SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE AGENDA



Friday 9 January 2009

at 2.00 pm

in the Council Chamber Civic Centre, Hartlepool

SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE:

Councillors Akers-Belcher, Atkinson, Brash, R W Cook, S Cook, James, Kaiser, London, A Marshall, McKenna, Preece, Richardson, Shaw, Simmons, Wright and Young

Resident Representatives: Christopher Akers-Belcher, Iris Ryder and Linda Shields

- 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
- 2. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS
- 3. MINUTES
 - 3.1 To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 28 November 2008.
- 4. RESPONSES FROM THE COUNCIL, THE EXECUTIVE OR COMMITTEES OF THE COUNCIL TO REPORTS OF THE SCRUTINY COORDINATING COMMITTEE

No Items

5. CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST FOR SCRUTINY REVIEWS FROM COUNCIL, EXECUTIVE MEMBERS AND NON EXECUTIVE MEMBERS

No Items

6. FORWARD PLAN

No Items

7. CONSIDERATION OF PROGRESS REPORTS / BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK DOCUMENTS

7.1 2009/10 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals – *Scrutiny Manager*

8. CONSIDERATION OF FINANCIAL MONITORING / CORPORATE REPORTS

No Items

9. **ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION**

- 9.1 Final Report The Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool Chair of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum
- 9.2 Request for Items for Discussion Joint Cabinet / Scrutiny Meeting of 21 January 2009 – Scrutiny Manager

10. CALL-IN REQUESTS

11. ANY OTHER ITEMS WHICH THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS ARE URGENT

EXEMPT ITEMS

Under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

12. EXEMPT ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

12.1 Call-In of Decision: Service Specifications for Children's Centres Outreach Package – *Response of the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum (to follow)*

FOR INFORMATION

Date of Next Meeting: Friday, 23 January 2009 at 2.00 pm in the Council Chamber at the Civic Centre, Hartlepool.

SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE

MINUTES

28 November 2008

The meeting commenced at 2.00 p.m. at Civic Centre, Hartlepool.

Present:

Councillor Marjorie James (In the Chair)

- Councillors Reuben Atkinson, Jonathan Brash, Rob Cook, Ann Marshall, Arthur Preece, Chris Simmons and David Young.
- Also Present: Councillor Mary Fleet as substitute for Councillor Shaw in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 4.2

Resident Representatives: Iris Ryder and Linda Shields.

Officers: Dave Stubbs, Director of Neighbourhood Services Adrienne Simcock, Director of Children's Services Mike Ward, Chief Financial Officer Joanne Machers, Chief Personnel Officer Danielle Swainston, Sure Start, Extended Services and Early Years Manager John Potts, Principal Policy Officer Charlotte Burnham, Scrutiny Manager David Cosgrove, Democratic Services Officer

88. Apologies for Absence

Councillors Akers-Belcher, S Cook, Kaiser, London, McKenna, Shaw, and Wright and Resident Representative Christopher Akers-Belcher.

89. Declarations of interest by Members

Councillor James declared a non-prejudicial interest in minute no. ?? as her partner was a non-executive Director of SITA.

90. Minutes of the meetings held on 31 October and 7 November 2008

Both confirmed.

No items.

92. Consideration of request for scrutiny reviews from Council, Executive Members and Non Executive Members

No items.

93. Forward Plan (Scrutiny Manager)

The Chair commented that she had been informed of a decision recently made by Cabinet that had not been in the forward plan and had therefore been subject to the General Exception procedure. The Chair was concerned that the issue had not been in the forward plan as it had been subject to community consultation for quite some time before the decision was taken. Concern was expressed by the Committee that it appeared that the Portfolio Holder had also not received sufficient advance notice of this situation.

Recommended

That no items be brought forward for further consideration from the current forward plan, and that the Chair's comments be noted.

94. Local Area Agreement Annual Review and Refresh (Principal Policy Officer, Community Strategy)

The Committee was informed that is was the Governments intention that each new LAA will be subject to an annual review as has previously been the case under the old LAA regime. The main purpose of the review is to identify the contribution the LAA is making in the delivery of better outcomes and this year will focus mainly on local capacity and delivery arrangements to ensure future delivery.

The review procedure would be a process rather than a one-off event. Initially, Government Office North East (GONE) will be in contact with the Partnership Support Team to agree the scope of the review followed by a series of discussions with local partners as appropriate. Discussions would take place between November and January 2009, before GONE submits the review conclusions to Central Government.

The annual review process also allows the opportunity to rev€€ise designated targets and set targets for those indicators where it has not been possible to set targets and also to consider any emerging priorities and potentially any new targets. The report detailed the indicators that GONE wish to look at as part of this process.

3.1

3.1

The themed Partnerships had been tasked with identifying indicators to reconsider as part of the refresh by the end of October and details of these were also set out in the report.

The Chair commented that the review of the themed partnerships had in the past been arranged to take place all on one day which made it difficult for those involved in more than one partnership to be constructively involved. It was reported that this issue had been acknowledged and the timetabling of the reviews had been changed.

Recommended

That the arrangements for the annual review and refresh process and the progress made be noted.

95. Draft Final Report – Scrutiny Investigation into the Use of Agency Workers within the Council (Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee)

The draft final report on the committee's Scrutiny Investigation into the Use of Agency Workers within the Council was submitted for Members consideration. The draft recommendations contained within the report were as follows: -

"The Committee's key recommendations to the Cabinet are as outlined below:-

- (a) That a review be undertaken to reduce the current level of expenditure on the use of agency workers / specialists across the Authority;
- (b) That the current arrangements for the recruitment of agency workers / specialists across the Authority be centralised and appropriate control measures introduced;
- (c) That the duration of engagement for all agency workers / specialists be restricted to short-term use and not exceed twelve months;
- (d) That the Authority be encouraged to share innovative approaches to minimise the future demand of agency workers / specialists where appropriate;
- (e) That a feasibility study be undertaken to determine the appropriateness of establishing either a council-run agency or a joint procurement arrangement with neighbouring local authorities across the Tees Valley; and
- (f) That twelve months after the implementation of the new HR/payroll system and the introduction of centralised control measures for the recruitment of agency workers / specialists across the Authority, a detailed monitoring report on the level of expenditure and usage be submitted to this Committee and the appropriate Portfolio Holder thereafter."

Members welcomed the recommendations of the inquiry and the conclusions set out in the report. Members requested that specific reference to

promoting the best practice adopted in the Adult and Community Services Department should be included within the report. The Chair also commented that there appeared to be some discrepancies between the some of the council's policies, specifically the recruitment and retention policies.

Members raised concerns in relation to the management of the statutory duty of care and its differing operation within the Children's Services and Adult and Community Services departments. The Chair commented that the current budget consultation process would allow Members to question those processes.

Recommended

- 1. That the recommendations set out in the draft final report be noted and approved.
- 2. That the Chair of the Committee be authorised to approve any amendments to the report and its recommendations prior to its submission to Cabinet

96. Chief Executive's Department: Budget and Policy Framework Initial Consultation Proposals 2009/10 (Scrutiny Manager)

The Scrutiny Manager reported that at a meeting of the Scrutiny Coordinating Committee held on 31 October 2008, consideration was given to the Executive's Initial Budget and Policy Framework consultation proposals for 2009/10 to 2011/12. It was agreed that the initial consultation proposals would be considered on a departmental basis by the appropriate Scrutiny Forum with comments / observations being fed back to this meeting to enable a formal response to be presented to the Cabinet on 15 December 2008.

Submitted as Appendices A to D of the report were the Chief Executive's departmental pressures, priorities and proposed efficiencies as part of the Budget and Policy Framework initial consultation proposals for 2009/10. These detailed; the Summary of Budget Pressures, Priorities and Efficiencies 2009/10, the detailed Schedule of Budget Pressures 2009/2010; the detailed Schedule of Budget Pressures 2009/2010; the detailed Schedule of Proposed Budget Efficiencies 2009/10.

The Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Personnel Officer were present at the meeting and addressed the questions raised by Members on the proposed budget, as follows: -

Tees Valley and Durham Communication Service – the changes related to the establishment of a budget to accommodate the administrative charges related to the service. The 'pressure' was the minimum required. Departments meet their own costs of the service above the administrative charge.

Trainee Solicitor, Legal Division – could the research element of this post be met by the employment of a Para-legal rather than a law graduate. The

Chief Personnel Officer indicated that it was designed as a post to essentially 'grow our own'. There were already lower graded staff within the division providing a research capacity.

3.1

Celebrating Success Event – Members commented that further external sponsorship should be engaged.

Contact Centre – Team Leader Posts – Members questioned the need for the two additional posts. The Chair commented that further examination of the issues in the contact centre was needed to establish if these two posts would resolve those issues.

Legal Division Restructuring – the costs were listed as a priority within Appendix C. It was commented that in light of the agency costs and external legal costs currently being incurred, the restructure and appointment of permanent staff may actually save money.

During the questions and debate, the Committee was also informed that at this time Cabinet had indicated that it was not supporting any departmental 'priorities'. Members supported the pressures put forward in the report and noted the efficiencies set out in Appendix D. The Committee indicated that at this time it would only note the priorities and await Cabinet's further recommendations.

Recommended

That Cabinet be informed that in relation to the Chief Executive's department, Scrutiny Coordinating Committee notes the efficiencies and priorities set out in the Budget and Policy Framework Initial Consultation Proposals 2009/10 and records its support for the implementation of the budget pressures.

97. Feedback from the Authority's Overview and Scrutiny Committees: Initial Budget and Policy Framework Consultation Proposals 2009/10 (Chairs of the Overview and Scrutiny Committees)

The report set out the feedback from the overview and scrutiny committees to the executive's initial budget and policy framework proposals for 2009/10. Members of the four standing Scrutiny Forums considered in detail the budgetary pressures, grant terminations, efficiencies and priorities as part of the Executive's Budget and Policy Framework initial proposals for 2009/10. The individual responses of the four standing Scrutiny Forums reported to the meeting were as follows:-

Adult and Community Services Scrutiny Forum – Members supported the Adult and Community Services departmental Budget for 2009/10. The Forum did, however, raise concerns in relation to:-

- (a) The very large increase in the BT computer line charges to Branch Libraries; and
- (b) The number of staff reductions which the Forum felt, wherever possible, should be achieved through natural wastage or redeployment rather than redundancy.

Children's Services Scrutiny Forum - Members supported the Children's Services departmental Budget for 2009/10. The Forum did, however, wish it to be noted that:-

- (a) Members were concerned about the deletion of the School Development and Curriculum fund and requested detailed confirmation from the Director of Children's Services how the Department proposes that "one off" initiatives might be financed from other sources;
- (b) Members wished it be noted that they had reservations about the removal of the subsidy for pupil support from low income families wishing to attend Lanehead and Carlton Outdoor Centres; and
- (c) Although Members accepted that 3% efficiency savings were required they hoped that those efficiencies relating to impact on staffing numbers were reflective of natural wastage rather than actual redundancies.

Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum – Members supported the Neighbourhood Services departmental budget for 2009/10. The Forum did, however, wish it to be noted that:-

(a) In relation to the budget priority for highways investment, the Forum felt that it should be more reflective of the recommendations arising from their recent investigation into the Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool, specifically focusing on a move from reactive maintenance to planned maintenance.

Regeneration and Planning Services Scrutiny Forum - Members supported all the terminating grants for the Regeneration and Planning Services departmental Budget for 2009/10. Relating to the priorities the Forum raised concerns over the lack of means testing for the Conservation Area Grants, SNCI's and the UPVC Windows Grant, and felt that of these priorities they would prefer to see support for:-

- (a) UPVC Windows Grant, subject to a caveat of means testing applicants;
- (b) Youth Justice Preventative Measures, subject to more detail of the programme planned and if the identified cost was realistic; and
- (c) CCTV, dependent on the cost of implementation of the recommendations by the Forum.

Relating to the proposed efficiencies, the Forum supported all efficiencies identified apart from the following that they would like to see support continue for:-

- (a) Business Grants, with reference to the current poor economic climate; and
- (b) Marketing Budget, due to the Forum's current investigation it was felt inappropriate at the moment to recommend a cut in this budget area.

The Coordinating Committee members made the following comments in relation to the findings of the four forums –

It was disappointing not to see reference to the Family Intervention Programme from the Regeneration and Planning Services Scrutiny Forum. The uPVC Windows Grant proposal required some form of means testing to ensure fairness of access.

3.1

There was concern at the number of staff redundancies highlighted in many of the proposals put forward to the forums. The Chief Personnel Officer indicated that if posts were deleted, the authority would only move to redundancy once redeployment and retraining had been exhausted.

Recommended

That the comments submitted by the four scrutiny forums, and those made by this committee during consideration of the previous item, be noted and forwarded to Cabinet at its meeting on 15 December 2008 and that in the interim period, the Chair be delegated authority to approve the final report and the comments detailed within.

98. Quarter 2 – Capital and Accountable Body Programme Monitoring Report 2008/09 (Chief Financial Officer)

The Chief Financial Officer reported on the progress against the Council's overall Capital budget for 2008/2009 and the spending programmes where the Council acts as the Accountable Body for the period to 30 September 2008. The Chief Financial Officer highlighted the Central Hartlepool Housing Regeneration Scheme. The scheme was currently showing as spending according to budget. However, Officers had been made aware of the possibility of additional funding becoming available, which the Council would be in a stronger position to secure if it was able to accelerate expenditure on house purchases. It is recommended to Cabinet that Council be requested to approve additional temporary prudential borrowing of £1.5m to fund this additional expenditure as a contingency in the event that additional grant funding is not obtained. This prudential borrowing would not result in an unbudgeted pressure as it is expected that the resulting capital financing costs would be met by the capital grant in future years.

Recommended

That the report be noted.

99. Quarter 2 – Corporate Plan and Revenue Financial Management Report 2008/09 (Chief Financial Officer / Assistant Chief Executive)

The Chief Financial Officer reported on the progress made towards achieving the Corporate Plan outcomes through identified actions and of the progress against the Council's own 2008/09 Revenue Budget, for the period to 30 September 2008. At present it was anticipated that there would be a net overspend against the approved budget of £2.6m Cabinet had required all Directors to report back on proposals for dealing with the overspends. In some areas, Children's Services was one example, there were some budget

head overspends that could be with the authority for some time, so could not be managed through the managed underspend process. Cabinet was to give these issues further consideration at an additional meeting to be held on 22 December 2008.

Members queried the following points: -

Appendix C to the report – Home Care Service, transfer of 100 hours from inhouse provide to independent provider. These costs seemed particularly high.

Appendix C to the report – Parks and Countryside, maintain and dean paddling pools, cost £11,000. The Director of Neighbourhood Services commented that the management of the pools had transferred to Community Services who employed their own staff for this purpose.

The Chief Financial Officer undertook to provide members with a written response to the queries raised.

The issue for the Section 151 letter relating to Building Schools for the Future considered at the meeting of Council on 30 October 2008 was raised and discussed at the meeting. There was concern that there appeared to be some misunderstanding of the decision made by Council in authorising the Chief Financial Officer to sign the letter. The Chief Financial Officer stated that there was no problem with the resolution of Council. Further detailed work had been undertaken on how the council could plug any funding gap in future years and a further report was to be submitted to Cabinet on this issue.

Recommended

That the report be noted.

100. Final Report – Hartlepool Borough Council's Foster

Care Service (Chair of the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum)

The Chair of the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum submitted the findings of the forum's investigation into Hartlepool Borough Council's Foster Care Service.

Recommended

That the final report and the recommendations of the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum following its investigation into Hartlepool Borough Council's Foster Care Service, as detailed below, be approved for submission to the executive.

"The Children's Services Scrutiny Forum has taken evidence from a wide range of sources to assist in the formulation of a balanced range of recommendations. The Forum's key recommendations to the Cabinet are as outlined below:-

(a) That the current review of Hartlepool Borough Council's Foster Care Service be completed as a matter of urgency in order to stabilise recruitment and retention difficulties;

- (b) That innovative approaches in the future marketing of the foster care service be further explored in the following areas:-
 - (i) Lack of family group provision;
 - (ii) Identification of suitable location(s) in the Town for a permanent advertisement for the recruitment of foster carers; and

3.1

- (iii) Through untapped mediums, such as Radio Hartlepool and leaflets in doctors surgeries, libraries and shopping centres.
- (c) That the delivery of future training programmes for new Foster Carers:-
 - (i) Be delivered in-house at set times of the year;
 - (ii) Ensures opportunities for extending such training to external foster carers be explored; and
 - (iii) Where in-house delivery capacity issues occur, that support is sought from other Local Authorities or independent foster care agencies.
- (d) That the Foster Care Service Section on the Council's website be redesigned to make it a more accessible and comprehensive source of information; and
- (e) That where a child is placed within his / her family, support services be made immediately accessible, including the provision of financial support, prior to the formalisation of a Kinship Care Arrangement."

101. Draft Final Report – Recycling Referral (Chair of the Scrutiny Coordinating Committee)

The Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee presented the findings of the committee's investigation into the current operation of the Council's kerbside recycling scheme.

In relation to recommendation (b)(v), Members expressed their concerns in relation to some of the 'bring centres' in the town and the general reduction in numbers. It was highlighted that there were a number of recycling pints provided by private companies, predominantly at social clubs or public houses in the town, which the public frequently mistook as being provided by the Council. Members suggested that such facilities should be required to display signage stating which company was operating the facility.

Recommended

That the final report and the recommendations of the committee's investigation into the current operation of the Council's kerbside recycling scheme, as detailed below, be approved for submission to the Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities.

"The Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee has taken evidence from a wide range of sources to assist in the formulation of a balanced range of recommendations. The Committee's key recommendations to the Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities are as outlined below:-

(a) That as part of work to further improve waste disposal and recycling services in Hartlepool, the implementation of the following activities be

explored:-

Bulky Household Waste

- The creation of a reuse facility for the items collected by the Bulky Household Waste Collection Service and the Household Waste Recycling Centre, in Burn Road;
- (ii) The development of an environmental sustainability facility encompassing the provision of the sale of green items such as compost bins and electrical items be supported, along with the identification of the necessary resources to achieve it;
- (iii) Preparation of an outline business case examining service delivery options for the collection of the Authority's Bulky Household Waste Collection Services;
- (iv) Exploration of the possible ways to work with the voluntary and community sector for the disposal of bulky waste;

Multi Occupancy Properties

- (v) The creation of welcome packs for residents outlining the provisions at each facility highlighting how and why the systems should be used;
- (vi) Close working with the Authority's Private Sector Housing Team to identify the most appropriate method of collection for bedsits and ensure landlords are aware of their responsibilities with respect to providing containers for tenants;
- (vii) The implementation of a pilot scheme to ascertain if the provision of smaller containers for single occupancy bedsits / flats is feasible; and
- (viii) Offer the same facilities to all flats, complexes and apartments and keep the methods in place in respect of multiple occupancy buildings and sheltered accommodation.
- (b) That as part of the process for the reconfiguration of in-house services, a feasibility study be undertaken incorporating the following areas of provision:
 - (i) The reconfiguration of contracts in line with the service standard;
 - Partnership Household waste recycling centre and Bring Centre provision;
 - (iii) Voluntary sector re use of bulky waste items;
 - (iv) Externalise services Household waste recycling centre and bring centre servicing; and
 - (v) Cessation or reduction of Services Bring Centre provision.
- (c) That the success of Hartlepool's Waste Disposal Provision, in particular recycling be more actively publicised;
- (d) That in response to the successful outcome of the Participation Survey undertaken earlier this year, that the exercise be repeated at two yearly intervals;
- (e) That appropriate methods of waste storage and collection at multioccupancy residences be reviewed in consultation with the Authority's Private Sector Housing Team; and
- (f) That ways of increasing the levels of recycling with small businesses across the town be explored further."

102. Requests for Funding from the Dedicated Overview

and Scrutiny Budget (Scrutiny Manager)

The Scrutiny Manager submitted two requests for funding from the Dedicated Overview and Scrutiny Budget. They were; -

Children's Services Scrutiny Forum - LGiU Conference directly related to Forum's investigation into 'Appropriate Accommodation for Homeless Young People'; travel and conference costs for Chair of Children's Services Scrutiny Forum - £350.

3.1

Health Scrutiny Forum - Best Practice Evidence on 'Reaching Families in Need' from Westminster Council; travel costs for presenter - £600

Recommended

That the requests for funding totalling to £950 from the Dedicated Overview and Scrutiny Budget be approved.

103. Call-In Request – Service Specifications for Children's Centre Outreach Package (Scrutiny Manager)

The Scrutiny Manager reported that an appropriate call-in notice in relation to a decision of the Children's Services Portfolio Holder taken on 13 November 2008 and relating to the Service Specifications for Children's Services – Outreach Package had been received. A copy of the call-in notice together with the decision record of the Portfolio Holder and the report were submitted for the Committee's consideration. The Committee's attention was drawn to the fact that the report had been considered as exempt by the Portfolio Holder and as such, the report and its decision record remained exempt as they contained exempt information under schedule12A of the Local Government Act 1972, (as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006) namely, (para. 3) information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).

The Committee gave consideration to the timetable for the consideration of the call-in. The Director of Children's Services indicated that it had been the intention to post the appropriate adverts for the contract in accordance with the Council's Contract Procedure Rules in the next two weeks. The Committee acknowledged that that timetable would not now be possible. The Chair of the Committee considered that it would be appropriate to refer the call-in to the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum. The Chair considered that timetable for the consideration of the call-in would be completed in order for a report to be submitted to the meeting of this committee on 9 January 2009 with a report being referred to the Portfolio Holder's meeting on 29 January 2009. The initial meeting of the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum could be held, with the Chief Solicitor's permission and the Chair's approval, next week to ensure the process could be completed.

The Chief Solicitor advised that of the five reasons set out in the call-in

3.1

notice, 2 and 3 related to the principles of decision making and therefore were valid reasons for the call-in.

Recommended

That the call-in notice in relation to a decision of the Children's Services Portfolio Holder taken on 13 November 2008 and relating to the Service Specifications for Children's Services Outreach Package be accepted and referred to the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum for consideration with a report to be submitted to this committee on 9 January 2009.

The meeting concluded at 4.20 p.m.

MARJORIE JAMES

CHAIR

SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE

9 January 2009

Report of: Scrutiny Manager

Subject: 2009/10 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK PROPOSALS

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To receive the Executive's Budget and Policy Framework proposals, which is attached as **Appendix 1** and to consider in particular the proposals for the Chief Executive's Department, attached as **Appendices A to D**.

2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 2.1 In accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution the Executive is required to consult on the draft Budget and Policy Framework for the coming year.
- 2.2 The initial consultation was successfully achieved through consideration of the initial budget proposals on a departmental basis across each of the Scrutiny Forums. These comments were fed back into Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee on 28 November 2008. Following detailed discussions of these, Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee agreed Scrutiny's response to Cabinet, which was fed back to Cabinet on 15 December 2008.
- 2.3 Following consideration of Scrutiny's response to the initial budget proposals the Executive finalised / agreed its budget proposals at the meeting of Cabinet on 22 December 2008. The budget proposals are attached as **Appendix 1**.
- 2.4 Whilst this Committee will receive the entire Budget and Policy Framework proposals, the main purpose of today's meeting is for this Committee to consider the budget proposals for the Chief Executive's Department, attached for ease as **Appendices A to D**.
- 2.4 In addition, each of the Scrutiny Forums will again have the opportunity to comment on each of the Authority's Departments



budget proposals. The Forums will meet on the following dates to consider these proposals:

- Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum 12 January 2009; (a)
- (b) Children's Services Scrutiny Forum 13 January 2009;
- (c) Adult & Community Services & Health Scrutiny Forum -14 January 2009; and
- (d) Regeneration and Planning Services Scrutiny Forum -16 January 2009.
- 2.5 Following the Forums' consideration of the Executive's Finalised Budget and Policy Framework proposals for 2009/10, the Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee at its meeting on 23 January 2009 will determine its formal response (based on the written comments of the Scrutiny Forums considered earlier in that meeting) to presented to the Cabinet on 9 February 2009.

3. RECOMMENDATION

3.1 That the Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee receives this report, and focuses particular attention on the Chief Executive Department's Budget and Policy Framework proposals as outlined in **Appendices** A to D.

4. **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

No background papers were used in production of this report.

5. CONTACT OFFICER

Charlotte Burnham – Scrutiny Manager Chief Executive's Department – Corporate Strategy Hartlepool Borough Council Tel: 01429 523 087 Email: charlotte.burnham@hartlepool.gov.uk

CABINET REPORT

22nd December, 2008



Report of: Corporate Management Team

Subject: MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY - BUDGET & POLICY FRAMEWORK 2009/2010 TO 2011/2012

SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of the report is to enable Cabinet to determine the Budget and Policy Framework proposals it wishes to put forward for formal scrutiny.

2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

- 2.1 The report provides a detailed overview of the financial issues affecting the Council in relation to:
 - the development of the 2008/2009 Outturn Strategy;
 - Capital programme 2009/2010 to 2011/2012;
 - General Fund and Council Tax 2009/2010 to 2011/2012.
- 2.2 The report advises Members that the budget position for 2009/2010 is more challenging than previously reported. Detailed proposals for addressing this position are detailed in the report.

3. RELEVANCE TO CABINET

3.1 The report enables Cabinet to determine the initial Budget and Policy Framework proposals it wishes to put forward for formal scrutiny.

4. TYPE OF DECISION

4.1 Key.

5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

5.1 Cabinet, Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee, Scrutiny Forums, Council.

6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

6.1 Cabinet is required to determine its proposals.

Report of: Corporate Management Team

Subject: MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY -BUDGET & POLICY FRAMEWORK 2009/2010 TO 2011/2012

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To enable Cabinet to determine the Budget and Policy Framework proposals it wishes to put forward for formal scrutiny.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 At your meeting on 13th October, 2008, Members considered the initial budget issues and determined the initial consultation proposals. These issues have now been considered by the relevant Scrutiny Committee and a detailed report from Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee was considered at your meeting on 15th December, 2008. Consultation has also taken place with the Trade Unions and representatives of Hartlepool's Business Sector. Further details are provided later in the report.
- 2.2 This report provides an update on the Council's financial position and enables Cabinet to determine the Budget and Policy Framework proposals it wishes to put forward for formal scrutiny.
- 2.3 As Members will be aware from previous years this stage of the budget process is extremely challenging as a number of key decisions need to be made in a very short timescale:
 - December, 2008 determine budget proposals to be put forward for formal scrutiny;
 - January, 2009 formal scrutiny of budget proposals;
 - 9th February, 2009 Cabinet finalises 2009/2010 Budget and Policy Framework proposals;
 - 12th February, 2009 Council considers Cabinet's 2009/2010 Budget and Policy Framework proposals;
 - 26th February, 2009 Council approves the overall Council Tax level, incorporating Police, Fire and Parish Council precepts;

- Council Tax bills produced and issued by 17th March, 2009;
- 1st April, 2009 first monthly Council Tax direct debits collected.

3. POLICY DRIVERS

- 3.1 Previous budget reports have advised Members that the development of the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) reflects various national and local service priorities, which are underpinned by a range of service expenditure and corporate policy drivers. These issues are detailed in various strategy documents prepared by the Council, which set out the Council's key objectives. The documents include:
 - The Corporate (Best Value Performance) Plan;
 - The Efficiency and Business Transformation Strategy;
 - The IT Strategy;
 - Departmental Service Plans.
- 3.2 The MTFS details the financial implications of the various strategies and the issues affecting financial sustainability of services. This latter issue is driven by the Council's policy for uplifting base budgets to reflect the impact of inflation with additional top ups for specific policy driven service priorities. This policy reflects Members' views and feedback during the 2005/2006 budget consultation process that the overall balance of the budget is "about right" and should be maintained if resources were available. Clearly in the current financial climate this will not be possible. Therefore, the MTFS enables Members to determine those areas it wishes to prioritise.
- 3.3 Another important policy driver is the level of Council Tax, which funds 42% of the Council's net budget. This factor will become increasingly important in a period of reducing grant increases and continued upward pressure on demand lead services for Children and Older People, together with the affordability of the tax given the impact of a recession.

4. OUTTURN STRATEGY

4.1 Assessments of the current year's financial position have previously been reported. The key issues identified in these reports are detailed in the following paragraphs.

4.2 Stock of Council Funds

4.3 As reported previously the stock of the Council's funds has increased owing to two one-off factors. Firstly, the receipt of the final years Local Authority Business Growth Incentive (LABGI) grant and secondly the contribution to General Fund Balances in 2007/2008. These factors cannot be repeated. The Government are consulting on a new LABGI scheme which will come into force in 2009/2010, with the first grants expected to be paid in 2010/2011. The total amount distributed will be £150m, compared to £1billion distributed under the existing methodology - this is an 85% reduction. Hartlepool's share of the new grant is anticipated to be £0.12m.

4.4 Cabinet has previously indicated that they wish to allocate part of the increased stock of resources to manage the following budget risks. It is assumed that Cabinet will wish to include these proposals in the draft budget package to be put forward for formal scrutiny.

Va	lue
Of	Risk
£'0	00

Timing of RTB Receipts from Housing Hartlepool

400

The existing Medium Term Financial Strategy is based on using £7m of reserves over the period 2008/2009 to 2011/2012. These resources will come from the Budget Support Fund and the remaining RTB income which the Council is due to receive from Housing Hartlepool over the period 2008/2009 to 2011/2012.

However, owing to the credit crunch and the impact this is now having on consumer confidence, the reduction in the availability and affordability of mortgages and house price reductions, there is a greater risk that the RTB income will not be received by 2011/2012 and will be received over a longer period.

For planning purposes it assumed that receipts over this period will be £1.0m, which equates to £0.25m per annum for the four years 2008/2009 to 2011/2012. This would leave a temporary shortfall of £0.4m. Given the level of change in the market at the moment this may prove to be optimistic and there may be a higher shortfall which needs to be managed. This position will be kept under review.

Funding Initial Budget Deficits 2009/2010 and 2010/2011

639

The existing MTFS approved in February, 2008 anticipated budget deficits in 2009/2010 of £0.402m and £0.237m in 2010/2011.

Total Budget Risk 2009/2010 and 2010/2011

- 1.039
- 4.5 The Council should also benefit financially from the achievement of Local Public Service Agreement 2 (LPSA) Reward Grant. The level of reward grant depends on the achievement of various service

outcomes. Half of the reward grant is paid as a capital grant and half as a revenue grant. The grant will be paid in two equal instalments in 2010/2011 and 2011/2012.

- 4.6 It was previously reported that assuming the minimum reward grant is earned the Council will receive a total grant of £1.8m. However, this amount includes the Partner's share of the reward grant. The Council's share of the minimum grant is approximately £0.96m. The following strategy is therefore suggested for using the Council's share of the LPSA 2 reward grant:
 - Revenue Reward Grant earmark to increase stock of funds and review usage as part of 2010/2011 budget strategy as at that stage the amount will be more certain.
 - Capital Reward Grant as part of the current years approved budget Cabinet and Council determined to earmark £0.45m of the anticipated Capital Reward Grant of £0.48m for Building Schools for the Future costs. It is suggested that the remaining amount is earmarked to support capital projects, but not committed until 2010/2011 when the amount will be more certain.
- 4.7 In summary the uncommitted stock of resources is £2.217m, which is slightly lower than forecast reported in October of £2.3m.

	<u>Adverse/</u> (Favourable) Variance £'000
Timing of RTB Receipts from Housing Hartlepool Funding Initial Budget Deficits 2009/2010 to 2010/2011	400* 639
Uncommitted General Fund Reserves Earmarked for Bus Service	(790) 93
LABGI Year 3 Grant Allocation	(2,100)
LPSA 2 Reward Grant	(482)
Legal Services Restructure	<u>23</u> # <u>2,217</u>

* Risk that this will increase thereby reducing one-off funds.

Cabinet has previously indicated support for a revised Legal Services structure. Part of these costs are one-off. It is therefore suggested to fund these costs from the available one-off resources.

4.8 2008/2009 Budget Position Forecast Outturn

4.9 A detailed Corporate Plan and Revenue Financial Management report was submitted to Cabinet in November, 2008, which included forecast outturns. This report indicated that there will be an overspend on departmental budgets of £2.6m at the year-end. This position reflects the following key issues:

- Increased expenditure on demand lead services for Looked After Children. Pressure on this area is increasing as a result of the "Baby P" case, which has resulted in an increase in the number of referrals to Children's Services.;
- Increased demand lead pressure on Learning Disabilities and Services for Older People;
- Inflationary pressure fuel costs;
- Reduction in income owing to the impact of the credit crunch on a range of income streams, including land charges, car parks and shopping centre income. The latter will be affected by Woolworth's decision to call in the administrators;
- Delays in the achievement of efficiencies.
- 4.10 Details of departmental forecast outturns are summarised below: -

	Forecast Overspends/(Underspends)			
Department	Non Pay Budget (details Appendix A) £'000	Pay Budget £'000	Net Position £'000	
Adult &	2,015	(600)	1,415	
Community				
Services				
Chief Executive	465	(465)	0	
Children's	1,098	(357)	741	
Service				
Neighbourhood	598	(157)	441	
Services				
Regeneration &	230	(230)	0	
Planning				
Total	4,406	(1,809)	2,597	

Forecast Departmental Outturn 2008/2009

- 4.11 Cabinet previously determined to consult on the proposal to carry forward these amounts as Managed Overspends against Department's three-year budgets, pending a report back from CMT on the implications of implementing this strategy.
- 4.12 CMT have now considered the implications of carrying forward Managed Overspends against Departmental three-year budgets and

would suggest that this strategy is not adopted for a number of reasons:

- A significant proportion of the overspend relates to demand lead Children's and Adult Social Services. These trends will continue in 2009/2010 and additional resources will be required for these areas. Therefore, it will not be possible to reduce expenditure in these areas to repay 2008/2009 overspends without having an adverse impact on services;
- Assuming the overspends were repaid over either a two or three year period then additional annual efficiencies and/or service cuts of between £0.8m and £1.3m would need to be made. This equates to a reduction of 1% to 1.6%, in addition to the existing efficiency targets;
- The Chancellor in his recent Pre-Budget Report announced a 50% increase in the efficiency target for Local Government. This announcement is likely to be followed by lower grant increases for Council's in 2011/2012 and beyond and increased pressure for lower Council Tax increase. Against this background the repayment of overspends will be extremely challenging;
- A significant deterioration in the financial position for 2009/2010 and increased budget risks for this year. Further details are provided later in the report.
- 4.13 CMT have therefore considered an alternative strategy for funding the 2008/2009 overspends as it is recognised that the Council cannot simply write this amount off against General Fund Balances.
- 4.14 This alternative strategy would involve capitalising various one-off projects which it was planned to fund from the current years revenue budget from Revenue Contributions to Capital Outlay (RCCOs) or the Capital Funding Reserve. The Capital Funding Reserve is a Revenue Reserve carried forward from last year to fund capital expenditure commitments which were carried forward from last year. This proposal would release revenue resources to offset departmental overspends. On the downside the existing capital expenditure commitments still need to be funded and the only alternative funding source is Prudential Borrowing.
- 4.15 The revenue cost of using Prudential Borrowings in 2008/2009 will be an additional budget pressure in 2009/2010. This pressure could be avoided in 2009/2010 by earmarking part of the 2008/2009 RCCOs to meet the first years repayment costs. In 2010/2011 the repayment costs will need to be a first call on the £1.5m headroom included in the 2010/2011 budget forecast.
- 4.16 The above strategy will enable the 2008/2009 overspends to be addressed and avoid starting the new financial year with a significant

outstanding financial liability. As detailed later in the report the Council's financial position will become increasingly challenging. Significant action will need to be taken in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 to protect the Council's financial position on a sustainable basis. This position could be unmanageable if departments are also required to repay 2008/2009 overspends over the same period.

4.17 It is recognised that this strategy cannot be repeated and overall expenditure in 2009/2010 and futures years will need to be managed within the overall budget. This may mean that demand lead overspends, which are unavoidable, need to be funded by in-year reductions in other areas.

4.18 Centralised Estimates, Building Schools for the Future (BSF) and Tall Ships

- 4.19 It has previously been reported that the credit crunch is paradoxically having a positive impact on investment income, which for the first six months of the year is better than anticipated. This is a complex area and the net increase is driven by favourable cash balances in the early part of the year, abnormally high short term interest rates driven by the credit crunch, offset by a restriction in counter parties to protect the Council's investments. The downside to this security is a lower interest rate on the investment. However, as reported in the Treasury Management Strategy the primary principle governing the Council's investment criteria is the security of its investment and then the return on the investment. In the current climate a more risk averse approach is appropriate. It was reported that it is anticipated investment income will exceed the budget by around £2m. This is net of a temporary shortfall on corporate efficiencies which are being achieved later than anticipated. However, there is an increasing risk that the final figure could be lower as the Bank of England have now reduced interest rates more quickly and to a lower level than anticipated. This action reflects further deterioration in the economic position.
- 4.20 A detailed assessment of the latest 1% Base Rate reduction announced by the Bank of England on the 4th December needs to be undertaken. This assessment will need to consider the impact of this change on LIBOR (London Inter-Bank Offered Rate), which influences investment rates on the Council's investments as the normal links between the Base Rate and LIBOR have not yet been re-established. At this stage a planning figure of £2m is still appropriate, although subject to a greater downside risk.
- 4.21 Members have previously approved the principle of allocating the Council's investment income to firstly offset losses of income, the additional costs in relation to BSF and Tall Ships. This proposal will substantially fund these additional one-off costs. Any shortfall will need to be funded over the next two years.

7.1 SCC 09.01.09 2009 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals App 1

- 4.22 For planning purposes it is suggested that £1.5m is set aside for BSF and £0.5m for Tall Ships when resources are available. Hopefully, these amounts can be set aside form the current years investment income. However, it must be recognised that there may need to be additional provision made during 2009/2010 for BSF. A clearer idea will be available late in January, 2009, when the Council has had the next review by 4P's (the organisation which manages the BSF Programme) on its readiness to proceed to procurement.
- 4.23 The Tall Ships budget is dependent upon the level of Park and Ride income. As expenditure will be committed before this income is received it would be appropriate to provide a risk contingency to protect the Council's financial position if income is less than anticipated owing to adverse weather when the Tall Ships visit. In the event that this risk contingency is not needed this money can be returned to the General Fund in 2010/2011.
- The feasibility of insuring this potential loss of income has been 4.24 explored and in principle it is possible to insure for the cancellation or abandonment of an event. This insurance is available for events where income is known in advance where tickets are sold, as insurance underwriters can assess risk and therefore determine an insurance premium. In the case of the Tall Ships Event the Park and Ride income cannot, in insurance terms, be adequately assessed in advance as the number of potential visitors is unknown. Therefore, insurance underwriters cannot assess this risk. They will not use the policy holders estimated income as there is no basis for verifying these figures as is the case for events where tickets are sold. Consequently, insurance underwriters are either unwilling to quote, or where they do the rates are prohibitively expensive when compared to the potential risk. Insurance may be more appropriate to cover costs incurred in the unlikely scenario the event is cancelled owing to adverse weather delaying or preventing the arrival of the Tall Ships. This issue is currently being examined in more detail.

4.25 **Ongoing Service and Financial Issues**

4.26 A number of issues which have arisen in 2008/2009 will continue in 2009/2010 and future years. As these issues predominately relate to services for vulnerable people it is assumed that Members will, in accordance with their existing priorities wish to reflect these issues is the budget proposals for 2009/2010 detailed later in the report.

5. CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2009/2010 TO 2011/2012

5.1 **Government Capital Allocations**

5.2 The availability of resources for the Capital Programme will continue to be affected by the level of supported capital allocations provided by the Government. These allocations take the form of specific capital grants, or supported prudential borrowing allocations, which must be repaid from the Council's revenue budget. These allocations cover key Government priorities, which are closely aligned to the Council's own priorities and objectives. These areas account for the majority of available capital resources. As part of the current MTFS Member's reaffirmed their commitment to using these allocations for the three years up to 2010/2011. Cabinet needs to confirm that they will continue this strategy to cover 2011/2012.

5.3 Local Initiatives

- 5.4 The Capital Strategy and Asset Management Plan approved by Cabinet on 31st March, 2008, indicated that Government capital allocations will not fund all capital expenditure priorities, particularly areas with a high local priority which do not fall within the areas which attract Government funding. Therefore, as part of the current MTFS Members determined to use Unsupported Prudential Borrowing to fund local priorities. As the cost of using unsupported Prudential Borrowing needs to be met from the revenue budget annual revenue provisions of £0.1m were included in the budget forecasts for 2007/2008 to 2009/2010. This supports annual capital expenditure of £1.2m. Detailed proposals for using this amount in 2009/2010 are set out in Appendix A. These proposals include an allowance for over-programming which will be a first call on the 2010/2011 allocation.
- 5.5 Cabinet previously determined that they wish to continue with this strategy for 2010/2011 and 2011/2012. The revenue forecasts detailed later in the report reflect the continuation of this strategy.
- 5.6 The detailed preparation of the 2009/2010 revenue budget has identified a range of health and safety and property improvements issues which need to be undertaken as soon as practical. It was initially suggested that a revenue pressure of £0.5m was needed for the next three years. However, owing to the nature of these works and the revenue position it would be appropriate to fund these works from capital resources. It is therefore proposed that a revenue pressure of £0.1m is included in the 2009/10 budget proposals, which will provide a capital sum for these items of £1.2m. Detailed proposals for using these resources will be included in the January, 2009 budget report.
 - 5.7 As part of the existing MTFS it was also determined to use unsupported borrowing to provide annual allocations for the three years up to 2010/2011 for a number of small initiatives, detailed in the following table. Members need to determine if they wish to continue to support these initiatives up to 2011/2012.

	<u>Annual</u> <u>Allocations</u> <u>£'000</u>
Community Safety Initiatives	150
Disabled Adaptations	50
Neighbourhood Forum Minor Works	156

5.8 The revenue budget forecast for 2009/2010 includes a provision of \pounds 0.3m to support a capital contribution towards the development of the H₂0 Centre of £3m. As reported recently the development of the H₂0 Centre is not likely to occur in the medium term. Therefore, in October Cabinet determined to re-allocate the existing H₂0 revenue provision of £0.3m to support investment in the Mill House Leisure Centre. As this is likely to take some time to develop and then implement it is unlikely that the £0.3m revenue provision will be needed in 2009/2010. The options for using this provision are considered later in the report.

6. GENERAL FUND AND COUNCIL TAX

6.1 Background

- 6.2 An initial assessment of the national and local factors affecting the Council's financial position for 2009/2010 and beyond was reported on 13th October, 2008. Since that time there have been significant and unprecedented international and national developments which will shape the world economy for the foreseeable future.
- 6.3 These changes include actions by Governments across the world to stimulate economic activity. It is hoped these actions will reduce the severity of the recession and avoid the downturn becoming a depression.
- 6.4 The actions taken by Governments have also been supported by Central Banks reducing interest rates. In the UK the Bank of England has reduced interest rates from 5% in October to 3% on 6th November, 2008 and then 2% on 4th December, 2008. Put in an historical context, this equals the lowest official rate since the Bank of England was established in 1694. The scale and speed of these reductions is unprecedented and underline the severity of the economic position. It is anticipated that the Bank of England will reduce interest rates further early in 2009.
- 6.5 In the very short term the Council is isolated and largely protected from turnoil in the world economy. In the Medium Term these events will impact on the Council as outlined in the following paragraphs.

6.6 **The Chancellor's Pre-Budget Report**

- 6.7 Details of the Pre-Budget Report were presented to Parliament by the Chancellor on 24th November, 2008. Key issues include:
 - An increase of £5billion in Public Sector efficiencies for the three years to 2010/2011. This is on top of the £30billion already planned;
 - For the three years from 2011/2012 (i.e. the period covered by the next Comprehensive Spending Review) the growth in public expenditure will fall from 1.9% announced in the 2008 Budget to only 1.1%. This is the increase for the whole of the public sector.
 - A "fiscal stimulus" package of £20billion aimed at shoring up the economy.
 - Forecast increase in Government borrowing from 40% of national income to 57% by 2014/2015.
 - An increase in employer's National Insurance contributions from April, 2011. This will have an adverse impact on the Council and a detailed assessment will be made when detailed regulations implementing on this change are available.
- 6.8 The current three-year settlement for Local Government is generally regarded as the tightest for a decade. At a local level the Council has benefited from changes to the grant formula, although these benefits have been diluted as a result of the continuation of the floor damping arrangements. The key message from the Pre-Budget Report is that the position in 2011/2012 and beyond will be much more challenging and Local Government as a whole will see much lower grant increases or even reductions in existing grant levels.

6.9 Provisional Local Government Grant Settlement 2009/2010 and 2010/2011

- 6.10 Details of individual authorities grant allocations for 2009/2010 and provisional allocations for 2010/2011 were announced on 26th November, 2008. As expected there have been no changes to the figures announced in February, 2008.
- 6.11 At the same time the Local Government Minister announced an increase in Local Government efficiency target, which reflects the Chancellor's Pre-Budget Report announcement to increase the overall Public Sector efficiency targets. For local authorities the overall target has been increased from £1billion per year to £1.5billion a 50% increase. This compares to an increase in the overall Public Sector efficiency target of approximately 17%.

- 6.12 Consultation on the Provisional 2009/2010 grant settlement closes on 7th January, 2009. It is suggested that the following issues are included in the Council's response:
 - Thank the Minister, in these challenging financial times, for maintaining the previously announced grant allocations for 2009/2010 and 2010/2011;
 - Express concern that local authority efficiencies targets have been increased by 50%, compared to a total increase for the Public Sector of 17%;
 - Express concern at the impact of the proposed increase in employer's national increases contributions from April, 2011, which is contrary to the Government "new burdens" principle. It is therefore suggested the Minister seeks additional grant funding from the Treasury to make this change cost neutral for local authorities.

6.13 Impact of Pre-Budget Report and Provisional 2009/2010 Grant Settlement on the Council

- 6.14 As indicated earlier in the report the Council is isolated in the shortterm from the impact of the economic down turn and the issues announced in the pre-budget report. The confirmation of previously announced grant allocations for 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 also provides a period of financial stability for the Council.
- 6.15 In the Medium Term local authorities will face a significantly more challenging financial position as the Pre-Budget Report and the 2009/2010 Local Government Grant Settlement have stated that for the three years from 2011/2012 growth in public expenditure will fall to only 1.1%.
- 6.16 Given the Government's priority to Education and Health this means other service areas, including local authorities will receive lower increases or even reductions over this period.
- 6.17 In practice, lower levels of public spending growth will continue for a much longer period, probably for two or three Comprehensive Spending Review periods, as it will take a long time for Government finances to recover from the current recession. This assumption reflects the Chancellor's statement that Government borrowing is forecast to increase for the next six years and it will not be until 2015/2016 that the Government will only be borrowing to invest.
- 6.18 Once the recession is over it is extremely unlikely that the economy will benefit from the same economic factors which had driven the economy for the last ten years i.e. rising house prices and banks/building societies ability and willingness to provide cheap

credit. It is clear that one of the major consequences of the "credit crunch" will be a return to more prudent levels of borrowing and risk assessment by banks. This will mean growth in the Private Sector will be lower than in previous years and consequently so will the Government's tax revenues.

6.19 Against the above background it is becoming clearer that from 2011/2012 the Council will face a much more challenging financial position. As indicated later in the report action will need to be taken in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 to ensure the Council is prepared for a period of lower growth in Government grants, increased efficiency targets and continued downward pressure on Council Tax.

6.20 Local Budget Issues 2009/2010

- 6.21 An initial examination of the local issues facing the Council for 2009/2010 was reported in October, 2008, as summarised below. These forecasts reflected the following assumptions:
 - Annual Council Tax increases of 3.9%;
 - The achievement of 3% efficiencies in 2009/2010 to 2010/2011;
 - Provision for 2010/2011 pressures, etc. of £1.5m;
 - 2011/2012 pressures, etc. to be funded from efficiencies;
 - Phased use of the Budget Support Fund and LABGI grant.

Feb.08 Fore cast			October	Forecasts	
2009/10		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Cumulative
£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
5,536	Gross Budget Gap (includes £1.5m headroom 09/10 & 10/11)	5,536	3,759	614	
(2,460)	3% Efficiency Target	(2,460)	(2,522)	0	
3,076		3,076	1,237	614	
(2,674)	Planned Use of Reserves	(2,674)	(1,000)	(500)	
	Changes since February 2008 forecasts				
	Continuation of SCRAPT capital allocation	0	4.00	0.00	
	2009/10 Pressures, contingency and terminating grants	0	100		
	Additional pressures identified since 13.10.08	2,824	2,895		
-	Provision for 2009/10 Pressures etc. Use of 2008/09 LABGI grant	(1,500) (402)	(1,538) (237)	(1,576)	
402	REVISED BUDGET DEFICIT	1,324	1,457	1,705	4,486

6.22 Detailed proposals for achieving the 3% efficiency target in 2009/2010 were reported to Cabinet in October and these proposals are reproduced at Appendix B. At this stage a detailed assessment of the one-off costs of achieving these efficiencies has not yet been completed. This work is ongoing and will enable costs to be quantified and a funding strategy to be developed. These details will be reported in January, 2009.

- 6.23 A comprehensive review of the initial planning assumptions has been completed. These issues fall into a number of categories and are covered in detail in the following paragraphs:
 - Additional Pressures identified since October, 2008;
 - 2009/2010 Pressures Review;
 - 2009/2010 Contingency Review;
 - 2009/2010 Terminating Grants Review;
 - Area Based Grant Review;
 - Review of Corporate Planning assumptions;
 - Review of 2008/2009 Pressures, contingency, Terminating Grants and Priorities;
 - Review of 2007/2008 Pressures, contingency, Terminating Grants and Priorities;
 - Temporary Benefits 2009/2010.

6.24 Additional Pressures Identified Since October, 2008 - £2.785m permanent plus £0.45m temporary

- 6.25 As indicated in October an initial assessment of the issues facing the Council had been completed by the Corporate Management Team over the previous two/three months. This assessment is carried out much earlier than in other authorities owing to Hartlepool's constitutional arrangements and is based on information available at that time. More detailed work on these issues has now been completed to reflect the latest available information and trends for the first six months of the year. This work indicates that additional unbudgeted pressures need to be included in the 2009/2010 budget forecast in relation to the following items:
 - i) Job Evaluation additional pressure of £0.9m (includes employer's National Insurance and Pension costs)

Provision for the estimated costs of implementing Job Evaluation has previously been made within the budget forecasts for the period up to 2010/2011. These forecasts were based on the completion of 90% of Job Evaluations, an assessment for the net impact of changes in various allowances, the potential costs of appeals and the annual pay award for 2008/2009 not exceeding 2.5%. This assessment was completed in February, 2008. Implementation of the new pay and grading system took place over the period April to September, 2008 and resources were dedicated to paying staff new salaries and arrears of pay. Once this exercise was complete a detailed costing exercise was commenced to establish the actual costs of the new pay and grading system. This exercise has identified an additional increase in basic pay of £0.72m - £0.9m when account is taken of employer's National Insurance and Pension costs. The additional cost reflects a reduction in the number of employees in protection, which

increases ongoing costs, changes in job matchings and allowances from the initial planning assumptions. This is an early estimate. Whilst, costs may alter, Cabinet need to recognise the significant volume of appeals lodged and therefore the risk that the cost of successful appeals will exceed the available provision for appeals. Work is being done to refine the estimate of cost and the anticipated cost of appeals. It is hoped that the overall Job Evaluation costs can be pegged at this level.

ii) Looked After Children – additional pressures £0.67m

As indicated earlier in the report the Council is currently incurring additional expenditure in the current year owing to higher numbers of Looked After Children and more complex cases. These trends are forecast to continue in 2009/2010. In addition, following the outcome of the "baby P" court case there has been an increase in the number of referrals to Children's Social Services. Therefore some provision has been made in the above figure for increased costs of such cases. As this position is extremely complex and affected by referrals from various partner agencies e.g. Police, Medical Staff, etc., this position will need to be monitored closely.

iii) Older People, Learning Disabilities, Agency and Mental Health Agency – additional pressure of £0.8m

The Council is also incurring additional expenditure in the current year on the above services owing to demographic pressure, higher client numbers and more complex cases. These trends are forecast to continue in 2009/2010. An assessment of new cases and changes in service levels for 2009/2010 has also been made. This is a complex area as service requirements are assessed on an individual basis which impacts on the costs of providing services.

iv) Adult Services Care Package and Transport Efficiencies – additional pressure of £0.45m (temporary)

The existing base budget anticipated making an efficiency on a specific care package of £0.2m. This efficiency has been delayed owing to a legal challenge to the proposed change. It is anticipated that these issues will be resolved during 2009/2010. As it is not certain when these issues will be addressed it would be prudent to make provision for these costs on a temporary basis.

Similarly the anticipated transport saving from the establishment of the Integrated Transport Unit is taking longer to achieve. It would also be prudent to make a temporary provision for this item being delayed. v) Energy Costs – additional pressure of £0.23m

The Council has previously benefited from lower energy costs from long term contacts negotiated via NEPO (North East Purchasing Organisation). These contracts have now ended and market prices are at considerably higher levels and expected to continue at these levels.

vi) Concessionary Fare – additional pressure of £0.105m

Contract negotiations have recently commenced across the Tees Valley with bus operators. It is expected that costs will increase owing to higher costs being incurred by operators.

6.26 The additional pressures identified increase the budget deficit to £4.559m (inclusive of £0.45m of temporary costs). This dearly has significant implications for 2009/2010 and the sustainability of the budget and current service levels. In view of this position the Corporate Management Team have completed a comprehensive review of the overall budget position and have identified permanent budget reductions of £1.482m, as detailed in paragraph 6.27 to 6.47.

6.27 **2009/2010 Pressures Review – Reduction £0.046m**

6.28 The initial schedule of pressures totalled £1.679m. This included an initial assessment of the Adult Social Services and Children's Services pressures totalling £1.02m. As indicated in paragraphs 6.24 (ii) and (iv) additional funding is needed for these areas. Therefore, scope for reducing the remaining pressures is limited. A review of the remaining items has identified reductions totalling £0.046m covering a number of issues as detailed in the final page of Appendix C.

6.29 **2009/2010 Contingency Review – Reduction £0.437m (net)**

- 6.30 The initial budget report suggested a total contingency provision of £0.885m. A detailed review and risk assessment of these items has been completed and a number of items can be reduced or eliminated from the contingency. One of the main reductions is the deletion of the £0.17m vehicle fuel price increase in the anticipation that falls in crude oil prices arising from lower demand will feed through into lower petrol and diesel prices before the start of 2009/2010. There is a small risk that this does not occur. However, given the economic down turn it is not anticipated this risk will materialise. The other major reduction relates to the Children's Fund item of £0.155m, which will continue to be grant funded for 2009/2010.
- 6.31 The requirements in relation to Service Level Agreement with Housing Hartlepool for Richard Court and Housing waiting list

management have also been quantified and reflected in the revised contingency. Details of the contingency are provided in Appendix D.

6.32 **2009/2010 Terminating Grants – Reduction £0.062m**

6.33 The initial budget report identified Terminating Grants totalling £0.26m. A review of these items has identified reductions totalling £62,000. Details of Terminating grants are provided in Appendix E.

6.34 Area Based Grant – Review £0.287m

- 6.35 The Area Based Grant (ABG) was introduced as a late change to the 2008/2009 Local Government Grant Settlement. As there was insufficient time to assess this change for 2008/2009 the ABG was allocated on the basis of the indicative allocation used by the Government for determining the overall level of the ABG.
- 6.36 The Government is sending mixed messages on the future use of the ABG. On the one hand the Government have indicated that the ABG is "a Single Area Based Grant with no strings attached", which infers the Council has complete discretion on the use of this grant. On the other hand specific Government departments expect authorities to ring-fence individual elements of the ABG.
- 6.37 In practise, flexibility is limited because many services previously funded from specific grants have now been incorporated in the ABG and these services are high priority areas for the Council, or cover services of a statutory nature, for example, Connexions, Teenage Pregnancy funding, Road Safety, etc.
- 6.38 Against this background the Council only has limited flexibility for using the ABG. Notwithstanding these constraints a detailed review of the Area Based Grant has been undertaken and this has identified £0.287m to support services/projects currently funded from the Council's core budgets. At this stage the detailed services/projects have not yet been identified and this work will be complete before the final budget proposals are considered in January, 2009. This amount has been identified by capping increase in some ABG allocations to a 2.5% increase and from taking some of the uncommitted element of the Working Neighbourhood Fund element of the ABG. Detailed proposals for using the Area Based Grant are set out in Appendix F. which reflects the latest information provided by the Government. The proposals for the Working Neighbourhood Fund element of the ABG provide funding for a range of training and business support projects which are particularly relevant in the current economic downtum.
- 6.39 From a practical perspective the final decision on ABG grant allocations will not be made until February, 2009, when Council considers the overall 2009/2010 Budget and Policy Framework

proposals. In the meantime the Council needs to notify Partners of their allocation for 2009/2010.

6.40 It is also suggested that the Council provides indicative ABG allocations for 2010/2011 and advises Partners that these allocations will be confirmed next year provided the Government does not reduce the overall ABG allocation and projects continue to deliver agreed outcomes.

6.41 **Preview of Corporate Planning Assumptions – Reduction £0.5m**

- 6.42 The initial budget forecast included a pay award planning assumption of 2.5%. It is anticipated that during 2009/2010 there will be downward pressures on Public Sector pay awards as inflation falls, the recession reduces pay awards in the private sector and unemployment increases. Therefore, for 2009/2010 it is suggested that the planning assumption for pay increases is reduced to 2%. This will reduce the budget requirement by £0.2m.
- 6.43 It is also proposed that the salary turnover target is increased by 0.5% to reflect the higher turnover of staff in the current year. This will also reduce the budget requirement by £0.2m. It is also suggested that a further £0.1m increase is made to the salary turnover target to reflect the impact of Job Evaluation. Together these two proposals increase the efficiency savings to be achieved from vacancies to £1.1m. If Members approve these proposals the total salary turnover target will be challenging and achievement will need careful management by the Corporate Management Team. The implementation of the Business Transformation Programme will make this task more challenging during the implementation phase. It is suggested that the achievement of the tumover targets is delegated to the Corporate Management Team and the existing Monitoring of Vacancy Panel replaced by guarterly progress reports to Cabinet and Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee.

6.44 Review of 2008/2009 Pressures, Contingency, Terminating Grants and Priorities – Reduction £0.05m

6.45 A detailed review of these items has been completed. It is proposed that the "Corporate IT Priority" of £50,000 can be reduced by £35,000 following the extension of the Northgate contract and the establishment of an investment fund. Similarly, savings on the "Members IT" provision of £15,000 can be made as costs have been less than anticipated.

6.46 Review of 2007/2008 Pressures, Contingency, Terminating Grants and Priorities – Reduction £0.1m

6.47 Similarly, a detailed review of these items has been completed. A saving of £0.1m can be taken on the Learning Disability budget which

included a provision for dual running costs for an eighteen/twenty four month period which ends on 31st March, 2009.

6.48 **Temporary Benefits 2009/2010 – Total Value £0.4m**

- 6.49 As indicated earlier the ongoing costs of Job Evaluation are higher than anticipated. Consequently there is a reduction in temporary protection costs for 2009/2010 of £0.2m.
- 6.50 The Council should also benefit from additional benefit subsidy income of £0.2m in 2009/2010. The sustainability of this income will depend on the level of benefit daimants and more importantly the grant rates paid by the Government. In previous years grant rates have been reduced as part of the Department for Work and Pensions efficiency programme. The recently announced increase in efficiency targets highlights the risk that grant rates may not be maintained. Therefore, it would not be prudent to anticipate this benefit continuing at this stage.

6.51 Revised Budget Gap

- 6.52 After reflecting the permanent and temporary factors detailed above the net budget deficit for 2009/2010 is £2.677m (including £0.45m of temporary costs).
- 6.53 This deficit is <u>after</u> reflecting the following previously approved issues for reducing the budget deficit.

Previously approved issues for reducing budget deficit

	<u>£'000</u>
Basic Council Tax increase	1,433
3% Efficiency Target	2,460
Planned Use of Budget Support Fund	2,674
Planned Use of 2008/2009 LABLI Grant	402
	6,969

- 6.54 The following table summarises the latest budget position for 2009/2010, 2010/2011 and 2011/2012. The table also includes the 2009/2010 forecast reported in February, 2008.
- 6.55 The table indicates that the Council has a structural budget deficit as costs are forecast to increase faster than income. These deficits are net of planned annual efficiencies of 3% in each of the next three years. A strategy needs to be developed to address this position on a permanent basis to ensure the Council has a sound financial base.

.....
Feb. 08		1			
Forecast			Latest F	orecasts	
2009/10		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Cumulative
£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
5,536	Gross Budget Gap (includes £1.5m headroom 09/10 & 10/11)	5,536	3,759	614	
(2,460)	3% Efficiency Target	(2,460)	(2,522)	(2,585)	
3,076 (a)		3,076	1,237	(1,971)	
	Changes since February 2008 forecasts				
0	Additional pressures identified above headroom 13.10.08	1,324	1,457	1,705	
0	Additional pressures identified since 13.10.08	2,785	2,855	2,926	
0	Additional pressures identified since 13.10.08 Temp items	450	0	0	
0	Review 2009/10 Proposed Pressures etc.	(545)	(536)	(549)	
0	Area Based Grant Review	(287)	(414)	(424)	
0	Pay inflation reduction and turnover increase	(500)	(513)	(525)	
0	2008/09 Pressures etc, Review	(50)	(51)	(53)	
0	2007/08 Pressures etc, Review	(100)	(103)	(105)	
0	Headroom for 2011/12 Pressures etc.	0	0	2,000	
0 (b)	Total Changes since February 2008 for ecasts	3,077	2,695	4,974	
3,076 (a+b) GROSS BUDGET DEFICIT	6,153	3,932	3,003	
(2,674)	Less - Planned use Reserves February 2008 (temporary)	(2,674)	(1,000)	(500)	
	Less - Changes since February 2008 forecasts				
0	Use of 2008/09 LABGI grant (temporary)	(402)	(237)	0	
0	Benefit Subsidy income (temporary)	(200)	0	0	
0	Lower Protection costs and funding reallocation (temporary)	(200)	0	0	
402	REVISED BUDGET DEFICIT	2,677	2,695	2,503	7,876

7. STRATEGY FOR BRIDGING NET 2009/2010 DEFICIT

- 7.1 As Members will appreciate there have been significant developments since the initial forecasts were submitted to Cabinet in October. The Corporate Management Team have over the last three weeks completed a detailed view of the budget position to identify areas where reductions can be made. These actions have reduced the budget deficit for 2009/2010 to £2.677m.
- 7.2 At this stage of the budget process it will be extremely challenging to identify specific proposals which can be implemented from 1st April, 2009, to address the whole of the 2009/2010 budget deficit. Such a strategy would be extremely risky in terms of timescale and implementing measures which are workable and sustainable.
- 7.3 In addition, implementing emergency measures could potentially jeopardise the achievement of the Business Transformation efficiencies as management capacity would need to be realigned to achieving emergency measures. Work is progressing to develop a proposed timetable for implementing the Business Transformation Programme. It is envisaged that this will enable efficiencies to be achieved in 2010/2011. In relation to 2009/2010 some efficiencies may be achieved towards the end of the financial year. It would not be prudent at this stage to build this into the 2009/2010 budget. This position can be reviewed in January, 2009 before the budget proposals for 2009/2010 are finalised.
- 7.4 It is therefore suggested that a strategy is developed to address the underlying structural deficit over the next eighteen months, to ensure

efficiencies and other measures can be implemented from 1st April, 2010.

7.5 As part of this strategy and the statutory requirement for the Council to set a balanced budget for the forthcoming financial year. Cabinet will need to determine how the 2009/2010 budget is balanced. The following measures are available for Cabinet's consideration:

	0	
		<u>Potential</u> <u>Value</u> <u>£'000</u>
i)	Mill House – Prudential Borrowing Repayment budget (temporary)	309
	This amount is included to meet the repayment costs of using Prudential Borrowing. In practice this amount will not be needed in 2009/2010. This amount could therefore be earmarked as a one-off contribution towards the Mill House replacement, or taken as a temporary saving in 2009/2010. There may be a similar benefit in 2010/2011.	
ii)	Additional 1% efficiencies/savings target (permanent)	820
	Detailed proposals are set out at Appendix F. The achievement of these proposals will be challenging.	
iii) Uncommitted one-off resources (temporary)	1,735
	It was previously suggested that this amount be used over a three-year period to support the revenue budget. Given the size of the 2009/2010 budget deficit, consideration needs to be given to using a greater proportion of this amount to support the 2009/2010 budget. It should be noted that these resources total £2.217m, although only £1.735m will have been received by 31 st March, 2008. This is therefore the maximum available to support the 2009/2010 budget.	
iv) Additional 1% Council Taxincrease (permanent)	370
	Cabinet have previously approved an indicative 2009/2010 Council Tax increase of 3.9% and indicated that they do not wish to implement a higher increase. In view of the revised budget deficit Cabinet may wish to consider the impact of a	

7.1 SCC 09.01.09 2009 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals App 1

4.9% increase. An increase at this level should not be capped, although this cannot be guaranteed.

7.6 The measures identified above potentially provide £3.2m to offset the revised budget gap. For planning purposes it is assumed that Cabinet will wish to maintain the Council Tax increase at 3.9%, this reduces the amount available to £2.9m. This lower amount still exceeds the revised budget gap. For Members information the impact of alternative Council Tax increases is illustrated in the following table.

	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	Cumulative £'000
Option 1 - Current Position				
Council Tax increase	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	
Budget Deficit	2,677	2,695	2,503	7,875
Option 2				
Council Tax increase	3.9%	4.9%	4.9%	
Budget Deficit	2,677	2,325	1,763	6,765
Option 3				
Council Tax increase	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	
Budget Deficit	2,307	1,955	1,393	5,655

7.7 It is therefore suggested that the gap is bridged firstly by taking the temporary Mill House savings, then by implementing additional permanent 1% efficiencies/savings and finally additional one-off resources. This strategy will help reduce the underlying structural deficit. It will also enable uncommitted one-off resources to be carried forward to support future year's budgets. If Members approve this strategy the 2009/2010 budget deficit of £2.677m can be bridged as follows

<u>£'000</u>

•	Allocate the Mill House Loan Repayment budget	300
•	Implement additional 1% efficiency savings	820
•	Using part of the uncommitted one-off resources	1,557
	(the unused amount can be allocated to support the	

- 7.8 Part of the Mill House Repayment budget may need to be allocated for design and project management costs in 2009/2010 if Members wish to pursue this proposal quickly. This would reduce the support available to support the 2009/2010 budget.
- 7.9 Detailed proposals for achieving the additional 1% efficiencies/savings are provided in Appendix G. These issues are

2010/2011 budget)

more challenging to achieve than the 3% efficiencies detailed in Appendix B.

7.10 Assuming Members approve the above proposals the impact on the budget forecast for the next three years is as follows:

Feb. 08 Forecast			Latest F	orecasts	
2009/10 £'000		2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	201 1/12 £'000	Cumulative £'000
402	REVISED BUDGET DEFICIT (as detailed in paragraph 6.54)	2,677	2,695	2, 503	7,876
	Mil House Loan Repayment budget (temp orary) 1% Additional 2008/09 savings (perm anent) One off uncom mitted resources (temporary)	(300) (820) (1,557)	0 (841) (330)	0 (862) (330)	
402	NET FORECAST DEFICIT	0	1,525	1,312	

7.11 The above table indicates that the measures to balance the 2009/2010 budget do not address the underlying structural deficit. They do, however, provide more time to address this position. However, in 2010/2011 this strategy will require the identification of total efficiencies of £4m, as detailed below. This figure assumes that new pressures in 2010/2011 can be contained with the available headroom of £1.5m. If this is not the case additional efficiencies or service reductions will need to be identified.

2010/2011 Total Efficiency Target

	<u>£'000</u>
Existing 3% Target	2,522
Existing Net 2010/2011 Budget Deficit	<u>1,525</u>
	4.047

8. INITIAL CONSULTATION MEETING

8.1 Detailed consultation meetings have been held with the Trade Unions and representatives from the Business Sector. Minutes of these meetings are attached at Appendix H.

9. CONCLUSION

9.1 As reported previously there will be an overspend on departmental budgets in the current year of £2.6m. The Corporate Management Team have considered the implications of carrying this amount forward and repaying over the next three years. It is suggested that this option is not adopted owing to the more challenging financial position for 2009/2010 and beyond. An alternative strategy for funding this overspend is suggested which avoids departments starting 2009/2010 in debt. This strategy also avoids the use of General Fund Balances, which are forecast to be 3% at the end of the current financial year, which is the minimum prudent level. The overriding objective of the outturn strategy is to maximise resources

carried forward to support future years risks. The proposals in the report enable this to be achieved and $\pounds 2.217m$ is available to assist future budgets from the 2008/2009 outturn.

- 9.2 In February, 2008 it was reported that the sustainability of the budget position in 2009/2010 and beyond depended on the achievement of efficiency savings and cost increases not exceeding the available budgeted headroom. On this basis small deficits were forecast for these years.
- 9.3 The financial position for 2009/2010 and beyond is significantly more challenging than previously anticipated. At a local level this is owing to increased pressures in relation to Children's and Adult Social Services and Job Evaluation. The local position will also be affected by the impact of the recession. The most visible initial sign of this locally will be a significant reduction in investment income owing to interest rates falling to levels not seen for over 50 years. Other income streams will come under increasing pressure in 2009/2010.
- 9.4 The 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 position has been assisted by the Government's confirmation of previously announced grant allocations for these years. Beyond 2010/2011 Government grant allocation will be less favourable owing to the impact of the recession on the Government's own financial position. However, despite the grant stability for the next two years the Council still faces an increased structural budget deficit for 2009/2010 and beyond.
- 9.5 This is not a new problem and the Council has been funding a structural deficit for a number of years from the use of reserves. The previous strategy reflected the planning assumption that the budget could be brought back into balance over a number of years through a combination of increasing grant allocations, Council Tax increases, the achievement of efficiencies and the control of costs. The latter factor is the most difficult to achieve owing to the demand lead nature of many services, particularly Children's and Adult Social Services.
- 9.6 In 2009/2010 the position can be managed by increasing the level of temporary support to £5.3m, compared to £2.7m planned in February, 2008. This position is not sustainable beyond 2009/2010. Therefore, a strategy will need to be developed during 2009/2010 to address the structural deficit, which arises because expenditure is increasing at a faster rate than income.
- 9.7 The Business Transformation programme will make a significant contribution to reducing the structural budget deficit and achieving the 2010/2011 efficiency targets included in the budget forecasts. However, the Business Transformation programme will not fund the whole of the budget deficit and other measures will need to be identified during 2009/2010 to put the budget onto a sustainable basis from 1st April, 2010.

- 9.8 Detailed proposals for achieving the 3% efficiency target in 2009/2010 are set out in the report. At this stage a detailed assessment of the one-off costs of achieving these efficiencies has not yet been completed. This work is ongoing and will enable costs to be quantified and a funding strategy to be developed.
- 9.9 The current 2009/2010 forecast assume no investment income, although in practice this is likely to be pessimistic. There is some potential scope for investment income in 2009/2010 but this will be at a much lower level owing to interest rate reductions and changes in cashflows (paying local suppliers early and more challenging collection of amounts payable to the Council). Other income streams will come under increasing pressure in 2009/2010 and this will need to be a first call on investment income. These issues are currently being assessed and an update will be provided in January, 2009.
- 9.10 The future budget position will not be helped by the impact of the current recession which will result in lower growth in public sector expenditure in the next Comprehensive Spending Review, covering the three years 2011/2012 to 2012/2013. The Chancellor recently stated that public sector growth will be only 1.1% over this period. As Education and Health are likely to be given priority, whatever the outcome of the next election, other areas of the public sector, including local authorities are likely to be given a lower priority. At best this will mean lower increases in grants than in recent years. At worst even cuts in funding. At the same time demographic pressures will continue.

10. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 10.1 It is recommended that the following proposals be put forward for formal scrutiny:
- 10.2 2008/2009 Outturn Strategy
- 10.3 Approve the proposal to earmark the increase in the stock of funds as follows:
 - £400,000 to manage RTB timing risk;
 - £639,000 to fund initial budget deficits for 2009/2010 and 2010/2011;
 - £93,000 for Bus Services (approved by Council November, 2008);
 - £23,000 for one-off costs associated with the revised Legal Services restructure;
 - £2,217,000 to support the 2009/2010 to 2010/2011 budgets.
- 10.4 Approve the proposals that capital expenditure up to the value of £2.6m which it was planned to fund from revenue budgets (RCCO's) and the Capital Funding Reserves should be funded from Prudential Borrowing. The resulting repayment costs in 2009/2010 will be

funded by earmarking part of the 2008/2009 RCCO's and from 2010/2011 these costs will be a first call of the £1.5m budget headroom.

- 10.5 Approve the proposal to earmark the revenue resources released from recommendation 10.4 to fund the 2008/2009 departmental overspends.
- 10.6 Approve the proposal to earmark the 2008/2009 additional investment income firstly to offset 2008/2009 income shortfalls, then £1.5m for Building Schools for the Future costs and £0.5m as a risk contingency for potential income shortfalls on the Tall Ships budget which may arise from adverse weather when the ships visit. In the event that the Tall Ships amount is not needed these resources should be returned to the General Fund in 2010/2011.
- 10.7 Capital
- 10.8 Approve the proposals that Government capital allocations should be passported.
- 10.9 Approve the proposal to continue Capital investment of £1.2m in local priorities for the three years 2009/2010 to 2011/2012, which will be funded from supported borrowing. Details proposals for 2009/2010 are set in Appendix A.
- 10.10 Approve the proposal to provide Capital investment of £1.2m in 2009/2010 to fund a range of health and safety and property improvements. Detailed proposals will be reported in January, 2009.
- 10.11 2009/2010 Revenue Budget
- 10.12 Approve the proposed 3% efficiencies detailed in Appendix B.
- 10.13 Approve the revised net pressures etc., as detailed in the following Appendices:
 - Appendix C Pressures
 - Appendix D Contingency
 - Appendix E Terminating Grants
- 10.14 Approve the proposed 2009/2010 allocations and 2010/2011 indicative allocations of the Area Based Grant detailed in Appendix F. Note that in the event that Council in February, 2009, do not approve individual ABG allocations the Council will need to underwrite such schemes for a three month period to enable Partners to comply with statutory redundancy times cales.

- 10.15 Approve the revised corporate planning assumptions for reducing the provision for pay awards by 0.5%, saving £0.2m (paragraph 6.42) and increase in the salary turnover target of £0.3m (paragraph 6.43).
- 10.16 Approve the reduction in 2008/2009 pressures etc. of £50,000 (paragraph 6.44).
- 10.17 Approve the reduction in 2009/2010 pressures etc. of £100,000 (paragraph 6.46).
- 10.18 Approve the temporary measures for reducing the budget gap from reduced job evaluation protection costs £0.2m (paragraph 6.49) and additional benefit subsidy income £0.2m (paragraph 6.50).
- 10.19 Approve the proposal to bridge the net budget gap for 2009/2010 of £2.677m as follows and to note that this does not address the underlying structural budget deficit which will need addressing during 2009/2010:

	<u>£'000</u>
 Allocate the Mill House Loan Repayment budget 	300
 Implement additional 1% savings 	820*
 Using part of the uncommitted one-off resources 	1,557

*Details provided at Appendix G.

10.20 Delegate authority to the Chief Financial Officer to respond to the provisional grant settlement as suggested in paragraph 6.12.

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (A)

SCHEDULE OF LOCAL CAPITAL PROPOSALS

	-		
Project	2009/10	2010/11	
	Allocation	Allocation	
	£'000	£'000	
Schemes approved as part of 2008/09 Budget Process			
Civic Centre Ramp Access	151.0		(a)
Highways Maintenance Schemes	40.0		(a)
Coast Protection (North Pier)	100.0		(a)
Economic Development - Industrial and Commercial	100.0		(a)
Regeneration Match Funding	100.0		(a)
			()
Proposal to be allocated as part of 2009/10 Budget Process			
<u> </u>			
Grayfields Mini soccer pitches	74.0		(b)
Energy Efficiency schemes	20.0		(b)
Non-adopted highway areas	100.0		(b)
Wharton Terrace Area (Removal of planters)	50.0		(b)
Municipal Buildings Fire system	12.5		(b)
Disabled facilities grants	100.0		(b)
Central library	100.0		(b)
Regeneration match funding	75.0		(b)
Economic Development - Industrial and Commercial	75.0		(b)
Shopping parade improvements	50.0		(b)
Mill House Leisure	350.0		(b)
Less 2009/10 over programming	(297.5)		(b)
	(20110)		()
Proposal to be allocated as part of 2010/11 Budget Process			
Less 2009/10 over programming		297.5	(b)
Proposal to be allocated as part of 2010/11 Budget Process		902.5	()
	1,200.0	1,200.0	1
	1,200.0	1,200.0	1

<u>Notes</u>

a) These schemes are be funded over two years commencing 2008/09 and committed £0.491m of the 2009/10 allocation. Council approved these schemes on 14th February 2008

b) The 2009/10 total shows an over programming in expectation of scheme costs coming in under budget estimates as in previous years and/or timing delays implanting projects. The Programme will be managed to ensure 2009/10 expenditure does not exceed resources, which may mean costs are be rolled forward into 2010/11 if necessary.

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (B)

ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

					Budget Value				ue										
r Portfolio	s Dept/ Div	Budget heading / Cost Centre	L Corp Strategy theme	Description	/Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	y Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand's)	Treat as contingency item	: Staffing Impact	Other Comments
CLT	A&CSD CS	Community Forest	7. C&L	Membership of North East Community Forest ended following merger of NECF with Groundwork Trust . In future work to be bid for on a project by project basis.	Efficiency		28	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	L	No	
CLT	A&CSD CS	Grounds Maint Contract 1 and 2	7. C&L	Reconfigure attendant provision at Grayfields and Summerhill at a lower cost than the current contractual Arrangements	Efficiency		13	4	0) 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
CLT	A&CSD CS	Art Gallery Tourist Information	7. C&L	Streamlining of site management rostas and <u>minor</u> adjustments to service opening times, including streamlined private view arrangements.	Efficiency		18	0	0) 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0.4	
СГТ	A&CSD CS	Art Gallery Maritime Experience Museum of Hartlepool	7. C&L	To get better value from suppliers by reviewing contracts and replacing them with more efficient ways of working.	Efficien		22	0	0) 0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral	All	NO	0.5	
СГТ	A&CSD CS	Libraries General Reference Library	7. C&L	Reduce expenditure on library stock; using internal and external data to enable better and more informed purchasing choices to be made. Stock and community profiling in 2009/10 to help identify local usage and key areas of stock. Benefits; improved stock turn [stock attracts more issues], stock better reflects user requirements.	Efficiency		15	0	0	0 0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral	AII	1	No	
СГТ	A&CSD CS	Central Library Relief Register	73	Introduction of RFID ie. self issue & receipt of library books, at the Central Library. RFID agreed by Cabinet and approved by IT Partnership Board subject to further clarification of cost analysis. Benefit: staff released from repetitive and manual tasks to improve customer services.	Efficiency		21	0	0	0 0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral	All	No	1.0	
СГТ	A&CSD CS	Community Centres	7. C&L	Restructure of cleaning and caretaking staff within Community Centres to deliver service at lower cost than current arrangements.	Efficiency		20	15	0) 0	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0.0	

W:\CSword\Democratic Services\SCRUTINY FORUMS+SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\Reports\Reports - 2008-2009\09.01.09\7.1 SCC 09.01.09 2009 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals App 1 b1 Standard form

ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

					Budget Value				lue										
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading / Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand's)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
СГТ	A&CSD CS	Community Development	7. C&L	Reduction in printing, training and project development fund budgets whilst maintaining service level.	Efficiency		12	0	0	0 0	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Negative	AII	No	0.0	
СLT	A&CSD CS	Borough Building	7. C&L	Redine the working arrangements within the Borough Hall and Sports Centre to maximise targeted activity and use.	Efficiency		20	0	0	0 0	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All	No	2.0	
СLT	A&CSD CS	Arts Development	7. C&L	Redirect investment in professional artist fees. This includes reduction of budget from Tees Valley investment Fund to allow direct spend in Hartlepool.	Efficiency		10	0	0	0 0	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All	No	2.0	
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Support, Time & Recovery Team	3. H&C	Current Support Time and Recovery service over staffed by 2 posts (currently vacant). Reducing this service by these 2 posts will not affect provision and retains the number of staff needed to deliver the service.	Efficiency		39	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	All	No	2.0	
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Brooklyn Day Centre	3. H&C	Access ing people to mainstream provision rather than building based statutary provision thereby promoting choice and social inclusion.	Efficiency		5	0	C	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	Disability	No	Nil	
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Warren Road Day Centre	3. H&C	Reduction in the number of senior link workers to allow a flatter management structure and more flexible working to promote a more modernised and efficient servcice and release cash for Individual Budgets.	Efficiency		60	0	0	0 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	3.5	
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Learning Disabilities SWAT Team	3. H&C	Co-location of LA and NHS Learning Disability teams at Warren Road, enabling efficiencies across rent and utilities.	Efficiency		30	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	Disability	2	Nil	
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Sensory Loss Team	3. H&C	Physical Disabilities team to be relocated within loclity teams to promote integrated and seamless service provision. Team Manager post, currently vacant, to be disestablished.	Efficiency		45	0	0	0 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	Disability	No	1.0	

ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

										T			Budget Value										
APHS Portfolio	A&CSD ASC Dept/ Div	Budget heading / Cost Centre Sensory Loss Team	3. H&C Corp Strategy theme	Description Physical Disabilities team to be relocated within loclity teams to promote integrated and seamless service provision. Team clerk post, currently filled by temporary postholder, to be disestablished.	Efficiency Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000			Cost of efficiency	1. Low Risk impact	1. Unlikely Risk probability .	н Risk score	Green Risk status	Neutral Rate the Diversity impact	Disability Diversity strand's)	No Treat as contingency item	L Staffing Impact	Other Comments				
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Warren Road Day Centre	3. H&C	Relocation to share accommodation and thereby reduce costs of rent and utilities by sharing the costs across the LA and NHS.	Efficiency		3	0	0	0 0	1. Low	Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	Disability	No	Nil					
APHS	A&CSD ASC A	Learning Disabilities Agency	3. Н&С	Use of the fair price tool kit across the Tees region to allow efficient and equitable pricing by reviewing contracts.	Efficiency		30	0	0	0 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely 1.	1	Green	Neutral	Disability	No	Nil					
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Learning Disabilities Agency	3. H&C	End block contract for respite care beds service and develop alternative, smaller unit with other respite care alternatives in line with personalised services.	Efficiency		50	0	0	0 0	2. Medium	1. Unlikely	2	Green	Neutral	Disability	No	Nil					
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Adults Management	9. OrgD	Review of planning function to link to wider reorganisation of Adult Social care to ensure more efficient processes.	Efficiency		44	0	0	0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral	All	NO	1.0					
APHS	c A&CSD ASC	Care Management Team 2	9. OrgD	Integration of management structures with PCT.	Efficiency		45	0	0	0 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	1.0					
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Duty Team	9. OrgD	Re-alignment of skill mix within Duty team - capacity at first point of contact unaffected.	Efficiency		10		0		1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	NO	0.0					

W:\CSword\Democratic Services\SCRUTINY FORUMS+SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\Reports\Reports - 2008-2009\09.01.09\7.1 SCC 09.01.09 2009 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals App 1 b1 Standard form

ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

							Budget Value			Budget Value												
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading / Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000		11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand's)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments			
APHS	A&CSD SS	Support Services	9. OrgD	Review of divisional admin staff planned for late 2008. Links to wider Business Transformation programme.	Efficiency		37	0	0	0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral	AII	No	2.0				
APHS	A&CSD SS	Workforce Planning & Development	9. OrgD	Changes to deployment of training resources, including possible procurement and partnership gains.	Efficiency		15	0	0	0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral	All	No	No				
APHS	A&CSD SS	Finance Section	9. OrgD	Finance Section receive and manage benefits on behalf of many service users. Departmental Review planned for late 2008, including processes and numbers of referrals.	Efficiency		25	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	1.0				
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Older People Purchasing	9. OrgD	Hartfields Extra Care Village to be utilised rather than residential care for older people who require substantial levels of support to remain safe. Improve quality of live. Manage financial resources more effectively.	Efficiency		125	0	0	0	2. Medium	3. Likely	6	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	No				
СLТ	A&CSD CS	Leisure Centres	7. C&L	Review of Mill House Leisure Centre staffing and rostering arrangements to maximise efficient working.	Efficiency		20		5	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	NO	3.0				
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Integrated Care Team 1 and 3	9. OrgD	Integration of internal Homecare service and Intensive Support team to create new Direct Care & Support Service. Integration with PCT will support the introduction of Telehealth and offer a more efficient service around rapid response cases. Focussing on early intervention and using specialist workforce to deliver outcomes and transfer less complex work to independant sector.	Efficiency		193	0	0	0	2. Medium	4. Almost certain	8	Red	Neutral	All	No	6.7				

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (B)

ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

							Bud	get Val	ue	T									
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading / Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000		11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand's)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
SHdV	A&CSD ASC	Occupational Therapy	9. OrgD	Redesign of business processes in Occuptational Therapy, building on work completed with Care Services Efficiency Delivery programme, and embracing electronic and home working. Improvements in technology and review of skill mix will lead to more robust scheduling at first point of contact.	Efficiency		35		0	0	2. Medium	4. Almost certain	8	Red	Neutral	AII	N	2.0	
							1,010	29	5										

CHIEF EXECUTIVES DEPARTMENT - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

								dget Va											
Perf Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	1. Unlikely Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CED CSI		9. OrgD	A reduction in a variety of operating expenses within Corporate Strategy division including, as a result of reviews of paper circulation, reductions in printing costs	Efficiency		9.1	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	NO	0	
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	Reviews of consultation activity and changes in practise have resulted in a reduced need for fieldwork activities to undertake scheduled consultation	Efficiency		7.0				1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No		The reduction in fieldwork activities will have no direct impact on staffing as these workers are employed only for specific consultation exercises on short term contracts
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	Minor reductions in operating expenses	Efficiency		2.5				1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	Reduction in printing costs for Corporate Plan as take up of hard copies has reduced significantly in recent years	Efficiency		1.0				1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
Fin	CED F	Accountancy	9. OrgD	Following the implementation of new Financial Management System and review of working practices a vacant Accounting Technician post can be deleted. Whislt, this proposal will not impact on current operational requirements, it reduces capacity to support non core activities, such as new corporate initiatives, support for departmental finance teams when they have vacancies, or support of new grant regimes.	Efficiency		22.0				1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	AII	No	-1.0	Staffing reduction already achieved as post vacant.

CHIEF EXECUTIVES DEPARTMENT - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

<u></u>				IMENT - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES				dget Va		1									
Fin Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000		11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
	CED F	Internal Audit	9. OrgD	Internal Audit are implementing new audit management software (Teammate) and associated changes to operational practices during 2008/09. These changes will enable a reduction in staffing of 0.3 fte.	Efficiency		7.0				1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All			Staffing reduction agreed with specific employee who wishes to reduce working hours.
Fin	CED F	Recovery and Insp	9. OrgD	Increased net income from extension of Internal Bailiff pilot within HBC to cover 3 officers, with bailiff charges accruing to the Council.	Efficiency		41.0				1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	All	No	0	
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Following the implementation of new HR/Payroll System and review of working practices two currently filled HR Administrator posts can be deleted within 3 months of Phase 1A being tested and implemented. Whilst, this proposal will not impact on current operational requirements, it reduces capacity to support non core activities, such as new corporate initiatives or demands from schools under SLA	Efficiency		56	0	0		1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All	No	-2	
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Reduced printing and postage costs arising HR/Payroll system	Efficiency		1	0	C		1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	A review of operating practices has resulted in the identification of reduced printing and circulation costs and a reduction of 0.5 admin staff	Efficiency		13.4				2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	-0.5	
							160	0	0										

CHILDREN'S SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

								dget Va											
CS Portfolio	R&SS Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	2. LLL Corp Strategy theme	Description In setting the 2008/09 budget the department had to incorporate £100k for the back scanning of social care records to comply with legislation.	Efficiency Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000		Cost of efficiency	Low Risk impact	Unlikely Risk probability .	ь Risk score	Green Risk status	Neutral Rate the Diversity impact	All Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item		Other Comments Temporary contracts will not be extended for 4 staff.
	CSD			This exercise involves temporary staffing and equipment costs and the exercise should be completed by 31st March 2009.							1.	1.							
CS	CSD R&SS	Premature Retirement Costs	5. LLL	The department is continuing to experience reduced costs on its PRC (Premature Retirement Costs) budget as former employees and their dependents die. Based on current commitments, savings of £30,000 are projected in 2009/10.	Efficiency		30	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
cs	CSD P&SI	Youth	8.StC	A mini restructure as part of integrated working between Connexions and the Youth Service will result in a managerial post being saved. This will release a vacant post yielding a net saving of £40k. There would be no adverse impact on provision for young people.	Efficiency		40	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	All	No		This will involve the transfer of a mainstream funded post to PAYP grant funding with longer term risk if grant is discontinued. Currently secure until 2010/2011.
cs	CSD S&SS	Exmoor Grove	3. H&C	Staffing and premises savings have been identified resulting from changes to the shift patterns and opening hours at Exmoor Grove with no adverse impact on service delivery or children accessing service.	Efficiency		90	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
S	CSD S&SS	Resource Centres	4. Csa	Efficiencies from maintenance and building costs associated with family resource centres.	Efficiency		20	0	0	0	1. Lo	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
CS	CSD R&SS	Admin Support	די דרד	Reduction in admin support posts across the Children's Services Department through rationalisation of service and maximising potential benefits of current vacancies.	Efficiency		54	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	NO	0	These savings can be made without redundancy
CS		School Improvement Partners	2. ווו	Review arrangements in relation to School Improvement Partners and OfSTED inspections to maximise income and reduce costs.	Efficiency		12	6	0	0	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All	No		Some minimal impact on services to schools.

CHILDREN'S SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

								lget Va											
CS Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre o o O	2. LLL Corp Strategy theme	Description Deletion of Outdoor Education Co-ordinator post.	Efficiency Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000 40	10/11 £000		Cost of efficiency saving	1. Low Risk impact	Unlikely Risk probability .	1 Risk score	Green Risk status	Neutral Rate the Diversity impact	All Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments Currently a vacant post.
S		School Development & Curriculum Co-or	2. LLL	This budget is used to support "one-off" initiatives and to help those schools in challenging circumstances. Deletion of this funding may increase the risk of schools moving into Ofsted or cause for concern category and/or pupil performance declining.	Efficiency		40	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible 1.	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	0	Possible adverse impact on schools
S	CSD R&SS	Pupil Support	5 . LLL	Further reduce the subsidy paid to support attendance at Lanehead and Carlton Outdoor Centres by pupils from low income families. Hartlepool currently provides higher subsidies than Middlesbrough and Redcar and Cleveland Councils although the gap narrowed as a result of the 2008/09 budget.	Efficiency		5	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	0	
CS	CSD R&SS	Admin Support	2. LLL	Reduction of admin support posts across the Children's Services Department through rationalisation of service.	Efficiency		126	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No		These savings can be made whilst maintaining appropriate service levels but could lead to up to 5 redundancies.
cs	CSD R&SS	Primary Swimming		Savings could be made from the use of swimming pools and rationalisation of staff employed to deliver the primary swimming programme. This could involve redundancy costs and/or one off costs to buy out existing contracts.	Efficiency		10	0	0	0	2. Medium	3. Likely	6	Amber	Neutral	All	No	1	
CS	CSD S&SS	Commissioning	0	Efficiencies could be realised via improved commissioning and procurement practice with external suppliers of daycare.	Efficiency		26	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	0	
							593	6	0										

NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

								7.1 APPENDIX 1 (B)
obability .	ore	atus	e Diversity impact	y strand(s)	s contingency item	Impact	Other Comments	

									jet va											
		Budget heading/ Cost	Cost Centre Code	ше	Description	oposal	cation	£000	10/1 1 £000	11/1 2 £000	y saving £000					impact		ncy item		Other Comments
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Centre		Corp Strategy theme		Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification				Cost of efficiency	Ř	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	
NC	NSD PP	Pride in Hartlepool		5. Env	External Sponsorship for Pride in Hartlepool	Efficiency		5	0	0	n	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	All	No	0	
NC	NSD F&BD	Admin		9. OrgD	Revised reception arrangements at Church Street Offices releasing 0.5 FTE	Efficiency		10	10	10	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	ALI	No	1	
APHS	NSD PP	Consumer Services	36741	3. H&C	Savings in licensing operations based on income predictions / operational needs.	Efficiency		20	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	amber	Neutral	AII	no	0	There may be a risk if there are changes in these needs.
APHS	NSD PP	Cems and Crems (36743)		3. H&C	Additional income by increasing burial and cremation charges by 10%.	Efficiency		44	29	13	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	amber	Neutral	ALL	No	no	
Fin		FM ex Property Services		5. Env	Restructure building maintenance and management section	Efficiency		35	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	amber	Neutral	AII	No	1	
Fin	NSD PS	Asset and Property Manageme		5. Env	Reconfigure property management service with retirement of staff member	Efficiency		40	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	amber	Neutral	all	ou	1	
NC	NSD TS	Road Safety	36711	5. Env	Advertising and marketing within road safety section	Efficiency		5	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	all	No	0	e.g. marketing of driver training scheme
nc	NSD NM	Env		5. Env	Rationalisation of supervision of weekend working	Efficiency		10	2	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	amber	Neutral	all	ou	0	A review of weekend operations to be carried out to identify areas of efficiency and duplication
					W:\CSword\Democratic Services\SCRUTINY FORUMS+SCRUTC		CTT				[]			L 20	00.00		0.01.0	0) 7 1		0.01.00.2000 Dudget and Dalies Free

Budget Value

W:\CSword\Democratic Services\SCRUTINY FORUMS+SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\Reports - 2008-2009\09.01.09\7.1 SCC 09.01.09 Z009 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals App 1 b4

		1	-				1					r									7.1 APPENDIX 1 (B)
	NSD NM Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	1 £000		Cost of efficiency	Risk impact		Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments	
		Waste Disposal		5. Env	Reduction of end market costs for the recycling of plastic and cardboard	Efficiency ⁻		20		C	0 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	green	Neutral	all	ou	0		
	NSD	ΕM		5. Env	Restructure of Facilities Management Services	Efficiency		30	0	C) 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	all	ou	1		
	MN QSN	Grounds		5. Env	Reconfigure schools grounds maintenance service releasing 0.5 FTE	Efficiency		10	0	C) 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	all	No	1		
UN N	MN DSN	Enforcement		5. Env	Fine income generation through the introduction of dog control orders	Efficiency		10	2	2	2 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	all	ou	0		
<u> </u>	MN DSN	Neighbourhood Management		5. Env	Restructure savings within Neighbourhood Management	Efficiency		92	0	C) 0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	amber	Neutral	all	ou	0		
	INSD AII	overall budgets		9. OrgD	Cash freeze a range of budget headings at 2008/09 level.	Efficiency		25	0	C) 0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	all	No	0		
2 U	NSD PS	N/S facilities management			Reduction of one further member of FM team dealing with schools. Minimal risk. W:\CSword\Democratic Services\SCRUTINY FORUMS+SCRUTO	Efficiency	Dept.	32		C	56	2. Mediu	2. Poss	4	Amber	Neutral	All	No	1		

W:\CSword\Democratic Services\SCRUTINY FORUMS+SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\SCRUTCOORD CTTEE\Reports - 2008-2009\09.01.09\7.1 SCC 09.01.09 2009 Budget and Policy Framework Proposals App 1 b4

	-			1		-	1				-										7.1 APPENDIX 1 (B)
APHS Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification		10/1 1 £000	11/1 2 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probabilit <u></u>	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments	
	ž	Admin Civic			Reduction in administrative IT support, saving in one post.	Efficiency	Dept.	20	0	0	10	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All	No	1		
NC	NSD	Engineering consul	36702		Removal of Principal Engineer post as part of the exercise to combine Building and enginerring consultancy divisions.	Efficiency	Dept.	45	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	No	1		
	NSD NM	Grounds maint	36632		Rationalisation of supervisory arrangements resulting in a reduction of two posts.	Efficiency	Dept.	24	0	0	60	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	No	1		
рна	Z	consumer services			Reduction in one technical officers post, Low inpact work can be absorbed by current team.	Efficiency	Dept.	15	0	0	8	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	AII	No	1		
SHOV	z	Markets	36745		Markets supervision function to be transferred to neighbourhood management. Saving one part time post.	Efficiency	Dept.	10	0	0	2	1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	AII	No	1		
	NSD NM	Street cleansing	36291		Modernisation of Cleansing and grounds maint function resulting in savings on vehicle usage.	Efficiency	Dept.	19	0	0	0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	No	0		
								521	43	25											

Adult and Community Services - Pressures

						Bu	dget Va	alue										
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal		0 10/11 £000		Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Older People	3. H&C	Closure of St.Cuthberts Day Centre has led to displaced individuals needing services that are delivered at a more expensive cost than those previously provided by the Diocese.	Pressure	45	0	C	0	3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Positive	Age	No	0	
APHS	A&CSD ASC	Learning Disabilities Agency	3. H&C	14 young people with autism and high level, complex needs will become adults in 09/10 and require costly packages of care to ensure that they have a quality of life and maximum opportunities to access mainstream and ordinary opportunities in their community. Initial pressure increased by £55,000 to reflect additional case.	Ψ	355	6 0	C	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Negative	Disability	ON		Every effort made to secure funding partnership with health for these high cost packages
APHS	A&CSD ASC	MH Agency	3. H&C	Increasing number of people with mental illness and dual diagnosis or autistic spectrum disorder requiring high cost packages. Pressure on budget and statutory duty to meet assessed needs.	Pressure	200	0 0	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Negative	Disability	No		Statutory duty to meet assessed need. Frequently split funding with LD services or PD services.
				Total Adult & Community Services		600	0 0	0	0									

Chief Executive's Department - Pressures

						Bu	dget V	alue	1									
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/C ost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal		10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Safer workforce - HR Operational support increased to ensure compliance by Depts in respect of safer workforce practices. Major areas include recruitment, structure/checking of personal files etc. Additional and on-going training of managers in departments required. Risk to the Authority in respect of non compliance in respect of procedures will be increased. Potential effect on Councils rating. Independent Safeguarding Authority - increased work in relation to registration/clearance of employees. Failure to support could result in the employment of individuals who pose a risk to children / vulnerable adults.	Pressure	53	C	0		3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII	NO	0	
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Tees Valley and Durham Communications service - (BSL interpretation). Previously funded from Human Resources managed revenue underspend. The Council has extended the contract for another three years. This service provision is essential so as not to discriminate the deaf people from using our services. The benefits are that we will be complying with the Equality legislation and promoting equal opportunities to all our customers. Diversity consultations with ethnic minorities, Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual and Trans- gender (LGBT) community, people with disabilities and to start a religious forum. Previously funded by Corporate Strategy as new initiative. Equality Act 2006 looks for compliance in providing services to all the diversity strands. The benefits are immense as this would lead to providing services to all sections within our communities free from discrimination.	Pressure	13	C	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Positive	Disability	No		This payment is to cover the admin costs as the usage is re-charged to the relevant departments. Corporate strategy funded these as they were initiatives. Now with their budget pressures, they cannot continue to fund these existing consultations.

					Bu	dget Va		T										7.1 APPENDIX 1 (C)
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments	
Perf	CED AII		9. OrgD	The Government have been developing, for some considerable period of time, a mechanism to allow the secure sharing of data between public sector organisations. Whilst this development has been ongoing for a period of time the Government, through a variety of government departments are now mandating the use of this mechanism, called Government Connects, for the sharing of key elements of information. The first, though not likely to be last, government department to mandate it's use for information is Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). Government Connects, from April of next year, will be the only place that the authority can access DWP data which is essential for the ongoing operation of the Benefits function in the authority. Although this is the only governemnt department to do this to date there are likely to be other departments taking such a stance in the near future. Not enabling the connection to Government Connects will mean that there are mandatory parts of the benefits service which the authority will be unable to provide with a subsequent impact on a high performing and important service. Government connects is currently partially funded from central resources but this funding will cease in 2011 hence the increased revenue costs of 24K		0	24		3. High I	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Negative	AIL	- O Z		There are two elements to the pressure. A capital cost to enable connect £ 43 K and an ongoing revenue impact of £ 9K	
Fin	CED F	Accountancy	9. OrgD	From 2010/11 Local Authorities will need to comply with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) when preparing the Annual Statement of Accounts. Work on complying with these requirements will need to be undertaken during 2009/10 to ensure compliance with IFRS from 2010/11 as these changes are extensive. Compliance with IFRS will be extremely challenging and experience from the private sector, which has already adopted IFRS, indicates that there is a significant increase in the work required to produce statutory accounts and a 20% increase in external audit fees. It is envisaged that an additional accountant will be required to comply with IFRS. Non compliance with IFRS would result in the External Auditor qualifying the Accounts, which in turn impacts on the Use of Resources and CPA/CAA (Comprehensive Performance Assessment/Comprehensive Area Assessments) scores.	42	1	2		3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	All	No		Pressures reduced by £21,000 for 2009/10 as part of cost can be funded from departmental resources in 2009/10.	

						Bud	get Va	lue										
Perf Portfolio	CED HR Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	9. OrgD Corp Strategy	Description Jag a Jag b a c a <tr< td=""><td>proposal</td><td></td><td>10/11 £000 0</td><td></td><td>Cost of efficien savi</td><td>2. Medium</td><td>Likely Risk probability .</td><td>on Risk score</td><td>Amber Risk status</td><td>Rate the Diversity impact</td><td>All Diversity strand(s)</td><td>No Treat as contingency item</td><td>Staffing Impact</td><td>Other Comments</td></tr<>	proposal		10/11 £000 0		Cost of efficien savi	2. Medium	Likely Risk probability .	on Risk score	Amber Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	All Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CEX I C	E				63	2	2		2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	N	2	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Total Chief Executives Less Pressures reduced since October 2008 - Implementation of IFRS Total Chief Executives		188 (21) 167	3	28	<u> </u>		<u>[</u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

Children's Services - Pressures

					Bud	get Va	lue										
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description bpppget brobo			11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
CS	CSD S&SS	Looked After Children	1	The increased costs of care proceedings resulting from the Public Law Outline are expected to continue at an annual cost of at least £100,000 per year. This was highlighted when the 2008/09 budget was set and is being funded from contingencies in the current year.	100	0	0	0	3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Neutral	All	No	0	
S	CSD S&SS	Agency Residential	4.0	Anticipated commitments for the provision of residential care to children looked after exceed base budget. Commitments based on current children remaining in placement (which is anticipated) and no new placements being made. Budget volatile and subject to change based on presenting needs of children, costs may increase further.	100	0	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	All	Yes	0	
S	CSD S&SS	Fostering Agency		Anticipated commitments for independent foster placements for children looked after, based on current numbers in 08/09 financial year. Numbers unlikely to reduce.	275	0	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII	Yes	0	
S	CSD P&SI	Integrated Working	4. C	Revenue implications of implementing electronic assessment and social care records. Implementation of these systems is required by DCSF and failure to do so would lead to significant adverse inspection outcome. These systems underpin the development of integrated working to secure better outcomes for children	20	0	0	0	3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Neutral	All	No		Grants from government only covered capital investment not ongoing revenue costs.
S	CSD P&SI	Parent Partnership	3. H&C	Funding to increase the capacity of the Acorn Therapeutic Team to deliver Parent Partnership Services as required by Special Educational Needs regulation. New national exemplar standards have been issued by DCSF in 2007 and further capacity is needed if the service is to reach these standards.	5	0	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	Disability	No		This will allow a 'top up' of funding available in the budget to recruit additional staffing to provide additional hours.

Purpose Purpose Procentiation						Buc	dget V	alue	T									7.1 AP	PENDIX 1 (C)
S2 S2 <td< th=""><th>Portfolio Dent/ Div</th><th>heading Cost Centre</th><th>a</th><th>Description</th><th>Type of budget proposal</th><th>09/10</th><th>10/11</th><th>1 11/12</th><th>Cost of efficiency saving</th><th></th><th>Risk probability .</th><th>Risk score</th><th>Risk status</th><th>Rate the Diversity impact</th><th>Diversity strand(s)</th><th>Treat as contingency item</th><th>Staffing Impact</th><th>Other Comments</th><th></th></td<>	Portfolio Dent/ Div	heading Cost Centre	a	Description	Type of budget proposal	09/10	10/11	1 11/12	Cost of efficiency saving		Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments	
Signed SS	CSD P& SL		Children's Fund 4. Csa	redesigned to meet the government's requirement that outcomes for children will continue to improve and few children and young people will require specialist services such as looked after services, child protection, youth offending, mental health. New guidance on Children's Trust issued by DCSF has demonstrated the government's intention that there should be a step change in the speed of service integration. Failure to achieve this will lead to significant adverse inspection outcome and outcomes for vulnerable children and young people will not improve. The posts below are needed to redesign														Current demand on social care, LAC, YOS, psychology services and reliance on grants for short-term projects means that there is no spare capacity within the existing system to redirect resources to targeted and preventative work. Redesign of children's centres delivery and integration of Youth Service and Connexions supports these processes but do not in themselves provide the additional specialist	
S1 S2 S2 S2 Post of consultant social worker to support staff in children's centres, youth services, schools etc in managing risk and decision making. This post will be key in ensuring joined up 'team around the school services can be created to support front line staff in universal services in continuing to meet children and young people's needs. 9 42.5 0 0 0 12 P2 1 S2 S2 S2 S2 S2 S2 There is an expectation within the Public Law Outline arrangements that Family Group Conferences are held as part of the pre proceedings stage. There is currently no provision with Children's Services budget to meet the costs of commissioning independent Family Group Conferences. S2 S2 0 0 0 0 12 P2 1	CS CSD P&SI		Children's Fund 3. H&C	Intervention Project and manage the Common Assessment Framework (CAF) process at a case work level, ensuring appropriate interventions are put in	Pressure	46.5	C) () (3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Neutral	AII	No	1	capacity to support and divert children, young people and families from the specialist services.	
S S	CS		Children's Fund 3. H&C	youth services, schools etc in managing risk and decision making. This post will be key in ensuring joined up 'team around the school services can be created to support front line staff in universal services in continuing to meet	Pressure	42.5	C) (3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Neutral	All	No	1		
\Im		PLO / Family	Confe	Family Group Conferences are held as part of the pre proceedings stage. There is currently no provision with Children's Services budget to meet the costs of commissioning independent Family Group Conferences.	Pressure	20	C) ()	2.	3. Likely	6					0		
A G S S is support the training of educational psychologists. This continues to be an area to which it is difficult to recruit nationally. LA contributions are identified on basis of size and the DCSF/CWDC (Children's Workforce Development Council) indicated that Hartlepool's expected contribution is f12,000 per annum. Pays for first year trainee to receive a bursary while training. Image: Control of Control	CSD P&SI			support the training of educational psychologists. This continues to be an area to which it is difficult to recruit nationally. LA contributions are identified on basis of size and the DCSF/CWDC (Children's Workforce Development Council) indicated that Hartlepool's expected contribution is £12,000 per annum. Pays for first year trainee to receive a bursary while training.	Pressure) (Mediu	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	NO	0		

Neighbourhood Services - Pressures

		noou service				Buc	lget Va	lue	Ī									
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/C ost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	09/1 0 £000	10/1 1 £000	11/1 2 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Neutral Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Fin	SA DSN	Asset and Property Managem ent		The latest property condition surveys indicate that there is £2.5M of Essential works required within the next two years to prevent serious deterioration of fabric two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric or services and/or address a medium risk to the health and safety of occupants and/or remedy a less serious breach of legislation and a further £2.2M work required within three to five years that will prevent deterioration of the fabric or services and/or address a moder and/or address a low risk to the health and safety of occupants and/or remedy a minor breach of legislation. At current funding levels this will leave a shortfall which will result in a increse of aUrgent work that will be required to prevent immediate closure of premises and/or address an immediate high risk to the health and safety of occupants and/or remedy a serious breach of legislation. Pressure will support £1.2m of prudential borrowing to begin to address this issue.	Pressure	100	0	0		3. High	4. Almost certain	12	red	Neutral	AII	N		Needed to deliver Use of Resources requirement in Asset Management i.e. having a plan to reduce backlog of maintenance
	NSD PS			Emergency Planning / Callout arrangements at Richard Court - change after March 2009	Pressure	10	0	0	0	4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	16	red					Pressure deleted as covered by contingency item.
		No Budget		There is a legislative requirement to maintain additional closed churchyards that come on stream e.g we have now to take responsibility for Holy Trinity at Seaton Carew. This includes general grounds maintenance, boundary walls etc.	Pressure	10	0	0		3. High	3. Likely	6	red					Pressure deleted, will fund from existing budgets.
	NN USN		5. Env		Pressure	115	?	?		4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	16	red					Actual figure to be determined once gatefee for 09/10 confirmed
	MN DSN			Weed Control - European legislation has banned the use of dioron, the Authority can only use contact treatment which will involve 3 treatments a year. The use of bikes to spray chemicals have been banned which will result in an improved but more expensive targetted manual treatment	Pressure	40	0	0		4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	16	red					Pressures reduced following tendering exercise for provision of service.

						Bu	dget V	alue	T										
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/C ost Centre	Corp Strategy the		Type of budget proposal	09/1 0 £000		11/1 2 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments	7.1 APPENDIX 1 (C)
	MN DSN			Household Waste Recyling Centre Contract out to tender this financial year. Expected contract rates will increase.	Pressure	50												Will be in a more informed position in December to give an accurate figure. Therefore, item moved to contingency and £43,000 included in the overall contigency provision.	
				Total Neighbourhood Services		325	0	0)										ļ
				Less Pressures reduced since October 2008 - Emergency Planning / Callout arrangements at Richard Court - change after March 2009 - Closed Churchyards - Weed Control Household Waste Recyling Net Total Neighbourhood Services		(10) (10) (10) (50) 245													
			[Total all departments		1,633	(A)												
			[Total Pressures identified in October		1,679	(B)												
			[Reduction in Pressures		46	(A les	s B)											

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (D)

Adult and Community Services - Contingency

		Budget	Cost		Description			ity	Ň	ng 00									Other Comments
		heading/	Centre					Probability	d in contingency : probability)	saving £000					L.		۲		
		Cost	Code			osal	00	rob	oility	JCY					impact		item		
		Centre		eme		odo.) EO	Risk P	cor	efficiency					in	0	ncy		
				th		et pi	09/10 £000	Ri	d in	° eff		tz			rsity	and (s)	nge	t	
				egy		ldget	o ər		udec risk	st of	t	ilida		(0	Dive	strar	contingency	Impact	
io	Div			Strate		of bu	Value		included I on risk p	Cost	impact	probability	score	status	the [sity s	as co	g In	
Portfolio	Dept/			Corp S		ē	Gross		e e		Li	k pr	sk sc	ik st	Rate th	6	Treat a	Staffing	
		_				Typ					Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk		Div			
CLT	cs	Recreation	12210	C&L	Launch of Government's free swim initiative consists of 2 elements - Over 60's for which the available funding is known and for under 16's, available funding	riority	20	Low	10	0	High	Likely	9	Red	ositive	All	Yes	0	Risk assessment reflects recent announcement of grant allocations for
	A&CSD	crea		7.	currently unknown. We will not be made aware of the funding until late Autumn	Pri					с.	3. Li			Pos				2008/09.
	A&C				but in the information available to date, there is a hint of some element of match										_				
		rt &			funding being required. If we decide not to go ahead with the initiative, we will not be able to access the capital funding also available to improve swimming														
		Sport			pool provision.														
APHS	sc		17008	H&C	Abdiel Centre - risk of closing owing to Extra Care scheme at Derwent Grange -	ure	45	High	45	0	High	ble	6	ber	ive	Age	Yes	0	
API	DĂ	Older People		Э. Н	possible displacement of individuals resulting in similar circumstance to closure of St. Cuthberts	esse		Ŧ			З. Н	Possible		Ambe	Positive	A	<i>,</i>		
	A&CSD ASC				of St. Outliberts	P					.,	ъ Б			ц				
	A8																		
					Total Contingency		65		55	0									

Chief Executive's Department - Contingency

		Budget	Cost		Description			\geq	C	лO					1				Other Comments
		U			Description			III	in icy	0									Other comments
		heading/	Centre					ab	Jer (E000							_		
		Cost	Code			al	0	obability	, i i i	$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$					act		item		
		Centre		a		SOC	000	Ы	abi	10					ď		y it		
		oontro		Ĕ		rop	0 E	Risk	0 4 0	2					, ir		ncy		
				theme		tр	1/6	R	l in prc	ΰ		>			sity	d(s	ge	-	
				gy †		dget	0		ec se ec	5		1			er	strand(s)	tin	act	
				ateç			ne		on ri		ct	ability		s	Div	str	contingen	Impo	
.0	Div			Stra		fр	Valı			5	impact	prob	score	status	the	sity	as c	g Ir	
fol	t/					e of	SS /				<u> </u>		SC	sti	t t			ffing	
Portfolio	Dept/			orp		yp(0		Value (based		Risk	isk	Risk	Risk	Rate	Diver	Freat	g	
		(0		C	In an an in Euternal Audit face existing form implications of IEBC (or described		<u> </u>	>				Ri	8					St	
Ë	CED F	Fees			Increase in External Audit fees arising from implications of IFRS (as described	ssure	40	MO	11		High	fely	9	Red	tral	All	ŕes	0	
	Ē	ш́,		ō	above). External Auditors will review progress in preparing for IFRS as part of	SSS		уL				Ľ		ш.	leu		-		
	Ŭ	Audit		Э.	2009/10 audit work	P.e		Ver			ŝ	ы			Ż				
						_		-											
		Jal																	
		xtem																	
		ĔĂ																	
					Total Contingency		40		11										

Children's Services - Contingency

Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Gross Value 09/10 £000	Risk Probability	Value included in contingency (based on risk probability)	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
CSF		Lifelong Learning	22463	2. LLL	Provision of capacity to manage the transfer of 16-19 education and training funding to the local authority. This is required to ensure that effective planning can be put in place to ensure sufficient places for young people can be commissioned from 2010. Funding would provide for a lead officer and a project officer.	Pressure ⁻	105	Medium	63	0	3. High	3. Likely I	9	Red	Neutral	AII	Yes-		This links to national Machinery of Government changes which transfer 16- 19 funding from the Learning and Skills Council to Local Authorities.
CS		Community Facilities	Various	2. LI	A review of the sustainability of Community Facilities operating from schools is under review as requested by the Children's Services Scrutiny Forum. Some facilities are operating at a deficit and it is unlikely that they will be able to generate sufficient income to break even. After maximising access to early years and Standards Funding available to schools it is likely that annual subsidies of between £100,000 and £200,000 per year will be required.	Pressure	150	Medium	42	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	All	Yes	0	Reduction reflects risk assessment reduction of £50,000 and clarification of existing funding of £58,000 already provided in base budget for this risk.
CS	CSD P&SI	Children's Fund	12651		3) Six family resource workers to provide intensive support to families to divert them from the need to refer to higher level services. This team will provide a resource for the consultant social worker / team around the school.	Pressure	155	Very Low	0	0	3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Neutral	AII	Yes	•	Reduction reflects announcement of grant funding for 2009/10.
					Total Contingency		410		105										

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (D)

Neighbourhood Services - Contingency

	Budget	Cost		Description			\sim		БО								Other Comments
Portfolio Dept/ Div	heading, Cost Centre		Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Gross Value 09/10 £000	Risk Probability	Value included in contingency (based on risk probability)	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Sther Comments
	Consumer Services	36741		New changes in trading standards legislation e.g. unfair commercial practices / business to business complaints.	Pressure	20	Very Low	0	0	1. Low F	1. Unlikely F	1	Green	Neutral	All	Yes T	 In the Summer this was initially assessed as high risk. This risk has now been reassessed and is not expected to occur in 2009/10. Therefore, no provision has been included in the contingency for this amount.
SA OSN	Enerby Costs	Various		Rising energy costs. The volatile market makes it almost certain that energy prices will rise by 25% at least in some areas such as electricity this may be more. This will have an adverse affect on budgets across the Council.	Pressure	100	High	85	0	4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	12	red	Neutral	All	Yes	0
NSD F&BD	Fuel Price increase	Various	5. Env	Fuel Price increase	Pressure	170	Very Low	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	Yes	0 In the Summer this was initially assessed as high risk as oil prices were still increasing. These increases have now been reversed as demand for oil has reduced owing to the recession. Therefore, for 2009/10 it is not anticipated that additional resources will be needed for this risk. The position will need to be monitored closely during 2009/10.
WN DSN	Recycling		5. Env	This increase is almost certain because of the collapse in the recycling market	Pressure	35	High	30	0	4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	12	red	Neutral	AII	Yes	0
MN DSN	Recycling		5. Env	Household Waste Recycling Centre Contract out to tender this financial year. Expected contract rates will increase.	Pressure	50	High	43	0	4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	12	red	Neutral	AII	Yes	0 Moved from pressures list.
				Total Contingency		375		157									

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (D)

Regeneration and Planning - Contingency

			-		-					r								
s Dortfolio	f Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	a Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Gross Value 09/10 £000	Risk Probability	Value included in contingency (based on risk probability) Cost of efficiency saving E000	n Risk impact	ersk probability .	Risk score	r Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	 Diversity strand(s) 	s Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Sa	R&PD CSaf	Straightlin e Project	12107		Straightline Project. This is an alcohol awareness project for young people either found in possession of alcohol by the Police or who are indentified by other agencies. This successful and well regarded project is funded from LAA Reward Grant. Continued funding will depend on the public's perception of two elements of anti social behaviour (i) teenager hanging around (ii) rowdy and drunken behaviour. This reliance on public perception for future project funding poses some risk and it is proposed a contingency arrangement is considered.		35	Medium	21	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Positive	Age	Yes	1	This funding is likely to have a positive impact in terms of diversity as young people are direct recipients of this support service
		Community Safety			Monitoring of CCTV cameras is currently undertaken by Housing Hartlepool, under a Service Level Agreement (SLA), which comes to an end in March 2009. Costs associated with the SLA are historical, dating back to the mid 1990s, when the council took over the responsibility for CCTV from the Police. The current arrangement with Housing Hartlepool is inextricably linked to the services they provide for Telecare and community alarm monitoring, as part of the Supporting People programme. The monitoring centre staff also provide the Council's emergency and out-of-hours contact point. CCTV monitoring costs paid by the Council do not currently cover Housing Hartlepool's overheads costs for the monitoring centre. The budget increase would cover these overhead costs and ensure continued arrangements for all Council services currently provided from the centre. The increase for 2009/10 has been based on a tendering exercise for CCTV monitoring at Longhill industrial estate, conducted during 2007/08, when Housing Hartlepool won the contract, as the tenderer with lowest price. Increases in subsequent years will be based on RPI.	Pressure	38	High	38 0	4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	12	red	Neutral	AII	Yes	0	
		SLA Waiting List managem ent			Management and operation of the housing waiting list to ensure proper allocation of housing on the basis of need. This is a statutory service of Hartlepool Council as the Housing Authority. The service is provided through an SLA by Housing Hartlepool, which is the main social housing provider enabling integration with landlord functions, at a nominal cost. Through a required review a realistic cost has been negotiated for the provision of this service and Housing Hartlepool have agreed to provide 50% of the cost subject to Board approval. This provision covers the estimated non budgeted cost to the Council.	Pressure	71	High	60	4. Extreme	4. Almost certain	16	Red	Positive	AII	Yes	0	
					Total Contingency		144		119									
					Total all departments		1,034		448 (A)									
					Total contingency identified in October				885 (B)									
					Reduction in contingency				137 (B loss	- ^>								

Reduction in contingency

437 (B less A)

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (E)

Adult and Community Services - Terminating Grants

			,				Bu	dget Va	alue									
r Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	- Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget prop			11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	ı Risk probability .	Risk score	l Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	e Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Other Comments
СLT	A&CSD CS	Home Library service	12034	7. C&L	 terminating LPSA grant for delivery of the expanded Home Library Service. risk that there will be insufficient funds to staff continued delivery of service to public and failure to meet PI's associated with service. Continued public benefit of valuable service that supports policy of assisting independent living 	Term. Grant	31	0	0	0	3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red		Age	No	2
CLT	A&CSD CS	Sport & Recreatio n	12308	7. C&L	Grant funding for Football Development Officer, P/T admin officer & development programme due to be exhausted by August/September 2009. This has been funded to date by NRF, Football Foundation and NDC.	Term. Grant	55	0	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Positive	AII	No	2 F/T Football Development Officer post, P/T admin support plus development budget. Terminating Grant required for 2009/10 has reduced by £22,000 as grant will continue for part of year.
CLT	A&CSD CS	Sport & Recreatio n	12226	7. C&L	Current 3 year GP Referral programme LPSA funded, due to exhaust March 2009. In 2008/09, some financial support (£22k) being made available by the PCT which is unconfirmed at present whether this is year on year funding or not. It is hoped to keep the programme running through an anticipated reward grant dependant on the achievement of set targets but this will not be known until the Autumn of 2009. We need to keep this valuable programme running from April 1st and additional funding is required to do this. A decision could be taken to mainstream fund the programme and use any reward grant to enhance it (cardiac rehab currrently WNF funded or weight management or workplace health for example).		33	0	0	0	4. Extreme	2. Possible	8	Red	Positive	AII	No	3 Employment of F/T Coordinator rather than 2 P/T currently plus P/T admin support (evaluation & monitoring) - use of coaches, facility hire etc etc
СLT	A&CSD CS	Sport & Recreatio n	12311	7. C&L	Funding for the 3 year Swimming Development Officer post is due to conclude in January 2009 - however, additional funding has been sourced to keep the post running until the end of the 2008/09 financial year. Application has been made to the PCT as part of a wider initiative (linking into free swimming for older people) to keep this post for a further 2 years but the outcome of this is currently unknown.	Term. Grant	30	0	0	0	2. Medium	4. Almost certain	8	Red	Positive	AII	No	1 Terminating Grant not now needed as continuation of this initiative will be incorporated within proposed Leisure facilities restructure.
APHS	A&CSD SS	Supportin g People	27057	6. Но	The grant towards administration of the Supporting People programme is being progressively reduced, leaving the Council to pick up both the reductions and inflation.'	Term. Grant	10		n/k	0	3. High	4. Almost certain	12	Red	Neutral	AII	No	Terminating Grant not now needed as covered from Area Based Grant review.
					Total Adult & Community Services		159	20	0	0								
					Less Terminating Grants reduced since October 2008 Football Development Swimming Development Supporting People		(22) (30) (10) 97											

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (E)

Neighbourhood Services - Terminating Grants

							Bu	dget V	alue										
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget prol	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
	MN DSN				NDC (New Deal for Communities) Environmental Task Force. Funding is reducing on a phased basis commencing 2008/09 and funding for this year 1 reduction was provided in current year budget. Funding is now needed to year 2 reduction.		45	5 23	3	0	2. Medium	4. Almost certain	8	Red	Neutral	AII	No		Agreed last year as rolling programme
					Total Neighbourhood Services		45	23	B 0										

Regeneration and Planning - Terminating Grants

				dget Va										
Budget heading/ Cost Centre Cost Centre 0iloji, jia Anti 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Description	Type of budget prop	09/10 £000 56			Cost of efficiency saving £000	m Risk impact	ely Risk probability .	o Risk score	er Risk status	ve Rate the Diversity impact	Age Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item	Other Comments
C Social	originally £100k) is tapering and reducing to zero by 2011/12. The FIP was established by Government as part of the Respect Action Plan published in anuary 2006 and is intended to provide support and challenge in order to change the behaviour of anti-social familes with school age children alongside olling out parenting advice budget. A budget to continue this programme in fartlepool is proposed.	Term. Grant	56	19	33		2. Medium	3. Likely		Amber	Positive	Ą	2	impact in terms of diversity as young people are principal recipients of this service

260 (B)

62 (A less B)

Total all departments 198 (A)

Total Terminating Grants identified in October

Reduction in Total Terminating Grants

PROPOSED AREA BASED GRANT ALLOCATIONS (NON WORKING NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND PROJECTS)

				9/10	201	0/11	7.1 APPENDIX 1 (F)
Grant stream	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base	2009/10 Indicative Government Allocation	Revised allocation proposal	2010/11 Indicative Government Allocation	Revised allocation proposal	Comments
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Supporting People Administration	A&CS	145	134	149	115	153	Note 1
Preserved Rights	A&CS	314	289	322	270	330	Note 1
Supporting People Projects	A&CS	0	0	30	0	60	Note 3
Local Involvement Networks	A&CS	99	99	99	99	99	Note 2
Learning & Disability Development Fund (via PCT in 2007/8)	A&CS	105	106	106	106	106	Note 2
Adult Social Care Workforce (formerly HRDS and NTS)	A&CS	281	289	289	297	297	Note 1
Carers - 80% Adult	A&CS	384	410	394	436	403	Note 4
Mental Capacity Act and Independent Mental Capacity Advocate Service	A&CS	52	66	54	63	56	Note 4
Mental Health	A&CS	336	355	344	373	352	Note 4
Sustainable Travel General Duty	CS	7	7	7	7	7	Note 2
Teenage Pregnancy	CS	144	144	144	144	144	Note 2
14-19 Flexible Funding Pot	CS	31	31	31	31	31	Note 2
Child Death Review Processes	CS	17	17	17	18	18	Note 2
Children's Social Care Workforce (formerly HRDS and NTS)	CS	42	42	42	41	41	Note 2
Children's Fund	CS	395	395	395	395	395	Note 2
Choice Advisers	CS	25	25	25	25	25	Note 2
Education Health Partnerships	CS	52	43	43	43	43	Note 2
Secondary National Strategy - Behaviour and Attendance	CS	68	68	68	68	68	Note 2
Secondary National Strategy - Central Co-ordination	CS	108	108	108	108	108	Note 2
School Development Grant (Local Authority Element)	CS	287	287	287	287	287	Note 2
School Improvement Partners	CS	42	42	42	42	42	Note 2
School Intervention Grant	CS	26	26	26	26	26	Note 2
PROPOSED AREA BASED GRANT ALLOCATIONS (NON WORKING NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND PROJECTS)

			2009	9/10	201	0/11	7.1 APPENDIX [•]
Grant stream	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base	2009/10 Indicative Government Allocation	Revised allocation proposal	2010/11 Indicative Government Allocation	Revised allocation proposal	Comments
Primary National Strategy - Central Co-ordination	CS	75	72	72	72	72	Note 2
Carers - 20% Children	CS	96	102	99	109	102	Note 4
Care Matters White Paper	CS	69	99	90	116	92	Note 5
Connexions	CS	1,114	1,169	1,142	1,285	1,171	Note 4
Extended Rights to Free Transport	CS	18	40	18	62	19	Note 4
Extended Schools Start Up Costs	CS	265	477	477	196	196	Note 6
Positive Activities for Young People & Neighbourhood Support Fund	CS	332	434	404	507	474	Note 5
Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services	CS	214	224	223	234	229	Note 4
Child Trust Fund	CS	1	2	2	2	2	Note 6

PROPOSED AREA BASED GRANT ALLOCATIONS (NON WORKING NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND PROJECTS)

			200	9/10	201	0/11	7.1 APPENDIX 1 (F)
Grant stream	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base	2009/10 Indicative Government Allocation	Revised allocation proposal	2010/11 Indicative Government Allocation	Revised allocation proposal	Comments
Road Safety Grant	NS	188	175	175	169	169	Note 2
School Travel Advisers	NS	35	35	35	35	35	Note 2
Rural Bus Subsidy	NS	29	30	30	31	31	Note 2
Climate Change (Planning Policy Statement)	??	23	23	23	23	23	Note 6
Stronger Safer Communities Fund - BSC, ASB & DPSG elements	R&P	182	182	182	182	182	Note 2
Young People Substance Misuse Partnership	R&P	41	41	41	41	41	Note 2
Cohesion	R&P	26	49	47	75	49	Note 5
Stronger Safer Communities Fund - Neighbourhood Element	R&P	413	258	258	0	0	Note 6
Resources allocated to support existing projects currently funded from Council's core budget which can now be funded from the Area Based Grant.		0	0	57	0	156	
		6,081	6,395	6,395	6,133	6,133]

<u>Notes</u>

1) The indicative Government allocations will not enable services to be maintained at existing levels. Therefore, it is proposed to provide additional resources for these schemes to maintain services by maintaining the existing budget provision uplifted for inflation.

2) The indicative Government allocations are sufficient to continue these schemes.

3) Last year the Government indicated the Supporting People Grant would be included within the Area Based Grant. On 26th November 2008 the Government announced that this grant will not be included in the Area Based Grant. However, the 2009/10 Supporting People Grant will be frozen at the 2008/09 level of £3.985m. It is therefore proposed to provide a small addition to this grant to provide for increased costs of maintaining a small number of schemes.

4) Budget allocation increased by 2.5% to reflect the Council's policy for increasing base budgets. This produces a slightly lower allocation than suggested by the indicative Government allocation, which reflects the realignment of ABG resources in line with Council's priorities and flexibility for using grant.

4) 2009/10 Budget allocations increased by more than 2.5% to reflect the Council's policy decision to largely passport the indicative Government allocations. For 2010/11 a 2.5% inflationary increase has been applied which is consistent with the Council's policy for increasing base budgets.

5) Indicative allocations front load this project as funding is needed for one off set-up costs. Therefore, these allocations been passported.

PROPOSED WORKING NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND ALLOCATIONS (AREA BASED GRANT)

Project	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base ('000)	2009/10 Proposed Allocation ('000)	2010/11 Proposed Allocation ('000)
Skills to work - HBC	A&CS	50	51	52
Economic impact evaluation of the Tall Ships	A&CS	0	15	15
Belle Vue Sports	A&CS	43	44	45
Exercise Referral - HBC	A&CS	27	28	28
Connected Care - Manor Residents	A&CS	24	25	25
Mobile Maintenance - HBC	A&CS	21	46	47
Mental Health Dev. & NRF Support Network - Hartlepool MIND	A&CS	89	91	93
Integrated Care Teams - PCT	A&CS	40	20	0
Primary/Secondary Schools Direct Funding - HBC	CS	400	410	420
Education Business Links - HBC	CS	50	51	53
New Initiatives - HBC	CS	40	41	42
Project Coordination - HBC	CS	5	5	5
Hartlepool "On Track" Project - HBC	CS	50	51	53
14-19 Reform Support	CS	0	60	65
Environmental Enforcement Wardens	NS	155	158	162
Environmental Action Team	NS	100	103	105
Schools Environmental Action Officer	NS	25	63	65
Women's Opportunities - HBC	R&P	72	74	76
Enhancing Employability - HBC	R&P	3	8	8

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (F)

Project	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base ('000)	2009/10 Proposed Allocation ('000)	2010/11 Proposed Allocation ('000)
Homelessness Project - DISC	R&P	91	159	163
Carers into Training and Employment - Hartlepool Carers	R&P	45	46	48
Targeted Training - HBC	R&P	107	119	122
Jobs Build - HBC	R&P	29	80	82
Workroute ILM - HBC	R&P	204	239	245
Progression to Work - Assisting local people into work - HBC	R&P	249	276	283
Volunteering into Employment - HVDA	R&P	81	100	102
Community Employment Outreach - OFCA	R&P	92	109	112
Community Employment Outreach - Wharton Annex	R&P	50	51	52
Community Employment Outreach - West View Employment Action Centre	R&P	12	51	52
Job Club - Owton Manor West Neighbourhood Watch & Resident's Association	R&P	40	41	42
West View Project	R&P	36	37	38
Hartlepool Worksmart - Improving the Employment Offer - HBC	R&P	17	28	29
Incubation Systems and Business Skills Training - HBC/OFCA	R&P	233	274	281
Business & Tourism Marketing - HBC	R&P	10	20	21
Financial Inclusion - HBC	R&P	0	40	40
Local Employment Assistance - OFCA	R&P	46	47	48
Jobsmart - HBC	R&P	35	36	37
Youth into employment - Wharton Trust	R&P	39	39	40
Introduction to construction - Community Campus	R&P	16	16	17

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (F)

Project	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base ('000)	2009/10 Proposed Allocation ('000)	2010/11 Proposed Allocation ('000)
Adventure traineeship - West View Project	R&P	39	40	41
Employment support - Hartlepool MIND	R&P	50	51	52
Support for existing businesses to expand (new projects to be sought)	R&P	0	57	120
Active Skills - West View Project	R&P	26	26	27
Career Coaching - HVDA	R&P	36	37	38
Level 3 Progression - HCFE	R&P	81	83	85
Administration of LLP	R&P	4	4	4
Support for adults into Skills for Life and NVQ Level 2 courses including Citizenship Learning (new projects to be sought)	R&P	0	62	130
Safer Streets & Homes, Target Hardening	R&P	200	170	170
Dordrecht Prolific Offenders Scheme	R&P	125	128	131
NRF Project Assistant	R&P	24	25	25
ASB Officer & Analyst	R&P	70	71	73
COOL Project	R&P	65	67	68
FAST	R&P	190	194	199
Landlord Accreditation Scheme	R&P	10	10	10
LIFE - Fire Brigade	R&P	33	34	35
Neighbourhood Policing	R&P	0	200	200
HMR- Support for Scheme Delivery	R&P	120	123	126
Community Empowerment Network Core Costs	R&P	137	140	144
Community Chest	R&P	90	90	90
NAP Residents Priorities	R&P	221	221	221

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (F)

Project	Lead Dept	2008/09 Base ('000)	2009/10 Proposed Allocation ('000)	2010/11 Proposed Allocation ('000)
NAP Development	R&P	40	40	40
Neighbourhood Renewal/Hartlepool Partnership	R&P	90	90	90
NR & Strategy Officer (including Skills & Knowledge)	R&P	50	51	53
Resources allocated to support existing projects currently funded from Council's core budget which can now be funded from the Area Based Grant.	R&P	0	230	258

TOTAL 4,327

5,643

5,396

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (G)

Adult and Community Services Department - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions

Auunt and	Communi	Ly Services	b Dep	artifient - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions	Г	Du	last Val										
Portfolio Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	Type of bu	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	lget Val 10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of achieving 1% reduction Fnnn	n Risk impact	e Risk probability .	Risk score	r Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
A&CSD CS			7. C&L	Switching locker operation at the Leisure Centres to a coin retain system (20p) rather than coin return.	Saving	14.0				2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber				Scheme to attempt securing increased income generation, the cost of conversion to coin retention is subject to staff time only. Staff are currently reviewing regional comparators for access to Swimming and leisure facilities – this is confirming that Hartlepool is a low charging authority and to reach regional average charging we could potentially increase all prices by as much as 33%. From April 2009 over 65's and under 16's are able to access free swims. May lead to non- use of lockers and risk of property theft, vandalism to lockers and amount of lost property.

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (G)

								Bu	dget Va	lue]								7.1
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	 A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction The risks if proposal not approved and any mitigating measures already taken or planned. The benefit or outcome to be gained from the proposal. 	bosi	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000		11/12 £000	Cost of achieving 1% reduction Fnon	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
	A&CSD CS			7. C&L	Closure of Community Service/Building ie. Branch library or community Centre		Saving	45.0				ч8н :£	3. Likely	9	Red				No short term easy options. Library service has achieved reductions and has shown via the planned review of 'delivered vehcile service' in 7/08 that savings targets can be achieved. This is supported by the measured reduction in staffing referred to above. Determination of any service closure late in the financial year would only result in a part year saving. The saving identified of £45K excludes the £17K referred to previously for lunchtime closure. Quick closure would tak no account of the asset management of the site. The site contains a very popular and active Bowling green and the multi- use games area which are not part of this proposal. Emerging forward plan discussions indicate there may be a case for a review of Foggy Furze Library. Specific implications for an early closure are: 2.5 fte redunancies - Withdrawal of heavily used library service - Impact on the library performance indictors - Impact on user groups
	A&CSD CS			7. C&L	Keep Community Pool at a standstill position		Saving	15.0				3. High	3. Likely	9	Red				Community Sector are currently undergoing a funding crisis in certain areas leading to increased pressure on the Fund.
	A&CSD ASC			3. H&C	A social work team to be disbanded, cases to be spread across the other social work teams to achieve savings. Loss of Team Manager Band 14 and Principal Practitioner Band 13.		Saving	100.0				3. High	3. Likely	9	Red			2	Some 600 cases are currently serviced by this team so there will be additional pressure placed on other teams - Redundancy costs likely

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (G)

					Bu	dget Valu	Je									7.1
Portfolio Dent/ Div	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	1. A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Constraint of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction 2. The risks if proposal not approved and any mitigating measures already taken or planned. Image: Constraint of the proposal. 3. The benefit or outcome to be gained from the proposal. Image: Constraint of the proposal.	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000		11/12 £000	Cost of achieving 1% reduction f000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
A&CSD ASC		3. H&C	Reduction of one Resisted Manager Band 10 within the homecare services. This service is currently managed by 2 registered managers.	Saving	34.0				3. High	3. Likely	9	Red				Risks around being able to meet further identified developments around floating support across social care. Redundancy costs likely
A&CSD ASC		3. H	Reduction in management capacity to reduce by 2 band 13 posts - these posts cover such areas as Quality Assurance, Safeguarding, Complaints, Service Development and project management. (a 3rd post has already been put forward as an efficiency)	Saving	86.0				3. High	3. Likely	9	Red				2 fte would be at risk. Risks around ensuring Safeguarding and service development are met to meet government standards and maintain recently received 3 star status. Redundancy costs likely
A&CSD ASC		3. H&C	A variety of measures leading to a reduction is social work expenditure.	Saving	53.0				3. High	3. Likely	9	Red				Risks around not meeting statutory requirements such as assessment waiting times and service delivery timescales.
					347	0	0	0								

Chief Executives Department - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions

				posed 1% Additional Budget Reductions			Buc	lget Val	ue]								
Portfolio Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	 A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction The risks if proposal not approved and any mitigating measures already taken or planned. The benefit or outcome to be gained from the proposal. 	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of achieving 1% reduction		Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf CED CS			9. OrgD	through charging	Efficiency	Dept.	9.0			0	1. Low	3. Likely l	3	Green	Neutral		0	
Perf CED CS			9. OrgD	agreement with provider	Efficiency	Dept.	2.0			0	1. Low	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral		0	
Fin CED F	Accountanc		9. OrgD	2009/10.	Efficiency	Dept.	13.0	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	0	
Fin CED F	Various Finance		9. OrgD	Reduction in divisional overtime budgets which will not affect service delivery as increased use of IT has increased productivity.	Efficiency	Dept.	10.0	0	0		2. Medium	1. Unlikely	2	Green	Neutral	AII	0	
Perf CED L			9. OrgD	alternative training arrangements.	Efficiency	Dept.	5.0	0	0		2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	0	
Perf CED HR	M Development		9. OrgD	Reduce existing budget requirement to level of current expenditure. Slight risk that if there is an increase in training needs the budget provision will not be sufficient.	Efficiency	Dept.	7.0	0	0		2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	0	
Perf CED HR	Human Resources		9. OrgD	Increasing Service Level Income from provision of services to organisations outside of Council.	Efficiency	Dept.	7.0	0	0		2. Medium	1. Unlikely	2	Green	Neutral	AII	0	
							53	0	0	0								

Children's Services - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions

							Budget \	uiuc									
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	1. A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: Comparison of terminating grant/r	09/ £00		1 11/12) £000	Cost of achieving 1% reduction £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
cs	CSD S&SS	Agency Fostering	17211	3. H&C	The commissioning team has recently contracted with an independent fostering agency which could generate savings on placement costs during 2009/10 in the event of new care packages being required.	1	2.0		0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	0	
CS	CSD S&SS	Family Support	17213	3. H&C	Recommisioning of mother and toddler services providing home visits, equipment and running groups.	2	5.0		0	2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Negative	Gender	0	
CS	CSD P&A	Standard s Fund	22267	2. LLL	Deletion of the departmental base budget previously required to match fund Standards Fund allocations prior to grant changes. This will limit LA support to the value of grants received and may lead to the need for schools to make increased contributions towards new initiatives.	7	7.5		0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII	0	
CS	CSD P&SI	Youth	12420	7. C&L	Recommisioning of the Positive Activities for Young People (PAYP) Image: Constant of the Positive Activities for Young People (PAYP) programme through improved integration between the Youth and Connexions Services Image: Constant of the Positive Activities for Young People (PAYP)	7	1.5		?	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII		Cessation of temporary contracts plus possible redundancy / early retirement
CS	CSD P&A	Training	22365	5. LLL	Reduce conference and training budgets by approximately 10%. Attendance at conferences will be restricted to essential items only and opportunities for personal development will be limited. All training bids are assessed and prioritised by the Workforce development team and some needs may be unmet.		98	0 0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII	0	

Neighbourhood Services - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions

								Bu	dget Val	ue									
		Budget	Cost		1. A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating	al	l	09/10	10/11	11/12						act			Other Comments
		heading/	Centre	e	grant/reduction	pos	tion	£000	£000	£000	%					impa			
		Cost	Code	em	2. The risks if proposal not approved and any mitigating measures already	prof	ficat				81						s)		
		Centre		th	taken or planned.	<u> </u>	ssif				vin		ility .			rsity	strand(s)	t	
				egy	3. The benefit or outcome to be gained from the proposal.	ndge.	Cla				hie	ct	9			Dive	trai	.pac	
.0	Div			rat		fpι	ncy				of ac tion	ð	roba	ore	tatus	the D		Ě	
ortfolio	Ę			p St		e o	e				o u c	c imp.	d	sc	s		ersi	taffing	
Por	Dep			Cor		Typ	Effici				Cos redi fon	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Rate	Diversity	Staf	
NC	TS	Dial a	16202		Discontinuation of service	ιcγ	pt.	189.0	0	0		m	sible	4	ber	tral	AII	1	
_	NSD	ride				cien	Dept.					Medium	ssik		Amb	en			
	z					Effici							Pos.		Ł	Ž			
						_						2.	2.						
								189	0	0	0								

Regeneration and Planning - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions

							Budget Va	alue									
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	1. A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction Image: service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction 2. The risks if proposal not approved and any mitigating measures already taken or planned. Image: service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating pressure/	09/: £00		£000	Cost of achieving 1% reduction fono	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
RS	R&PD P&ED	Economi c Develop ment: Contribu tion to Sub Regional Partners hips	19302	1. Jo	Contribution to Joint Strategy Unit: It is understood that the JSU has recently been requested to reduce their budget to reflect the difficult budget situation which exists for all of the Tees Valley Local Authorities. This saving is based on an assumption that a 10% reduction could be achieved in total, ie a further 7% on top of the 3% efficiency already reported. Some adverse effect on the service provided is likely on a reduction at this level.		15 0	0 0	0	2. Medium	3. Likely	6	Amber	Neutral	AII	0	Probable affect on staff levels at JSU
RS	R &PD	Departm ental Structure s	n/a		An additional 1% reduction would likely necessitate a reduction in staffing. A full assessment would need to be carried out to identify the post(s) and areas of work where such a reduction could be made with least impact on the overall service. Some redundancy cost may be incurred.		44 0	0 0	n/a	2. Medium	4. Almost certain	8	Red	Neutral	AII	1.0	
							59 C	0 0	0								L

Total all departments	846
Target for 1%'s	820

Adult and Community Services £10,000 above target and Neighbourhood Services £15,000

BUDGET CONSULTATION MEETING WITH TRADE UNIONS

Minutes of Meeting held on 4 November 2008 at 1.00pm in the Mayor's Office, Level 2, Civic Centre

Present: Hartlepool Borough Council Officers Andrew Atkin, Assistant Chief Executive Chris Little, Assistant Chief Financial Officer Joanne Machers, Chief Personnel Officer Dave Stubbs, Director of Neighbourhood Services Nicola Bailey, Director of Adult & Community Services Peter Scott, Director of Regeneration & Planning

Councillors

Mayor S Drummond, Cllr Cath Hill

Trade Union Representatives

Edw in Jeffries (Sec, HJTUC) Malcolm Sullivan (GMB) Stephen Williams (UNISON) Tony Watson (UNISON) Ann Sharp (UNISON) Hans Ruyssenaars (Regional Officer - ATL)

Carly Lupton, CEMT Support Officer (Minutes)

1.	Presentation											
CL provided a detailed overview of the issues affecting the budget and policy framew ork proposal for 2009/10 to 2011/12 and sought views from the Trade Unions												
Comments	s Made	Response										
years. EJ comme	d about provisions in future nted that a number of	CL explained that next year will be manageable but each year after will become more challenging. CL informed that there is a small risk										
the estimat	is had been made and asked if ed council tax collection rate ic considering the economic	that this may drop due to the economic situation but there are no major concerns as present.										
been made next year a	ed that another assumption had on the expected pay aw ard for and informed that the trade expecting an aw ard of nearer tyear.	AA explained that reasonable assumptions have been made and CL explained that w ith these assumptions there are risks that w ill be managed and changes made w here necessary.										

7.1 APPENDIX 1 (H)

EJ asked if the cost of Job Evaluation Appeals and Workforce Development Costs been factored in to the budget.	CL informed that work is currently being undertaken to re-asses the cost of Job Evaluation to council and this information will be taken to Cabinet. JM informed that Workforce Development is an ongoing service and therefore budget provisions have already been made.
SW asked if the Business Transformation Programme would "free up" any money in future years.	AA explained that in 2008/9, 3% savings have had to be found and significant savings have been made in previous years. This is becoming increasingly difficult for all departments as it comes to a point where the Council will be as efficient as it can be and savings cannot be made w ithout affecting services. If business transformation is a success savings will be made w hich hopefully will reduce the need for such large savings to be found every year and it is therefore unlikely that there will be any "spare money". AA is planning to deliver the programme in a managed w ay and manage the risks involved.

BUDGET CONSULTATION MEETING WITH BUSINESS REPRESENTATIVES

Minutes of Meeting held on 6 November 2008 at 8.30am in the Mayor's Office, Level 2, Civic Centre

Present: Hartlepool Borough Council Officers Mike Ward, Chief Financial Officer Chris Little, Assistant Chief Financial Officer Peter Scott, Director of Regeneration & Planning

Councillors

Mayor S Drummond Cllr Peter Jackson Cllr Ged Hall Cllr Pamela Hargreaves

Business Representatives

John Megson Adrian Liddle Peter Olsen Brian Beaumont

Emma Armstrong, CEMT Support Officer (Minutes)

1.	Presentation										
MW provided a detailed overview of the issues affecting the budget and policy framew ork proposal for 2009/10 to 2011/12 and sought views from the Business Sector. Economic Outlook, Capital programme – Overview of the report.											
Comment	s Made	Response									
	es w ere £8/9m, are there any se monies?	HBC took a defensive stance last Autumn follow ing the Northern Rock position. Credit ratings are used as a guide for organisation w hich the Council w ill include on its approved lending list. We also consider other factors before including an organisation on the Council's lending list. We have no Icelandic Banks on our lending lists.									

A query was raised in relation to the funding for the college.	Clarification was given that the college funding comes from Learning Skills Council.
A further BSF query w as raised.	The upfront funding for BSF of £2m w ill from HBC resources and w ill not be repaid from future BSF monies.
Why is the 08/09 outturn overspent?	This is partly due to demographics in Hartlepool, how ever the overspend is not as all a result of demographics. Increased spending on these services is also due to the assessed need being greater and more complex cases. Further detailed w orks on this is currently underway.
Is it proposed for 3.9 % increase in Council Tax?	Yes, this is the indicative strategy, how ever the final decision will be made in February 2009 so this may change.
Is capping of Council Tax increases likely to be 5%?	Yes, this is the best estimate which we can give.
Is there pressure felt to keep the increase low considering the current climate.	Yes.
Cash Balances have improved – how ?	HBC have reduced the number of debtors, this how ever will not be repeated next year, we hope to maintain the current level how ever the Government is urging councils to speed up payment cycles.
Are you comfortable with the Tall Ships project?	It was discussed that it would be better to project for the worst case scenario and therefore no adverse impact on the budget.

Would like not to see any reduction from the Council's investment within the business development areas, as this has an adverse affect on employment specifically in the current financial climate. It was also highlighted that businesses also need to also promote themselves, not just take up the funding – as a partnership.	Government provided the Neighbourhood renew al fund to assist with this. Some efficiencies are proposed in relation to Business sector investment – this is currently up for discussion / consultation.
If the interest rates are cut, would it improve the right to buy position?	This may not be the case as many people are struggling to obtain a mortgage.
A request was made to include Business Transformation information in the next Budget Consultation meeting.	Noted.

CHIEF EXECUTIVES DEPARTMENT - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

							Bud	get Valu										
Perf Portfolio	CS Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	OrgD Corp Strategy theme	Description المراجع A reduction in a variety of operating expenses within Corporate Strategy		Efficiency Classification	9.1	10/11 1: £000 £	Cost of efficiency saving <u>E000</u>	Low Risk impact	1. Unlikely Risk probability .	ь Risk score	en Risk status	ral Rate the Diversity impact	All Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
ď	CED CS		9.	A reduction in a variety of operating expenses within Corporate Strategy division including, as a result of reviews of paper circulation, reductions in printing costs						1. Lo			Green	Neutral				
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	Reviews of consultation activity and changes in practise have resulted in a reduced need for fieldwork activities to undertake scheduled consultation			7.0			1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	IIA	No		The reduction in fieldwork activities will have no direct impact on staffing as these workers are employed only for specific consultation exercises on short term contracts
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	Minor reductions in operating expenses			2.5			1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	Reduction in printing costs for Corporate Plan as take up of hard copies has reduced significantly in recent years	6		1.0			1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
Fin	CED F	Accountancy	9. OrgD	Following the implementation of new Financial Management System and review of working practices a vacant Accounting Technician post can be deleted. Whislt, this proposal will not impact on current operational requirements, it reduces capacity to support non core activities, such as new corporate initiatives, support for departmental finance teams when they have vacancies, or support of new grant regimes.	6	2:	2.0			1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	IIV	No	-1.0	Staffing reduction already achieved as post vacant.
Fin	CED F	Internal Audit	9. OrgD	Internal Audit are implementing new audit management software (Teammate) and associated changes to operational practices during 2008/09. These changes will enable a reduction in staffing of 0.3 fte.			7.0			1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	IIV	No		Staffing reduction agreed with specific employee who wishes to reduce working hours.
Fin	CED F	Recovery and Insp	9. OrgD	Increased net income from extension of Internal Bailiff pilot within HBC to cover 3 officers, with bailiff charges accruing to the Council.		4	1.0			1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	IIA	No	0	

7.1 APPENDIX A

CHIEF EXECUTIVES DEPARTMENT - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES

_				IMENT - PROPOSED EFFICIENCIES				dget Va											
f Portfolio	R Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	y Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000		Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	e Risk probability .	Risk score	n Risk status	lease the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	D Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CED HR			Following the implementation of new HR/Payroll System and review of working practices two currently filled HR Administrator posts can be deleted within 3 months of Phase 1A being tested and implemented. Whilst, this proposal will not impact on current operational requirements, it reduces capacity to support non core activities, such as new corporate initiatives or demands from schools under SLA	Efficiency		56	0	0		1. Low	2. Possible	2	Green	Neutral	All	No	-2	
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Reduced printing and postage costs arising HR/Payroll system	Efficiency		1	0	0		1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	No	0	
Perf	CED CS		9. OrgD	A review of operating practices has resulted in the identification of reduced printing and circulation costs and a reduction of 0.5 admin staff	Efficiency		13.4				2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	No	-0.5	
		1					160	0	0										

7.1 APPENDIX A

Chief Executive's Department - Pressures

						Bu	dget Va	alue	Ī									
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/C ost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12 £000	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Safer workforce - HR Operational support increased to ensure compliance by Depts in respect of safer workforce practices. Major areas include recruitment, structure/checking of personal files etc. Additional and on-going training of managers in departments required. Risk to the Authority in respect of non compliance in respect of procedures will be increased. Potential effect on Councils rating. Independent Safeguarding Authority - increased work in relation to registration/clearance of employees. Failure to support could result in the employment of individuals who pose a risk to children / vulnerable adults.	Pressure	53	0	0		3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII	NO	0	
Perf	CED HR		9. OrgD	Tees Valley and Durham Communications service - (BSL interpretation). Previously funded from Human Resources managed revenue underspend. The Council has extended the contract for another three years. This service provision is essential so as not to discriminate the deaf people from using our services. The benefits are that we will be complying with the Equality legislation and promoting equal opportunities to all our customers. Diversity consultations with ethnic minorities, Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual and Trans- gender (LGBT) community, people with disabilities and to start a religious forum. Previously funded by Corporate Strategy as new initiative. Equality Act 2006 looks for compliance in providing services to all the diversity strands. The benefits are immense as this would lead to providing services to all sections within our communities free from discrimination.	Pressure	13	0	0	0	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Positive	Disability	ON		This payment is to cover the admin costs as the usage is re-charged to the relevant departments. Corporate strategy funded these as they were initiatives. Now with their budget pressures, they cannot continue to fund these existing consultations.

7.1 APPENDIX B

		Budget		Description		09/1	udget \	1 11/1	, w c							c		Other Comments
POLIDIIO	Dept/ Div	heading/ Cost Centre	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal			£000		Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Pert	CED AII		9. OrgD	The Government have been developing, for some considerable period of time, a mechanism to allow the secure sharing of data between public sector organisations. Whilst this development has been ongoing for a period of time the Government, through a variety of government departments are now mandating the use of this mechanism, called Government Connects, for the sharing of key elements of information. The first, though not likely to be last, government department to mandate it's use for information is Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). Government Connects, from April of next year, will be the only place that the authority can access DWP data which is essential for the ongoing operation of the Benefits function in the authority. Although this is the only government to Government to nate there are likely to be other departments taking such a stance in the near future. Not enabling the connection to Government Connects will mean that there are mandatory parts of the benefits service which the authority will be unable to provide with a subsequent impact on a high performing and important service. Government connects is currently partially funded from central resources but this funding will cease in 2011 hence the increased revenue costs of 24K	Pressure T		9	2,	l	3. High F	4. Almost certain F	12	Red	Negative F	AIIC	NoT		There are two elements to the pressure. A capital cost to enable connect £ 43 K and an ongoing revenue impact of £ 9K
Fin	CED F	Accountancy	9. OrgD	From 2010/11 Local Authorities will need to comply with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) when preparing the Annual Statement of Accounts. Work on complying with these requirements will need to be undertaken during 2009/10 to ensure compliance with IFRS from 2010/11 as these changes are extensive. Compliance with IFRS will be extremely challenging and experience from the private sector, which has already adopted IFRS, indicates that there is a significant increase in the work required to produce statutory accounts and a 20% increase in external audit fees. It is envisaged that an additional accountant will be required to comply with IFRS. Non compliance with IFRS would result in the External Auditor qualifying the Accounts, which in turn impacts on the Use of Resources and CPA/CAA (Comprehensive Performance Assessment/Comprehensive Area Assessments) scores.	Pressure	4	2	1 :	2	3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	AII	No	1	Pressures reduced by £21,000 for 2009/10 as part of cost can be funded from departmental resources in 2009/10.

7.1 APPENDIX B

						Bud	lget Va	lue										
Perf Portfolio	CED HR Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	9. OrgD Corp Strategy	Description Election postage caused by increase in postal voters and new regulations relating to poll cards to all electors	Pressure proposal	09/10 £000			Cost of efficiency saving	2. Medium	3. Likely Risk probability .	o Risk score	Amber Risk status	Neutral Rate the Diversity impact	All Diversity strand(s)	No Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CEX I	Lega	9. OrgD	A restructure of the Legal Services Division to compensate for the dissipation of staff and to meet increasing workloads as reported to the Council's Cabinet on 18th August, 2008. The Cabinet agreed to the recommendation to restructure in principle through the addition of the post of a Solicitor (commercial/procurement), Legal Assistant (Childcare) and a Trainee Solicitor. Latter post included as priority. This was to meet additional functionality, increasing caseloads and to meet and comply with statutory requirements/obligations against a service with a low resource base. Pressures upon the service includes; increasing childcare caseloads and the adoption of the Public Law Outline governing the conduct of childcare proceedings, work involved with regeneration/partnering initiatives, school transformation/BSF, Freedom of Information and Data Protection compliance, Crime and Disorder Act provisions, equal pay/JE implementation, the locally based assessment and determination process, major corporate the Division's Lexcel accreditation.	Pressure	63	2	2		2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	All	NO	2	
				Total Chief Executives		188	3	28										
				Less Pressures reduced since October 2008 - Implementation of IFRS Total Chief Executives		(21) 167												

7.1 APPENDIX B

Chief Executive's Department - Contingency

7.1 APPENDIX	X	C
--------------	---	---

Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	Description	Type of budget proposal	Gross Value 09/10 £000	Risk Probability	luded in conting n risk probability	Cost of efficiency saving £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Treat as contingency item	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Fin	CED F	External Audit Fee		9. OrgD	Increase in External Audit fees arising from implications of IFRS (as described above). External Auditors will review progress in preparing for IFRS as part of 2009/10 audit work	Pressure	40	Very Low	11		3. High	3. Likely	9	Red	Neutral	All	Yes	0	
					Total Contingency		40		11										

Chief Executives Department - Proposed 1% Additional Budget Reductions

7.1 APPENDIX D

					posed 1% Additional Budget Reductions			Bu	dget Val	ue	1								
Portfolio	Dept/ Div	Budget heading/ Cost Centre	Cost Centre Code	Corp Strategy theme	 A description of the service pressure/ priority/ efficiency/ terminating grant/reduction The risks if proposal not approved and any mitigating measures already taken or planned. The benefit or outcome to be gained from the proposal. 	Type of budget proposal	Efficiency Classification	09/10 £000	10/11 £000	11/12	Cost of achieving 1% reduction £000	Risk impact	Risk probability .	Risk score	Risk status	Rate the Diversity impact	Diversity strand(s)	Staffing Impact	Other Comments
Perf	CED CS D			9. OrgD	A further review and increase in the base charges for the registrars service including the range of services provided and any premium charges for enhanced service provision and the recouping of overhead through charging	Efficiency T	Dept. E	9.0			0	1. Low R	3. Likely R	3	Green R	Neutral R		0	
Perf	CED CS			9. OrgD	Advancing the review of the provision of the econsulation system to release savings from external hosting of the service in advance of expected renegotiation. This is dependant on being able to reach agreement with provider	Efficiency	Dept.	2.0			0	1. Lo	3. Likely	3	Green	Neutral		0	
Fin	CED F	Accountancy			A Service Level Agreement with the North East Fire Control Company (Limited) for the provision of various financial services was agreed at the end of November. This agreement will generate income of £13,000 in 2009/10.	Efficiency	Dept.	13.0	0	0	0	1. Low	1. Unlikely	1	Green	Neutral	AII	0	
Fin	CED F	Various Finance		9. OrgD	Reduction in divisional overtime budgets which will not affect service delivery as increased use of IT has increased productivity.	Efficiency	Dept.	10.0	0	0		2. Medium	1. Unlikely	2	Green	Neutral	AII	0	
Perf	CED L	Legal			Deletion of Modern Apprentice post. This proposals is dependant upon the approval of the proposed new legal structure, which is a pressure for 2009/10. Appointments within the new structure will provide alternative training arrangements.	Efficiency	Dept.	5.0	0	0		2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	0	
Perf	CED HR	Members Development Budget		-0.9	Reduce existing budget requirement to level of current expenditure. Slight risk that if there is an increase in training needs the budget provision will not be sufficient.	Efficiency	Dept.	7.0	0	0		2. Medium	2. Possible	4	Amber	Neutral	AII	0	
Perf	CED HR	Human Resources		9. OrgD	Increasing Service Level Income from provision of services to organisations outside of Council.	Efficiency	Dept.	7.0	0	0		2. Medium	1. Unlikely	2	Green	Neutral	AII	0	
								53	0	0	0								

SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE

9th January, 2009

Report of: Scrutiny Manager

Subject: 2009/2010 BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK PROPOSALS – SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To provide details of the decisions made by Cabinet on 22nd December 2008 in relation to the 2009/10 budget proposals.

2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 A copy of the detailed report considered by Cabinet on 22nd December 2008 was issued to Members of this Committee before Cabinet met. This report therefore provides details of the decisions made by Cabinet at its meeting.

3. SUMMARY OF CABINET DECISION MADE ON 22ND DECEMBER 2008

- 3.1 Cabinet considered the consultation proposals detailed in section 10 of the Medium Term Financial Strategy Budget and Policy Framework report (circulated with the main agenda papers for your meeting as **Appendix 1)** and determined to approve that these issues be put forward for formal scrutiny.
- 3.2 Cabinet also determined at this stage to seek views on an annual Council Tax increase of 3.9% for 2009/10 and also indicative annual increases of 3.9% for 2010/11 and 2011/12.
- 3.3 Cabinet requested that Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee note the following issues when considered the draft budget proposals:-
 - The additional 1% efficiencies/savings are initial proposals. Cabinet would welcome comments on these proposals. Cabinet also asked that Scrutiny Co-ordinating Committee note that Cabinet will be undertaking a further review of these issues in January 2009;



- As a result of the more challenging financial position facing the Council the early delivery of the Business Transformation programme will play a critical role in beginning to address the underlying structural budget deficit.
- 3.4 A verbal report was made to Cabinet at the request of the Planning Committee for additional funding to be considered for Conservation grants. The Mayor commented that additional funding has been provided in previous year's budget for this.

4. BACKGROUND PAPERS

No background papers were used in production of this report.

5. CONTACT OFFICER

Charlotte Burnham – Scrutiny Manager Chief Executive's Department – Corporate Strategy Hartlepool Borough Council Tel: 01429 523 087 Email: charlotte.burnham@hartlepool.gov.uk

SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE

9 January 2009



Report of: Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum

Subject: FINAL REPORT - THE CONDITION OF THE HIGHWAYS IN HARTLEPOOL

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To present the findings of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum following its investigation into the Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool.

2. SETTING THE SCENE

- 2.1 Hartlepool Borough Council, as the Highway Authority has a statutory duty under the Highways Act 1980 to protect the rights of the public to the use and enjoyment of any highway which includes the duty to maintain the highway. A highway is an established right of way for the public to pass and repass, which includes public footpaths and bridleways as well as all "roads" as defined by the Road Traffic Act.
- 2.2 The highway network is the largest and most visible community asset for which local authorities are responsible and the Council has a road network of 236 miles and footpaths covering 472 miles. It is used on a daily basis by the majority of people and is fundamental to the economic, social and environmental well being of the community. It helps to shape the character and quality of the local areas that it serves and makes an important contribution to wider local authority priorities, including regeneration, social inclusion, community safety, education and health.
- 2.3 In order to fulfil its potential, it is crucial that the local highway network is appropriately maintained. Continuing growth in traffic and its attendant problems has brought increased pressure on the highway network and increased the rate of deterioration. Consequently, concern is increasing about the current level of investment in highway asset management and the effectiveness of current highway maintenance provision. Inadequate maintenance today leads to greater problems for the future.

- 2.4 The Council has a statutory duty under the Highways Act 1980 to maintain highways to ensure that they are safe and fit for ordinary traffic and such care has been taken in all the circumstances which were reasonable. Fiduciary duties placed on the Council include asset management, financial management, procurement, performance and planning, whole life costs, treatment selection, quality management and sustainability.
- 2.5 Greater pressure has been placed on limited budgets due to the requirement for higher than minimum standards to be achieved resulting in the current struggle for the Council to even provide the minimum acceptable standard. According to research by the Local Government Association, Council's are paying out more for compensation claims on potholes than they are spending on repairing roads. The research indicates that £53 million paid out to motorists last year could have been spent repairing an extra 946,429 potholes. The Chair of the Local Government Association's Transport and Regeneration Board is pressing for new legislation to combat the compensation culture created by no-win, no-fee lawyers.
- 2.6 Central Government developed a ten year Transport Plan which set out a realistic view of the challenges faced along with an ambitious vision of what could be achieved by 2010. One of the key challenges which the Plan aimed to address before 2010 was to eliminate the maintenance backlog for local roads, bridges and lighting as part of a £30 billion programme. However, to date this has not been achieved. According to the Asphalt Industry Alliance's Annual Road Survey 2008, the number of trenches and road openings has increased over the past year and there is an 11 year backlog of local maintenance work, with an existing shortfall of more than one billion. The Head of Urban Access Policy at the Freight Transport Association criticised Central Government's lack of provision for local road maintenance, stating that the "Government must increase the funding to local authorities in order that they are able to maintain their roads in a fit and proper condition rather than on what seems to be the current patch and mend basis".

3. OVERALL AIM OF THE SCRUTINY INVESTIGATION

3.1 The overall aim of the scrutiny investigation was to review the Council's approach to highway inspection and maintenance and to suggest areas of improvement to ensure the town's roads are maintained to an acceptable standard.

4. TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE SCRUTINY INVESTIGATION

- 4.1 The following Terms of Reference for the investigation were as outlined below:-
 - (a) To gain an understanding of the statutory and regulatory framework for highway inspection and maintenance;

- (b) To identify provision in local strategies / programmes of relevance to inspection and maintenance of highways in Hartlepool;
- (c) To examine the Council's operational approach to highway inspection and maintenance to ensure that the highways where appropriate are brought up to and maintained to an acceptable standard, with particular focus on:-
 - (i) the associated costs;
 - (ii) the public liability and cost of funding insurance requirements;
 - (iii) the current response times to respond to and rectify varying categories of road defects / maintenance;
 - (iv) the current level of intervention standards and practices; and
 - (v) the arrangements available to the public for the reporting of maintenance / road defects.
- (d) To investigate the local area focus of the Council's current provision to determine its effectiveness and examine ways of extending this principle for the delivery of Highway Services;
- (e) To compare Hartlepool's approach to highways maintenance with other local authorities in the region by consulting to seek good practice; and
- (f) To consult with major stakeholders on the Council's approach to highway inspection and maintenance.

5. MEMBERSHIP OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES SCRUTINY FORUM

5.1 Membership of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum for the 2008/9 Municipal Year was as outlined below:-

Councillors Akers-Belcher (Chair), Barker, R W Cook, Coward, Cranney, Fleming, McKenna (Vice – Chair), Worthy and Wright

Resident Representatives: John Cambridge, Mary Green and Brenda Loynes

6. METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

6.1 The Members of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum met formally from the 11 July 2008 to 24 November 2008 to discuss and receive evidence directly relating to their investigation into the Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool. A detailed record of these meetings is available from the Council's Democratic Services or via the Hartlepool Borough Council website.

- 6.2 A brief summary of the methods of investigation are outlined below:-
 - (a) Presentations and reports from Hartlepool Borough Council Officers;
 - (b) Evidence provided by the Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities;
 - (c) Consultation with the North, South and Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forums;
 - (d) Site visit by Members to a selection of roads / pavements across Hartlepool;
 - (e) Site visit by Members to Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council to examine areas of good practice;
 - (f) Written evidence from Hartlepool Special Needs Support Group;
 - (g) Written evidence from Hartlepool's 50 + Forum;
 - (h) Written Evidence from Resident Representatives;
 - (i) Consultation with the Headland Conservation Advisory Group;
 - (j) Written evidence from the Highways Agency;
 - (k) Written Evidence from the Town's Member of Parliament;
 - (I) Focus Group held with the members of the public at Hartlepool Civic Centre on 15 September 2008; and
 - (m) Attendance by the Chair at the Asphalt Industry Alliance, 'Journey to the Perfect Road' Conference held on 22 October 2008.

FINDINGS

7. CURRENT HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE ISSUES IN HARTLEPOOL

7.1 In relation to the issues associated with the current highways maintenance service, Members received evidence from a variety of witnesses as outlined below:

Evidence from the Town's Member of Parliament (MP)

7.2 The written contribution of the Town's MP to the investigation was very welcomed and his views on the condition of the highways in Hartlepool are outlined below.

- 7.3 The MP indicated that in his experience, the provision of highways maintenance is of a good quality referring specifically to the responsiveness of the highways team. The MP commented that he does not receive a large proportion of constituents' correspondence relating to highways maintenance and inspection but when issues are raised, he has found the highways section of the Council to be professional and extremely responsive.
- 7.4 The MP highlighted that constituents have tended to raise concerns with him in respect of poorly maintained kerbsides or pavements, where a fall has occurred rather than potholes in roads. He appreciates that the small scale nature of the local authority often makes it difficult to manage different priorities but it also means that the team is able to respond to concerns quickly.
- 7.5 When asked about his views on the standards of the highways in Hartlepool in comparison to national and regional standards, his understanding is that Hartlepool is currently in the top quartile in the country regarding Government indicators relating to highways maintenance but he does not think this will be the public's perception. He imagines that the public believe that the town's roads are of inferior quality to other areas. Although does not advise increased communication on the matter as his belief is that the public would wish to see any additional resources pumped into the actual maintenance and inspection rather than greater public relations.
- 7.6 One area raised by the MP was the matter of unadopted roads in Hartlepool and he believes that a clearer policy on unadopted roads would contribute to the economic development and well-being of the town. Although, he can understand the reluctance of the local authority to take on responsibility for these highways with possible considerable liabilities to the taxpayer.
- 7.7 The MP suggested several areas of improvement in order to ensure the town's roads are maintained to an acceptable standard. These can be summarised as follows:
 - (a) **Funding:** The Government is moving away from specific ring-fenced funding for certain activities and providing more resources for local authorities to spend according to local priorities. About £5 billion of resource funding for councils has been mainstreamed into area-based grant or revenue support grant. This means that local authorities have the flexibilities to allocate funds to key priorities rather than having to report specific matters to central government. The MP would suggest that the Council should come to a view as to the importance of highways maintenance in its overall strategic priorities.
 - (b) **Economic and social development**: The MP strongly believes that a well maintained highway system is essential to the economic and social development of Hartlepool. The effective flow of traffic, both in terms of vehicles and pedestrians is important and contributes to the sustainability and well being of the town. As much as possible the local authority should be 'future proofing' increasing traffic flow through the

provision of a well-planned highways system, which allows for efficient and safe passage of travel. The MP indicated that there needs to be close strategic links between highways maintenance and other parts of the local authority, including planning and economic development.

- (c) **Public transport:** In order to reduce the wear and tear on the roads and assist highways maintenance, the MP is of the opinion that given the centralised nature of much of Hartlepool it would be feasible to try to encourage and incentivise car users to use public transport. Therefore, a greater link between highways and public transport provision is important.
- (d) Planned maintenance: The MP suggested that the local authority should consider a greater emphasis upon planned maintenance rather than reactive works, as planned maintenance should prove to be less costly in the long run and help to ensure the efficient use of the town's roads. In addition, given a growing tendency for litigation on highways matters, the MP suggested that it may be cost effective and efficient in the long-term if the local authority devoted more resources to this issue in the short-term, to reduce the risk of litigation in the future.
- (e) **Main and strategic roads:** The MP understands that there is a need to ensure that Hartlepool's main and strategic roads are well maintained, but also thinks that greater consideration needs to be given to the condition of the roads in some of the less busy streets.
- (f) **Use of materials:** There is a balance to be struck between the use of cheap materials to undertake a 'fix job' and a higher spend initially to provide greater value for money over the long-term, although this is a judgement for the local authority. However, the MP's own preference would be for the latter, to provide a greater degree of sustainability.
- (g) **Local involvement:** The MP believes that the involvement of the local community is vital and recommends this involvement to hear community views.

Evidence from the Authority's Cabinet Member Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities.

- 7.8 The Forum was pleased to receive evidence from the Authority's Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities relating to the Council's approach to highway maintenance as summarised below:
 - (a) His roles and responsibilities in relation to the Council's highways maintenance and inspection are to oversee officer's responsibilities in relation to the statutory duties for highway safety and to ensure that all officers comply with the standards set within Central Government guidelines.

- (b) The Portfolio Holder emphasised that the Highways Team do an excellent job within the confines of a tight budget and the hard work of the Highways Team was acknowledged by the Forum. One of the main problems which was indicated by the Portfolio Holder was the lack of planned maintenance work due to the increase in reactive maintenance. In order to reduce the reactive maintenance it was suggested by that an increase in the programmed maintenance budget would improve the current condition of the highways in Hartlepool and would result in less reliance on reactive maintenance.
- (c) It was emphasised by the Portfolio Holder that the current condition of the highways was reflective of the fact that there were outstanding insurance claims of £308k for highways and £440k for pavements.
- (d) The Portfolio Holder acknowledged the current budget situation and reiterated that an injection of £5m over the next five years for the planned programme of maintenance would result in vast improvements to the condition of the highways in Hartlepool. It was highlighted to the Forum that if there was no increase in the highways budget, it would result in a greater shift to reactive maintenance rather than planned maintenance.

Evidence from Elected Members of the Scrutiny Forum – Site Visit to Carriageways / footpaths across Hartlepool

- 7.9 Members of the Forum thought it would be beneficial to the undertaking of their investigation if they visited a selection of carriageways / footpaths across Hartlepool to gain an understanding of the issues faced in relation to highways maintenance. During the course of the site visit Members were shown carriageways which were due to be resurfaced / badly scoured surfaces / utility trenches / patching / surface dressings and also footway deteriation.
- 7.10 The site visit took place on 1 September 2008 and the feedback from Members can be summarised as follows:-
 - (a) A variety of carriageways looked in serious need of repair but from a maintenance perspective were in good condition;
 - (b) Utility trenches looked untidy;
 - (c) Footways were in poor condition in some areas;
 - (d) A number of speed humps were in need of maintenance; and
 - (e) Concerns over the introduction of speed humps in certain areas.

Good Practice Evidence from Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council

- 7.11 Members of the Forum were very interested in visiting a good practice Local Authority in order to gain an insight into their highways maintenance success. On this basis, Members of the Forum visited Bamsley Metropolitan Borough Council as they were awarded Beacon Status, which is a recognition of excellence, for their approach to highways maintenance.
- 7.12 Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council maintains 1,150km of road comprising 129km 'A' roads and 1021km local roads. In the past limited funding dictated that the maintenance of Barnsley's highways was very much reactive. Increased funding made available by Central Government as part of its 10 year transport plan and by the Council (in response to major concerns expressed by citizens about the condition of the roads and footways) required a substantial rethink of their maintenance strategy from reactive to proactive. This new approach to highways maintenance resulted in Barnsley Council achieving Beacon Status in 2003/2004 for their street and highway works.
- 7.13 Barnsley's approach to highways maintenance can be summarised into key themes. These themes have contributed to Barnsley's reactive maintenance, which was 80% in 1999 reduced to 18% in 2008, and therefore their planned maintenance has increased dramatically resulting in better maintenance of their highways, as outlined in the graph below:



Graph showing the Condition of the Highways in Barnsley (1998 – 2008)

- 7.14 The key themes are as follows:
 - (a) Utilities Work: Barnsley Council have developed a non adversarial approach which focuses on common objectives aimed at improving standards.
 - (b) Better co-ordination of works: A pro-active approach was developed to engage with the utility companies to manage the network and future maintenance. Meetings between Bamsley Council and the utility companies are now held on a regular basis, where both parties discuss their planned maintenance programmes and identify any co-ordination issues. This has resulted in utilities either accelerating their works or undertaking them at the same time using the same traffic management arrangements. In some cases the Council has deferred its works to enable the utilities to complete their works so that newly laid surfacing would not be disturbed. Sharing of longer term programmes has resulted in area based working by all parties to reduce disruption.
 - (c) Partnership with the public: Barnsley Council's have developed effective communications with the public to keep them informed of highways maintenance issues. Communication methods include a map based roadworks report on a web site, weekly reports in local newspapers, individual letters to residents and businesses affected by roadworks activities, and feedback from the public on the quality of the works operation and the scheme itself.
 - (d) Highway works: partnership working with contractors to encourage innovative treatments and early contactor input to develop new ideas / techniques. For example, the use of re-cycled material in schemes.
 - (e) Effective monitoring and performance management: links the overall approach to street and highway works. It is an integral part of Barnsley's annual service and financial plans. This approach has resulted in service delivery strongly allied to performance targets.
- 7.15 Barnsley Council's service delivery key advice aimed at improving highway maintenance is as follows:
 - (a) Develop a clear vision and strategy;
 - (b) Full commitment of the Council from both Officers and Members;
 - (c) Excellent engagement with the public and streetwork providers;
 - (d) Public service agreement: one element of Bamsley's Public Service agreement with Central Government included additional funding for improved footway maintenance linked to stopping the increasing number of insurance claims against the Council; and
 - (e) Working at off peak periods.

Evidence from Members of the Public – Focus Group Event

- 7.16 The Forum was very keen to engage with members of the public to hear their views in relation to the problems that they encounter on the highway on a daily basis.
- 7.17 As such, a Focus Group Event was held on 15 September 2008 at the Civic Centre, Hartlepool. Whilst tumout was low, the event was well publicised in the local press together with the distribution of leaflets/posters to community groups and venues.
- 7.18 Members of the public were given the opportunity to express their views on the condition of the highways in Hartlepool and provide input into the investigation. The Group's views were sought on the following questions:-

(i) What in your opinion are the main problems with the highways in Hartlepool?

- (a) Highways were not seen as a priority, an example provided was that the budget did not reflect the growth of the town;
- (b) Roads were not built to carry the current volume of traffic;
- (c) The speed of traffic effected the condition of the roads; and
- (d) Paved footpaths were seen as a danger.

(ii) In your opinion are the highways in better / worse condition compared to other local areas?

- (a) General opinion was that the roads were in worse condition than neighbouring authorities; and
- (b) Concerns were raised over traffic calming schemes.

(iii) Have you ever suffered any personal injury or damage to vehicles due to the condition of the highways in Hartlepool? If so, was the problem on the highway rectified?

- (a) Psychological problems resulting from noise / vibrations;
- (b) Depression;
- (c) Emotional demands / tensions; and
- (d) Concerns over obstructions in particular for the elderly.
(iv) Have you ever reported a road / pavement defect? If so, was the problem resolved and approximately how long did it take? And who did you report it to?

- (a) Reported on several occasions but problem never resolved;
- (b) By Letter;
- (c) Civic Centre Complaints Department;
- (d) Consultative Forums; and
- (e) Confusion over how to report defects.

(v) What areas of improvement if any, would you suggest to ensure the town's roads / pavements are maintained to an acceptable standard?

- (a) Redirect traffic calming money to fund other major maintenance issues;
- (b) Tarmac was the preferred option for pavements; and
- (c) More money from Central Government / lobby Parliament.

Consultation with Hartlepool Support Groups

- 7.19 During the course of the investigation consultation was undertaken with a number of support groups in relation to their views / opinions on the condition of the highways in Hartlepool. The following groups formed part of the consultation exercise:
 - (a) Resident Representative Forum;
 - (b) Headland Conservation Advisory Group;
 - (c) 50+ Forum;
 - (d) Hartlepool Special Needs Support Group; and
 - (e) Hartlepool Access Group.

7.20 The general feedback received from the groups can be summarised as follows:

- (a) Uneven / broken paving stones and tarmac causing injury and falls;
- (b) Pavements very high;
- (c) Very few drop kerbs;

- (d) Too many speed bumps / do not achieve their objective;
- (e) Too many pot holes / some pot holes are not repaired;
- (f) Some road markings are dull / incorrect lines painted in the centre of the road;
- (g) Parked cars / lorries preventing wheelchair access to pavements and damaging pavements;
- (h) Parked cars on pavements causing risk of injury / illegal parking;
- (i) Works carried out by utility companies condition of road not reinstated;
- (j) Positioning of drop kerbs / tactile pavements;
- (k) Standardisation of practices / policies for drop kerbs / tactile pavements;
- (I) Limited consultation with the Support Groups;
- (m) Repairs do not last therefore regular inspections are essential along with quicker response times;
- (n) Roads are in a bad state of repair and have been neglected;
- (o) Pavements need maintaining / cleaning especially in winter as they become dangerous;
- (p) Other towns roads are in better condition;
- (q) The Headland Conservation Advisory Group commented on the use of paving stones in conservation areas rather than tarmac stating that in some areas of the Conservation Area paving stones are welcomed as it adds to character of the area.

8. RESPONSIBILITIES OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS TO HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE

8.1 Members agreed that a number of important stakeholders should be invited to provide evidence, in relation to the Forum's investigation into the Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool. The evidence of key stakeholders is outlined below.

Evidence from Hartlepool Borough Council

8.2 Members heard that Hartlepool Borough Council's statutory duties in relation to maintaining the highways in Hartlepool are set out in the Highways Act

1980. Officers from the Neighbourhood Services Department gave evidence to the Forum on several occasions and the evidence was categorised into two areas, operational and financial issues, both of which are detailed below.

Operational Approach to Highways Maintenance

- 8.3 The current management arrangement within the Council for highways maintenance is that reactive maintenance, highway inspections and the day to day flagging repairs and filling of potholes is the responsibility of the Neighbourhood Management Division and is delivered by internal resources. The planned maintenance, major one off reconstructions due to major failures and the carriageway resurfacing programme is the responsibility of the Technical Services Division and is delivered by external contractors. Overall management responsibility is with Technical Services.
- 8.4 However, the structure is currently under further revision as a consequence of the Traffic Management Act, which has contributed to the need to establish an Integrated Transport Unit to focus on Traffic and Transportation issues. In a similar manner, it has been determined that the highways section needs to have the same focus and be in charge of its own destiny, so the highway functions are also in the process of being integrated into a single service unit. Once implemented, Neighbourhood Management will be responsible for all aspects of highway maintenance and this will further enhance the area basis of the service delivery.
- 8.5 The Forum were informed that the highway works element of the Highway Services Section has the following staff members:-
 - Highway Works Manager
 - Highways Supervisor
 - Highway Technician
 - 7 No. Paviours
 - -12 No. Driver/Labourers (interchangeable roles, but at any one time, 7 will act as labourers for Paviours, 3 will act as the patching team and 2 will be on general works/drainage works)
 - 4 No. Gully Cleansing Operatives
 - 2 No. Modern Apprentice Paviours

The vehicles used are:-

- 5 No. 17 tonne demountable body wagons
- 5 No. 7¹/₂ tonne fixed body wagons

- 1 No. 3½ tonne pickup (Rapid Response)
- 2 No. Gully Machines
- 1 No. JCB
- 1 No. Tractaire Loading Shovel
- 8.6 Members were informed that there are two types of maintenance, reactive and planned, and each were defined as follows:
 - (a) Reactive maintenance is defined as the removal of hazardous defects to ensure the safety of road and footway users. It is carried out in response to routine inspections (monthly for high amenity features, 3 monthly for medium amenity features and 6 monthly for low amenity features) or customer reports; and
 - (b) Planned maintenance involves the replacement of surfaces that have come to the end of their life cycle. Planned maintenance is carried out to maintain the serviceability of the highway asset, for example, good ride quality on carriageways.
- 8.7 Members raised their concerns over the amount of reactive maintenance which was being undertaken and how the minimisation of the volume of reactive work was vitally important. The Neighbourhood Services Department informed the Forum that the unit cost for reactive maintenance is much greater than that of planned maintenance. However, it is vital that all actionable defects are repaired for the full 52 weeks per annum as failure to ensure this may lead to an increase in successful insurance claims.
- 8.8 The Council produce a Planned Maintenance Programme annually which is part of a five year rolling programme. Members were informed that the 2008/09 programme contains 2 carriageway reconstructions, 33 planning and resurfacing schemes and 15 footway reconstruction schemes.
- 8.9 The maintenance backlog was an area of concern for the Forum as the current estimated cost of rectifying the highways already identified as defective is approximately £20M. The maintenance backlog comprises carriageway defects, footway defects, gully and drainage defects, bridge defects and also includes items such as barriers, bollards, traffic signs, street name plates and white and yellow lines.
- 8.10 The Forum was interested to hear what the current response times to rectify defects on the highway are and the Forum were informed that there are currently three categories of response, as detailed below:
 - (a) Emergency Works 1hour. This category is for extremely dangerous situations only. When a repair is possible, it is carried out immediately

but this category is usually restricted to making safe by the erection of barriers.

- (b) Urgent Works 24 hours. This category is for defects that are actionable and pose a medium risk hazard. Consequently it is more usual to require a 24 hour response on a high amenity footway than a low amenity footway.
- (c) Routine Reactive Works 28 days. This is for all other "actionable" defects.
- 8.11 The forum raised concerns over the work carried out by utility companies and the inspections in place after the utilities had completed their work. Members were informed that inspections on utility works are prescribed under the RASWA Code of Practice for Inspections and as an Authority are entitled (and required) to inspect 30% of utility works, 10% at 3 specific categories of works, for example:

Category	Description
Cat A	(10%) Inspections undertaken during 'live' works
Cat B	(10%) Inspections undertaken within a 6 month period of completion of works
Cat C	- (10%) Inspections carried out (within 3 month) prior to end of guarantee period

Table 1 – Categories of Inspection

- 8.12 The above inspections are chargeable at prescribed costs. The money generated from utility inspections and subsequent fines is diverted into the Technical Services Department to pay on budgeted salaries in the Traffic and Transport Division.
- 8.13 The income generated in 2006 / 2007 was £42,257 and in 2007 / 2008 was £50,717. In 2007 / 2008 the income was made up of, £20,376 from agreed inspections; £16,091 from additional revenue raised from defective works and additional inspections; and £14,250 from charges raised when utilities over stay the agreed time period. The amount varies from year to year and it is anticipated that with the new legislation introduced from April this year, the income will drop significantly in future years. The Department are limited to what one inspector can achieve in a working week and hence cannot generate an increase in income without extra resources but it is likely that an additional inspector would only generate enough to pay for his own time.

Financial / Funding Issues in relation to Highways Maintenance

8.14 The Forum were presented with a table (as shown below) which outlined the contribution of the highways service to the insurance fund along with the claims paid to date.

Financial Year	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Highways Contribution	£201	£201	£351	£501	£501	£516	£532	£547	£564	£581
Claims Paid to date (£000's)	£273	£279	£344	£417	£253	£278	£183	£103	£72	£8
Reserves outstanding (£000's)	£7	£0	£8	£0	£0	£69	£37	£206	£389	£556
Claims Handling – Externa (£000's)I Claims Handling – Internal	£18	£20	£25	£30	£25	£35	£24	£19	£24	£24
(£000's)	£25	£26	£26	£29	£20	£32	£35	£36	£39	£39
Highways Inspector funding (£000's)				£42	£42	£42	£43	£44	£45	£46
Policy Premium Costs (£000's)	£19	£21	£21	£26	£30	£76	£92	£94	£67	£61
Broker Costs	£11	£12	£12	£16	£15	£15	£18	£17	£22	£20
(£000's) Deficit - Surplus (£000's)	£152	£124	£52	£59	- £116	£31	- £100	-£28	£94	£173

Table 2 – Insurance Fund

- 8.15 The Forum was informed by the Neighbourhood Services Department that the first £100K on each and every claim is paid from the Insurance Fund. The role of the fund is to smooth risks across service areas and to not only cover Public Liability but all other categories of policy cover that the Council purchase. The process enables risks to be smoothed over a period of time and not just on an annual basis. The main cause of highway claims still lies with the flagged paving, between 1998-2008 the Council received 731 claims that cost £1.3M, with a further £440K in reserves outstanding. The cost of settling daims that relate to pothole repairs since 1998 is £430K (344 daims), however a further £308K still remains outstanding. It is evident that this represents a growing problem with pot hole repairs and the deterioration of the carriageway network.
- 8.16 The Forum were very interested to draw comparisons between the cost of maintenance work delivered in-house compared to externally. The Neighbourhood Services department highlighted that this was difficult to

establish due to the variable nature of private sector pricing. As an illustration, three quotes received for two coat carriageway patching were:-

Contractor	Cost
1	£42.00/m²
2	£38.29/m²
3	£87.19/m²

- 8.17 This is comparable with an in-house rate of £57.54. It was suggested by the Forum that one option to reduce the tender price could be to use recycled materials for certain schemes, although due to the size of Hartlepool, it may not be economic to run the scheme alone.
- 8.18 Members of the Forum requested details from the department on the actual length of highways assets versus budget provision for the last 10 years, as shown in the table below:

				Depreciated
Year	Spend	Length	Spend per Km	Spend per Km
2008/2009	£1,070,847	419.7	£2,551.46	£1,955.48
2007/2008	£1,060,935	419.5	£2,529.05	£1,996.45
2006/2007	£1,174,319	411.5	£2,853.75	£2,320.36
2005/2006	£929,622	408.6	£2,275.14	£1,905.39
2004/2005	£996,550	407.9	£2,443.12	£2,107.46
2003/2004	£832,262	387.6	£2,147.22	£1,907.78
2002/2003	£810,183	378.9	£2,138.25	£1,956.80
2001/2002	£1,197,894	377.3	£3,174.91	£2,992.66
2000/2001	£1,005,011	362.3	£2,773.97	£2,693.18
1999/2000	£1,121,686	357.6	£3,136.71	£3,136.71

Table 4 – Budget Provision

- 8.19 In addition to the above, the Forum were interested in linking the budget provisions to the specific costs for the use of different materials for roads and pavements along with the life span of the material. The Neighbourhood Services Department calculated this by using the same length of road / pavement.
- 8.20 The Department explained that due to the variable widths of road, direct comparisons are more easily achieved by expressing the costs in terms of square metres as opposed to the length of the road. Also, roads will deteriorate faster if more highly trafficked, hence a figure of 6,000 vehicles per day was used to ensure that the direct comparison is appropriate. The busiest road in the town, the A689, carries in excess of 20,000 vehicles per day. 6.000 vehicles would be the equivalent of for example, Shrewsbury Street, Arncliffe Gardens. The costs are outlined below:

Type of Road	Cost Per Square Metre	Life Span
30mm thick DBM Carriageway	£5.90/m²	10 year life
40mm thick HRA Carriageway	£10.82/m ²	25 year life
40mm thick SMA Carriageway	£8.79/m²	20 year life

Table 5 – Costs and Lifespan of Variable Types of Road

8.21 The following figures were also provided for footways:

Table 6 - Costs and Lifespan of Variable Types of Pavement

Type of Footway	Cost Per Square Metre	Life Span
DBM footway	£23.04/m²	20 year life
Flagged footway	£22.70/m²	25 year life

Hartlepool's Local Transport Plan

- 8.22 The Council's Local Transport Plan sets out how the Council intends to develop a high quality, integrated and safe transport system that supports Hartlepool's continued growth and regeneration. The total 5 year budget is £11.35M. £4.75M is for structural highway maintenance and is delegated to Highway Services to supplement the revenue budget and the remaining £5.526M is for highway development schemes.
- 8.23 The Forum explored the idea of redirecting monies and targeting them elsewhere. However, any change to the Local Transport Plan needs to be approved by the Government Office and currently Hartlepool's Local Transport Plan is rated as excellent.

Evidence from the Highways Agency

- 8.24 The Highways Agency clarified to Members that their primary responsibility was for the operation and stewardship of the strategic road network (trunk roads and motorways) in England on behalf of the Secretary of State.
- 8.25 The primary functions of the Agency are to manage traffic, tackle congestion, provide information to road users and improve safety and journey time reliability, whilst respecting and minimising the environment.
- 8.26 The Agency's road network ranges from motorways to single carriageway trunk roads (the major A roads) and is valued at over £81 billion. The A19, the trunk road which is the responsibility of the agency near to Hartlepool is managed under a 30 year Design, Build Finance and Operate contract awarded to Autolink Concessionaries (A19) Limited in 1996.

- 8.27 Autolink are responsible for the operation and maintenance of the project road, they carry out all the routine cyclic and winter maintenance works and have delegated responsibilities for other functions including litter clearance.
- 8.28 The Agency also funds improvement schemes on the route, ranging from small scale improvements to signing and lining, to technology schemes and major projects.

Evidence from the Neighbourhood Consultative Forums / Neighbourhood Managers

- 8.29 A consultation exercise was carried out with the three Hartlepool Neighbourhood Consultative Forums, the North, Central and South to encourage their involvement in the investigation.
- 8.30 The main concerns which were highlighted at each of these Forums were:
 - (a) The positioning of drop kerbs / tactile pavements;
 - (b) Limited access for wheelchairs;
 - (c) Moving pavements are a danger; and
 - (d) How are response times publicised.
- 8.31 The Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum requested statistics to indicate the level of enquiries from members of the public which were directed to the Council. The table below was presented to the Forum by the Director of Neighbourhood Services for the financial year 2007/2008. The enquiries are not all necessarily actionable defects, as some enquiries are often made about issues that are not actionable. In these cases, the issue is recorded as closed down with no action taken.

Service Name	Subject Name	Enquiries	Completed
North Forum Issues	Bollard Defects	16	15
North Forum Issues	Bus stop Defects	2	2
North Forum Issues	Contractor Issues	4	4
North Forum Issues	Damage to Fending	5	5
North Forum Issues	Damage to Verge	10	10
North Forum Issues	Damaged defective Flags	85	83
North Forum Issues	Damaged Manholes	17	13
North Forum Issues	Damaged Pedestrian Barrier	2	2
North Forum Issues	Flooding Problems	10	10
North Forum Issues	Footpath Obstruction	6	6
North Forum Issues	Gulley Issues	121	100
North Forum Issues	Miscellaneous Issues	77	74
North Forum Issues	Overhanging Tree Branches	20	20
North Forum Issues	Pothole in Carriageway	79	75

Table 7 – Level of Enquiries from Members of the Public

North Forum Issues	Pothole in footway	10	9
North Forum Issues	Road Sign Defects	10	10
North Forum Issues	Street Name Plate Defect	11	11
North Forum Issues	WinterMaintenance	1	1
	Totals for North Area	486	450

Service Name	Subject Name	Enquiries	Completed
Central Forum Issues	Alleygates	2	2
Central Forum Issues	Bollard Defects	28	28
Central Forum Issues	Bus stop defects	2	2
Central Forum Issues	Contractor Issues	9	8
Central Forum Issues	Damage to Fencing	7	7
Central Forum Issues	Damage to Verge	14	14
Central Forum Issues	Damaged defective flags	143	143
Central Forum Issues	Damaged manholes	17	17
Central Forum Issues	Damaged pedestrian barrier	2	2
Central Forum Issues	Flooding Problems	16	16
Central Forum Issues	Footpath Obstruction	9	9
Central Forum Issues	Gulley Issues	200	197
Central Forum Issues	Miscellaneous Issues	85	84
Central Forum Issues	Overhanging Tree Branches	24	23
Central Forum Issues	Pothole in Carriageway	138	138
Central Forum Issues	Pothole in footway	13	13
Central Forum Issues	Road Lining Defects	4	4
Central Forum Issues	Road Sign defects	17	17
Central Forum Issues	Street Name Plate Defect	10	10
Central Forum Issues	Tree Damage	1	1
Central Forum Issues	Winter Service	2	2
	Totals for Central Area	743	737

Service Name	Subject Name	Enquiries	Completed
South Forum Issues	Bollard Defects	5	5
South Forum Issues	Contractor Issues	5	5
South Forum Issues	Damage To Fencing	5	4
South Forum Issues	Damage To Verge	65	62
South Forum Issues	Damaged Defective Flags	126	125
South Forum Issues	Damaged Manholes	14	13
South Forum Issues	Damaged Pedestrian Barriers	3	3
South Forum Issues	Flooding Problmes	8	8
South Forum Issues	Footpath Obstruction	6	6
South Forum Issues	Gulley Issues	58	37
South Forum Issues	Miscellaneous Issues	112	105
South Forum Issues	Overhanging Tree Branches	14	14
South Forum Issues	Pothole in Carriageway	109	106
South Forum Issues	Pothole in footway	15	14
South Forum Issues	Road Lining Defects	2	1
South Forum Issues	Road Sign Defects	7	6
South Forum Issues	Street Name Plate Defect	12	11
South Forum Issues	Tree Damage	1	1
	Totals for South Area	567	526

- 8.32 The Neighbourhood Managers also provided written evidence to the Forum outlining the issues/complaints that they had received in relation to the condition of the highways in Hartlepool. The issues/complaints fell into two main categories, which were general issues regarding the service delivered that members of the public were dissatisfied with and issues specific to an area where problems had occurred and remained unresolved.
- 8.33 Some of the more general issues include:
 - (a) The Authority tries to patch roads beyond the point that they can be reasonably patched;
 - (b) There is not enough resurfacing;
 - (c) Work is marked up, then nothing seems to happen;
 - (d) New schemes deteriorating too quickly due to poor quality construction;
 - (e) Public utility reinstatements are poor quality;
 - (f) Damage caused to footways by inconsiderate motorists (including council vehicles i.e. bin wagons);
 - (g) Not enough parking provision;
 - (h) Poor general enforcement;
 - (i) Poor parking provision in estates (grass verge removal);
 - (j) Maintenance of unadopted Council assets;
 - (k) Drainage issues;
 - (I) Lack of availability of maintenance materials used for maintenance on the larger capital funded schemes (SRB);
 - (m) Damage to footpath by tree roots;
 - (n) No funding for backstreet resurfacing;
 - (o) Maintenance of Alley gates;
 - (p) Maintenance of Highways trees;
 - (q) Painting and upkeep of pedestrian barriers; and
 - (r) Length of time to get scheduled & unscheduled Maintenance completed.

9.1

- 8.34 The area specific issues include:
 - (a) Footways in the Park Road to Victoria Road section of York Road have been very poor since shortly after they were constructed;
 - (b) Brenda Road floods frequently, particularly at the Power Station roundabout;
 - (c) Murray Street floods regularly;
 - (d) No maintenance of Central Estate linear park (unadopted);
 - (e) Poor footways in Rossmere;
 - (f) Deteriorating tarmac verges in Sinclair & Eskdale Rd;
 - (g) Water flooding across road near Bank Top Cottage in Greatham;
 - (h) Reconstruction of Catcote road from Oxford road to Brierton Lane;
 - (i) Flooding in Durham Street; and
 - (j) Unadopted parking areas Throston Estate;

Reporting Arrangements for Highway Defects

- 8.35 The Chair of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum attended a meeting with the Council's Central Services Manager and the Council's Contact Centre Manager to clarify the process for reporting highway defects including how the enquiry is filtered from the customer to the appropriate department / officer along with any feedback arrangements which are in place.
- 8.36 The Chair was informed that all enquires are logged directly through the highways software system 'Confirm' by Hartlepool Connect, where all the relevant information relating to the defect is recorded. This information is then processed and actioned by the Highways Department who also provide the feedback to the customer if requested.
- 8.37 As a result of the meeting, the Chair was informed that the current system used by Hartlepool Connect, the Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system is not integrated to Confirm, therefore Hartlepool Connect are unable to report on the status of outstanding customer enquiries. On that basis, client departments are responsible for ensuring that customer enquiries are monitored and fed back when requested. Hartlepool Connect would be able to take on this role if all enquiries were recorded in the CRM system. The relevant information from the CRM could then be fed to other council systems via a 'middleware' product. This product operates by populating information from the CRM to back office systems without having to re-enter information again making the service more accurate and efficient.

9. SUSTAINABILITY / ASSET MANAGEMENT – HOW CAN THIS IMPROVE HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE

- 9.1 Arising from the Asphalt Industry Alliance Conference 'Journey to the Perfect Road' attended by the Chair of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum on 22 October 2008, it was suggested that asset management and sustainability could be the key to the perfect road.
- 9.2 The Neighbourhood Services Department are aware that a sustained longterm programme of investment needs to be planned and managed, supported by effective technical and management plans such as the Transport Asset Management Plan, Highway Asset Management Plan, Local Transport Plan and Network Management Plan, which will provide a framework for an integrated asset management approach to the Borough's transport assets
- 9.3 In Hartlepool an Asset Management Working Group has already been established by the Tees Valley Engineers and has been preparing generic Tees Valley base documents to ensure a consistent approach to Highway Asset Management across the region. The intention is to populate the documents with local content once a region wide approach has been agreed. The completed plans will enable systems to be established to manage all transportation assets on a long-term basis using whole life costing within a framework of statutory requirements, customer expectations and sustainable funding.

10. CONCLUSIONS

- 10.1 The Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum concluded:-
 - (a) That the condition of the highways in Hartlepool is reflective of the current budget provision which is allocated to the highways maintenance service;
 - (b) That budget pressures are arising from the increase in reactive maintenance which is resulting in a reduction of planned maintenance, therefore adding to the maintenance backlog;
 - (c) It was evident from members of the public that they were not fully aware of the reporting arrangements available to them when reporting a highway defect and some people felt as though their complaint was disregarded as they did not receive any feedback;
 - (d) That highway defects did not only affect people physically but also psychologically, causing long term health problems;
 - (e) It was crucial that all partners involved in the maintenance of the highways in Hartlepool were kept informed and involved at an early stage in the process to ensure that the most efficient and effective service was provided;

- (f) It was evident from visiting Bamsley Metropolitan Council that in order to decrease reactive maintenance, the following factors needed to be achieved: better co-ordination of works, greater partnership working, engagement with the public and effective monitoring and performance management;
- (g) That the introduction of the Integrated Transport Unit will increase the efficiency of the service; and
- (h) That the Highways Team within the Council are dedicated to their role and the Forum acknowledges their hard work and commitment despite the budgetary constraints.

11. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 11.1 The Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum has taken evidence from a wide range of sources to assist in the formulation of a balanced range of recommendations. The Forum's key recommendations to the Cabinet are as outlined below:
 - (a) That the Council develops a strategy to achieve a planned approach to highways maintenance as opposed to a reactive approach;
 - (b) That the Council strengthens existing working relations with the Utility Companies and continues to facilitate regular meetings to focus on common objectives aimed at improving standards;
 - (c) That the Council develops a formal working arrangement with contactors to involve them at an earlier stage in the design, planning and preparation processes for future highway maintenance and scheme works;
 - (d) That the Council explores the possibility of using re-cycled materials in schemes to reduce tender prices and to minimise the environmental impact;
 - (e) That the Council explores opportunities to further promote / publicise the future maintenance works of both the Council and the Utility Companies to raise public awareness including the distribution of the Planned Maintenance Programme to Public Libraries / Buildings;
 - (f) That Ward Councillors are provided with advance notification of any future maintenance and utility works due to be carried out in their respective Wards;
 - (g) That the Council consults with local support groups and the public at set times of the year to improve the positioning of drop kerbs / tactile pavements;

- (h) That the income generated from the charges imposed on the Utility Companies be redirected into the highways maintenance budget;
- That the Council reviews the 2009 / 2010 financial contribution from the (i) Highways Service to the Insurance Fund and any reduction in such contribution be redirected to the highways maintenance budget; and
- That the Council integrates the highways software system, 'Confirm' (j) with the Customer Relationship Management System in order to improve the accuracy and efficiency of the monitoring and feed back for customer enquiries relating to arrangements highways maintenance.

12 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

12.1 The Forum is grateful to all those who have presented evidence during the course of the Scrutiny Inquiry. We would like to place on record our appreciation for all those witnesses who attended the Forum. In particular the Forum would like to thank the following for their co-operation during the Scrutiny Investigation:-

Hartlepool Borough Council:

Councillor Peter Jackson – Cabinet Member Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities.

Dave Stubbs – Director of Neighbourhood Services

Alastair Smith – Head of Technical Services

Denise Ogden – Head of Neighbourhood Management

Paul Mitchinson – Highways Services Manager

Mike Blair – Traffic and Transportation Manager

Jon Wright – Neighbourhood Co-ordinating Manager

Karen Oliver - Neighbourhood Manager (North)

David Frame – Neighbourhood Manager (South)

Clare Clark – Neighbourhood Manager (Central)

Paul Hamilton – Insurance and Risk Management Manager

The Highways Team

Christine Armstrong – Central Services Manager

Julie Howard – Contact Centre Manager

Resident Representatives

External Representatives:

lain Wright, MP for Hartlepool

Nicholas Adshead, Route Performance Manager for the Highways Agency

Members of Hartlepool Special Needs Group

Members of the 50 + Forum

Members of the Access Audit Group

Members of the Headland Conservation Area Advisory Committee

Members of the Public

Hartlepool Mail - 'Plot the Pots' Campaign

Blind Welfare Association

Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council

COUNCILLOR STEPHEN AKERS-BELCHER CHAIR OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES SCRUTINY FORUM

Contact Officer:- Laura Starrs – Scrutiny Support Officer Chief Executive's Department - Corporate Strategy Hartlepool Borough Council Tel: 01429 523 647 Email: laura.starrs@hartlepool.gov.uk

BACKGROUNDPAPERS

The following background papers were used in preparation of this report:-

- (a) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Scrutiny Investigation into the Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool Scoping Paper' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 11 July 2008.
- (b) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Setting the Scene Presentation – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 18 August 2008.
- (c) Presentation of the Director of Neighbourhood Services / the Highway Services Manager / the Transportation and Traffic Manager and the Insurance and Risk Management Manager entitled 'The Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool' delivered to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 18 August 2008.
- (d) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Verbal Evidence from the Authority's Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhoods and Communities – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 18 August 2008.
- (e) Documented Issues Arising from the Focus Group Session held with the general public on 15 September 2008.
- (f) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Feedback from the Neighbourhood Consultative Forums – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 29 September 2008.
- (g) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Feedback from Site Visit – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 29 September 2008.
- (h) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Feedback from Focus Group – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 29 September 2008.
- Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Evidence from the Neighbourhood Services Department – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 29 September 2008.
- (j) Report of the Director of Neighbourhood Services entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool' presented to Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 29 September 2008.
- (k) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool - Feedback from Site Visit to Barnsley Metropolitan Council –

Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 27 October 2008.

- (I) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Feedback from Support Groups – Covering Report' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 27 October 2008.
- (m) Report of the Scrutiny Support Officer entitled 'Condition of the Highways in Hartlepool – Evidence from the Neighbourhood Services Department' presented to the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 27 October 2008.
- (n) Hartlepool Borough Council's Transport Strategy entitled 'Local Transport Plan'.
- (o) Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council Street and Highway Works Beacons Leaflet, "Delivering Excellence 2003/2004".
- (p) Minutes of the Neighbourhood Services Scrutiny Forum of 11 July 2008, 18 August 2008; 29 September 2008, 27 October 2008, and 24 November 2008.

SCRUTINY CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE

9 January 2009

Report of: Scrutiny Manager

Subject: REQUEST FOR ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION – JOINT CABINET / SCRUTINY MEETING OF 21 JANUARY 2009

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To request items for discussion at the next Joint Cabinet / Scrutiny Meeting to be held on 21 January2009.

2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 2.1 As Members will recall it was agreed that the next Joint/Cabinet Scrutiny Meeting would be held on Wednesday 21 January 2009, 5.00 pm to 6.00 pm in Committee Room B of the Civic Centre.
- 2.2 As such items for discussion are sought from Members of this Committee, which will then be used to form the basis of the Joint Agenda in conjunction with the issues received from the Cabinet.

3. **RECOMMENDATION**

3.1 That agenda items be sought from Members of the Scrutiny Coordinating Committee for the Joint Cabinet/Scrutiny Meeting to be held on 21 January 2009.

Contact Officer:- Charlotte Bumham – Scrutiny Manager Chief Executive's Department - Corporate Strategy Hartlepool Borough Council Tel: 01429 523 087 Email: charlotte.burnham@hartlepool.gov.uk

BACKGROUND PAPERS

No background papers were used in the preparation of this report.

