


# GRANTS COMMITTEE AGENDA



**Thursday, 26 March 2009**

**at 4.00 pm**

**in Committee Room A,  
Civic Centre, Hartlepool**

MEMBERS: GRANTS COMMITTEE:

The Mayor, Stuart Drummond

Councillors Payne and Tumilty

**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

**2. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS**

**3. MINUTES**

3.1 To receive the minutes of the meeting held on 24 February 2009 (*attached*)

**4. KEY DECISIONS**

None

**5. OTHER ITEMS REQUIRING DECISION**

5.1 Community Safety Capital Grants Allocations - *Head of Community Safety and Prevention*

5.2 Civic Lottery Grant Applications – *Assistant Chief Executive*

# **GRANTS COMMITTEE**

## **MINUTES AND DECISION RECORD**

24 February 2009

The meeting commenced at 10.00 a.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

### **Present:**

Councillor Robbie Payne (In the Chair)

Councillor Victor Tumilty and the Mayor, Stuart Drummond

Officers: John Mennear, Assistant Director (Community Services)  
Peter Gouldsbro, Community Safety Officer  
Susan Rybak, Community Resources Manager  
Pat Wormald, Senior Clerical Officer  
Jo Wilson, Democratic Services Officer

Also present: Representatives from Hartlepool Community Studio.

### **15. Apologies for Absence**

None

### **16. Declarations of interest by members**

None

### **17. Community Safety Capital Grants Allocations** *(Head of Community Safety and Prevention)*

#### **Type of Decision**

Non key

#### **Purpose of Report**

- I. To inform members of additional funds added to the grant allocation for 2008:09
- II. To update on the application on which a decision was deferred at the Grants Committee meeting of 17<sup>th</sup> November 2008
- III. To consider / seek approval for recommended grant awards

### **Issues for Consideration**

The Council had decided that its capital budget for 2008/09 would include an allocation of £150,000 to implement community safety projects, which were associated with and contributed to Safer Hartlepool Partnership's strategy covering crime, disorder and substance misuse. Following an agreement to carry forward an under-spend of £3,717 from 2007/08 this had been increased to £153,717. Subsequently a sum of £3,279 had been released to the Fund from prudential borrowing monies carried over from 2006/7 budgets.

The following proposals were put forward for consideration

#### **Rossmere Way Recreation Ground**

At the meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> November 2008 members deferred a decision on an application for £22,750 to support the fencing of Rossmere Way Recreation Ground in order to ascertain whether the applicants had been successful in obtaining alternative funding. The applicants had since confirmed that the project in question had been completed using alternative funding. A verbal request was made to transfer the initial funding application towards a future project to construct permanent changing rooms and pavilion. No written details or application had been received and Members felt therefore that this sum should not be carried forward indefinitely and asked that it be returned to the central pot.

#### **Station Lane Allotments**

This Seaton Carew site has approximately 75 plots. The site had become run down resulting in many plots becoming vacant. In response £35,000 had been invested by the Council to re-invigorate the site. External security to the site comprises a 2 metre close board wood fence and the gate entry was felt to be a weak security point. Although the area does not suffer from high levels of crime there were still incidents of theft and vandalism and it was felt logical to maintain the site as a secure and in-demand provision. The installation of three security gates would cost £2,735 with £960 being obtained from HBC Community Services. Therefore a grant of £1,775 was requested. Members expressed their support.

#### **Business Security Fund**

The Business Security Grant scheme had been running for a number of year and been successful in assisting small businesses, vulnerable to crime, to secure their premises. Business could apply for up to half of the cost of security installation to a maximum of £2,500. This could include monitored CCTV, security fencing, roller shutters and security lighting. Cleveland Police Crime Prevention Officers would visit the businesses and offer advice. The overall project fund was £74,000 with £17,00 being provided through HBC Economic Development and £37,000 from the private sector. Therefore a grant of £20,000 was requested. Members expressed their support, asking whether

Cleveland Fire Brigade had any involvement. The Community Safety Officer to bring this information back to the committee.

### **North Cemetery**

North Cemetery first opened in 1856 to provide a burial ground for West Hartlepool. With the site now technically closed as a burial ground it has become increasingly incorporated within the town's overall fabric as an area of green space. The number of people exercising their pets or generally walking through the cemetery now significantly exceeded people visiting burial sites. However there had also been unwelcome visitation including drug-related crime and general anti-social behaviour. To combat these problems a North Cemetery Masterplan had been formulated and endorsed. Phase 1 entailed a package of improvements to the Chester Road entrance including some wall demolition, installation of double gate, replacement wall and railings and new paving. The total cost of the project would be £81,6000 with £42,000 being provided by HBC Neighbourhood Services and £13,250 from the Dyke House, Stranton and Grange NAP forums. Therefore a grant of £26,350 was requested. Members expressed their support although concerns were raised about the possible dispersal of anti-social behaviour into other areas.

### **Wharton Terrace Improvements**

Wharton Terrace lies within both the North Central Housing Market Renewal area and the Dyke House/Stranton/Grange NAP area. Consultation carried out as part of the NCH Market Renewal area Masterplan had shown a need for action to restore local confidence in the area and stem incidents of anti-social behaviour. Two years ago the NAP forum funded design plans for streets in the area, including Wharton Terrace. At that time substantial monies were invested in a landscaping programme for St Oswald's Street, including the removal of planters which tended to provide a congregation point for people engaged in alcohol consumption and anti-social behaviour. It was proposed that similar remodelling plans be implemented in Wharton Terrace. The total cost would be £54,000, £19,000 of which would come from the NAP forum. Therefore a grant of £35,000 was requested. The Community Safety Officer highlighted that the project cost included a 'contingencies' element of £4,440. Should this cost only be partially utilised HBC would invoke a proportionate clawback condition. Members expressed their support for the proposals.

### **Burn Valley Allotments**

This site comprises 76 plots and is well secured around the perimeter with CCTV installed on site. However the general area had suffered from unacceptable levels of crime and a gate entrance on Brinkburn Gardens, while effective in restricting unauthorised entry, was slightly set back from the main fence line. The resultant small strip of land had gradually become a location for fly-tipping and assembly with resultant anti-social behaviour. It was proposed that the gates be brought forward to become a continuous part of the external fence line. This

would remove the problem area and would not affect tenant access or have an impact on road or footpath traffic. The total cost of the project was £1,890 with £680 to be provided by HBC Community Services. Therefore a grant of £1,210 was requested. Members expressed their support although they queried whether this work could be done with the Station Lane allotment improvements at a reduced rate. The Community Safety Officer advised that there were no savings to be made in bulk purchase of materials and the work would be carried out in-house. The Chair asked that this be made clear in future reports.

### **Waverley Terrace Community Allotments**

This area had recently been designated for the development of community allotments at the east end of the site by the Culture, Leisure and Tourism Portfolio. The general area suffers higher than average levels of crime and anti-social behaviour and measures were proposed to maintain the investment in the garden, namely security fencing and a CCTV system. The total cost for this would be £19,050. Of this £2,000 would come from the Rift House / Burn Valley Forum, £5,000 from Pride in Hartlepool and £3,699 from the HBC Minor Works Budget. Therefore a grant of £8,351 was requested. Members expressed their support for the installation of security fencing on the site however they were reluctant to install CCTV as it was not usual practice to provide cameras to areas where there was no proven need for them. The Community Safety Officer indicated that Rift House East Residents Group had requested the CCTV. Members felt that this was a private area and there were public areas more in need of CCTV provision at this time. The Community Safety Officer was asked to remove the £5,050 and reduce the grant approval to £3,301.

### **Decision**

That the following applications be approved:

- Station Lane Allotments - £1,775
- Business Security Fund – £20,000
- North Cemetery - £26,350
- Wharton Terrace Improvements - £35,000
- Burn Valley Allotments - £1,210
- Waverley Terrace Community Garden - £3,301

That the following application be refused and the monies returned to the Community Safety Capital Grant Fund:

- Rossmere Way Recreation Ground - £22,750.

## **18. Community Pool 2008/09** *(Director of Adult and Community Services)*

### **Type of Decision**

Non key

### **Purpose of Report**

To advise and seek approval for the level of grant awards to community groups and voluntary organisations from the Community Pool for 2008/09.

### **Issues for Consideration**

The Community Pool budget originally available for distribution for 2008/ 2009 amounted to £594,867. Following previous funding rounds, the amount left available for distribution was £67,207.

The following applications were put forward for consideration:

#### **Hartlepool Bereavement Services**

At the meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> November 2008 members deferred two decisions. The first was for £9,785 as a contribution toward the salary costs of a part-time Bereavement Worker for Hartlepool Bereavement Services. At that time officers had recommended rejection of the application because the organisation had not been fully constituted for more than two years and were therefore ineligible to apply to the Community Pool. Officers had since met with the group and established that the service had only been available from January 2009. Therefore they were unable to demonstrate a track record of providing services of this nature to what could be considered a very vulnerable client group. In addition the group did not have the recommended policies and procedures in place and were obviously unable to provide an annual report or accounts. Therefore officers continued to recommend that the application be rejected.

#### **Making a Difference**

Also deferred on 17<sup>th</sup> November 2008 was a request to amend the terms and conditions of a previous award to Making a Difference. This organisation had been awarded a one year revenue grant of £12,272 towards the salary costs of a Project Co-ordinator, Support Worker and insurance costs, but had been successful in securing additional funding from the Primary Care Trust as a contribution towards the Support Worker's salary costs. It had therefore requested that the grant could be attributed to the salary costs of the Project Co-ordinator and a Counsellor's post. This request had been deferred by the Committee pending further information on the counselling service. Information had

been provided which showed an ongoing need for the counselling services and the positive impact on the lives of the young people benefitting from them. Officers were therefore continuing to recommend approval of the request to amend the terms and conditions of the original grant awarded to now include a contribution to the salary costs of a Counsellor.

Members made reference to the success of their bid for additional funding from the PCT, querying whether they would have been awarded the amount they had been if this contribution had been known. Making a Difference could have received this Community Pool funding at the expense of another group. The Assistant Director advised that this was not necessarily the case but he would provide details of all those Community Pool applications considered on 21<sup>st</sup> July 2008. Members also queried why this additional post had been provided when the funding was not there to support it. The Community Resources Manager commented that the group had thought the PCT funding would be greater than it eventually was. Members suggested that HBC officers meet with PCT representatives to discuss funding and the voluntary sector. The Assistant Director advised that in the case of certain physical activity grants the PCT would put an amount into a central pot which would then be distributed as the Grants Committee saw fit. This was felt to be a better way to proceed.

#### **Hartlepool Community Studio**

This organisation had applied for additional grant aid of £10,808 as contribution to core costs including the salary costs of key staff. Earlier in the financial year the group was awarded a grant of £21,525 also as a contribution of key staff salary costs. The Studio was undergoing a period of substantial change with a recent restructure of the organisation having resulted in a reduction in overheads of £43,000 per annum and the redundancy of three staff. Their financial reserves had also been depleted by investment in services to protect the future of the organisation. The board of management were confident that the restructure would effect savings necessary to ensure The Studio's future but would need additional financial support to cover a shortfall in core costs in the current financial year. Officers therefore recommended approval of £10,808 grant aid as a contribution to the core costs of the Studio.

Representatives of the Studio were present and addressed the committee, answering queries relating to their plans for the future and the strength of the board of management. While they were keen to improve the public profile of the Studio with impressive live bands they would not shoot above their means to do this. They thanked the committee members for all the help they had given over the past 10 years. Members praised the organisation for having recognised their problems and attempting to correct them.

**Hartlepool Access Group (HAG): Shopmobility**

Members were advised that the Project Co-ordinator and Access Audit Supervisor had both left their posts in December 2008 leaving HAG with no alternative but to cease the operation of all projects apart from Shopmobility. Officers had been informed that the Group were potentially insolvent and that a non Community Pool grant approved for the purchase of equipment had been used inappropriately for revenue costs. Since being alerted to this situation a basic audit of HAG's financial records had been carried out by an HBC Internal Audit Officer. This showed that the Community Pool grant approved had been expended for the intended purpose. An action plan was included within the audit report and HBC officers had met with the Chairman of the HAG Executive Committee to discuss its contents. The Middleton Grange Shopping Centre Manager had been approached with a view to possibly taking over the management of Shopmobility but officers had been advised that they were not in a position to do this.

It had also become apparent over recent weeks that HAG did not have sufficient funding to continue to run Shopmobility until the end of the financial year. Subject to approval from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2009 funding would be available from the Community Pool and PPG, the Middleton Grange Shopping Centre Managers, in order to support Shopmobility until that date members had approved an emergency financial contribution of £735 per week from the Community Pool to cover staffing and utility costs. This funding had commenced from 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2009 and members were asked if they would be prepared to continue this funding into the near future. The Hartlepool Voluntary Development Agency was supporting HAG with advice and information and were paying for a consultant to formulate a Business Plan. This was due to be completed on 5<sup>th</sup> March 2009. Members suggested that should the Business Plan not be presented by this date the temporary funding be stopped. Members were keen that Shopmobility continue to operate but were not happy at the current situation. They indicated that they would not be prepared to agree funding for the 2009/10 financial year until the business plan was provided.

**Decision**

- I. That the application from Hartlepool Bereavement Services be rejected
- II. That the request from Making a Difference to amend the terms and conditions of the award approved on 21<sup>st</sup> July 2008 be approved.
- III. That the application for funding from Hartlepool Community Studio be approved



- IV. That limited continuing support of £735 per week be provided to Hartlepool Access Group (HAG): Shopmobility subject to the production of a business plan by the organisation
- V. That the remaining balance of the Community Pool totalling £54,194 (less ongoing contributions to Hartlepool Access Group: HAG: Shopmobility) be considered for allocation against bids at future meetings or carried forward into the new financial year.

## **19. Community Pool 2009/2010** *(Director of Adult and Community Services)*

### **Type of Decision**

Non key

### **Purpose of Report**

The Community Pool budget for the 2009/2010 financial year had been set at £482,593.

In the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 financial years, one award from the Community Pool in each year was approved on a tapering basis. As it was agreed, in principle, and as funding was available the following awards were being reserved from the total budget available:

£4,085.50 – Owton Manor Neighbourhood Watch and Residents Association (third year tapered award)  
 £17,056 – RESPECT (second year tapered award)  
 £23,252 – Shopmobility

However officers recommended that the application from RESPECT be deferred until the next meeting as the group were currently unable to provide the supporting documentation to supplement their application for funding. After deduction of the £3,000 Direct Lettings allocation and funding of the awards detailed above this would leave a balance for distribution at this meeting of £435,200

Members were referred to Appendix 2 of the report which provided a list of all the applications to the Community Pool for 2009/10 and details of the recommendations relating to those applications. A number of applications were recommended for deferral or refusal and detailed information on the applications and the reasons for these recommendations were included within the report:

As a result of the Mayor's and Councillor Payne's declarations of interest, it was agreed that the application relating to Belle Vue Sports and Youth Centre, recommended for deferral, be referred to Cabinet for

decision at the appropriate time. In addition members asked that references to Hartlepool Access Group Shopmobility be amended to Shopmobility in order that there be no confusion as to which aspect of the organisation would be receiving the funding.

**Decision**

- I. That £3,000 be allocated for directed lettings
- II. That Grant aid to those organisations recommended for approval in Appendix 2 be approved.
- III. That recommendations to defer those applications as detailed in Appendix 2 be approved while additional information is gathered
- IV. That an allocation of grant aid to groups known to be experiencing financial difficulties be released in monthly/quarterly instalments as appropriate in order to safeguard the Council's investment and minimise risk
- V. The rejection of applications from the groups as detailed in the report
- VI. That the remaining balance of the Community Pool be considered for allocation against bids at future meetings within the financial year (and any remaining carry over balance from the 2008/2009 budget).

The meeting concluded at 11.55am.

**P J DEVLIN**

**CHIEF SOLICITOR**

**PUBLICATION DATE: 2 MARCH 2009**

## GRANTS COMMITTEE

26<sup>th</sup> March 2009



**Report of:** Head of Community Safety and Prevention

**Subject:** COMMUNITY SAFETY CAPITAL GRANTS  
ALLOCATIONS

---

### SUMMARY

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to inform Members of an application to the Community Safety Capital Fund and consider/seek approval for one recommended grant award.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

Proposed awards for the Community Safety Capital Fund are as follows:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Recommended</u>
Waverley Terrace Community Allotment.	£2364

#### 3. RELEVANCE TO THE GRANTS COMMITTEE

Grants Committee has responsibility for determining the level of grant awarded.

#### 4. TYPE OF DECISION

Non-key

#### 5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE

Grants Committee on 26<sup>th</sup> March 2009

#### 6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED

Members of the Grants Committee are requested to consider/approve grant award to one project totalling £2,364

**Report of:** Head of Community Safety and Prevention

**Subject:** COMMUNITY SAFETY CAPITAL GRANTS  
ALLOCATIONS

---

**1. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to inform Members of an application to the Community Safety Capital Fund and consider/seek approval for one recommended grant award.

**2. BACKGROUND**

The Council has decided that its capital budget for 2008/09 would include an allocation of £150,000 to implement community safety projects, which are associated with and contribute to Safer Hartlepool Partnership's strategy covering crime, disorder and substance misuse. Following an agreement to carry forward an under-spend of £3,717 from 2007/8 this has been increased to £153,717. Subsequently a sum of £3,279 has been released to the Fund from prudential borrowing monies carried over from 2006/7 budgets. Total fund, for 2008/9, now £156,996.

The Safer Hartlepool strategy covers a 3 year period, with annual priorities agreed. Those annual priorities for the year 2008/9 are:

- Drug dealing and supply
- Violent crime, including domestic violence
- Acquisitive crime
- Criminal damage and anti-social behaviour, including deliberate fire setting
- Preventing and reducing offending, re-offending and the risk of offending
- Delivery of the alcohol harm reduction strategy 2006-2009 including the introduction of an effective local alcohol treatment service

The Community Services and Safety Board, at its meeting in August 2000, approved criteria against which to judge a project's proposals as follows:

1. Clearly identifying the link to Action Plans for each relevant objective
2. Outline the problem and proposals to remedy it
3. Identify the cost and contribution from the Department budget
4. Include an approximate timescale for implementation

5. Include further information which is appropriate for officers/Members to know about

For the 2008/9 capital allocations, matched funding of 35% minimum is requested.

All projects are considered first by the Section 17 Officer Group which comprises senior officer representatives from all Council Departments.

### **3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The Community Safety Capital Grant Fund in total for 2008/9 is now £156,996. Grants totalling £151,946 have been awarded during the current year. The balance of £5,050 remains. Recommended grant awards for consideration at this Grants Committee total £2,364

## **4 APPLICATIONS**

### **4.1 Waverley Terrace Community Allotment**

Members considered an application for a grant of £8,351 for this project, at the Grants Committee meeting of 24 February 2009. However an element of the application relating to CCTV camera installation was not supported. Consequently a reduced grant award of £3,301 was approved which, from the figures provided, would have enabled completion of proposed fencing works.

This area has recently been designated for the development of a community allotment by the Culture, Leisure & Tourism Portfolio.

The south side of Waverley Terrace is allotment land and the community allotment will be to the east end of the site, alongside the entry point for playing fields, changing rooms and car parking. Waverley Terrace falls within one of Hartlepool's Neighbourhood Renewal Areas (Rift House/Bum Valley).

The general area suffers higher than average levels of crime and anti-social behaviour. Over a number of years problems, which are regularly reported by residents, have included:

- Fly-tipping
- Discarded litter including glass and cans
- Racial abuse
- Anti-social behaviour including associated consumption of alcohol
- Criminal damage to exterior fencing and associated theft from allotments.

The area is classed by residents as a 'bad neighbour'. The proposal is to improve the environmental quality whilst at the same time providing a safe and secure environment for community use.

The current exterior fencing is closed board wood, in poor repair, and suffers from regular damage. It would, however, only protect one side of the community allotment and, even then, still be a security weakness.

To afford security, and maintain the investment in the garden, it is proposed to install security fencing – 2.4m palisade or similar – to all four sides of the designated allotment area.

The project will contribute to the following Community Safety strategic objectives within the grant scheme:

- Acquisitive crime
- Anti-social behaviour
- Reassurance
- Prevention of offending.

### Financial Implications

The original application indicated funding support from Rift House/Burn Valley Forum, Pride in Hartlepool and HBC Minor Works Budget in the sums of £2,000; £5,000 and £3,699 resp. We are now advised that the HBC Minor Works Budget allocation for 2008/9 was fully committed well before that application was submitted but it was hoped that a future request for contribution from 2009/10 allocation would be approved.

The revised fencing only proposal cost has been reduced, upon further discussion with Contractors, from an original cost of £14,000 to £12,665 subject to early commencement. In addition the funding support from Rift House/Burn Valley Forum and Pride in Hartlepool needs to be spent within the current financial year and there cannot be any assurance of HBC Minor Works Budget support in the future. As the project would benefit from early progression, a request for additional support from Community Safety capital grant has been received

Revised project cost – fencing only -	£12,665
Rift House/Burn Valley Forum	£ 2,000
Pride in Hartlepool	£. 5,000
HBC Community Safety Capital (approved)	£ 3,301
<b>Grant requested (additional)</b>	<b>£ 2,364</b>

To safeguard the Forum and Pride in Hartlepool contributions, the fencing has been ordered for which sufficient monies are held. The additional grant request will meet erection costs. Erection, realistically, needs to be undertaken as soon as possible to capture this year's planting and growing

season. Otherwise development of the project will, effectively, be set back a year.

The approved and additional grant requests fulfil, in total, the 35% contribution requirement.

All subsequent maintenance and repairs to fencing will be met by Adult & Community Services.

The application has the support of Section 17 Officer Group. The Assistant Director of Community Services and Neighbourhood Manager (Central) have been actively involved in development of this project and have full support from local Residents Association.

### **Recommendation**

Members are asked to approve an additional grant of £2,364 towards this project.

Any grant approval will be subject to review and appraisal post completion.

**Contact Officer:** Brian Neale, Crime and Disorder Co-ordinator

.

## **GRANTS COMMITTEE**

26 March 2009



**Report of:** Assistant Chief Executive

**Subject:** CIVIC LOTTERY GRANT APPLICATIONS

---

### SUMMARY

#### **1. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

To consider Civic Lottery Grant Applications.

#### **2. SUMMARY OF CONTENTS**

The report asks members to consider the following:

- applications where no previous grants have been awarded.
- applications where grants have previously been awarded but there is a request for change in use.
- applications where grants have previously been awarded.

#### **3. RELEVANCE TO THE GRANTS COMMITTEE**

The Committee is responsible for determining these grant applications.

#### **4. TYPE OF DECISION**

Non-key decision.

#### **5. DECISION MAKING ROUTE**

Grants Committee.

#### **6. DECISION(S) REQUIRED**

To consider applications for the allocation of grants as detailed in sections 3 (A), and (B) of the report and to distribute proportionately.



**Report of:** Assistant Chief Executive

**Subject:** CIVIC LOTTERY GRANT APPLICATIONS

---

## **PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1.1 To consider Civic Lottery Grant Applications.

## **2. BACKGROUND**

2.1 In accordance with the procedure previously approved by Members, the current criteria for eligibility, and distribution from the Civic Lottery Fund requires Members to consider applications three times a year in order that an overall view of applications can be obtained.

This is the third tranche for consideration of applications. Members previously agreed to distribute the balance available at three meetings proportionately. Normally the amount of grants requested outweighs the allocation available. In that case, awards are usually made on a pro rata basis. In this tranche the sum of the grants sought totals £15,400. Each of the three tranches was allocated £2,232.16 at the start of the financial year. Members therefore will not be able to fully support each of the grant applications.

2.2 Following the allocation of grants in the first two tranches there was a balance of £833.07. This makes the current balance available, £3065.23.

## **3. ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION AND FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

### **(A) APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION – WHERE NO PREVIOUS GRANTS HAVE BEEN AWARDED**

Copies of the application forms are available in the Members' Library.

<b>APP NO</b>	<b>ORGANISATION</b>	<b>REASON FOR APPLICATION</b>	<b>TOTAL COST</b>	<b>SUM SOUGHT</b>
<b>3355</b>	<b>Manor Residents Association</b>	Set up costs of Nurturing Young People's Development resource centre	£48,000	£2,000
<b>3356</b>	<b>Lion Hill Carter FC</b>	League and Pitch Fees, first aid kit and transport	£1,986	£1,000

APP NO	ORGANISATION	REASON FOR APPLICATION	TOTAL COST	SUM SOUGHT
3352	1 <sup>st</sup> Hartlepool Boys Brigade	Annual Camp	£3,000	£1,500
3346	Hartlepool Ambassadors Jazz Band	Practice Venue and Transport Fees	£11,700	£300
3348	Respect Project	Artist Illustrator for after school hours	£4,800	£2,000
3354	Hartlepool Sportability Club	Transport for annual outdoor activity weekend	£7,800	£600

**(B) APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION – SECOND AND SUBSEQUENT REQUESTS**

Copies of the application forms are available in the Members' Library.

APP NO	ORGANISATION	REASON FOR APPLICATION	TOTAL COST	SUM SOUGHT
3343	Owton Manor Carpet Bowls	New Bowling Carpets.	£3,000	£2,000
2002	PREVIOUS GRANTS £85	Golden Jubilee Party		
3360	People's Headland Carnival	Funding to stage family events throughout carnival fortnight	£7,000	£2,000
2000	PREVIOUS GRANTS £500	unknown		
2001	£100	Formation of an office base		
3335	Preston Simpson Scholarship in Music	Provision of assistance to young talented musicians aged 15 – 25 to further their musical careers	Approx £4,000	£2,000
	PREVIOUS GRANTS			
	1998 £500	Scholarship		
	2000 £500	Scholarship		
	2002 £206.63	Scholarship		
	2006 £500	Scholarship		
	2007 £329	Scholarship		

<b>3353</b>	<b>Belle Vue Juniors Football Club</b>	Registration fees and travel to national tournament in Skegness	£4,100	£2,000
	PREVIOUS GRANTS awarded before 2000			
	2000	£160	Pitch, league and affiliation fees	
	2001	£100	Running costs	
	2002	£111	League fees	
	2004	£169	Pitch and league fees	

#### 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

To consider applications for the allocation of grants as detailed in Sections 3(A) and (B) of the report and to distribute proportionately.