

# **JOINT FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT & PERFORMANCE PORTFOLIO DECISION RECORD**

22 November

The meeting commenced at 2.00 pm at the Civic Centre, Hartlepool

**Present:**

Officers: Jo Wilson, Democratic Services Officer

## **1. Adjournment of Meeting**

Due to the absence of the Portfolio Holder for Performance the meeting was adjourned.

**Upon being reconvened on Tuesday 21<sup>st</sup> December 2010  
at 3.30 pm in the Civic Centre, Hartlepool**

**Present:**

Councillor Jonathan Brash (Performance Portfolio Holder)  
Councillor Robbie Payne (Finance and Procurement Portfolio Holder)

Officers: Joanne Machers, Chief Customer and Workforce Services  
Officer  
Jo Wilson, Democratic Services Officer

## **2. Appointment of Chair**

Councillor Robbie Payne was appointed as Chair for the meeting

## **3. Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006**

Under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraphs below of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order

Minute 4 – Jesmond Road Primary School – Residential Site Supervisor - This item contains exempt information under Schedule 12A Local Government Act 1972, namely information relating to an individual (Para 1)

#### **4. Jesmond Road Primary School – Residential Site Supervisor** *(Chief Customer and Workforce Services Officer*

##### **Type of Decision**

Non-key

##### **Purpose of Report**

Detailed within the closed minutes

##### **Issues for Consideration by Portfolio Holders**

Detailed within the closed minutes.

##### **Decision**

Detailed within the closed minutes.

The meeting concluded at 4.00 pm

**P J DEVLIN**

**CHIEF SOLICITOR**

**PUBLICATION DATE: 6<sup>th</sup> January 2011**

Following the meeting, advice was taken regarding the decision taken and whether it had to be made jointly or could be made both jointly and severally. It was confirmed that the decision taken under a joint portfolio, required that decision reached to be one that both portfolio holder's agreed upon. As the portfolio holders were unable to come to agreement over the decision made, this rendered it invalid. They therefore exercised their discretion, as set out in part 3 of the constitution, that, given the circumstances, it was appropriate to refer the matter to Cabinet for determination. Cabinet will consider the matter at their meeting on 24 January 2011.