

# Template for Local Authority Report

to

The Schools Adjudicator

from

**Hartlepool Local Authority** 

to be provided by

31 October 2024

Report Cleared by: Name: Amanda Whitehead

**Job Title Assistant Director (Education)** 

**Telephone number: 01429 523736** 

Email: Amanda.whitehead@hartlepool.gov.uk

Date submitted: 31 October 2024

By: Name: Julie Reed

Job Title: Pupil Place Planning, Admissions & Capital

Manager

Telephone number: 01429 284063

Email: Julie.reed@hartlepool.gov.uk

Website: Office of the Schools Adjudicator

## Please email your completed report to: Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2024 and earlier if possible

#### Contents

#### Introduction

Guidance on completing the template

Sed	ction 1 - Normal points of admission	5
A.	Co-ordination	5
B.	Looked after and previously looked after children	5
C.	Special educational needs and/or disabilities	6
Sec	ction 2 - In-year admissions	7
A.	Overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions	7
B.	Looked after children and previously looked after children	8
C.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	8
D.	Fair access protocol	9
E.	Directions to maintained schools to admit children	10
F.	Other points on in-year admissions	11
Sec	ction 3 - Other matters	13
Sec	ction 4 - Feedback	13

#### Introduction

- 1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in the annual report to the Secretary of State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.30 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. Paragraphs 6 and 3.30 of the Code require that each local authority publish its report locally.
- 2. This year's report must cover the 2023/2024 academic year and be submitted to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator (OSA) by 31 October 2024.
- 3. Please note that, in response to feedback on the previous template and in the light of consultation with a group of local authorities, changes have been made to various sections of this year's template.

#### Guidance on completing the template

- 4. We have included all the guidance on completing specific parts of the template in this section. There is no requirement for local authorities to include the introduction and the guidance in their published reports, but they are free to do so if they wish.
- 5. We should be grateful if in completing questions which ask for information about primary and secondary schools and/or pupils, local authorities would follow the approach to classification of schools used in statutory provisions and in the Department for Education Statistical First Release<sup>1</sup> and the Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002<sup>2</sup>.
- 6. The Code sets out some minimum requirements on the contents of each local authority report stating that each must cover as a minimum "information about how admission arrangements in the area of the local authority serve the interests of looked after children and previously looked after children, children with disabilities and children with special educational needs, including any details of where problems have arisen."
- 7. The Department for Education's aim through the annual reports from local authorities is to understand how well the admissions system is working nationally, rather than to hold individual local authorities to account. By understanding the effectiveness of the system, including outcomes, the Department hopes to identify areas where the admissions system is working well and areas where it could be improved. With that in mind, when the template asks, "how well does the admission system serve the needs of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department for Education Statistical First Release

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002

children," the Department appreciates that it is asking local authorities to make a subjective judgement, in the understanding that everyone's experience with the admission system will be different. The Department encourages local authorities in responding to the open questions and spaces for open comments to set out challenges that are proving difficult to overcome.

- 8. Guidance on specific questions and/or meaning of specific terms in this report:
  - a. "in-year admissions": This means admissions (that is children admitted to a school and not applications for places):
    - i. to a year group which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example to Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school); and
    - ii. after the end of the statutory waiting list period (31 December) to a year group which is a normal year of admission for the schools concerned (such as Year R and Year 7).
  - b. Not applicable means that there were no children falling within the relevant definition.
- 9. We welcome all comments that local authorities make in the comment boxes and we aim to reflect those comments in the Annual Report, but we ask for the comments to be entered under the right headings. Section 3 invites comment on any other matters not specifically addressed in this template if local authorities wish to do so. The views expressed in previous years also remain a matter of public record.
- 10. We ask that where possible, you return the template in Word instead of PDF formatting. A number of you have commented on the formatting of the template and we have tried to make it as accessible as possible, but we are aware that some local authorities use different versions of Word.
- 11. Where questions request a comparison with the previous year, any new local authorities formed as a result of reorganisation should note this on the form.

## Information requested

## **Section 1 - Normal points of admission**

#### A. Co-ordination

Which of the following best describes the level of challenge for your main admissions round in 23/24 compared to 22/23?

Year Group	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Reception			Х		
Year 7			Χ.		
Other relevant years of entry					

Plea	se give	e examples to illustrate your answer if you wish:
		to this question, an issue that has arisen this year. Some infant class size ppeals have been upheld by various independent appeal panels.
В.	Look	ked after and previously looked after children
	i.	How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children at <b>normal points of admission</b> ?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
	ii.	How well do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at <b>normal points of admission</b> ?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
	iii.	How well does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your

area at normal points of admission?

	Not at all □ Not well □ Well ⊠ Very well □ Not applicable
th	ow well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve e interests of previously looked after children at <b>normal points of</b> dmission?
	Not at all □ Not well □ Well ⊠ Very well □ Not applicable
which exempli	vish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties fy your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and ked after children at <b>normal points of admission</b> :
C. Specia	l educational needs and/or disabilities
i. How we disabiliti	ell served are children with special educational needs and/or ies who have an education, health and care plan that names a school hal points of admission?
	Not at all □ Not well □ Well ⊠ Very well □ Not applicable
	e any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with tional needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission:
	sions and school processes work well with pupils being allocated opriate provision to best meet need.

#### Section 2 - In-year admissions

## A. Which of the following best describes the overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions in 23/24 compared to 22/23?

Phase	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Primary			✓		
Secondary					✓

If you wish, please explain the factors that have changed the level of challenge for your in-year admissions:

We have experienced academy schools refusing in year admission applications when there have been places available in all year groups. Mainly secondary schools.

Academy schools are not adhering to 2.30 School Admissions Code by providing outcome of application (in writing by 10 or 15 days) and not providing refusal letters within appropriate timescale.

We are aware that the PAN only relates to 'relevant year groups'. We have issue with schools reducing the admission limit in other (than relevant) year groups without warning, or reason, or full information and without informing what the limit actually is. This is creating issues for the LA in fulfilling pupil place planning/sufficiency duties as well as being unfair to, and disadvantaging, parents.

Schools (OAAs) are not providing parents with sufficient reason their child has been refused a place, merely stating it will prejudice the provision of efficient education at the school.

We would fully support the introduction of a national co-ordinated process for In Year Admissions, to ensure the safeguarding of children. The current school admissions code allows own admissions authorities to exploit the system by refusing admission of place when it chooses and offering the right of appeal, knowing that many disadvantaged families do not understand or do not have the confidence or ability to lodge an appeal. Leaving at risk children out of school for long periods of time, disadvantaging them further.

Schools (OAAs) are misusing point 3.10 of the School Admissions Code.

We have had significant increases in the numbers of in year admission requests, particularly from families arriving from overseas.

## B. Looked after children and previously looked after children

j	i.	How well does the <b>in-year admission</b> system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?
		□ Not at all □ Not well □ Well ⊠ Very well □ Not applicable
ii	i.	How well does the <b>in-year admission</b> systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
iii	i.	How well does the <b>in-year admission</b> system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?
		$\square$ Not at all $\square$ Not well $\square$ Well $\boxtimes$ Very well $\square$ Not applicable
iv	<b>′</b> .	How well does your <b>in-year admission</b> system serve the interests of previously looked after children?
		☐ Not at all ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Not applicable
	sup	ou wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties port or exemplify your answers about <b>in-year admissions</b> for looked previously looked after children:
. Ch	ildr	en with special educational needs and/or disabilities
	i.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who <b>have</b> an education, health and care plan that names a school when they need to be <b>admitted in-year</b> ?
		$oxtimes$ Not at all well $\oxtimes$ Not well $\oxtimes$ Well $\oxtimes$ Very well $\oxtimes$ Not applicable
	ii.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who <b>do not have</b> an education, health and care plan when they need to be <b>admitted in-year</b> ?
		☐ Not at all well ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Do not know

ii. Please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about <b>in-year admissions</b> for children with special educational needs and/or disabilities:
D. Fair access protocol
/hat proportion of the state-funded mainstream schools in your area have said that sey agree to the local authority fair access protocol?
Primary         Between 0% and 49%       □         Between 50% and 74%       □         Between 75% and 89%       □         Between 90% and 99%       □         100%       ⊠
Secondary Between 0% and 49% □ Between 50% and 74% □ Between 75% and 89% ⊠ Between 90% and 99% □ 100% □
If you have below 75% for either phase, please explain why:

i. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024?

Type of Solicoi	children admitted	aged children admitted	
Community and voluntary controlled			
Foundation, voluntary aided and academies		2	
Total		2	
ii. If you have seen a change in the number of children referred to your Fair Access Protocol between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024 compared to the previous academic year please indicate what you consider the key reasons for this change to be?			
are served in in	u consider children referred t n your area? .ll □ Not well ⊠ Well □ Ve		
We have a significant rise	comments you wish on the proint in children being permane ber of children return back	ently excluded but we are	

Number of Primary aged Number of Secondary

Type of school

#### E. Directions to maintained schools to admit children<sup>3</sup>

How many directions did the local authority make between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024 to maintained schools for which the local authority is not the admission authority to admit children (including children looked after by the local authority but resident in another area)?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is important that only Directions to maintained schools are included here. Numbers of Directions to academies are already held by the Department.

Total number of children	Of which, looked after	Of which, not looked after
None		

## F. Oth

F. Other	points on in-year admissions
i.	For the schools for which the local authority co-ordinates in-year applications, in the year between 1 Aug 2023 and 31 July 2024 did you receive
	<ul> <li>☐ Significantly fewer applications than last year</li> <li>☐ slightly fewer applications than last year</li> <li>☐ about the same</li> <li>☐ slightly more than last year</li> <li>☒ significantly more than last year</li> </ul>
ii.	For what proportion of <b>primary</b> schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2023/2024 academic year
	Between 0% and 24% □ Between 25% and 49% □ Between 50% and 74% □ Between 75% and 100% ⊠
iii.	For what proportion of <b>secondary</b> schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2023/2024 academic year
	Between 0% and 24% □
	Between 25% and 49% □
	Between 50% and 74% □ Between 75% and 100% ⊠
	Detween 7570 and 10070 🖾
admission	u wish, please provide any comments about how <b>well in-year</b> s works for children who are <b>not</b> looked after or previously looked after ot have SEND:
Please see	previous comments in section 2A

v. If you wish, please provide any other comments on the admission of
children in-year not previously raised (you may wish to include here any
comments about cases where it has not proved possible to find places for
children):

Please see previous comments in section 2A

## **Section 3 - Other matters**

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?
Section 4 - Feedback  We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to
nform our practice for 2025.

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2024