Thursday, 15th October 2009

at 10.00 am

in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Hartlepool

CENTRAL NEIGHBOURHOOD CONSULTATIVE FORUM:

Councillors Aiken, S Akers-Belcher, Brash, Coward, Cranney, Hall, Hargreaves, Laffey, Lauderdale, London, Morris, Payne, Richardson, Shaw, Simmons, Sutheran, Thompson, Tumilty and Worthy

Resident Representatives: Ronald Breward, Liz Carroll, Bob Farrow, Ted Jackson, Jean Kennedy, Evelyn Leck, Alan Lloyd, Brenda Loynes, Brian McBean and Julie Rudge

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

3. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS

4. MINUTES

4.1 To confirm the minutes of the meeting of the Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forum held on 3rd September 2009

4.2 Matters arising (maximum of 10 minutes) – Feedback sheet from last meeting attached
5. **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME and WARD ISSUES (maximum of 30 minutes)**

   Foggy Furze
   Grange
   Park
   Rift House
   Stranton
   Burn Valley

6. **ITEMS FOR CONSULTATION**
6.1 Highway Services Strategy for Hartlepool – *Head of Neighbourhood Management*

7. **ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR INFORMATION**
7.1 Middleton Grange Shopping Centre – Verbal Update – *Marketing Manager Tenant Liaison*
7.2 Household Waste Recycling – *Head of Neighbourhood Management*

8. **ITEMS FOR DECISION**
8.1 Minor Works Proposals (October 2009) – *Central Neighbourhood Forum*

9. **DATE, TIME AND VENUE OF NEXT MEETING**

   The next meeting of the Central Area Police and Community Safety Consultative Forum will take place on Thursday 12th November at 10.00am in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre.

   The next meeting of the Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forum will take place on Thursday 17th December at 10.00am in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre.
The meeting commenced at 10.00 a.m. in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

PRESENT:

Chair: Councillor Kevin Cranney - Foggy Furze Ward
Councillor Martyn Aiken - Foggy Furze Ward
Councillor Jonathan Brash - Burn Valley Ward
Councillor Gerard Hall - Burn Valley Ward
Councillor Pauline Laffey - Park Ward
Councillor John Lauderdale - Burn Valley Ward
Councillor Frances London - Foggy Furze Ward
Councillor Carl Richardson - Grange Ward
Councillor Jane Shaw - Stranton Ward
Councillor Chris Simmons - Grange Ward
Councillor Victor Tumilty - Grange Ward

Resident Representatives:
Liz Carroll, Bob Farrow, Ted Jackson, Jean Kennedy, Brenda Loynes, Brian McBean and Julie Rudge

Public: M Bevil, Christine Blakey, Carol Campbell, Gordon and Stella Johnson, Ray McAndrew, William Morrish, James Rudge and Ray Waller

Council Officers: Clare Clark, Neighbourhood Manager (Central)
Irene Cross, Neighbourhood Development Officer (Central)
Paul Mitchinson, Highway Services Manager
Jo Wilson, Democratic Services Officer

Stagecoach Representatives: T Best and T’Anson

Housing Hartlepool Representative: L McPartlin

Police Representative: Acting Sergeant Carter
10. RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE ELECTION

Due to an existing vacancy an election for a new resident representative was carried prior to the meeting. The nominations were as follows:

Ray McAndrew
Julie Rudge

The election was conducted and Julie Rudge duly elected

11. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors John Coward, Pamela Hargreaves, George Morris and Gladys Worthy.

12. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS

None

11. MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL NEIGHBOURHOOD CONSULTATIVE FORUM

Confirmed

12. MATTERS ARISING

Resident Representative Bob Farrow advised that fines had recently been handed out in respect of rubbish left on the Longhill Industrial Estate.

13. MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL POLICE AND COMMUNITY SAFETY CONSULTATIVE FORUM

These were received with the proviso that apologies be added for Councillor Pauline Laffey and Resident Representative Liz Carroll.

14. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND WARD ISSUES

Burn Valley

St Matthew’s Church Hall – Resident Ray McAndrew indicated that virtually all of the paving stones in front were broken.

Kerb stones – Resident Ray McAndrew reported high projecting kerb stones at the junction of Eltringham Road and Park Road and at South Road by the surgery.

Family Wood – Resident Ray McAndrew asked that the brambles and nettles on the footpaths be cut back.

Newburn Bridge – Resident Ray McAndrew queried when the new toilets would be completed. Councillor Victor Tumilty advised that a drainage problem had led to the hold-up.

Elwick Road – Councillor Jonathan Brash noted an increase in litter on the adjoining streets and asked that this be addressed.

Eamont Gardens – Councillor Jonathan Brash advised that No 12 was now completely overgrown and asked that a mechanism be found to tackle this. He also raised complaints made by residents regarding children playing on the street and concerns that there might be consequences.

Health Bus – Councillor Jonathan Brash advised members that this was situated at Blakelock Gardens, offering residents a free lifestyle and health check.
Seaton Carew toilets – Resident Ray Waller asked that paper be provided, particularly on bank holidays.

Dropped kerbs – Resident Ray Waller raised concerns about vehicles smashing dropped kerbs by driving onto pavements. Legislation was now in place and penalties should be imposed.

Park Road/Osborne Road – Resident Ray Waller asked that a pelican crossing be installed using Minor Works funding.

Elwick Road – Councillor Jonathan Brash raised the continuing problem of cars parking illegally on double yellow lines. There were concerns that forthcoming changes to bus routes would only exacerbate the problem. Resident Ray Waller advised that the area causing the problem was on the side of the road where the bus stops were situated and that there were in fact no yellow lines in place there at the moment. The Chair agreed that this was a problem which he hoped would be rectified quickly.

Foggy Furze

‘Tin Houses’ – Resident Representative Bob Farrow reported that demolition had commenced, scheduled for completion by the end of 2009.

Nottingham Way – Councillor Frances London reported that a child had recently been chased by a ferocious dog. She thanked police for their prompt action and called for all dog owners to ensure their pets were on leads.

Belle Vue Way – Councillor Frances London advised that the grass cutting was overdue, acknowledging that this was probably the result of staff holidays.

Oxford Road – Councillor Frances London asked if there had been any progress regarding the pedestrian crossing requested by residents. A speed survey was being undertaken by the Traffic Team Leader.

Stratford Road – Councillor Martyn Aiken indicated that cars parking on the pavement by the church were causing problems for residents, particularly at night. The Chair asked officers to investigate.

Grange

Hutton Avenue – Councillor Victor Tumilty reported that waste packaging from a sandwich business in Mulgrave Road was overflowing the bin on the Hutton Avenue lamppost. He requested an additional bin.

Criminal damage and theft – Councillor Victor Tumilty advised those present of a number of lead thefts in the Grange Ward and increasing damage to property and cars. He would update Chief Inspector Summerbell.

Crosscutter – Councillor Victor Tumilty indicated that he had witnessed the Crosscutter off-licence in Duke Street selling alcohol to underage children. The Chair requested that the police take the appropriate action.

Park

Ward Jackson Park – Resident Representative Ted Jackson noted that the public were not yet aware of where the new car park was situated and therefore it was not being used. He also felt that the footpath was not ideally located and raised the issue of parking on Park Avenue.

Resident Representative Liz Carroll reported a recent incident in the Park when a gardener had removed a catapult from some youths who subsequently called their friends to intimidate the
gardener. The windows in the lodge had also been smashed. The Police noted all these incidents.

**Glenstone Close and Cairnston Road** – Councillor Pauline Laffey reported that the previous anti-social behaviour appeared to have ceased.

**Saddlestone Close** – Resident Representative Brenda Loynes indicated there were flooding problems. The Highway Services Manager to investigate this.

**Rift House**

No issues

**Stranton**

**Cameron’s Brewery** – Resident Representative Jean Kennedy raised issues with trucks making deliveries to breweries parking in the middle of the road and reversing into the street. This could lead to an accident. The Chair noted these comments and requested officers confirm the weight restrictions on that road.

**Park Road** – Resident Representative Jean Kennedy advised that a drunken Sports Bar patron had been smashing glasses on Park Road.

**Holt Street** – Resident Representative Jean Kennedy reported that a new resident who had recently moved in had introduced a camera looking directly into an existing resident’s property.

**Middleton Grange Shopping Centre** – The Neighbourhood Manager informed members that the Managing Director of the Shopping Centre was scheduled to attend the next Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forum meeting.

**Pedal bikes** – Councillor Jane Shaw raised concerns with bikes being ridden on pavements.

**Yellow lines** – Councillor Jane Shaw advised that if yellow lines were not continuous then prosecutions for illegal parking could be challenged. She asked that officers ensure lines were maintained.

**Middleton Road** – Councillor Jane Shaw indicated that Asda wagon drivers constantly used this road despite their being a weight restriction in place. Police advised that these restrictions could only be enforced if they caught drivers in the act. They would contact Asda to check delivery times.

**15. STAGECOACH – VERBAL UPDATE**

Representatives from Stagecoach attended the meeting in order to receive details of areas of concern from the Forum since the re-routing of a number of services in Hartlepool due to commercial reasons. The representatives stated that consultation had taken place in the Central Library, Middleton Grange Shopping Centre and Tesco by the commercial services department of Stagecoach.

The following issues were then raised:

**Co-ordination** – Resident Ray Waller complained that the two major bus companies in Hartlepool – Stagecoach and Arriva – had failed to co-ordinate their bus routes to give users the best possible service. Arriva had so far failed to publish any timetables which was causing problems for public transport users.

**Elwick Road** – Resident Ray Waller commented that there were now 12 bus services using Elwick Road throughout the day but these had been given less
loading time. A lack of stops or markings on the North side would also cause problems.

**Church Square** – Resident Ray Waller noted that buses were no longer using this area.

**Transport Interchange** – Resident Ray Waller queried why Hartlepool’s interchange would only have three stands to be used for four Arriva services when Peterlee had a 10 stand interchange. He also commented on the fact that there were eight trains to London every day but no evening bus service from Owton Manor with the removal of service 15. A Stagecoach representative indicated that this service was still in operation and had been renumbered 4a. Mr Waller questioned this and queried whether a bus service from Hartlepool to Middlesbrough every half hour was reasonable.

Councillor Jane Shaw queried when the Interchange would be completed. She was told it would be ready the month before the Tall Ships 2010 but would not be used by Stagecoach.

**Stagecoach Unirider for students** – Councillor Jane Shaw objected to this only being available for online purchase as not all young people had easy access to the internet.

**Swainston Street** – Councillor Jane Shaw raised concerns regarding increased public transport, saying this street had not been built for large amounts of traffic. Multiple bus services would have a detrimental effect on existing traffic and could lead to accidents and damage to cars.

**Burbank** – A resident commented on the lack of buses from the Burbank Estate. A Stagecoach representative referred to the 516 service but the resident advised that this service left from Tesco and did not run after 4.30pm.

The Chair thanked the Stagecoach representatives for attending and answering questions. He asked that the comments made at the meeting be passed on to those in charge and assured the representatives that any annoyance which had been expressed at the meeting was not directed at them personally. The representative from Stagecoach thanked the Chair for these comments and agreed to take the comments back to their regional office.

**16. COMMUNITY CASHBACK INITIATIVE – VERBAL UPDATE**

Members were advised that Community Cashback was an initiative whereby groups could apply for funding for activities or projects gathered from the proceeds of assets recovered from criminals. Given the nature of the funding projects deemed suitable included those supporting public protection, crime reduction and the victims of crime. Application forms could be obtained from the Neighbourhood Manager and she would be happy to give assistance with their completion. The closing date for receipt of applications was 18th September, a meeting to consider any applications would take place on 22nd September.

In response to questions raised by members the Neighbourhood Manager advised that the intent was for £24,000 to be distributed across the three forum areas and all wards were eligible to apply. Costings were not required, just ideas. Resident Ray Waller suggested the provision of more evening transport be looked at.
At the previous meeting of the Forum there had been a discussion as to how the Minor Works budget could be allocated more effectively in the Central area. A workshop had subsequently been held to discuss this issue. All Ward Councillors and Resident Representatives from the Central area were invited and a questionnaire was sent out to those who were unable to attend.

Details were given of the current arrangements in relation to Minor Works funding. Following discussions at the workshop additional guidance was suggested when determining future allocations as follows:

- Equal allocation across all seven wards in the central area where possible
- Limits to the level of funding to individual schemes
- Flexibility to allow for example the Forum to pool their budget to benefit the whole of the Central Neighbourhood area
- Match funding from other sources where possible
- More detailed information on proposed schemes
- Continuous monitoring of the budget including a running total at each Forum meeting
- A dedicated meeting at the beginning of each financial year to enable full consideration to be given to priorities and determine how the minor works budget can best be used across the Central Neighbourhood area

Members agreed the suggested additional guidance with the following proviso –

- That major town projects should not be part of minor works funding (ie parks). Appropriate wording in the guidance to be decided by the Chair

**Decision**

That the Central Area Neighbourhood Forum adopts the additional guidance to supplement existing criteria in the allocation of the Central Area Minor Works Budget in the future.

The meeting concluded at 3:20pm
Response to Issues Raised at the Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forum

3 September 2009

BURN VALLEY

St Matthew’s Hall – paving stones out front broken (Ray McAndrew)

This area has been inspected and orders placed for the necessary repairs to be carried out.

High projecting kerb stones – junction of Eltringham Rd and Park Rd – South Rd by the surgery (Ray McAndrew)

This area has been inspected and orders placed for the necessary repairs to be carried out.

Family Wood – brambles and nettles need to be cut back (Ray McAndrew)

This work has been programmed and should be completed within the next couple of weeks.

Newburn Bridge – query re completion of toilets (Ray McAndrew)

Completion date for these works is October 2009.

Elwick Rd – increase in litter on adjoining streets (Jonathan Brash)

New cleansing rounds have been introduced to ensure a co-ordinated approach between cleansing rounds and refuse collection rounds ie cleansing rounds now follow refuse collection. This should result in a marked improvement in this area. However the Central Area Environmental Supervisor will continue to monitor the situation in these streets to ensure they receive appropriate levels of attention.

Eamont Gardens – no 12 overgrown – also problems with kids playing in street (Jonathan Brash)

Every effort is being made by the Neighbourhood Co-ordinator to contact the resident of number 12 Eamont Gardens with a view to tidying up the vegetation in the front palisade. However to date these attempts have not been successful.
Seaton Carew toilets – need paper in on bank holidays (Ray Waller)

This issue has been passed the relevant officer to ensure this doesn’t occur again in the future.

Dropped kerbs – being smashed by vehicles driving onto pavements – should be penalized (Ray Waller)

Police response - if vehicles are seen to be driving on pavements they will be dealt with.

Park Rd / Osborne Rd – request for a pelican crossing using Minor Works (Ray Waller)

A traffic survey has been undertaken and feasibility established in relation to the introduction of traffic signals at this junction. However despite some justification demonstrated no progress can be made until further funding is identified. There isn’t a short term solution to this but there is the potential for funding through LTP 3 scheme which covers 2011 – 2016.

Elwick Rd – cars parking on same side as bus stop – not illegal so yellow lines needed urgently (Jonathan Brash/Ray Waller)

Peter Frost to contact Councillor Brash for more detail. Further update to be brought to Forum.

FOGGY FURZE

Belle Vue Way – grass cutting needed (Frances London)

As requested all grassed areas have now been cut.

Oxford Rd pedestrian crossing – progress request (Frances London)

This has been investigated by HBC Traffic Management Team who report that unfortunately it is not possible to install a crossing on this stretch of Oxford Road due to the number of side streets, junctions, and bus stops.
Stratford Road – cars parking on pavements near church (Martyn Aiken)

Police Response – This area has been monitored by PCSO Swainston who reports that there appear to be no problems at this stage. Further detail of specific times is being sought to enable further monitoring/enforcement to be carried out.

GRANGE

Hutton Avenue – need extra bin as current one on lamppost overflowing (Victor Tumilty)

The Environmental Supervisor reports that this issue has been resolved through increasing the frequency of emptying the litter bin in question. There would not therefore appear to be a need at the current time to introduce an additional bin at this location.

Costcutter in Duke Street – selling alcohol to under age children (Victor Tumilty)

The Police inform that Costcutters are receiving regular attention with PCSO's walking into the shop unannounced to keep staff and customers on their toes. Liaison with PC Swales (Licensing) is taking place in relation to a number of 'off-licences' at present. During the last week 2 PCSO's have caught a shop assistant in Hartlepool in the act of blatantly selling alcohol to underage children. This matter is being processed by PC Terry Swales.

PARK

Ward Jackson Park – people don't know where car park is, footpath could be located better, problem with parking on Park Avenue (Ted Jackson)

A meeting has taken place with the landscape architect who designed the scheme, and members of the resident association to discuss these concerns in an attempt to resolve this issue.

Ward Jackson Park – lodge windows smashed and youths intimidating gardener (Liz Carroll)

The operatives in Ward Jackson Park have been spoken to and the procedures for dealing with vandalism and intimidation and etc reiterated. Following further issues in and around the Park this area has also been the subject of a number of Partnership operations led by the Police and has resulted in some positive outcomes.
Saddleston Close – Flooding problems (Brenda Loynes)

The contractor will re-visit this issue within the next month as the first attempt to rectify the problem has clearly failed.

STRANTON

Cameron’s Brewery – Trucks parking in the middle of the road and reversing into the street – query re weight restriction (Jean Kennedy)

The advise from HBC Traffic section is that the weight restriction only covers the stretch of road north of the brewery entrance, therefore no offence is being committed outside of this area. As previously agreed - if residents are aware of wagons encroaching into the weight restricted area they advised to note the time, date and details of the wagon and refer onto Camerons or the Neighbourhood Manager to bring to their attention.

Holt Street – new resident has installed camera looking into ladies home (Jean Kennedy)

Police inform that PCSO Kirk has visited the house where the camera is located and they are not pointing into the ladies home. PCSO Kirk has updated Mrs Kennedy.

Pedal bikes on pavements (Jane Shaw)

The police are regularly issuing Fixed Penalty Notices for cycling on footpath. The intention is to do another press release for this and to include the contravention of no entry signs in Thornton Street and Cameron Road.

Yellow lines – need to be continuous for successful prosecutions (Jane Shaw)

The importance of ensuring lining is clear and continuous to enable appropriate compliance and enforcement to be undertaken is assisted by regular six monthly inspections by highways staff. This ensures that where lining is in need of refreshing it is picked up as early as possible.

Middleton Rd – Asda wagons using despite weight restriction (Jane Shaw)

The Neighbourhood Co-ordinator has passed this to the police to enforce, and has also contacted Asda about these concerns.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forum Issue/ Date Raised</th>
<th>Action for Improvement</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Estimated Cost to Forum</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blakelock Road – Kingsley corner (by Sixth Form) becoming hazardous</td>
<td>Double yellow lining to be implemented – May 2009</td>
<td>Peter Frost Traffic Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lining approved under delegated powers, will be advertised in next few weeks, yellow lines will be implemented in June 2009 following consultation. Completed September 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Road/Osborne Road junction – request for pedestrian crossing. Date raised December 2008</td>
<td>Investigate feasibility of installing crossing</td>
<td>Peter Frost Traffic Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td>Initial surveys highlight the need for some form of crossing. A meeting with Middlesbrough Traffic Signals Team has established feasibility. is established consultation will need to be carried out, and potential funding sources identified to implement the scheme. Unable to progress further until funding has been identified. Possible LTP 3 scheme 2011 – 2016.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Request for lay-by Dunston Road | Feasibility to be investigated, costs to be established, and funding to be sought to implement scheme. If feasible aim to implement scheme 2009/10 | Mike Blair  
Traffic and Transportation Manager | Feasibility established – total cost likely to be 35K excluding services. Funding channels being pursued. Alternative option of moving bus stop investigated but not now feasible. Other options currently being pursued. |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oxford Road – request for pedestrian crossing past traffic light near to post office | | Peter Frost | 1st Oct 2009  
A survey has been undertaken and feasibility investigated. HBC Traffic Management Team report it is not possible to install a crossing on this stretch of Oxford Road due to the number of side streets, junctions and bus stops. |
Report of: Head of Neighbourhood Management

Subject: HIGHWAY SERVICES STRATEGY FOR HARTLEPOOL

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To inform the Forum about the interim Highway Services Strategy and seek consultation on the development of the proposed long term Highway Services Strategy for Hartlepool.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 This Strategy has been produced to set a clear pathway for the provision of highway maintenance services within Hartlepool, and to demonstrate how this links with National and Local agendas. It is based upon the key principles of Best Value, particularly emphasising that the services should be based on the needs of the users and the community, rather than for the convenience of service providers.

2.2 It incorporates the guidance contained within ‘Well-maintained Highways - Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management’ produced by the Roads Liaison Group in July 2005. This Code of Practice has been adopted by many local authorities as the principal guide to developing a customer focused, high performing highway service, with consistent aims and processes in place to achieve these outcomes.

2.3 The Strategy recognises that improved highway maintenance is one of the highest priorities for residents in Hartlepool. The importance of highway maintenance and its relevance to the integrated transport agenda has never been more widely recognised. The inevitable consequences of significant under-investment over many years are increasingly visible and the subject of considerable public concern. Acceptable standards of safety and serviceability have been difficult to maintain and perhaps more importantly the ability of the network to effectively fulfil its wider community function has been compromised.

2.4 The response of most authorities to funding constraints has been to focus on limited short-term repairs to the surface of carriageways and footways in order to address their legal responsibilities for safety and mitigate the financial consequences of claims. Necessary works of resurfacing and reconstruction have been deferred as long as possible, well beyond the optimum point for treatment, with the result that progressive deterioration has continued and eventual costs of repairs increased. Hartlepool has not escaped this trend.
2.5 The need for more effective funding and management of highway maintenance work was first addressed on the national strategic highway network, where heavy traffic flows and the need for more consistent serviceability levels was apparent. In England, the Highways Agency secured higher, long-term funding and applied this to a new and innovative regime of management and procurement that is still developing. The outcome of these initiatives has been to initially stabilise and then to reverse the decline in network condition for strategic highways. Unfortunately, the appropriate level of funding for a similar improvement of the local road network has not been forthcoming.

2.6 In Hartlepool, highway maintenance expenditure and road surface condition have broadly followed the national trends of deteriorating highway condition compounded by reducing expenditure.

2.7 The management of a highway network demands a considerable degree of flexibility occasioned by the constantly changing policies, changes in legislation and regulation, changes in corporate policy, changes in materials and maintenance specifications. All of these issues rely on a strategy that must be flexible and evolving to ensure that these frequent changes can be satisfactorily incorporated. More often than not, these changes result in increasing rather than decreasing budgetary pressures.

2.8 This strategy sets out how the management of highway maintenance services relates to the Council’s vision and contributes to corporate objectives. It is currently in an interim form, whilst development is in progress to deliver a long term strategy, due to be complete by June 2010.

2.9 The strategy is attached as Appendix 1.

3. RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 That the report be noted and that interested parties be encouraged to submit any representations during the consultation period.

4. CONTACT OFFICER

Paul Mitchinson
Highway Services Manager
Neighbourhood Services
Hartlepool Borough Council

Telephone Number: (01429) 523706
Email: paul.mitchinson@hartlepool.gov.uk
Appendix 1

HIGHWAY SERVICES STRATEGY

1.1 Objectives

The UK Roads Board's "Well-Maintained Highways – Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management", guides this Highway Maintenance Strategy, which is based on a logical and systematic approach to highway maintenance. The Code sets out quality and inspection criteria and details performance indicators and strategic priorities together with financial management and procurement strategies. Adoption of this Code ensures that network quality standards and maintenance policies are clearly defined and consistently applied.

The code will also be used as one of the primary sources of reference in the defence of court actions for damages and close adherence to its structure and requirements benefits the Authority when such matters arise.

The objectives for highway maintenance embodied in this strategy are:-

- To comply with and enforce statutory obligations.
- To improve safety.
- To improve the strength (and hence longevity) of the carriageway.
- To promote accessibility.
- To contribute to an efficient local economy.
- To promote integration.
- To protect the environment.
- To ensure environmental sustainability, waste management and recycling.
- To develop a long-term Highway Maintenance Plan.
- To address the needs of Stakeholders.

1.2 Highway Authority Obligations

1.2.1 Legislation

The core functions of highway maintenance are based on statutory powers and duties contained within the relevant legislation. Local authorities also have a general duty of care to maintain the highway in a condition that is 'fit for purpose'.

The Highways Act 1980 sets out the main duties of highway authorities in England and Wales. This Act is fundamental to highway maintenance as it imposes a duty to keep highways in repair at the public expense. Almost all claims against local authorities relating to
highway functions arise from an alleged breach of Section 41 of the Act. However, there is provision for a defence against such actions - 'that the authority has taken such care as in all the circumstances was reasonably required to secure that the part of the highway to which an action relates was not dangerous for traffic'.

The Highways Act sits within a much broader legislative framework specifying powers, duties and standards for highway maintenance and management including:

- Traffic Management Act 2004, requiring the appointment of a designated person (Traffic Manager) to be responsible for all traffic management undertakings.
- The New Roads and Street Works Act 1991, setting out the duties of Street Authorities to co–ordinate and regulate works carried out in the highway by any organisation under a series of Regulations and Codes of Practice.
- Road Traffic Act 1988 which provides a duty for highway authorities to promote road safety.
- The Local Authorities (Transport Charges) Regulations 1998, as applicable to RTRA 1984 and other legislation, provide a power for the traffic authority to impose a charge for a number of its functions.
- Local Government Act 1999 provides for the general duty of Best Value.

There is an increasing range of legislation regulating the environmental effects of highway maintenance operations, including:

- Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981
- The Environmental Protection Act 1990
- The Noxious Weeds Act 1959
- Rights of Way Act 1990
- Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000

There is also a fairly recent framework of legislation not specifically related to highway maintenance but affecting wider community issues including:

- Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994
- Human Rights Act 1998
- Freedom of Information Act 2000
- Local Government Act 2000

Legislation is also in place requiring works to be carried out in a safe manner including:
6.1

The Health and Safety at Work Act 1974
The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1992
Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 1994

There are also a wide range of regulations and Codes of Practice relating to the assessment, prevention and management of health and safety risks on site and the reporting of injuries and other incidents.

This is not an exhaustive list but serves to demonstrate that highway maintenance activities are constrained by a considerable body of legislation, which must be fully complied with in the effective delivery of this service.

1.3 Outline of Strategy

The strategy to achieve each of our objectives is:-

1.3.1 Improving safety

- Implementing proactive policies to determine defects and carry out identified safety and routine maintenance repairs.
- Implementing responsive policies to repair roads and pavements when stakeholders raise concerns, including repairing pavement trip hazards and filling potholes within 24 hours/twenty eight days as appropriate.
- Reducing the percentage of the principal road network failing SCRIM (skid resistance) investigatory levels over a period of five years at a target rate of 10% per annum for principal roads and 15% for non-principal roads.
- Collecting UKPMS condition data and carrying out maintenance on all carriageway surfaces with a skid resistance at or above investigatory level, a level at which insufficient resistance is available to slow progress of vehicles under braking.
- The creation of a safer and more inviting environment for pedestrians and pedal and motor cyclists (and the incorporation of appropriate facilities and features into schemes) by promoting the maintenance of pavements, footways, and cycleways.

1.3.2 Improving the strength of the carriageway by:

- monitoring the structural condition of the network and carrying out maintenance to arrest deterioration and to ensure, where applicable, that the network will continue to be able to carry increasingly heavy traffic flows
- formulate a policy to manage the network asset to ensure that strengthening work is carried out at the right time to minimise the whole life cost of maintaining the infrastructure

1.3.3 Improving accessibility by:
• continuing to improve access for disabled people, for example by the inclusion of dropped kerbs at main crossing points and raised kerbs at bus stops whenever maintenance work is carried out or in conjunction with new corporate development programmes.

1.3.4 Contributing to an efficient economy by:
• creating an attractive, well maintained highway environment through the promotion of good maintenance practice, to contribute to urban renewal and to help attract new businesses to industrial and commercial areas
• implementing maintenance designs which are appropriate to the style of the area and which will help to promote tourism by the enhancement of the street scene
• arranging co-ordination with road safety schemes, bridge and wall maintenance and public transport initiatives
• integrating, wherever possible, maintenance schemes with schemes for the provision of bus lanes and other designs developing the optimal usage of the carriageway.

1.3.5 Promoting Integration by:
• facilitating the safe and convenient integration of communities by allowing free and efficient movement between areas of the town with varying transport requirement and differing characters
• ensuring that the goals of local communities are met and positive links are established to a developing and vibrant town centre

1.3.6 Protecting the environment by:
• using appropriate materials to complement the appearance of Conservation Areas when works are carried out
• using maintenance treatments which reduce the long term reliance on quarrying new materials and disposing of existing materials to landfill sites thereby minimising the use of the road transport of construction materials
• utilising materials which can dramatically reduce traffic noise levels.

1.3.7 Ensuring environmental sustainability by:
• adopting policies on materials procurement which favour products made from recycled materials
• utilising local materials to minimise transport costs, support the local economy, and to maintain local character
• retaining and re-using materials on site in order to avoid the environmental implications of transport and disposal
• maximising the value of the re-used materials rather than utilising them for low grade fill
• making use of in-situ and ex-situ recycling processes in
appropriate circumstances
- ensuring that any materials that cannot be re-used or recycled are disposed of to licensed sites in accordance with statutory requirements. (This will include silt and other solids arising from gully emptying and the cleansing of oil interceptors)

1.3.8 Developing a long-term Highway Maintenance Plan by
- Taking a longer-term view to planning and programming.
- Introducing life cycle modelling to identify the best whole life option for an asset.
- The greater use of asset performance information to inform decision-making.
- The allocation of resources based on assessed needs.
- Explicit consideration of customer expectations and documentation of levels of service.

1.3.9 Addressing the Needs of Stakeholders by
- developing a customer focused highway maintenance service
- consulting widely on maintenance policies and programmes
- reporting progress of both implementation and performance indicators.

To ensure the effectiveness of all aspects of this strategy, the objectives set out apply to the whole of the Highway network irrespective of the funding sources, specifications and designs.

The delivery of an effective Highway Maintenance Programme has to balance the need to keep the network safe and respond to the public’s reasonable expectation that minor defects will quickly be made safe, against the need to preserve and improve the long term strength of the network by carrying out reconstruction schemes.

1.4 Network Definition

The Highway network consists of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carriageways</td>
<td>384km</td>
<td>£148m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footways</td>
<td>629km</td>
<td>£26m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycleways</td>
<td>27.2km</td>
<td>£1.3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>£48m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gullies</td>
<td>20,400</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Lighting</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>£10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signals and Telematics</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way</td>
<td>95km</td>
<td>£350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees, Hedges, Verges &amp; Planted Areas</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>£1.1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlit Signs and Street Furniture</td>
<td>15,968</td>
<td>£3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barriers and Safety Fences</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>£400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Markings &amp; Studs</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>£238M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are indications from recent GIS data capture that the above figures, which are used for LTP and other similar settlements, are understated. An accurate assessment of all highway assets will be carried out as part of the development and implementation of a Highway Maintenance Plan.

Through various regeneration initiatives the town's highways infrastructure is expanding, requiring the adoption of additional carriageways, footways, street lights, bollards and directional signs without any corresponding increase in maintenance budget provision. The development and implementation of a Highway Maintenance Plan will enable the growth in highway infrastructure to be accurately quantified.

Both capital and revenue funds are provided for all categories of highway and careful apportionment is needed to ensure an equitable distribution. Though the demands of the principal roads are obvious, those of the non-principal road can be greater. Because they were constructed to lower standards they are now more susceptible to damage by heavy vehicles such as large goods vehicles.

1.5 **Highway Infrastructure Condition Assessment**

Consistent, reliable, comparable condition data is an essential foundation for this strategy.

1.5.1 **Network Condition**

Currently we undertake visual condition assessments to confirm that maintenance is required on a significant proportion of the network. This is based on analysis against the Highway Maintenance Code of Good Practice intervention levels built into the UKPMS system.

Network condition is an ever-varying situation. As certain roads are brought up to standard, others are deteriorating below an acceptable level and it is recognised that there will never be a zero maintenance requirement.

In setting objectives to improve the network condition the following condition surveys and investigations are used:

- Coarse Visual Inspection.
- Detailed Visual Inspection.
- Skid Resistance (SCRIM) Surveys.
- SCANNER surveys
- Coring (cutting cores from the highway structure).
Systems are being developed to improve on the reporting of existing information utilising the Confirm database for asset management and the Geographic Information System (GIS) for visual display. These systems enable highway information to be stored, accessed, analysed and displayed using digital mapping.

A full and accurate inventory of highway assets is not available at the present time. However, this will be actively addressed through the development and implementation of a Highway Maintenance Plan. Integrating these systems will assist with the development of future highway maintenance strategies and policies and the setting of budgets for all aspects of Highway Maintenance linked to asset management. This will also enable predictions to be made on how various treatments and levels of expenditure will affect the condition of the highway network in the short, medium and long term.

The network is in such a condition that making sure that we achieve best value from the limited funding available is a major challenge. Short-term solutions may have to be adopted where funding is not available for long term resolution of problems.

We need to achieve better coordination of maintenance activities within the wider development of the highway network including safety schemes, sustainable transport schemes as well as major new development works.

Bus and HGV traffic flow on the network continues to increase, albeit slowly, causing increasing damage. In addition, the increase in HGV axle weights to 44 tonnes over five axles with a maximum axle load of 11.5 tonnes produces a major increase in the stress on the road structure and is contributing to the deterioration of the highway network.

Utility Company street works openings and reinstatements continue to be a major cause of structural damage to the highway network. There are still high rates of reinstatement failures of utility trenches. The effect of so many damaging openings (and failures) is to create the appearance of a poorly maintained network, to dramatically increase the rate of deterioration and reduce the life of the highway. The RASWA regulations and Traffic Management Act demand robust control and inspection of utility works.

Potholes in the road and trips in the footway can cause damage, injury, pain and suffering. They can also be costly both in terms of the handling and settlement of claims and the cost to the community of medical care and lost time to employers. The record of these claims gives rise to concern because of the increase in the numbers and the cost of settlement. Adoption of this strategy will assist the corporate Risk Management Strategy to minimise the degree of risk and consequent exposure of the authority.
Increasingly the highway environment is being developed with high specification materials and casualty/speed reduction features. Some of these features are vulnerable to impact and have a relatively short life. A high standard of maintenance is required to ensure that special features continue to perform their intended purpose. Channelling heavy wheel loads for example, increases and concentrates the damage. The annual maintenance budget does not have an index-linking factor that allows for the continuing increases in costs of these expensive materials or systems when they are used in new schemes. This results in increased pressure on an already overstretched budget.

1.5.2 Inspection Regime

The Borough Council as a highway authority has a duty under the Highways Act 1980 to maintain the public highways to an adequate level of repair. In Hartlepool, the current inspection and assessment regimes do not reflect the recommended levels and frequencies of inspections set out in the ‘Well-maintained Highways - Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management’. Adoption of this Strategy (including the Code of Practice) will ensure the recommended inspections regimes are implemented and closely aligned to the authority's risk management policies thereby strengthening the authority's position in the repudiation of claims.

The Authority needs to regularly review its approach and management of risk in this area to ensure adequate defence against the rising number of public liability claims and to focus remedial work on those areas that give rise to legitimate claims. A key strand to the successful reduction in claims is to ensure that detailed inspections are undertaken across the highway network and closely aligned to a repair mechanism that completes repair work within published timescales. ‘Well-maintained Highways - Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management’, promotes the need for a systematic approach to highway maintenance. This requires that the network quality standards and maintenance policies are clearly defined and consistently applied. Adoption of this code of practice will ensure Hartlepool's compliance with this requirement.

Until the new code is adopted, the 1989 code will continue to be used to meet the Authority's obligations and to mitigate associated claims.

Routine inspections are carried out to identify highway defects, particularly trips in the footways, in accordance with the requirements of the 1989 code.

Additional inspections (ad-hoc inspections) are carried out in response to enquiries from Elected Members and the public.
The 1989 Code of Practice sets out the following frequencies for an inspection regime:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Category S</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category I</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category II</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category III</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category IV</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footways</td>
<td>Prestige Area</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Walking Route</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary Walking Route</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link Footway</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Access Footway</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycleways</td>
<td>Not considered in 1989 Code of Practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5.3 UK Pavement Management System (UKPMS)

Hartlepool are UKPMS users and are committed to adopting the policies and standards of UKPMS, and sharing expertise and knowledge with other Authorities (UKPMS is the highway industry standard management process for recording and managing the delivery of repair and maintenance priorities on highway running surfaces).

Each Authority has, historically, collected condition data and all are now collecting visual condition data from Coarse Visual Inspections (CVI) and Detailed Visual Inspections (DVI) in accordance with the UKPMS national rules and parameters. In addition, the entire Principal Road network is subject to regular safety inspections to identify defects that may lead to trips or to vehicle damage and which could result in third party claims.

1.6 Asset Management

It is the intention of Government from April 2011 to implement Resource Accounting and Budgeting that will require all highway authorities to identify, quantify and value their highway assets in the whole of government accounting (WGA) format. Robust asset information will be required in order to discharge this responsibility. In addition, the recent introduction of the Prudential Code requires local authorities to have specific regard to option appraisal, asset management planning and strategic planning when making capital investment decisions.

Asset management in this context is a strategic approach that identifies the optimal allocation of resources for the management,
operation, preservation and enhancement of the highways infrastructure to meet the needs of current and future customers. Changes required to current practice include:-

- Taking a longer-term view to planning and programming.
- Introducing life cycle modelling to identify the best whole life option for an asset.
- The greater use of asset performance information to inform decision-making.
- The allocation of resources based on assessed needs.
- Explicit consideration of customer expectations and documentation of levels of service.

The service wide application of asset management in highways is a new concept. Officers have held discussions with the leading consultants in this field, visited other authorities to discuss their approach and experience, met with DfT representatives, conducted data collection timings for asset inventory collection, investigated alternatives and timescales for the necessary data collection and identified an outline programme for the development of a Highway Maintenance Plan.

It is anticipated that the introduction of a Highway Maintenance Plan will take in the order of twelve months to complete. The work will be undertaken in four phases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Complete by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gap analysis of existing highway asset inventory</td>
<td>October 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Missing Data collection process</td>
<td>Oct – Dec 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Construction of a Highway Maintenance Plan including necessary software integration, training and management processes</td>
<td>May 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Outline business case for significant investment in the highway infrastructure</td>
<td>June 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7 Measurement of Performance

1.7.1 National Performance Indicators

Central Government sets the national performance indicators (NI's). Authorities have to measure all the indicators relevant to the services they provide, although the authorities set most of the targets themselves locally after taking account of Government guidance.

The NI's are designed to enable comparisons to be made between the performances of different authorities, including different types of authorities, and improvements or reductions in performance by authorities over time.

The current NI's are as follows:-
- NI 168 - Principal roads where maintenance should be considered.
- NI 169 - Non-principal classified roads where maintenance should be considered.

1.7.2 Local Performance Indicators

This strategy also proposes the adoption of the following local indicators:
- NSD P022 - Condition of Footways. Percentage of the footway network where structural maintenance should be considered
- NSD P173 - Percentage of gullies cleansed against target
- NSD P178 - Percentage of reactive highway jobs completed within response times
- NSD P260 - Condition of unclassified roads. Percentage of the unclassified road network where structural maintenance should be considered.

Relevant targets and base line data will be incorporated within the Covalent system and reported through the performance management framework.

1.7.3 Benchmarking

Hartlepool actively participates in and supports the District Maintenance Engineering Group, which encompasses all the authorities from the former Cleveland County, plus Darlington.

Hartlepool also makes a contribution to the APSE Performance Networks Benchmarking group.

Through these bodies, comparisons of Hartlepool's performance against similar urban authorities can be made.

1.8 Procedures And Practices

1.8.1 Day to Day Maintenance Procedures

Outlined below are the current and proposed levels of service response to potholes, trips and routine non-safety items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Current Practice</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repair Potholes</td>
<td>Two tier priority system - hazardous potholes to be inspected and rectified within one day of notification. If the defect is large and it is hazardous, it should be rectified within 14 days.</td>
<td>As an interim proposal as current practice but with non-hazardous potholes rectified within 14 days.</td>
<td>Response in accordance with the Code of Practice 2005.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
not possible to repair in one day, the defect must be barriered off overnight. All other potholes rectified within 28 days of notification.

The permanent proposal will be developed as part of the proposed Highway Maintenance Plan.

Performance of new technology eg. 'Rhinopatch', currently undergoing trials, will be monitored closely.

| Repairs to Trips | Two tier priority system - hazardous trips to be inspected and rectified within one working day of notification. If the defect is large and it is not possible to repair in one day, the defect must be barriered off overnight. All other trips rectified within 28 days of notification. | As an interim proposal – as current practice but with non-hazardous potholes rectified within 14 days. The permanent proposal will be developed as part of the proposed Highway Maintenance Plan. | Response in accordance with the Code of Practice 2005 |
| Requests for attention to routine non-safety items | Inspected within 1 working day of being notified. Repairs, where required, will be carried out within 28 days of initial notification. | As an interim proposal – as current practice but the customer will be contacted and advised of response within 10 days. The permanent proposal will be developed as part of the proposed Highway Maintenance Plan. | Response in accordance with the Code of Practice 2005 |

Highway Inspectors will continue to identify defects and commission repair work, which will then be carried out to agreed performance standards, without the need to carry out further inspections or measurement of the works upon completion.

1.8.2 Maintenance Priorities and Scheme Selection

Experience gained in the maintenance of the Principal Road Network, together with a study of the impact of previous years expenditure, has demonstrated that future works need to balance expenditure between surface treatment, resurfacing and reconstruction to produce a long term improvement in the strength of the network while still addressing preventative maintenance and routine safety work.

When UKPMS prioritisation systems become fully functional, future programmes will be developed on a 'whole life' costing basis rather
than the current 'worst first' approach.

The annual programmes of highway works have been prioritised based on a judgemental condition assessment which seek to maximise co-ordination with other works identified in the same location thereby meeting the objectives of this strategy. This will include link schemes to other programmes (e.g. structures, transport initiatives, safety schemes, developments) by making due allowances in the design.

Traditionally, programmes for highway maintenance have focused on a year-by-year approach to service provision. In accordance with this tradition, the Highway Maintenance Programme presented to the Portfolio Holder in March 2009 contained only the programme for 2009/10 with an indication of likely priorities for years 2 – 5 of a five year plan. A longer-term approach to the analysis of condition will facilitate improved planning, better coordination of schemes and opportunities for strategic partnering.

1.8.3 Scheme Design

Effective planning and design is essential to execute the construction of maintenance schemes with the least inconvenience to all road users and will ensure that the network remains accessible to all. It is vital to take into consideration the apparatus of the Utility Companies at a very early stage. If possible, advanced notification of important schemes should be used to influence the utility companies capital programmes such that any known underground work can be programmed and completed before the final surfacing. It is also important to co-ordinate schemes with the utilities to reduce the disruption to both pedestrians and other highway users during the works.

1.9 Service Developments And Improvements

The delivery of highway maintenance services has changed over recent years to reflect a variety of internal and external drivers affecting service delivery. The increasing pressure on resources, the need to demonstrate improved levels of performance and the move towards a more customer-focused service have all impacted on how repair and maintenance is programmed and undertaken. The following processes practices and technologies have been adopted over recent years.

- The implementation of a policy for the replacement of flagged footways with flexible surfacing helping to reduce risks from trip hazards and to reduce long term maintenance costs.
- The development and implementation of a computer based highway maintenance management system called “Confirm”.
- The development of robust service standards for emergency repairs to the carriageway and to footway trip hazards as part of
a risk management strategy.

- The adoption of a UK Pavement Management System (UKPMS) – a sophisticated assessment system for recording highway condition using data based on mechanical and visual inspection procedures. The system used is Confirm UKPMS which will become an increasingly important tool as records build year on year.
- Area Forum based reporting and consultation.
- The adoption of new materials, for example, Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) forms of thin surfacing which has the benefit of significant noise reduction, economy and resistance to deformation.
- The investigation, trial and adoption of new techniques such as Rhinopatch. Many others have been investigated and rejected as unsuitable for use in Hartlepool.
- Partnership work – the Hartlepool framework partnership with White Young Green and proposed regional surfacing service partnership.
- Use of recycled road materials in lieu of traditional mined aggregates, particularly for use in the various base courses that have produced environmental advantages.

These processes and practices have all contributed to the provision of a more efficient and cost effective service.

1.10 Communication And Consultation

A range of National and Local Surveys carried out by MORI and others have ranked road and footpath maintenance as a high priority for local communities. Rapid response to emergency repairs, monitoring and maintenance of highways and the safeguarding of the quality of workmanship were seen as key issues.

Effective channels of communication are necessary in order that stakeholders are kept fully informed about planned highway maintenance activities. This strategy will ensure effective communication and consultation is achieved by:

- Presentation to and consultations with stakeholders via local consultation forums in respect planned maintenance schemes
- Officers attending and contributing to Local Area Forums, Ward meetings and resident group meetings on day-today highway related issues.
- Publishing information on highway maintenance matters in the local press, through local radio and through AA Road Watch information.
- Publishing information on highway maintenance programmes on the Council’s Web site.
- Pre-notification of residents and local businesses in respect of impending maintenance schemes including information on road closures, diversions, estimated scheme time disruption, officer
The following consultation process will be adopted in respect of the selection of schemes for inclusion in the programme of planned maintenance works:

- Technical condition criteria, highway inspectors reports and accident data from the insurance section will be used to produce an initial programme.
- Customer Services information will facilitate further input into the process through service requests and complaints records that which will be analysed to help inform maintenance programmes.
- Briefing and consulting local stakeholders via residents groups, local area forums and Ward clinics, etc. will make a further contribution to the selection process.
- Views of elected members in each of the wards where planned maintenance works are proposed will be considered before the final programme is presented for approval.
- The outcome of work undertaken will be communicated to local communities and stakeholders via existing consultation forums and will assist in the formulation of subsequent programmes.

Information access points such as Hartlepool Connect, e-mail and internet access have and are being further developed to enable stakeholders to report problems or seek advice or information on highway and other street related matters.

1.11 Highway Network Investment

1.11.1 Funding

Highway maintenance is generally funded by a combination of Capital and Revenue budgets. Capital allocations are made by Central Government through the Local Transport Plan (LTP) process taking into account factors such as road length, classification, traffic figures and road condition data. Revenue allocations are generally funded from a combination of local council tax, business rate and other Government revenue support grants. This is provided for all local services for use largely at the discretion of authorities. However, there are a number of other potential sources of funding:

- Capital or revenue funding from Private Developers, secured as a condition of planning approval (Section 106 agreements).
- Dedicated capital funding provided either directly or indirectly by Government and delivered by means of Grants and either Basic or Special Credit Approvals.
- Challenge capital funding, targeted at specified transport themes or objectives, which may have direct or indirect relevance to highway maintenance.
- Challenge capital funding for wider strategic themes or objectives, which may have direct or indirect relevance to highway maintenance.
• Capital or revenue local commercial sponsorship. The most common example of this is maintenance of landscaped areas, in particular on roundabouts. Although the sums involved in some cases, for example in local sponsorship, may not be significant, they can help build local pride and support for the service.

It will be particularly important to ensure that maximum benefit is obtained for highway maintenance from contributions in respect of new developments. Although such contributions will be primarily to provide new or improved integrated transport infrastructure to mitigate the effects of the development, there may be a need to modify or bring forward maintenance works, which could be incorporated into the agreement. Unusual maintenance requirements, following adoption, may also be reflected in commuted sums.

The Local Transport Plan settlement is apportioned to both principal and non-principal roads. The non-principal road funds are granted as a block settlement to reduce the maintenance budget under the DfT 10-year plan. This non-principal funding is augmented by revenue funds. Recent experience demonstrates that the sums involved are inadequate and that the demands of the carriageway repairs have increased the pressure on funding of other maintenance on non-principal roads.

Highway maintenance activities contribute towards other strategically important initiatives and also support other strategies e.g. Cycling, Walking, Safer Routes to Schools etc. The cost of maintaining these additions to the existing network is funded from revenue. Examples of the increasing demand on budgets include:-

• The additional maintenance of pavements and road edges as an essential element of the strategy to encourage more walking and cycling.
• The increasing pressure to include high specification materials and casualty/speed reduction features.
• Regular and expensive renewal of carriageway markings, coloured and anti skid surfacing – some now costing five times the cost of previously specified surfacing.
• The regular replacement and repair of features such as refuges, kerb build outs and bollards which are, of necessity, located in particularly vulnerable locations.
• Repairs on streets with road humps and cushions – features which increase the requirement for carriageway resurfacing by concentrating damage in very localised narrow wheel tracks. This then requires more expensive repairs due to the labour intensive workform needed around the features, often with extensive traffic control arrangements or even road closures.

These features make an important contribution to casualty reduction,
the encouragement of the use of other modes of transport and in improving the environment. However, the resulting pressure on revenue-funded maintenance does need to be recognised in the budget setting process.

The funding currently available for maintaining the highway network falls short of that required to meet community aspirations, or that required to deliver appropriate levels of improvement in the network, as evidenced and supported by technical condition assessments.

The introduction of a Highway Maintenance Plan in 2010 will provide options advice that will assist with the prioritisation of budgets. It will enable community aspirations to be balanced against technical requirements by giving consideration to whole life costing for each operational solution.

This will enable better long term planning and advice to be published on programmes of work on the highway network, and will advise about the levels of investment necessary to promote highway improvements and identify shortfalls, based upon the level of service that is selected.

1.12 Resource Development

The development of this strategy and the positioning of the Council’s Highway Services section affords the opportunity to:-

- Initiate programmes to develop the skills base of our employees.
- Identify the demands of specific features introduced as part of improvement schemes.
- Give consideration during the design of maintenance schemes to making the route more attractive to public transport, cyclists and pedestrians (this includes measures such as bus and cycle lanes, specific crossing points, advanced stop lines at traffic signals and cycle friendly gully grids) by co-operation with scheme sponsors.

1.13 Sustainable Development

An important consideration within highway maintenance operations is the need to meet the challenge of environmental sustainability.

Appropriate materials need to be considered to complement the appearance of areas of special amenity value including conservation areas, the town centre and public squares. Maintenance treatments should be chosen which reduce long-term reliance on the quarrying of new materials or the disposing of excavated materials to landfill sites, thereby minimising transport costs associated with construction materials.

All local Highway Authorities are required to submit a Local Transport
Plan (LTP). The LTP is a substantial document, which contains transport policies, a strategy, a programme of capital funding, and targets and indicators against which progress is measured. The LTP focuses on four shared priorities these are:

- Accessibility
- Congestion
- Air Quality
- Road Safety

These priorities all contribute to sustainable development of the transport infrastructure.

The Highway Maintenance Strategy can make a contribution to the Council's sustainable development commitments as set out in the Sustainability Strategy.

Working within this framework will ensure that the Authority's long-term decision-making and everyday activities on highway maintenance make a contribution to sustainable development.

1.14 Risk Management

Claims are processed and investigated in accordance with the timescales set out in the protocols of the ‘Woolf’ report on Access to Justice. These are to:

- Acknowledge receipt of personal injury claims within 21 days
- Investigate the claim and decide on liability within 90 days from the date of acknowledgement

If these timescales are not met, the Council can incur financial penalties in the form of court fines and have default judgments imposed with no option to appeal, which would dramatically increase the claims costs.

The number claims for compensation as a result of accidents on the highway increased significantly following the introduction of the ‘Woolf’ report. The “No Win No Fee” arrangements led to the development of a claims culture encouraged by aggressive advertising campaigns.

Furthermore the value of settlements associated with each claim also increased significantly.

As a consequence, the Council developed a risk management strategy for claims on the highway and currently makes every attempt to contest claims in the Courts when necessary. Nevertheless the number and value of claims drove up the level of the annual contribution to the insurance fund. The increase in insurance costs places further strain on budgets and further increases in contribution continue to be a threat.
Significant resource is applied to analyse claims data to clearly identify areas of greatest risk. The analysis identifies what factors affect the number of claims in each location – for example, type of accident, highway construction, material type etc.

It can take up to 10 years for any one full year’s claims to be finalised, therefore “real time” information on the payments made is difficult to determine. A number of accident claims are contested delaying final settlement.

Nevertheless it is clear that the majority of highway related claims relate to trips in flagged footways and these continue to be the greatest risk to the Authority. By the nature of their construction, any differential settlement between adjacent or broken flags can create a trip and a potential hazard. Bituminous footways are of a continuous construction and, although still susceptible to differential settlement, the nature of these defects are generally less hazardous than those presented by a flagged footway. It is not possible to put a cost on the additional risk posed by flagged footways, however it is possible to state that the largest volume of claims relating to footway trips is in flagged surfaces.

1.15 Conclusions

The development of this interim highway maintenance strategy for Hartlepool has been based on a thorough review of the aims and objectives of highway maintenance management and the way in which the Authority provides services to the customer.

The strategy demonstrates how Hartlepool understands the expectations that legislation places on a highway authority and how Hartlepool will embrace new legislation whilst recognising that flexibility in service delivery is needed as new legislation impacts on the delivery of highway services.

The strategy demonstrates how we will achieve our vision for Hartlepool’s highway infrastructure by improving our management, operational and planning processes to include:

- The adoption of a Highway Maintenance Plan to be implemented by May 2010.
- The adoption from May 2010 of the practices and procedures contained in ‘Well-maintained Highways - Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management’.
- The development of a long term strategy by June 2010.
- Ensuring that there is an appropriate contribution to the maintenance aspects of all developments as part of the Council’s wider Local Transport Plan.
- Investigating options for procurement of highway maintenance services with a strong emphasis on partnering arrangements.
This long term strategy will need to be constantly reviewed to ensure that it remains closely aligned to the vision to 'improve the riding quality and safety of the highway network and increase its structural strength to a level which compares with the top 25% of highway authorities'.

Technological advances in materials, processes and their applications, together with amendments to existing highway legislation and further anticipated revisions to 'Well-maintained Highways - Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management' will also require this strategy to be updated periodically.
Report of: Head of Neighbourhood Management

Subject: HOUSEHOLD WASTE RECYCLING

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- Inform the Neighbourhood Consultative Forum of measures that are to be introduced to increase recycling performance. Increase the number of recyclable materials available for collection through the Council’s kerbside collection service.

- Introduce an education/awareness raising communication campaign to advertise the expansion of the recycling service and change in branding.

- Introduce of enforcement activities in relation to domestic household waste recycling.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 The Council currently operates a kerbside collection service using a 240 ltr green wheeled bin for residual waste, 55 ltr blue box and 35 ltr blue bag for glass, cans and paper respectively, and a 240 ltr brown wheeled bin for garden waste and a 120 ltr white reusable bag for plastic bottles and cardboard. We have 17 mini bring centres located across the town and the Household Waste Recycling Centre in Burn Road.

2.2 Despite efforts to promote and encourage recycling, we are only managing to recycle approximately 40% of all household waste. This figure has not increased at the rate envisaged when household waste kerbside recycling was first introduced in 2007. Scope for improvement is therefore considered to be minimal without the introduction of a more-stringent collection regime.

2.3 In October 2008 the Tees Valley Authorities commissioned Measurement Evaluation Learning (MEL) to undertake a waste analysis to better understand the material streams available in their area. The report on the findings of this waste analysis indicated that on average a further 19.9% (1.42kg per household per week) of Hartlepool residual wastes (material in green bins) could have been recycled via current kerbside schemes.

2.4 In 2008/09 Hartlepool Borough Council collected 18,585.25 tonnes of residual waste from resident’s green bins. Using the information above,
it was determined that 3,717 tonnes could have been recycled, saving the Authority a minimum of £120,200 in disposal costs.

2.5 In December 2008 the Scrutiny Coordinating Committee undertook an investigation into the current operation of the Council's kerbside recycling scheme and other recycling service provision making suggestions for improvement with respect to communication, education and enforcement.

3.1 Participation in kerbside recycling schemes in Hartlepool in 2008 averaged 83%, whilst overall participation rates across the borough are very good, there are areas of low participation.

3.2 Whilst the Council's Scrutiny Coordinating Committee were pleased to see the vast majority of Hartlepool residents have embraced and continue to support the need to recycle, they recognised there is a need to encourage those who at first sight appeared not to be participating fully and the Committee supported the suggestions for the introduction of:

a) an overarching campaign thanking residents who are recycling, whilst encouraging those who are participating in recycling some materials, but not all, to do a little bit more;

b) conduct a targeted communications campaign targeting areas with participation rates lower than 80% for dry recyclables or 60% for green waste, and

c) where encouragement and education fails to improve participation use enforcement action were applicable.

4. COMMUNICATION CAMPAIGN

4.1 “Recycle with the Hartlepool Heroes” branding was introduced in 2004 and has been the main identity for communications surrounding recycling. It is also felt that whilst the heroes were a draw to children, the characters may trivialise the message behind recycling.

4.2 Whilst reviewing the communications to launch additional materials into the existing recycling scheme, it was felt that a more direct “Recycle for Hartlepool” slogan would be a better choice of branding as it gives ownership to the residents, has a more direct tone and is more in line with the national logo, which will help to mitigate against any confusion which can be caused between local and national campaigns.

4.3 It is proposed to use the new ‘Recycle for Hartlepool’ branding for all communications developed by the Council to:
• Focus on the recycling services provided Hartlepool Borough Council;

• Include positive messages and not be negative or reproachful;

• Be consistent with regard to the look and feel of all communications;

• Make it easy for people to take action and reduce waste and recycle;

• Provide regular feedback on the progress and success of the scheme to householders

4.4 The kerbside collection service enables residents to recycle their waste directly from their home without having to visit a bring centre or the Council’s own Household Waste Recycling Centre in Burn Road. Residents are able currently to recycle cans, glass, paper, garden waste, plastic bottles and cardboard.

4.5 We have received many requests from residents to increase the number of materials which can be recycled through the kerbside scheme. It is proposed we extend this service to include Tetra Paks (waxed cardboard cartons) and plastic food containers e.g. yoghurt pots. We have not been able to provide this service to residents previously as we were not able to procure a sustainable outlet.

4.6 When the scheme is launched information leaflets will be distributed to every resident to highlight additional materials available for recycling as part of the kerbside collection service. Leaflets will be available at the meeting. We will take the opportunity to inform residents of why it is important to recycle and what happens to their waste when it is recycled.

4.7 In addition to the leaflet further press releases and website updates will be used to highlight the inclusion of mixed plastics and Tetra Pak to the existing plastic bottle and cardboard collection scheme and promote the new branding. Further information on the kerbside recycling scheme and the life cycle of the materials collected will also be included on the Council’s website. We will also advertise the new branding “Recycle for Hartlepool” on new livery on refuse, recycling and bulky waste vehicles.

4.8 We will concentrate our efforts on areas of low performance in an attempt to encourage residents to participate, this will be through attendance at resident groups and associations together with the production of additional literature.

4.9 The Scrutiny investigation commented on the container used for recycling paper and considered the introduction of soft lids for blue
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5 ENFORCEMENT

5.1 The Scrutiny investigation recognised that where encouragement and education fails to improve participation the use of enforcement action was appropriate.

5.2 The Neighbourhood Action Team currently uses powers contained in the Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005 and the Environmental Protection Act 1990 to ensure residents comply with Council rules regarding domestic household waste collection. Specifically, residents are issued with a Notice under section 46 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.

5.3 Breach of this Section 46 Notice renders people liable to prosecution and a fine of up to £1,000; alternatively, people have the option of discharging liability to prosecution by payment of a fixed penalty notice of £100.

5.4 The Section 46 notice will be adapted for use in instructing residents to recycle all recyclable household waste. That is to say, residents will not be permitted to include any recyclable items such as glass, cans, paper or textiles when presenting their general household waste.

5.5 Essentially, areas of ‘low participation’ will be the target of enforcement; however, individual problem households anywhere in the town will also be considered. Such individual or isolated cases will stem from intelligence reports e.g. service requests / complaints from members of the public, the Council’s refuse collection staff.

5.6 Bags containing general household waste will be checked by enforcement staff, in situ, prior to collection. Investigations will be timed so as to cause minimal disruption to the refuse collection service.

5.7 An Enforcement Officer will be required to witness the presence of recyclable materials amongst general household waste, and also find evidence of the person(s) responsible.

5.8 An element of discretion will be required in checking wastes. That is to say, there should be a pre-determined amount of recyclable material permitted amongst general household waste.

5.9 It is proposed that a dedicated ‘Recycling Enforcement Officer’ post is created from existing resources, with responsibility for ensuring the...
initiative is effectively carried out in the community. The post will sit within the existing Environment Enforcement team.

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 Sustainable Waste Management practices are essential if the town is going to have an impact on climate change.

6.2 The Council provides a kerbside collection service enabling residents to recycle many materials in their own home.

6.3 The majority of Hartlepool residents fully embraced recycling, recognising the responsibility we all have as citizens to address climate change and reduce the amount of waste sent to landfill.

6.4 The Transport and Neighbourhoods Portfolio Holder approved this report on Monday 28th September 2009 instructing it to be presented to the Neighbourhood Consultative Forums for information.

7. CONTACT OFFICER

Denise Ogden
Head of Neighbourhood Management
Level 3
Civic Centre
Hartlepool
TS24 8AY

Tel: (01429) 523201
E-mail: denise.ogden@hartlepool.gov.uk
Report of: Central Neighbourhood Forum

Subject: MINOR WORKS PROPOSALS (OCTOBER 2009)

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To consider improvement schemes for potential funding from the Central Neighbourhood Consultative Forum Minor Works Budget

2.0 BACKGROUND / CURRENT POSITION

The total available spend on minor works schemes 2009/10 is £87,000.00 and the total committed spend to date is £43,476.00. However following further consultation on the Eltringham Road scheme previously recommended for approval by the Forum this scheme will not go ahead as outlined at the April meeting, and alternative options will need to be drawn up and consulted upon before brought back to the Forum for approval. Therefore the £3,500.00 previously allocated to this scheme will be added back into Central Forum Minor Works budget. The total Minor Works Budget available to spend is therefore £46,976.00.

3.0 PROPOSED SCHEMES

3.1 A number of schemes are detailed below to address concerns raised by Elected Members, Residents’ Representatives and residents in the Central Forum area.

(a) Ellison Street shrub bed removal

Residents of Burn Valley Ward have requested the removal of a flower bed on Ellison Street to provide additional parking and improve the aesthetics of the area. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

Total cost of scheme: £3,200.00
Total cost to Forum: £3,200.00

(b) Colwyn Road shrub bed removal

Residents of Burn Valley Ward have requested the removal of a flower bed on Ellison Street to provide additional parking and improve the aesthetics of the area. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

Total cost of scheme: £3,600.00
Total cost to Forum: £3,600.00
(c) Westbrooke Grove

Residents of Westbrooke Grove have requested that the road surface within the grove be resurfaced. This carriageway does not feature within the Highways 5 year program, as a consequence, it is requested that the forum fund this small scheme.

Total cost of scheme: £3,877.80
Total cost to Forum: £3,877.80

(d) Topcliffe Street

Concern about overgrown weeds at the electrical sub-station compound area located at Topcliffe Street / Zetland Road has been raised on many occasions by local residents at Forum meetings who have requested a long term solution to this problem. The scheme will involve the laying of a membrane which will prevent the problem recurring, the constant need to contact NEDL to attend to the matter, and lengthy waiting times for action to be taken. Although we are currently awaiting a response from NEDL with regards access to their compound to enable the work to be carried out the request is that the Forum approve funding subject to NEDL agreeing to the work being carried out.

Total cost of scheme: £435.00
Total cost to Forum: £435.00

(e) Staindrop Street Alleyway closure

Residents on the Burbank estate have requested the closure of this alleyway to address problems in the alley related to anti-social behaviour, drug dealing and drug use. The feasibility of the scheme in relation to underground utilities has been established and residents on both sides of the alley, one who has a bedroom overhanging the alleyway, welcome moves to implement the scheme. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the closure to go ahead.

Total cost of scheme: £3,500.00
Total cost to Forum: £3,500.00

(f) Mill House Leisure Centre

There are a number of planted areas at the front of the Mill House Leisure Centre containing shrubbery and trees and although they are regularly de-littered and maintained, their design is problematic in terms of attracting anti-social behaviour and drug use, leading to a fear of crime among local residents and users of the centre. The proposed scheme will involve removal of all of the low growing shrubbery, the crown lifting of all of the trees, and the removal of the old wooden trip rail fencing. The area will be grassed over for future low maintenance, and will contain two small areas of bedding plants to add colour to the area. The resulting scheme will allow clear lines of sight in all directions allowing natural surveillance of the area and making it safer for local residents and users of the centre. The total cost of the scheme is £5,920.30. There is a
contribution from HBC Sports and Recreation of £2960.15 towards the scheme and an additional sum of £1550.00 from the Community Cashback grant (to be confirmed). The Forum is therefore requested to contribute the remaining £1410.15 to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £5,920.30  
**Total cost to Forum:** £1,410.15

(g) **Galsworthy Road**

The Rift House Estate is one of many estates in Hartlepool that were never designed to accommodate the level of car ownership that exists today and the lack of adequate parking often causes damage to grass verges. The area in question is adjacent to a care home and following a request from residents this scheme will involve the removal of the grassed verge and its replacement with tarmac hardstanding material. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £1,450.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £1,450.00

(h) **Masefield Road grassed verge removal**

The following request is from residents in the area who wish for the grassed verge to be removed and replaced with tarmac hardstanding material. The area in question is between 43 – 59 Masefield Road. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £5,000.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £5,000.00

(i) **Macaulay Road grassed verge removal**

Removal of Grassed Verge Macaulay Road the following request is from residents in the area who wish for the grassed verge to be removed and replaced with tarmac hard standing. The area in question is between 129 – 137 Macaulay Road. Contribution of £1,250 from HH. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £2,500.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £1,250.00
(j) **Shelley Grove grassed verge removal**

The following request is from residents in the area who wish for the grassed verge to be removed and replaced with tarmac to provide a much needed parking facility. The total cost of the scheme is £10,500. There is a contribution from Local Transport Plan monies of £7,000. The Forum is therefore asked to approve £3,500 as a contribution to this scheme to enable it to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £10,500.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £3,500.00

(k) **Macaulay Road grassed verge removal**

The following request is from residents in the area who wish for the grassed verge to be removed and replaced with tarmac the area in question is between 169 – 179 Macaulay Road. The Forum is asked to approve the total cost of the funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £2,500.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £2,500.00

(l) **Arnold Grove / Walpole Road**

The request is for 6 bollards to be installed to prevent vehicles cutting through the grassed area. The total cost of this scheme is £1,200. Housing Hartlepool has agreed to contribute £600 towards this scheme. Therefore the Forum is asked to approve the remaining £600 to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £1,200.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £600.00

(m) **Keilder Road**

The following request is from a resident in the area who wishes for the grassed verge to be removed and replaced with tarmac due to the carriageway width being so narrow. The Forum is asked to approve this funding to enable the scheme to go ahead.

**Total cost of scheme:** £1,000.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £1,000.00
(n) **Springston Road**

A request has been made to the forum to landscape a grassed area to the side of number 20 Springston Road. The scheme will entail the creation of a shrub bed in centre of grass area 12m x 4m and plant with shrubs such as, in addition supply and plant 3 trees with protective cages. This planting scheme would mirror the scheme already in place further up the road on Springston Close. The trees would replace those that were originally planted in this area, the protective cages hopefully protecting against vandalism, the trees would also make it even more difficult to play ball games.

**Total cost of scheme:** £2,030.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £2,030.00

(o) **Hart Chare Wall**

The boundary wall at The Chare leading to the Saxon Church at Hart is unstable and in danger of collapse. This project will remove the top 1000mm of the existing wall (over 16.5m) to make the wall safe and to avoid collapse on the Public Right of Way. The Forum are requested to approve the funded needed to repair the Chare Wall.

**Total cost of scheme:** £1,210.00  
**Total cost to Forum:** £1,210.00

### 4.0 RECOMMENDATION

4.1 The Forum is requested to recommend to the Neighbourhoods and Transport Portfolio Holder that the schemes be approved from the Minor Works Budget

4.2 The total cost of schemes proposed is: **£34,562.95**

4.3 Minor works monies remaining if schemes approved is: **£12,413.05**

Residents and members of the Forum are reminded to contact members of the Neighbourhood Management team with details of any schemes for future consideration by the Forum.
# CENTRAL FORUM MINOR WORKS SCHEMES BUDGET REPORT 2009/10

TOTAL BUDGET: £87,000 - INCLUDES ALLOCATION FROM HIGHWAYS TO ADDRESS SPECIFIC HIGHWAYS ISSUES (£20,000), AND SPECIFIC PROBLEM OF CONVERSION OF GRASS VERGES TO TARMAC HARDSTANING (£15,000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WARD</th>
<th>SCHEME</th>
<th>MATCH FUNDING</th>
<th>CENTRAL FORUM APPROVAL</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDING APPROVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Forum Wide</td>
<td>Tactile crossings</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>13,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pride in Hartlepool</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Forum Wide Schemes Total</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>18,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grange</td>
<td>Topcliffe Street</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>435.00</td>
<td>435.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grange Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>435.00</td>
<td>435.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rift House</td>
<td>Garrick Grove</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13,024.00</td>
<td>13,024.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Galsworthy Road</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,450.00</td>
<td>1,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masefield Road</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Road 129-137</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shelley Grove</td>
<td>LTP</td>
<td>10,500.00</td>
<td>10,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Road 169-179</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arnold Grove</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waverley Terrace</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rift House Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,850.00</td>
<td>29,324.00</td>
<td>38,174.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>Keilder Road</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Springston Road</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,030.00</td>
<td>2,030.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egerton Road</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>11,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Ward Total</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>11,530.00</td>
<td>14,530.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Stranton        | Staindrop Street | 0.00 | 3,500.00 | 3,500.00 |
|                 | Burbank Street | 5,000.00 | 8,000.00 | 15,000.00 |
|                 | Mill House Centre | 2,000.00 | 2,000.00 | 1,550.00 |
|                 | Community C/B | 2,960.15 | 1,410.15 | 5,920.30 |
| Stranton Total  | 11,510.15 | 12,910.15 | 24,420.30 |

| Foggy Furze     | Westbrook Grove | 0.00 | 3,877.80 | 3,877.80 |
| Foggy Furze Total | Westbrook Grove | 0.00 | 3,877.80 | 3,877.80 |

| Burn Valley     | Ellison Street | 0.00 | 3,200.00 | 3,200.00 |
|                 | Colwyn Road | 0.00 | 3,600.00 | 3,600.00 |
| Burn Valley Total | 0.00 | 6,800.00 | 6,800.00 |

| Elwick          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Elwick Total    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Hart Village    | Hart Church | 0.00 | 1,210.00 | 1,210.00 |
| Hart Village Total | 0.00 | 1,210.00 | 1,210.00 |

| Dalton          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dalton Total    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

TOTAL TO DATE 33,360.15 74,586.95 107,974.10