GENERAL PURPOSES (APPEALS & STAFFING) COMMITTEE AGENDA



Friday 20 March 2009

at 10.00 am

in Committee Room A

MEMBERS: GENERAL PURPOSES (APPEALS & STAFFING) COMMITTEE:

Councillor Bob Flintoff (Chair)
Councillor Ged Hall (Portfolio Holder)
Councillors, Akers-Belcher, G Lilley and Dr Morris

- 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
- 2. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS
- 3. MINUTES
 - 3.1 To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 4 March 2009
- 4. LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) (VARIATION) ORDER 2006

EXEMPT ITEMS

Under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraph referred to below of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006

5. ITEMS REQUIRING DECISION

Appeal against Dismissal (para 1)

- 5.1 Extract from the Corporate Disciplinary Procedure Appeals against Dismissal
- 5.2 Management Statement of Case
- 5.3 Appellant Statement of Case
- 6. ANY OTHER CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS WHICH THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS ARE URGENT

4 March 2009

The meeting commenced at 10.30 a.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

Present:

Councillor Bob Flintoff (In the Chair)

Councillors Cath Hill, Stephen Akers-Belcher, G Lilley and Dr Morris

Officers: Joanne Machers, Chief Personnel Officer

Denise Wimpenny, Principal Democratic Services Officer

36. Apologies for Absence

None

37. Declarations of interest by members

None

38. Appeal Against Dismissal

Due to the non-attendance of the appellant, the Chief Personnel Officer sought the Committee's views on whether to adjourn the meeting, defer the report and reschedule the meeting or proceed in the appellant's absence. Following a short adjournment the Committee reconvened the meeting. The Chief Personnel Officer reported that the appellant had not arrived and contact could not be made by telephone. The Committee were of the view that the meeting be rescheduled to a later date to allow the appellant a further opportunity to attend. However, in the event that the appellant did not attend the rescheduled meeting the hearing would proceed in the appellant's absence.

- (i) That a further meeting be scheduled as a matter of urgency.
- (ii) That in the event of the appellant's non-attendance at the rescheduled meeting the appeal would proceed in his absence.

Confirmed.

The meeting concluded at 11.15 am

4 March 2009

The meeting commenced at 10.30 a.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

Present:

Councillor Bob Flintoff (In the Chair)

Councillors Cath Hill, Stephen Akers-Belcher, G Lilley and Dr Morris

Officers: Joanne Machers, Chief Personnel Officer

Denise Wimpenny, Principal Democratic Services Officer

36. Apologies for Absence

None

37. Declarations of interest by members

None

38. Appeal Against Dismissal

Due to the non-attendance of the appellant, the Chief Personnel Officer sought the Committee's views on whether to adjourn the meeting, defer the report and reschedule the meeting or proceed in the appellant's absence. Following a short adjournment the Committee reconvened the meeting. The Chief Personnel Officer reported that the appellant had not arrived and contact could not be made by telephone. The Committee were of the view that the meeting be rescheduled to a later date to allow the appellant a further opportunity to attend. However, in the event that the appellant did not attend the rescheduled meeting the hearing would proceed in the appellant's absence.

- (i) That a further meeting be scheduled as a matter of urgency.
- (ii) That in the event of the appellant's non-attendance at the rescheduled meeting the appeal would proceed in his absence.

Confirmed.

The meeting concluded at 11.15 am

4 March 2009

The meeting commenced at 10.30 a.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

Present:

Councillor Bob Flintoff (In the Chair)

Councillors Cath Hill, Stephen Akers-Belcher, G Lilley and Dr Morris

Officers: Joanne Machers, Chief Personnel Officer

Denise Wimpenny, Principal Democratic Services Officer

36. Apologies for Absence

None

37. Declarations of interest by members

None

38. Appeal Against Dismissal

Due to the non-attendance of the appellant, the Chief Personnel Officer sought the Committee's views on whether to adjourn the meeting, defer the report and reschedule the meeting or proceed in the appellant's absence. Following a short adjournment the Committee reconvened the meeting. The Chief Personnel Officer reported that the appellant had not arrived and contact could not be made by telephone. The Committee were of the view that the meeting be rescheduled to a later date to allow the appellant a further opportunity to attend. However, in the event that the appellant did not attend the rescheduled meeting the hearing would proceed in the appellant's absence.

- (i) That a further meeting be scheduled as a matter of urgency.
- (ii) That in the event of the appellant's non-attendance at the rescheduled meeting the appeal would proceed in his absence.

Confirmed.

The meeting concluded at 11.15 am

4 March 2009

The meeting commenced at 10.30 a.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

Present:

Councillor Bob Flintoff (In the Chair)

Councillors Cath Hill, Stephen Akers-Belcher, G Lilley and Dr Morris

Officers: Joanne Machers, Chief Personnel Officer

Denise Wimpenny, Principal Democratic Services Officer

36. Apologies for Absence

None

37. Declarations of interest by members

None

38. Appeal Against Dismissal

Due to the non-attendance of the appellant, the Chief Personnel Officer sought the Committee's views on whether to adjourn the meeting, defer the report and reschedule the meeting or proceed in the appellant's absence. Following a short adjournment the Committee reconvened the meeting. The Chief Personnel Officer reported that the appellant had not arrived and contact could not be made by telephone. The Committee were of the view that the meeting be rescheduled to a later date to allow the appellant a further opportunity to attend. However, in the event that the appellant did not attend the rescheduled meeting the hearing would proceed in the appellant's absence.

- (i) That a further meeting be scheduled as a matter of urgency.
- (ii) That in the event of the appellant's non-attendance at the rescheduled meeting the appeal would proceed in his absence.

Confirmed.

The meeting concluded at 11.15 am