting the purpose for which the fund was allocated would be returned to the general fund for Council to determine how it should be allocated.

Councillor J Marshall indicated that the money had been allocated in the 2006/07 financial year and had not been spent during that year, so therefore, should have been reallocated to the general fund and Council should have decided what it was going to do with the money; why had this not happened?

Councillor Hargreaves restated her previous response indicating that the money had not been spent as the purpose for which it had been allocated had not yet arisen and it was ring-fenced for that purpose. When that situation did arise the money would be spent, but not until.

## 3. Councillor James to the Mayor, Stuart Drummond

"Can you explain how land held by Children's Services and currently occupied by Brierton School was included in a recently published list of potential housing sites within the town?"

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond, commented that the land was not held by Children's Services but by the Council. A proportion of the land, four hectares out of a total of fifteen, had been included in a bid for Growth Point status. Following an invitation from the Secretary of State to all Local Authorities in England and Wales to submit bids for Growth Point status, a joint bid was prepared by Tees Valley Living on behalf of the five Tees Valley Boroughs and submitted to the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) before Christmas.

In preparing the Tees Valley bid, authorities were required to provide a list of indicative sites primarily in order to demonstrate that there is capacity to deliver the required housing increase over the Growth Point period. The Mayor stressed that these were possible housing development sites and the Council would not be tied to the sites outlined in the bid, nor was the money dependent on development of those sites. Sites included within the Growth Point submission were indicative only and used to illustrate the potential capacity to achieve the required growth in housing numbers. The indicative schedule included existing allocated housing sites such as Victoria Harbour and other areas with the potential for housing development both in private and public ownership. All of the suggested local sites in the submission document will be subject to the Local Development Framework requirement to prepare a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, detailed technical and viability

assessments. The schedule also included clear caveats highlighting the fact that all sites would be subject to public consultation in addition to the planning process (and its public consultation requirements) and would be subject to further Cabinet approval following development of the Growth Point bid, if successful, in further detail. In relation to the Brierton School site, the Mayor reiterated that it was only four hectares of the total fifteen hectare site that was suggested for potential consideration. It was also made clear that that this site's availability would be subject to the necessary Building Schools for the Future process.

In a supplementary question, Councillor James stated that as a Member of the Schools Transformation Board she was aware that there were aspirations for the use of the Brierton site once the school had closed and was concerned that the inclusion of the site in the Growth Point list had compromised any potential scrutiny investigation. Councillor James asked the Mayor if Cabinet would continue to fully endorse the recommendations of the Transformation Board?

In response the Mayor indicated that that position had not changed. The Mayor indicated his concern that similar debates would be had on every piece of development land identified in the town. It was for this reason he believed that the Council needed to have a debate on this issue. There was a need to ensure that the Council didn't miss out on any potential incoming finance but also that the authority's land wasn't given away. Without a full and open debate on the whole issue, there would be debates such as this at every stage.

In her second supplementary question, Councillor James was still concerned that the independence of Scrutiny had been compromised and sought the Mayor's assurance that scrutiny would remain independent of these decisions.

The Mayor gave such an assurance but was of the opinion that too much was being read into the publication of the list of sites in the Growth Point bid.

### Councillor G Liley to Councillor Hill, Children's Services Portfolio Holder

"Can the Portfolio Holder guarantee there will be the same number of places available for children in the summer play scheme this year as there were last year?"

Councillor Hill stated that the simple answer to the question was 'no' but went on to state that the department was aiming to provide the same number of play opportunities places in this year's summer play programme as we have provided in previous years, although as the programme is still being planned, no absolute guarantee can be given. This year for the first time, schemes will be commissioned from the voluntary and community sector rather than directly by the Council to make more efficient use of the funding. The play schemes are being planned to meet local need so will not necessarily be in the exactly the same venues as previous years. A programme will be published in the near future, hopefully by the end of May.

In a supplementary question, Councillor G Lilley indicated that he was aware

that £64,000 had been withdrawn from the play schemes budget. Many working parents used the scheme to assist with child care arrangements during the summer holidays and Councillor G Lilley sought assurance that these parents would not be penalised. Councillor G Lilley also questioned why the play scheme had been withdrawn from Greatham Village Hall.

Councillor Hill indicated that this year, play opportunities are being planned in the five Children's Centres and Extended Services localities

North 1 – St Hilda, Brus and Hart wards

North 2 – Dyke House and Throston wards

Central 1 - Grange, Stranton, Park, Elwick and Burn Valley wards

Central 2 – Rift House and Foggy Furzew ards

South - Rossmere, Owton, Fens, Seaton and Greatham wards.

In the south area the play schemes would be provided form the centre on Rossmere Way. Last year, only eight children from Greatham used the schemes base in the Village Hall.

Councillor Hill stated that last year the number of sessions that families registered for but did not attend totalled 867 sessions, 23% of the total number of sessions. This meant that the play schemes were overstaffed by 23% across the play schemes leading to a 23% waste of resources. She considered that no one could be happy with this level of wastage.

In his second supplementary question, Councillor G Lilley indicated that it was still a significant concern that £64,000 had been cut from the budget and sought assurance that a full review of the play schemes in 2008 would be undertaken to review whether or not they had been successful in meeting families needs.

Councillor hill indicated that she expected such a review would take place.

In debating the question and responses Members commented that the inclusion of the voluntary sector had led to an increased number of places being provided, particularly in the south of the town. There were also to be greater use of the mobile facilities available through Hartlepool Families First who would be providing schemes in the villages.

5. Councillor Marjorie James to Councillor Peter Jackson, Neighbourhoods and Communities Portfolio Holder.

"Can you confirm the start date for the resurfacing of Greenock Road which was originally scheduled to take place in 2007/08, but was delayed due to housing development in the area?"

Councillor Jackson agreed that the resurfacing works in Greenock Road had originally been scheduled to be carried out last year. They had been delayed due to works being carried out on sewer connections and the new housing development. Councillor Jackson indicated that Greenock Road was included in this financial year's highways resurfacing programme and the road was now scheduled to be resurfaced during August — September 2008. Councillor

Jackson indicted that he was unable to give a definitive date as the works were included in the overall annual resurfacing package. Councillor Jackson also indicated that he had recently approved at his portfolio meeting a three-year rolling programme of resurfacing works. The programme would be very flexible and while roads may be listed in a particular order in the programme, it could change dependent on circumstances.

6. Councillor Marjorie James to Councillor Peter Jackson, Neighbourhoods and Communities Portfolio Holder.

"Are you aware, that the working practices of the operatives employed to deliver the external recycling contract are a matter of major concern across the town?"

Councillor Jackson stated that he was aware of concerns which have been expressed by residents, elected members and officers regarding the working practices of our external contractor. He too was extremely concerned and went on to advise the council as to what actions Neighbourhood Services intended to take to resolve the situation.

Councillor Jackson indicted that he had requested a report on this issue be presented at my next Portfolio meeting on 22nd April 2008 which will include a detailed action plan covering training, education and publicity. Officers have met with the contractor and voiced the councils concerns. I am aware a strong and clear message has been given as to the standards Hartlepool Borough Council expects of its contractors.

Government recycling targets had increased and the Council was expected to recycle 40% of all household waste by 2010 and 45% by 2015. It was therefore very important to keep the contractor on board and working within the high standards we required.

The introduction of alternate weekly collections has encountered operational problems and customer satisfaction has reduced from 89% in 2003 to 72% in 2006, which coincided with the first phase of the changes to waste collections. On the plus side, our recycling performance has increased from 15.24 % to 32.33%. The amount of waste sent to landfill in 2006/7 was 8%.

Councillor Jackson stated that he recognised that, as with any change in service, there could be teething problems, and the introduction of alternate weekly collections together with a change in the contract specification. Where we have problems we will work to resolve them.

The Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum recently received a report from the Head of Neighbourhood Management detailing actions which are to be taken to address these concerns.

In a supplementary question Councillor James stated that due to the Easter holidays her local collection had moved to a Saturday and she had been at home to see the contractor in operation. Collection boxes were being thrown by

operatives and if any glass or other materials spilled from the box it was simply left in the street. Councillor James stated that she considered that there should be full scrutiny investigation into the operation of the contractor and asked if Councillor Jackson would refer the matter back to scrutiny.

Councillor Jackson considered that the actions being taken by officers should be allowed to continue to assess the improvements in the operation of the contractor. If there were no improvements Councillor Jackson stated that he would have no problem in referring the mater back to members but feel that the actions being taken by Neighbourhood Services should be allowed some time initially.

Councillor James indicated that she was disappointed in Councillor Jackson's comments and considered that Scrutiny may have to take matters further and assess whether the councils hould bring the service back 'in-house'.

Councillor Jackson stated that he was not allowing this mater to drift and expected improvements in weeks not months. If there was no improvement than it would be appropriate for scrutiny to become involved. Councillor Jackson considered that all major contracts should be reviewed to ensure that the Council was receiving value for money. If such a review suggested that we should be doing things in a slightly different manner, then he would support those changes. All contracts should be reviewed towards the end of their period of operation to allow the Council to take stock of the operation of the contract.

In debating the matter Councillors expressed concern at the recycling operation and the frequent amount of waste left blowing around streets and ending up in gardens and hedges. Complaints about the conduct of the operatives were also aired, particularly relating to foul language and the failure to return recycling bag/boxes properly. This was very much an issue for elderly residents.

A number of members expressed support for a full scrutiny investigation into the operation of the recycling contractor, particularly considering the number of complaints raised by the public and councillors at a number of different venues. Caution was, however, expressed by some members who wished to see time allowed for the discussions between officers and the contractor to be acted upon. It was, however, agreed that the level of service provide to the elderly and the disabled needed to be improved.

(c) Questions to the appropriate Members on Police and Fire Authority issues, for which notice has been given.

None.

### 163. BUSINESS REQUIRED BY STATUTE

## (i) Report of the Independent Remuneration Panel

The Chief Executive submitted for the Council's consideration, a report produced by the Independent Remuneration Panel. The Panel's report indicated that there remained a number of areas of the Members Allow ances Scheme which had not yet been review ed, these were;

Co-optees Allow ances
Dependent Carers Allow ance
Telephone Allow ances

Special Responsibilities Allow ances

In addition to the above The Panel also agreed a work programme for later in 2008/09 financial year, which would review the level of Basic Responsibility and Mayoral Special Responsibility allow ances.

The comments and recommendations of the Panel were as follows:-

### Co-optees Allow ances

The Council's current scheme covered travel, subsistence and financial loss for co-optees. The Panel noted that in practice, claims had been few in number and small in size. The Panel recommended that the limits be increased to RPI for future years and a one off adjustment to take account of RPI increases from 2003, for 2008.

### Dependant Carers Allowance

The Panel noted that the current scheme had not been reviewed for some years. No claims in that time had been made. The Panel considered that the allow ance in future should be linked to the cost of carers and that the national minimum wage was appropriate. The Panel were also of the view that the wording of the scheme be clarified to set a maximum of 2 claims per week with each claim being no longer than 7 hours.

The Panel recommends that, the maximum hourly rate claimable, be the national minimum wage and that this be increased annually as the national minimum wage increases and that the scheme be limited to 2 claims per week with each claim being no longer than 7 hours each.

### Pension Arrangements

The panel noted that Council had previously determined that the Mayoral Special Responsibility Allow ance should not be pensionable contrary to the recommendation of the panel at that time. The Panel determined not to consider this, at this time but review as part of the Mayoral Special Responsibility. In relation to other allowances the Panel confirmed their previous views still stand that such allowances should not be pensionable.

### Telephone A low ances

The Panel considered the current arrangements for telephone allow ances and considered the principle of incorporating the allowance into the Basic Allowance. The Panel determined that this was not a course of action it wanted to recommend, and no changes were suggested.

Special responsibility Allow ance Weightings

The Panel considered the weightings it had previously recommended and whether any changes had occurred. The Panel noted that the role of The Audit Committee might change and determined to review the priorities later in the autumn.

A members questioned of the allow ances paid to co-optees were publicised in the same way that Councillors allow ance were. The Chief Executive indicated that they were not.

#### RESOLVED – as follows: -

- 1. That in relation to Co-optees Allow ances, the limits be increased to RPI for future years and a one off adjustment to take account of RPI increases from 2003, for 2008.
- 2. That in relation to Dependent Carers Allowances, the maximum hourly rate claimable, be the national minimum wage and that this be increased annually as the national minimum wage increases and that the scheme be limited to 2 claims per week with each claim being no longer than 7 hours each.

### 164. ANNOUNCEMENTS

None.

165. TO DISPOSE OF BUSINESS (IF ANY) REMAINING FROM THE LAST MEETING AND TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF ANY SCRUTINY FORUM OR OTHER COMMITTEE TO WHICH SUCH BUSINESS WAS REFERRED FOR CONSIDERATION.

None.

# 166. TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE COUNCIL'S COMMITTEES AND WORKING GROUPS

(i) Amendments to the Constitution in Respect of Resident Representative Elections – Report of Constitution Committee

At their meeting on 28 March 2008, the Constitution Committee considered a joint report from the Head of Neighbourhood Management and Chief Solicitor regarding a Constitution Working Party recommendation to amend the requirements for submission of nominations for service as Resident Representatives on the Council's Consultative Forums. The proposals of the Constitution Committee would necessitate that the relevant provisions of the Constitution be amended as set out in the report

RESOLVED – that the amendments proposed by the Constitution Committee as set out in the report and relating to Article 10, paragraph 10.11 (b) (iv) – (viii), be approved and the Constitution amended accordingly.

(ii) Proposed Amendments to Contract Procedure Rules – Report of Constitution Committee

To report sought Council approval for implementation of proposed changes to the Contract Procedure Rules and Article 14 of the Constitution. The amendments have been endorsed by the Finance and Efficiency Portfolio Holder at his meeting on 27th February 2008.

The amendments were subsequently approved by the Constitution Committee at its meeting on 28th March 2008, with an additional recommendation that the tender limit for goods, materials and services be increased from £15,000 to £25,000, rather than to £20,000 as previously proposed by officers. This recommendation has been included in the finalised draft Contract Procedure Rules attached as an Appendix to the report.

RESOLVED – that the implementation of the revised Contract Procedure Rules and the amendment to Article 14 of the Constitution as set out in the report be approved and the Constitution amended accordingly.

(iii) Outside Bodies Review - Report of Constitution Committee

At the meeting of the Constitution Working Group held on 3rd April 2008, Members considered a report relating to a review of outside bodies. The recommendations of the Working Group were subsequently agreed by the Constitution Committee at its meeting also held on 3rd April 2008. The report to the Working Group set out the extent of nominations to Outside Bodies and the rationale for a review. A number of criteria for assessing Council participation in Outside Bodies was also set out in the report.

### RESOLVED - as follows: -

- 1. That the following organisations are deleted from the approved list of outside bodies:-
  - Albert Centre
  - Connexions
  - Forum for Racial Harmony
  - One Voice Tees Valley
  - Teesside International Eistedford
  - NHS Continuing Care Review Panel
  - North Regional Home and Leisure Safety Council
  - Scope on Teesside
  - Tees Valley Rail Group (Replaced by Transport for Tees Valley Board – Executive Member and Chief Officer responsible for Transport are automatic appointments to the Board)
  - Tees Valley Joint Strategy Committee succeeded by new governance arrangements of Tees Valley Unlimited
  - Tree Council
- 2. That the following organisations be added to the approved list of outside bodies:-
  - Merchant Navy Welfare Board
  - North East Rural Affairs Commission
- 3. That the Tees Valley Community Foundation remains on the list of approved outside bodies.
- 4. That consideration of a review of Executive nominations be referred to Cabinet
- 5. That in addition to advising the Outside Bodies of appointments, the Democratic Services Team writes to all Members of the Council, following the Annual meeting of Council, to advise Members of those organisations to which they have been appointed.
- 6. That the impact and potential implications of representation on all organisations who receive Council funding, be reviewed
- 7. That the number of nominations made to The Studio Management Committee be reduced from three to one.
- 8. That a session on the structures and policies of the voluntary sector be included in the Member Development Training Programme.
- That in view of the number of Members who have advised that they are not receiving invitations to attend meetings, a letter be forwarded to the organisations concerned to convey Members' concerns.
- (iv) Review and Approval of Council Accounts and Audit Committee Membership – Report of Constitution Committee

The Constitution Committee at its meeting on 28th March, 2008 considered changes to the remits of the Audit Committee and the General Purposes Committee and the number of Members of the Audit Committee.

In accordance with the Council Procedure Rule 24.2 the amendment to the Council Procedure Rules were moved and seconded at the meeting to stand adjourned without discussion until the next ordinary meeting of Council on 22nd May 2008.

# 167. TO CONSIDER ANY OTHER BUSINESS SPECIFIED IN THE SUMMONS OF THE MEETING

None.

### 168. REPORTS FROM THE EXECUTIVE

- (a) Proposals in relation to the Council's budget and policy framework
- None.
- (b) Proposal for Departure from the Budget and Policy Framework

None.

### 169. MOTIONS ON NOTICE

None.

### 169. CLEVELAND FIRE BRIGADE - FORMULA GRANT ALLOCATION

The Chief Executive submitted for the Council's information a letter received by the Chairman of the Council in response to his letter regarding the formula grant allocation to Cleveland Fire Brigade.

Members expressed grave concerns at the formula grant allocation to Cleveland Fire Brigade and the response provided by the Parliamentary Under Secretary of State in the Department for Communities and Local Government. Members considered that the allocation, which had been reduced over the previous year, took no account of the risks posed in the Cleveland area. Members recorded their recognition of the significant achievements of the Fire Brigade particularly in the community which was having a noticeable effect on reducing house fires. Members commented that the Brigade seemed to be getting punished for its achievements.

It was highlighted that the town's members of parliament had long with all the Cleveland MP's had been very supportive of the Fire Brigade in seeking an adjustment to the grant allocation. The Mayor expressed his concern as a Member of the Emergency Planning Joint Committee that no assessment of the risk faced in Cleveland had obviously been undertaken. The Mayor suggested that those responsible for the grant allocation should be invited to the area to

see first hand the risks that Cleveland Fire Brigade had to be prepared for and the excellent work they were undertaking in the community.

### RESOLVED - as follows: -

- 1. that further representations be made to the Department for Communities and Local Government to seek a review to the formula grant allocation to Cleveland Fire Brigade.
- 2. that those representations seek clarification on the risk assessment that was used to determine the formula grant allocation to Cleveland Fire Brigade.
- that representatives of the Department for Communities and Local Government be invited to visit the area to see first hand the risks faced by Cleveland Fire Brigade and their excellent community w ork.

# 170. NOTICE OF DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 18 – CALL-IN AND URGENCY

The following decision has been taken by the executive utilising the powers available under Scrutiny Procedure Rule 18. Scrutiny procedure Rule 18 allows that: -

"The call-in procedures [set out above] shall not apply where the decision being taken by the Executive is urgent. A decision will be urgent if any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would seriously prejudice the Council's or the publics interests."

As required under Rule 18, the Chair of the Scrutiny Coordinating Committee was consulted on the issue and gave her agreement to the decision being taken in accordance with the rule and thereby not being subject to call-in.

Children's Services Portfolio, 7th April 2008, minute 41, "Admissions to Schools 2009/10 and Co-ordinated Admissions Procedures to Primary and Secondary Schools for 2009/10". The decision was as follows: -

- 1. That the admissions policy for 2009/10 as set out in the report be approved,
- 2. That the current partner primary model be adopted as part of the oversubscription criteria for secondary schools, pending the outcome of the Primary Capital Programme planning process.
- 3. That the previous oversubscription criteria relating to children with special educational needs be removed from both primary and secondary oversubscription criteria,
- 4. That the revised admission limits be agreed,
- 5. That the revised co-ordinated admission schemes be approved and
- 6. that the requirement for urgent action was such that the decision not be subject to call-in in accordance with Part 4 of the Council's Constitution (Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules).

The reason for decision being taken under Scrutiny Procedure Rule 18 was to ensure that the Councils co-ordinated scheme for admissions was submitted to the DCSF by 15th April. The Code of Practice for school admissions states in paragraph 1:19:

'If a Local Authority does not notify the Secretary of State that it has adopted a co-ordinated scheme by 15th April each year, the Secretary of State may impose one.'

Members requested that in future similar reports should set out the background to why the decision to request the use of the powers available under Scrutiny Procedure Rule 18 had arisen, including any recent member involvement in the decision making (or consultation) process.

RESOLVED – that the report be noted.

### 171. CATCOTE SCHOOL-FOUNDATION STATUS

On 31 March, the Director of Children's Services received by email a letter from the Chair of Governors of Catcote School - Business and Enterprise College indicating that the Governors have decided to investigate the possibility of seeking Foundation Status for the College. As part of this process they indicated that they would be seeking consultation with a wide variety of stakeholders. The governors will be writing again shortly to set out their consultation process, which should include the timescale for making any comments. Once this second letter is received, the Director of Children's Services will notify all Councillors of the process and timescale, in line with procedures agreed with the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services. This will enable Councillors to participate in the consultation process should they so wish.

The Children's Services Portfolio Holder would prepare an appropriate response to the Governing Body's consultation process as was the case when Manor College, Dyke House and High Tunstall College consulted on Foundation Status.

RESOLVED – that the report be noted.

### 172. RETIRING MEMBERS

The Chair of the Council indicated that the forthcoming election would bring the retirement of Councillor Harry Clouth, along serving member of Hartlepool Borough Council. Members paid tribute to Councillor Clouth's long and distinguished service to the Borough.

### 173. HEAD OF PUBLIC PROTECTION

The Chief Executive indicated to Members that this would be the last meeting of Council for Ralph Harrison, Head of Public Protection, who was shortly to retire from the Council after 38 years service. Members paid tribute to Mr Harrison's dedicated service to the town and Mr Harrison addressed the Council.

C RICHARDSON

**CHAIRMAN** 

## **COMPOSITION OF THE EXECUTIVE**

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond, has indicated that there are no changes proposed to the composition of the Executive or to the scheme of delegation. Cabinet appointments therefore remain as follows:

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond Regeneration and Liveability Portfolio

Councillor P Hargreaves, Deputy Mayor Performance Portfolio

Councillor G Hall Adult and Public Health Services Portfolio

Councillor C Hill Children's Services Portfolio

Councillor P Jackson Neighbourhoods and Communities

Portfolio

Councillor R Payne Finance and Efficiency Portfolio

Councillor V Tumilty Culture, Leisure and Tourism Portfolio

Details of the Executive responsibilities are outlined on the following pages.

## 4 Description of Cabinet Portfolios

Each Cabinet Member is allocated a portfolio by the Mayor, covering service areas and functions that collectively have been designed to link to the delivery of the Hartlepool Community Strategy. The following table sets out the portfolio holders and the broad scope of each portfolio. It also identifies those plans and strategies that fall within the scope of each portfolio.

Individual portfolio holders will all be responsible for the following types of decision in relation to functions and service areas within the scope of their own portfolio.

Portfolio holders retain the discretion to refer any matter to the Cabinet for determination where they feel it would be more appropriate.

PORTFOLIO HOLDER	RESPONSIBILITY		
All Portfolio Holders		Variations to existing policies and procedures together with new policies/procedures which do not have significant service or corporate impact.	
	2.	Approval of departmental service plans.	
	3.	Strategic service level financial and performance monitoring.	
	4.	All executive member input to the contracting process which is not reserved to the Cabinet.	
	5.	Setting of fees and charges that have not been determined as part of the budget process, where the in-year additional income or expenditure does not have a gross full year effect greater than £100,000 i.e. where key decision test (i) does not apply.	
	6.	Consideration of departmental staffing proposals when permanent funding from non-staffing budgets is required.	

PORTFOLIO HOLDER	RESPONSIBILITY		
All Portfolio Holders (cont'd)	7. Consideration of any departmental proposals requiring compulsory redundancy of one or more staff.		
	8.	Matters relating to bids for funding which do not have major financial or strategic significance, or which have either been approved in principle by the Cabinet or as part of the financial and policy framework.	
	9.	Involvement in grant allocations and other allocations of funding within the terms of a scheme or method of allocation previously agreed by the Council.	
	10.	Best Value improvement plans and other key reports.	
	11.	District Audit and other inspection reports on service and non-strategic corporate matters.	
	12.	Compensation payments above limit delegated to officers.	
	13.	Allocations, scheme designs and specifications within agreed programmes of works.	
	14.	Policies, plans and strategies which are not part of the financial and policy framework	

POR TF OLIO HOLD ER	RESPON SIBILITY	
The M ayor	<ol> <li>Functions of Cabinet, Cabinet Committees and Portfolio Holders where they have been unable to act under Executive Procedure Rule 3(iii) and where no appropriate arrangements are in place for cover, for example through the attendance of the Mayor or through temporary amendments to the delegation scheme.</li> <li>Executive Functions where they have not been delegated within the</li> </ol>	
	executive delegation scheme and do not involve a key decision.	

EVECUTIVE DODIECT	00.005.05.005.00
EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO	SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
Regeneration and Liveability  Stuart Drummond	Policy Fram ew ork     Community Strategy     Orime & Disorder Strategy     Development Plan     Local Agenda 21 Strategy     Youth Justice Plan
	Other Plans & Strategies     Annual Drugs Treatment Plan     Climate Change Strategy     Economic Development Strategy     Hartlepcol Incident Response Plan     Empty Homes Strategy *     Housing Strategy *     Local Strategic Partnership     Trading Standards Service Delivery Plan
	Service Areas & Functions  - Building Control  - Climate Change  - Community Safety, including prevention and enforcement of anti-social behaviour  - Conservation and Ecology  - Development Control  - Drugs  - Economic Development  - Emergency Planning  - Employment Training  - Housing Market Renew al*  - Housing Services(Public & Private) *  - Neighbourhood Renew al  - Local Area Agreement  - Member Design Champion  - Member Historic Environment  - Champion  - Regeneration and Planning Policy  - Regional Economic Strategy  - Sustainable Development  - Tees Valley Partnership Issues  - Tall ships  - Trading Standards  - Urban Regeneration Company Issues  - Youth Offending

<sup>\*</sup>In conjunction with the portfolio halder for Neighbourhoods and Communities

E	(ECUTIVE PORTFOLIO		SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
	le igh bourh oods and Communities	•	Policy Framework  Local Transport Plan
	Peter Jackson	•	Other Plans & Strategies  Empty Homes Strategy *  Fuel Poverty Strategy  Housing Strategy *  Contaminated Land Plan  Headland Coast Protection Strategy Study  Highway Asset Management Plan  Highway Maintenance Plan  Highway Network Management Plan  Network Management Plan  Shoreline Management Plan  Voluntary Sector Compact  Winter Maintenance Plan-  Waste Management Strategy  Service Areas & Functions  Asylum Seekers  Coastal Protection  Contaminated Land  Cleaning and Catering  Grounds Maintenance  Highways  Horticulture  Land Drainage
			<ul> <li>Social Transport Services</li> <li>Traffic and Transportation</li> <li>Environmental Enforcement</li> <li>Environmental Initiatives</li> <li>Housing Market Renewal*</li> <li>Housing Services (Public &amp; Private)*</li> <li>Neighbourhood Management</li> <li>Waste Management</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> in conjunction with the portfolio holder for Regeneration and Liveability

EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO	SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
3 Culture, Leisure and Tourism Victor Tumilty	Policy Framework Library Plan Rights of Way Improvement Plan  Other Plans & Strategies Archaeology Forward Plan Arts & Museums Forward Plan Arts Strategy Local Cultural Strategy Park Management Plans Pay Facilities Strategy Raying Pitch Strategy Sport and Recreation Strategy Swim Development Strategy Tourism Strategy  Service Areas & Functions Allotments Archaeological Service Community Buildings Community Grants Pool Cultural Services (Arts, Museums and Events) For eshore Services and Beach Lifeguards Libraries and Information Libraries Stock Management Plan Outdoor Play Facilities Parks and Countryside Rights of Way Sports and Recreation Tourism

EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO	SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO  4. Children's Services Cath Hill	Policy Framework - Children and Young People's Plan  Other Plans & Strategies - Departmental and Divisional Plans - SEN and Disability Action Plan - Children's Centres and Extended Schools Strategy - Children's Fund Plan (expires 2008) - Education Asset Management Plan - ICT Strategic Plan  Service Areas & Functions - Access to Education - Children's Fund - Commissioning of statutory and discretionary social care services for vulnerable children, including children in need, children with disabilities, looked after children and child protection - Directly provided social care services (children) - Early Years provision - Education policy and planning - Information sharing and assessment - Play and out of hours care - Raising educational achievement - Local Safeguarding Children Board - School governance - Special Educational Needs - Youth Service - Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services - Admissions Policy
	<ul> <li>- Partnership work</li> <li>- Children's Workforce Development</li> <li>- Connexions</li> <li>- School transport</li> <li>- Children's Trust and commissioning</li> </ul>
	development.

EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO	SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
5. Adult and Public Health Services Gerard Hall	Policy Fram ew ork     Adult Learning Plan     Commissioning Strategies for     Vulnerable Adults     Food Service Plan     Public Health Strategy
	Other Plans & Strategies    - Annual Training Plan    - Disability Strategy    - Health & Safety Services Plan    - Mental Health Strategy    - Older Persons Strategy    - Older Persons Mental Health Strategy    - Supporting People Strategy     Service Areas & Functions    - Bereavement Services
	- Commissioning of Statutory and Discretionary Social Care Services for Vulnerable Adults, i.e.  > Older People > People w ith Learning Disabilities > People w ith Mental Health Problems > People w ith Physical Disabilities > People w ith Sensory Loss - Co-ordination and development of public health response
	- Directly Provided Social Care Services (Adults) - Diversity Champion - Environmental Health - Lifelong Learning and Support - Open Market - Older Persons Champion - Protection and Vulnerable Adults - Service Development / integration with Partners - Supporting People

EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO	SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
6. De puty Mayor (Performance) Per Pamela Hargreaves	rformance Management Policy Fram ew ork Corporate (Best Value Performance) Plan  Other Plans & Strategies Communicating with your Counciller HR Strategy ICT Strategy IEG Statement Local Public Service Agreement Race and Diversity Scheme Race Equality Scheme Risk Management Strategy Whistleblowing Policy Workforce Development Plan  Service Areas & Functions Comprehensive Area Assessment Corporate Strategy Council Profile Customer Services (CRM, Contact Centre) Democratic Services E-Champion Equality and Diversity General Office Services Health & Safety Human Resources ICT Performance Management including Data Quality Public Relations Registrars Risk Management Champion Services for Members

EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO	SCOPE OF PORTFOLIO
7. Finance and Efficiency Robbie Payne	Policy Framework - Annual Capital Budget - Annual Revenue Budget  Other Plans & Strategies - Anti Fraud and Corruption Strategy - Asset Management Plan - Debt Recovery Strategy - Efficiency Strategy - Insurance Strategy - Treasury Management Strategy - Treasury Management Strategy  Service Areas & Functions - Building Services - Consultancy Services - Efficiency Champion - Estates - Reet (Vehicle Procurement and Maintenance) - Financial Services - Legal Services - Procurement Champion - Property Management - Registration and Electoral Services - Strategic Asset Management

## 5 General Responsibilities of Executive Councillors

### 5.1 The Mayor

The role and functions of the Mayor are set out in full in Article 7 of the constitution. In addition to a specific portfolio, the Mayor's responsibilities will include:

Being the local authority's principal public spokesperson.

Giving overall policy direction to the Council.

Appointing the executive and Deputy Mayor.

Deciding on a scheme of delegation for executive functions and any variations necessary during planned absences of the Mayor.

Chairing meetings of the executive.

Representing the local authority on external bodies and attending civic and ceremonial functions in accordance with the terms of the constitution.

If the Mayor is unable to act or the office is vacant, at the same time as the Deputy Mayor is unable to act or the office of Deputy Mayor is vacant, then the executive must act in the Mayor's place, or arrange for a member of the executive to do so.

### 5.2 The Deputy Mayor

The Deputy Mayor will act in place of the Elected Mayor if he or she:

Vacates office.

**b** Incapacitated.

is absent for a sufficiently long period that the Chief Executive judge's decision making may not be postponed.

During unplanned absences where decisions may not reasonably be postponed until the Mayor's return, the Deputy Mayor will exercise all of the Mayor's powers necessary to ensure the smooth running of the Council.

The Deputy Mayor is Councillor Pamela Hargreaves.

### 5.3 All Executive Portfolio Holders

Each executive portfolio holder will undertake the following responsibilities in relation to the service areas and functions falling within their portfolio.

Act as principal spokesperson on portfolio issues.

Liaise with officers in the development of budget and policy framew ork proposals and other policy matters.

Undertake relevant responsibilities at Council meetings – including during public question time.

Act as the Council's representative on outside bodies (where relevant)

Attend scrutiny and neighbourhood consultative forums as required.

Monitor performance.

Participate in Best Value reviews.

Agree responses to government and other consultation.

Take specific decisions as authorised in the executive delegation scheme.

## Council Meeting Dates 2008 / 2009

The following are the proposed Council meeting dates for 2008/09. The dates follow the same pattern as approved by Members in 2007/08. Members will note that the dates extend to the end of 2009. The Council diary has been scheduled for a longer period to allow Members to plan beyond the annual meeting in 2009. As agreed by Council, all meetings will commence at 7.00pm. Members are reminded that once agreed the programme of ordinary meetings can only be amended by Council.

The following dates were agreed by Council at the Annual Meeting in 2007: -

Thursday 26 June (amendment proposed to bring this date forward to 19 June 2008)

Thursday 31 July Thursday 18 September Thursday 30 October

The proposed new dates for Council meetings are: -

Thursday 11 December 2008

Thursday 12 February 2009

Thursday 26 February 2009

Thursday 16 April 2009

Thursday 28 May 2009 - Annual Meeting

Thursday 2 July 2009

Thursday 13 August 2009

Thursday 24 September 2009

Thursday 5 November 2009

Thursday 17 December 2009

## D APPOINTMENTS TO COUNCIL COMMITTEES AND **FORUMS**

## COMMITTEES AND PANELS FULFILLING REGULATORY AND OTHER FUNCTIONS

### Audit Committee - 5 Members

	Labour	Liberal Democrat	Conservative	Administrative Group	Independent
Ī	2	1	1	1	0

1	PREECE	(Ch) LD	4	TURNER	AG
2	WALLACE	L	5	McKENNA	С
3	HALL	L			

Vice Chair to be appointed.

### Constitution Committee - 11 Members

Labour	Liberal Democrat	Conservative	Administrative Group	Independent
5	2	1	2	1

1	RICHARDSON	(Ch) L*	5	A MARSHALL	L#	9	MORRIS	С
2	FLINTOFF	LD**	6	WALLACE	Г	10		AG
3	DRUMMOND	***	7	FENWICK	L	11		AG
4	JAMES (VO	Ch) L****	8	PREECE	LD			

- Chair of Council
- Vice-Chair of Council
- Mayor
- Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee
- # Any Member of Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee

## Contract Scrutiny Panel - 5 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
0	0	0	0	0

1	LAFFEY	(Ch) C

The remaining four members will be selected from a rota maintained by the **Proper Officer** 

### **NOMINATIONS FOR COUNCIL – 2008/9**

## **General Purposes Committee** – 9 Members

Labou	r	Liberal Democrat	Conservative	Administrative Group	Independent
4		1	2	2	0

1	FLINTOFF	(Ch) LD	4	SHAW	L	7	YOUNG	С
2	SUTHERAN	L	5	GRIFFIN	L	8		AG
3	WISTOW	L	6	MORRIS	С	9		AG

## General Purposes (Appeals and Staffing) Committee

Chaired by the Vice-Chair of the Council\*, with the Cabinet Member with responsibility for the service area relevant to the appeal (or other Cabinet Member nominated by the Mayor) and three other Members selected from a rota of the General Purposes Committee and their nominated substitutes.

## **Licensing Committee** – 15 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
7	2	2	3	1

1	MORRIS	(Ch) C	6	GRIFFIN	L	11	LONDON	LD
2	JACKSON	L	7	BRASH	L	12		1
3	HALL	L	8	R COOK	L	13		AG
4	ROGAN	L	9	McKENNA	С	14	FLEMING	AG
5	FLEET	L	10	ATKINSON	LD	15		AG

Vice Chair to be appointed.

## Planning Committee – 16 Members

Labour	Liberal Democrat	Conservative	Administrative Group	Independent
8	2	2	3	1

1	R COOK	(Ch) L	7	SIMMONS	L	13	G LILLEY	AG
2	MORRIS (	VCh) C	8	SUTHERAN	Г	14	PLANT	AG
3	S COOK	L	9	FLEET	L	15	ALLISON	AG
4	PAYNE	L	10	FLINTOFF	LD	16	KAISER	I
5	AKERS-BELCH	IER L	11	WRIGHT	LD			
6	RICHARDSON	L	12	LAFFEY	С			

### **NOMINATIONS FOR COUNCIL - 2008/9**

### **Standards Committee** – 7 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
3	2	1	1	0

1	SUTHERAN	Г	5	WRIGHT	LD
2	WALLACE	L	6	COWARD	С
3	SHAW	L	7		AG
4	PREECE	LD			_

## Plus 3 Independent Members:

- Barry Gray (Chair) (Term of office 4 years to May 2012)
- 2 Vacancies (Term of office 4 years May 2012)
- 1 Parish Councillor Vacancy (Term of office 1 year)

## **SCRUTINY COMMITTEES AND FORUMS**

Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee - 16 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
9	2	2	3	0

1	JAMES	(Ch) L*	7		**	13	A MARSHAL	L L***
2	SHAW	(VCh) **	8		**	14	RICHARDSO	N L***
3	S COOK	L**	9		**	15	R COOK	L***
4	SIMMONS	L**	10		**	16	McKENNA	C***
5	AKERS-BEL	CHER L**	11		**			
6	BRASH	L**	12	PREECE	LD***			

## Membership:-

- \* The Chair to be chosen by Council
- \*\* Chairs and Vice Chairs of each of the Scrutiny Forums from which the Vice Chair of SCC is chosen (10 in total)
- \*\*\* One other Member from each of the Scrutiny Forums (5 in total)
- 3 Resident Representatives

## **NOMINATIONS FOR COUNCIL - 2008/9**

## Children's Services Scrutiny Forum – 9 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
4	2	1	2	0

1	SHAW	(Ch) L	4	GRIFFIN	L	7	McKENNA	С
2	SIMMONS	Г	5	PREECE	LD	8	A LILLEY	AG
3	FLEET	L	6	LONDON	LD	9	AIKEN	AG

## Vice Chair to be appointed.

- 1 Church of England Diocese representative Vacancy
- 1 Roman Catholic representative David Relton
- 2 Parent Governor representatives 1 primary vacancy and 1 secondary vacancy
- 6 members of the Hartlepool Young Voices Group nominated by that Group as Children and Young Persons Representatives
- 3 Resident Representatives:

## Regeneration and Planning Services Scrutiny Forum – 9 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
4	1	2	2	0

1	S COOK	(Ch) L	4	A MARSHALL	L	7	YOUNG	С
2	RICHARDSON	L	5	WRIGHT	LD	8	GIBBON	AG
3	R COOK	L	6	MORRIS	С	9		AG

Vice Chair to be appointed.

3 Resident Representatives:

#### **NOMINATIONS FOR COUNCIL - 2008/9**

#### Adult and Community Services Scrutiny Forum – 9 Members

Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent
	Democrat		Group	
5	1	1	2	0

1	SIMMONS	(Ch) L	4	A MARSHALL	L	7	YOUNG	С
2	FLEET	L	5	WORTHY	L	8	PLANT	AG
3	BRASH	L	6	ATKINSON	LD	9		AG

Vice Chair to be appointed.

3 Resident Representatives:

#### Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum - 9 Members

Democrat Group	4	2	1	2	0
Labour   Liberar   Conservative   Administrative   Independent		Democrat		Group	
Labour Liberal Concernative Administrative Independent	Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent

	1	AKERS-BELCHER (Ch) L	4	WORTHY L	7	YOUNG C
	2	R COOK L	5	BARKER LE	8	GIBBON AG
Ī	3	CRANNEY L	6	WRIGHT LE	9	AG

Vice Chair to be appointed.

3 Resident Representatives:

#### **Health Scrutiny Forum** – 9 Members

F	4	4	0.0up	
l I r	Democrat		Group	-
Labour	Liberal	Conservative	Administrative	Independent

1	BRASH	(Ch) L	4	SIMMONS	L	7	YOUNG	С
2	S COOK	L	5	SUTHERAN	Г	8	PLANT	AG
3	R COOK	L	6	BARKER	LD	9		AG

Vice Chair to be appointed.

3 Resident Representatives

#### **NEIGHBOURHOOD CONSULTATIVE FORUMS -**

#### North Neighbourhood Consultative Forum

1	S Allison	6	M Fleet (Ch)	11	J Marshall
2	R Atkinson	7	T Fleming	12	M Plant
3	C Barker	8	S Griffin	13	T Rogan
4	R W Cook	9	P Jackson	14	S Wallace
5	S Fenwick	10	C J McKenna	15	E Wright

Plus Resident Representatives

#### **Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forum**

1	M D Aiken	8	S Kaiser	15	J Shaw
2	S Akers-Belcher	9	P Laffey	16	C Simmons
3	J Brash	10	J Lauderdale	17	L Sutheran (Ch)
4	Coward	11	F London	18	V Tumilty
5	K Cranney	12	Dr G Morris	19	G Worth y
6	G Hall	13	R Payne		
7	P Hargreaves	14	C Richardson		

Plus Resident Representatives

#### **South Neighbourhood Consultative Forum**

1	S Cook	6	M Johnson (Ch)	11	M Turner
2	B Flintoff	7	A Lilley	12	<b>G</b> Wistow
3	S Gibbon	8	G Lilley	13	D Young
4	C Hill	9	A Marshall		
5	MJames	10	A Preece		

Plus Resident Representatives

# Part 7

# Appointments to outside organisations and other bodies

# APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS AND OTHER BODIES

# **CONTENTS**

		Page
Introduction a	nd Explanation	6
Schedule A	Executive appoints executive members	9
Schedule B	Executive appoints any member(s)	10
Schedule C	Council appoints but must include at least one executive member	19
Schedule D	Council appoints any member(s)	20
Archives Joint Association fo	Teesside Committeer Public Service Excellence North East Councils	9 16
Brierton Comr	munity Sports Centre	22
Cleveland Col Cleveland Em Cleveland Fire Cleveland Pol	Tourism Association	22 9 22 20
Durham Herita	t Rail Line Steering Groupage Coast PartnershipValley Airport	16
	British Cremation Authorities nen's Pension Fund	17 22
Hartlepool Acc Hartlepool and Hartlepool Ca	nardo's)d District Sports Councilrers rersizen's Advice Bureau	22 17 22

Hartlepool College of Further Education	22
Hartlepool Deaf Centre	23
Hartlepool Economic Forum	10
Hartlepool Fair Trade Town Steering Group	23
Hartlepool Families First	23
Hartlepool Indoor Bowling Club	23
Hartlepool Partnership	
Hartlepool People Centre	
Hartlepool Power Station	16
Hartlepool Revival Board	10
Hartlepool Sixth Form College	
Hartlepool Voluntary Development Agency	23
Henry Smith Educational Trust	
Heugh Battery Trust	23
HMS Trincomalee Trust	
Housing Hartlepool	
Library Association	16
Local Government Association	11
Local Joint Consultative Committee	20
Merchant Navy Welfare Board	24
Museum Association	17
Museums Libraries Archives North East	17
National Association of Councillors	21
National Society for Clean Air	
New Deal for Communities Steering Group	24
North East Purchasing Organisation	19
North East Regional Assembly	11
North East Regional Employers Organisation	21
North East Rural Affairs Commission	18
North Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee	20
North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust	11
North Tees Women's Aid	
Northern Consortium of Housing Authorities	17
Northern Regional Brass Band Trust	24
Outdoor Bowls Consortium	24
Owton Fens Community Association	24
Owton Rossmere Community Enterprise Ltd	
·	
Patch (Parent and Toddler Care at home)	24
·	24

Regional Arts Council	18
Regional Flood Defence Committee	14
River Tees Port Health Authority	
·	
SIT A Board	25
Safer Hartlepool Partnership	11
Safe in Tees Valley	
Sarah Alice Todd Charity	25
Schools Admission Forum	14
Seaton Community Centre	25
Standing Advisory Council for Religious Education	18
Sterndale Scholarship	25
The Studio Management Committee	25
Tall Ships Board	14
Teesmouth Field Centre	
Teesside Environmental Trust	25
Teesside Pension Fund	21
Teesside Sport Policy Group	18
Teesside Valuation Tribunal	
Tees Valley Arts – Board of Directors	18
Tees Valley Community Foundation	25
Tees Valley Environmental Protection Group	21
Tees Valley Joint Health Scrutiny Committee	14
Tees Valley Leaders and Chief Executives	11
Tees Valley Local Access Forum	14
Tees Valley Regeneration	14
Tees Valley Unlimited	12
Together Project Working Group	20
Victoria and Jubilee Homes	26
West View Advice and Resource Centre	26
West View Project	26

# APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS AND OTHER BODIES

#### Introduction and explanation

The attached schedules show the categorisation of the currently recognised outside organisations and other bodies.

There are four main categories as follows:

- A. Executive appoints executive member(s)
- B. Executive appoints any member(s)
- C. Council appoints but must include at least one executive member
- D. Council appoints any member(s).

It will be open to the Council or the Executive to make officer nominations where appropriate in respect of most bodies, the main exception being formal Joint Committees where Member attendance is a statutory requirement. In some cases it may be possible and appropriate to make nominations of persons from outside the body of the Council.

The schedules simply indicate who makes the nominations (the executive or the Council) and who is eligible to be nominated. It may be desirable in many cases to provide for representation which includes community councillors and executive members on a particular body. An example would be the New Deal for Communities Steering Group where the main member role has been identified as representation of ward interest but where there is also a need for representation of the Council as a service provider through the executive.

The four main categories have been sub divided in the Schedules to indicate how the statutory requirements have led to the categorisation of a group of bodies and to identify groups of bodies which have similar characteristics. This should assist in deciding on the selection of appropriate representatives.

Briefly the sub categories have been determined as follows,

#### A1. Joint Committees.

Joint Committees of less than five Authorities exercising executive functions must be executive appointments of executive members.

#### B1. Leadership Bodies and Partnerships.

The Council's 'Proposals for Executive Arrangements' submitted to the Secretary of State in July 2001 identified the following as executive roles:

- to represent the interests of Hartlepool
- to lead the community planning process
- to be the focus for forming partnerships with other public, private, voluntary and community sector organisations to address local needs.

The identified bodies reflect these roles and statutory guidance is that the executive should make appointments to outside bodies in connection with functions which are the responsibility of the executive.

#### B2 Joint Committees.

These are Joint Committees of five or more Authorities exercising executive functions. Statute provides that the executive has responsibility for making appointments but may appoint any Member of the Council.

#### B3. Other decision making bodies.

These are decision making bodies other than Joint Committees which relate to the business responsibilities of the executive. The statutory guidance referred to in B1 above applies.

#### B4. Partners in delivery.

There are bodies which are closely related to the exercise of executive functions to the extent that they are likely to influence the planning and delivery of services and vice versa.

Statutory guidance applies.

#### B5. Advisory bodies.

Bodies which relate to executive functions in an advisory or influencing capacity.

Statutory guidance applies.

#### C1. Joint Committee.

This is a Joint Committee which exercises both executive and non executive functions and has more than one Hartlepool representative. Statute provides that the Council has responsibility for appointments but must include at least one Member of the executive.

D1. Local Choice Determination.

The Proposals to the Secretary of State (July 2001) provided that the Council (not the executive) would make the nominations in these cases.

D2. Joint Committee.

This is a Joint Committee discharging a non-executive function. Statute provides that the Council makes the nomination and may appoint any Member.

D3. Council business bodies.

These are bodies which impact directly on non-executive functions of the Council. The statutory guidance applies to the nomination process.

D4. Council in the community.

These are bodies which do not discharge functions of the Council and where the principal role of Members who are nominated will be to represent constituency interests, whether at the ward or Borough level. Statutory guidance applies.

#### SCHEDULE A - EXECUTIVE APPOINTS EXECUTIVE MEMBERS

#### 1. **JOINT COMMITTEES**

Less than five authorities exercising executive functions.

2008/09

**Archives Joint Committee** (1) **CLLR TUMILTY** 

Portfolio Holder for Culture,

Leisure and Tourism

Cleveland Emergency Planning Joint Committee

THE MAYOR (1)

# SCHEDULE B - EXECUTIVE APPOINTS ANY MEMBER(S)

# **B1. LEADERSHIP BODIES AND PARTNERSHIPS**

Executive responsibility identified in Proposals

Association of North East Councils	(1) (2)	CLLR HARGREAVES, DEPUTY MAYOR CLLR RICHARDSON
Executive	(1)	CLLR HARGREAVES, DEPUTY MAYOR
Hartlepool Economic Forum	(1)	THE MAYOR Portfolio Holder for Regeneration and Liveability
Hartlepool Partnership	(1)	THE MAYOR (V/Ch) Sub – To be nominated
* Can be any Member ** Leader of the Majority	(2)*	CLLR HARGREAVES, DEPUTY MAYOR Sub – To be nominated
Group, not affiliated to the Mayor	(3)**	CLLR BRASH Sub: Clir Hall
to the Mayor	(4)*	CLLR RICHARDSON Sub: to be nominated
	(5)	Chair – North Forum Sub: to be nominated
	(6)	Chair – Central Forum Sub: to be nominated
	(7)	Chair - South Forum Sub: to be nominated
	(8)	CHIEF EXECUTIVE
Hartlepool Revival Board	(1)	THE MAYOR Portfolio Holder for Regeneration
	(2)	And Liveability DIRECTOR REGENERATION & PLANNING SERVICES

# **B1. LEADERSHIP BODIES AND PARTNERSHIPS (Continued)**

Executive responsibility identified in Proposals

General Assembly	(1) (2)	THE MAYOR CLLR HARGREAVES Portfolio Holder for Performance
Urban Commission	(1)	THE MAYOR Portfolio Holder for Regeneration and Liveability DIRECTOR REGENERATION AND PLANNING SERVICES (or nominee)
Rural Commission	(1) (2)	CLLR G LILLEY DIRECTOR REGENERATION AND PLANNING SERVICES (or nominee)
North East Assembly	(1) (2)	THE MAYOR CLLR HARGREAVES
Executive	(1)	THE MAYOR Sub: Cllr Hargreaves
North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust	(1)	CLLR HALL Portfolio Holder for Adult Services
Safer Hartlepool Partnership (Formerly Hartlepool Community Safety Partnership) *Executive Appointment	(1) (2) (3) (4)	* THE MAYOR * CLLR JACKSON CLLR BRASH CLLR J MARSHALL
Executive	(1)	THE MAYOR
Tees Valley Leaders & Chief Executives Meeting	(1) (2) (3)	THE MAYOR CLLR HARGREAVES, DEPUTY MAYOR CHIEF EXECUTIVE

# B1. LEADERSHIP BODIES AND PARTNERSHIPS (Continued)

Executive responsibility identified in Proposals

#### 2008/09

#### Tees Valley Unlimited

Leadership Board (1) THE MAYOR Sub: Cllr Hargreaves, Deputy Mayor Planning and Economic THE MAYOR (1) Strategy Transport Tees Valley (1) **CLLR JACKSON** Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities Housing Board (1) THE MAYOR

# **B2 JOINT COMMITTEES**

Five or more authorities exercising executive functions.

2008/09

None.

May 2008

# **B3 OTHER DECISION MAKING BODIES**

Executive functions statutory guidance applies.

Regional Flood Defence Committee (Rotates 2-yearly with Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council – Nomination due 2009)	(1)	Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council Sub: CLLR G LILLEY
Schools Admission Forum Members of Children's Services Scrutiny Forum.	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	CLLR SIMMONS CLLR FLEET CLLR GRIFFIN CLLR A LILLEY CLLR PREECE
Tall Ships Board		
Executive Members	(1) (2) (3) (4)	THE MAYOR CLLR HARGREAVES, DEPUTY MAYOR CLLR PAYNE CLLR TUMILTY
Non-Executive Members	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	CLLR S COOK CLLR A MARSHALL CLLR LAFFEY CLLR ATKINSON CLLR J MARSHALL
Tees Valley Joint Health Scrutiny Committee Members of Health Scrutiny Forum	(1) (2) (3)	CLLR SUTHERAN CLLR PLANT Nomination requested from Heath Scrutiny Forum
Tees Valley Local Access Forum (3 year term of office – end 2011)	(1)	CLLR TUMILTY Portfolio Holder for Culture, Leisure and Tourism

#### **B3 OTHER DECISION MAKING BODIES - continued**

Executive functions statutory guidance applies.

2008/09

Tees Valley Regeneration

(Formerly Tees Valley Development Company)

**Board** (1) CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Inward Investment Advisory

**Group** (1) \* THE MAYOR

\*Group suggests should be Regeneration and Economy Portfolio Holder

#### **B4 PARTNERS IN DELIVERY**

Executive functions. Statutory guidance applies.

#### 2008/09

#### **Hartlepool Power Station**

Comm	unity	Liaison
Comm	ittee	

- (1) Chair Regeneration and
  - Planning Services Scrutiny Forum
- (2) Chair Planning Committee
- (3) Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
- (4) CLLR TURNER
- (5) Head of Procurement, Property
  And Public Protection (or nominee)

#### **Emergency Planning Committee**

CHIEF EMERGENCY PLANNING OFFICER

#### **Housing Hartlepool**

(Terms of office:	(1)**	CLLR JOHNSON
* 3 years 2006 to 2009	(2)*	CLLR FLEET
** 3 years 2007-2010)	(3)**	CLLR LAFFEY
	(4)*	CLLR WISTOW

**(**1)

#### Safe in Tees Valley

Management Committee	(1)	THE MAYOR
----------------------	-----	-----------

Accountable Body (1) CHIEF EXECUTIVE

# Durham Heritage Coast

Partnership Steering Group

(1) THE MAYOR

Portfolio Holder for Regeneration

and Liveability

#### Association for Public Service

**Excellence** (formerly Association for Direct Labour Organisations)

(1) CLLR TURNER

(2) CLLR JACKSON

Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods

And Communities

# B4 PARTNERS IN DELIVERY (Continued)

Executive functions. Statutory guidance applies.

		2008/09
Captain Cook Tourism Association	(1)	CLLR G LILLEY
Durham Coast Rail Line Steering Group	(1)	CLLR JACKSON Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods And Communities
Federation of British Cremation Authorities	(1)	Head of Property Procurement and Public Protection (or nominee)
Hartlepool and District Sports Council	(1) (2) (3)	CLLR R COOK CLLR G LILLEY CLLR YOUNG
HMS Trincomalee Trust (3 year term expires 2011)	(1) (2)	CLLR LAFFEY CLLR G HALL
National Society for Clean Air	(1) (2) (3) (4)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination CLLR A LILLEY Head of Property, Procurement & Public Protection (or nominee)
Museums Libraries Archives North East	(1)	CLLR TUMILTY Portfolio Holder for Culture, Leisure and Tourism
Northern Consortium of Housing Authorities	(1) (2)	CLLR J MARSHALL THE MAYOR Portfolio Holder for Regeneration and Liveability

# **B4 PARTNERS IN DELIVERY (Continued)**

Executive functions. Statutory guidance applies.

		2008/09
North East Rural Affairs Commission	(1)	CLLR G LILLEY
Regional Arts Council 2 year term - Rotates around Tees Valley Authorities (HBC nominated July 2002/04)	(1)	No nomination needed until 2010
Standing Advisory Council for Religious Education (4 year term - ending 2012)	(1) (2)	CLLR PREECE CLLR LONDON
Teesside Sport Policy Group	(1)	CLLR TUMILTY Portfolio Holder for Culture,
	(2)	Leisure and Tourism CLLR PAYNE
Tees Valley Arts – Board of Directors	(1)	CLLR LAFFEY

# SCHEDULE C - COUNCIL APPOINTS, INCLUDES AT LEAST ONE EXECUTIVE MEMBER

#### C1 JOINT COMMITTEES

Exercises executive and non-executive functions. Includes two or more representatives.

#### 2008/09

North East Purchasing Organisation \*Executive Member

- (1)\* CLLR JACKSON Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods And Communities
- (2) Requirement to refer to Council for nomination

# SCHEDULE D - COUNCIL APPOINTS ANY MEMBER(S)

#### D1 LOCAL CHOICE DETERMINATION

Nomination decision previously made in proposals document

N		

(1) (2) (3)	CLLR BARKER CLLR TUMILTY CLLR WALLACE
(4)	011 5 01171155441
(1)	CLLR SUTHERAN
(2)	CLLR FENWICK
(3)	CLLR PREECE
(4)	CLLR G LILLEY
	(2) (3) (1) (2) (3)

#### D2 JOINT COMMITTEE

Non executive function

North Eastern Sea Fisheries (1) Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council (Representative chosen on a rota

#### D3 COUNCIL BUSINESS BODIES

basis with Redcar and Cleveland)

Council functions. Statutory guidance applies.

#### **Local Joint Consultative**

Committee	(1)	THE MAYOR
(formerly Local Joint Committee	(2)	CLLR RICHARDSON
with Trades Unions)	(3)	CLLR BRASH
,	(4)	CLLR JAMES
	(5)	CLLR PAYNE
	(6)	CLLR McKENNA
	(7)	CLLR PREECE
	(8)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
	(9)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
	(9)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
To mathem Books at Otherston, One and		·
Together Project Steering Group	(1)	CLLR BRASH
Together Project Steering Group	(1) (2)	·
Together Project Steering Group	(1)	CLLR BRASH

**CLLR PLANT** 

(5)

# D3 COUNCIL BUSINESS BODIES (Continued)

National Association of Councillors		
General Management Committee	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Northern Branch	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
North East Regional Employers Organisation (Formerly Provincial Employers Organisation)	(1) (2) (3)	CLLR SUTHERAN CLLR PREECE Sub: Atkinson CLLR A LILLEY
Executive	<b>(1)</b>	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Tees Valley Environmental Protection Group	(1) (2) (3)	CLLR J MARSHALL CLLR TURNER CLLR YOUNG
Teesside Pension Fund	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination

# **D4** COUNCIL IN THE COMMUNITY

Non-Council functions. Representative role. Statutory guidance applies

		2000/09
Age Concern Teesside	(1)	CLLR G HALL Portfolio Holder for Adults
Brierton Community Sports Centre - Management Committee	: (1)	CLLR AKERS-BELCHER
Cleveland College of Art and Design (* term of office extended by college to 31 August 2008)	(1) o	*MRS S G HANSON
Cleveland Fire Authority (HBC proportionality 2008/09 Lab 2, LD 1, AG 1)	(1) (2) (3) (4)	CLLR FLEMING CLLR WRIGHT CLLR PAYNE CLLR S COOK
Durham Tees Valley Airport Consultative Committee	(1)	CLLR RICHARDSON
Board (Executive Member)	(1)	CLLR HALL
Board (Executive Member)  Furness Seamen's Pension Fund (4 year term - expires 2008)	(1) (1) (2)	CLLR HALL  Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Furness Seamen's Pension Fund	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Furness Seamen's Pension Fund (4 year term - expires 2008)	(1) (2)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Furness Seamen's Pension Fund (4 year term - expires 2008) Hartbeat (Barnado's)	(1) (2) (1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination  CLLR A LILLEY  CLLR FLEET
Furness Seamen's Pension Fund (4 year term - expires 2008) Hartbeat (Barnado's) Hartlepool Access Group	(1) (2) (1) (1) (2)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination  CLLR A LILLEY  CLLR FLEET  CLLR McKENNA

# D4 COUNCIL IN THE COMMUNITY (Continued)

Non-Council functions. Representative role. Statutory guidance applies

			2008/09
Hartlepool	Deaf Centre	(1) (2)	CLLR RICHARDSON CLLR J MARSHALL
Hartlepool	Families First	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Hartlepool Steering G	Fair Trade Town oup	(1)	CLLR RICHARDSON
Hartlepool	Indoor Bowling Club	(1)	CLLR R COOK
Hartlepool	People Centre	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
-	Sixth Form College 2005 to May 2009)	(1)	CLLR LAFFEY
Hartlepool Agency	Voluntary Developmen	(1) (2) (3)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Henry Smit	h Educational Trust		
	ated trustees	(1)*	CLLR FLINTOFF
	s of office expire 0, **2010, ***2011)	(2)** (3)***	CLLR GRIFFIN CLLR FLEMING
* 2009 # Non			

# D4 COUNCIL IN THE COMMUNITY (Continued)

Non-Council functions. Representative role. Statutory guidance applies

New Deal for Communities Steering Group	(1) (2) (3) (4)	CLLR BRASH CLLR HARGREAVES CLLR RICHARDSON HEAD OF PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	
Merchant Navy Welfare Board	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination	
North Tees Women's Aid (formerly Hartlepool Women's Aid)	(1) (2)	CLLR SUTHERAN DIRECTOR REGENERATION AND PLANNING SERVICES (or nominee)	
Northern Regional Brass Band Trust	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination	
Owton Rossmere Community Enterprise Limited	(1)	CLLR JOHNSON	
Outdoor Bowls Consortium	(1) <b>(2)</b>	CLLR R COOK Requirement to refer to Council for nomination	
Owton Fens Community Association (3 year terms - expire 2009)	(1) <b>(2)</b>	CLLR FLINTOFF Requirement to refer to Council for nomination	
Patch (Parent and Toddler Care at Home)			
Management Committee	(1)	CLLR A LILLEY	
Preston Simpson Scholarship in Music *(Member of Children's Services Scrutiny Forum) **Term expires 2009	(1) (2) (3)	* CLLR SHAW ** MRS S G HANSON ** MR B ISELEY	

# D4 COUNCIL IN THE COMMUNITY (Continued)

Non-Council functions. Representative role. Statutory guidance applies

River Tees Port Health Authority	(1) (2)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Sarah Alice Todd Charity	(1) <b>(2)</b>	CLLR GRIFFIN Requirement to refer to Council for nomination
Seaton Community Centre 3 Seaton Ward Councillors	(1) (2) (3)	CLLR HILL CLLR TURNER CLLR YOUNG
SITA Board	(1)	CLLR JACKSON Portfolio Holder for Waste Management
Sterndale Scholarship *(Members of Children's Services Scrutiny Forum)	(1)* (2)*	CLLR GRIFFIN CLLR SHAW
Teesmouth Field Centre	(1)	CLLR TURNER
Teesside Environmental Trust	(1)	CLLR COWARD
Teesside Valuation Tribunal (Term of office expires 31.3.09 with a Review to be) Implemented Summer 2009)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	MR SMITH MR E JEFFRIES CLLR COWARD CLLR A LILLEY CLLR G LILLEY
Tees Valley Community Foundation – Grant Giving Panel	(1)	Requirement to refer to Council for nomination (sub – Director Adult and Community Services)
The Studio Management Committee	(1)	CLLR BRASH

#### COUNCIL IN THE COMMUNITY (Continued) D4

Non-Council functions. Representative role. Statutory guidance applies

#### 2008/09

Victoria and Jubilee Homes Term of Office 2006 - 2010	(1) (2) (3)	CLLR COWARD CLLR HALL CLLR LA UDERDALE
	(4)	MR K CLARKE

#### West View Advice and Resource Centre **(1)**

West View Project

# Requirement to refer to Council for nomination

(1) CLLR ROGAN (2) (3) **CLLR PLANT** 

CLLR SIMMONS